

Linear Algebra '2/14

Recall

① $\gamma: I = (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$
is smooth if each component of γ
is smooth = $C^\infty \quad I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

② Exponential map
 $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\exp(A) = e^A} M_n(\mathbb{C})$

is defined by

$$\exp(A) = e^A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^k$$

Lemma

The exponential map $\exp: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$
is well-defined (i.e. the series is convergent).

sketch of pf

Algebraic approach

For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, \exists invertible $P \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$

$\exists J \leftarrow$ of Jordan canonical form

s.t.

$$A = P J P^{-1}$$

And for

$$\Rightarrow A^k = (\cancel{PJP^{-1}}) \cdot (\cancel{PJP^{-1}}) \cdot \dots \cdot (\cancel{PJP^{-1}}) \\ = P J^k P^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exp(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (P J^k P^{-1}) \\ = P \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} J^k \right) \cdot P^{-1} \\ = P \cdot \exp(J) \cdot P^{-1}$$

And compute $\exp(J)$ directly

eg. $\textcircled{1}$ $e^{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}} = ?$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}^k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^k & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e^{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^k & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \lambda_1^k & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \lambda_2^k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\lambda_2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{nilpotent}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

diagonal

(2)

$$\textcircled{2} \quad e^{A} = ?$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 & 2\lambda \\ 0 & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 & 2\lambda \\ 0 & \lambda^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^3 & 3\lambda^2 \\ 0 & \lambda^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}^k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^k & k\lambda^{k-1} \\ 0 & \lambda^k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^k & k\lambda^{k-1} \\ 0 & \lambda^k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \lambda^k & 1 + \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} + \dots \\ 0 & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \lambda^k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda} & e^{\lambda} \\ 0 & e^{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}$$

Analytic approach

Recall

① A normed vector space

= vector space V + norm $\|\cdot\|$

$$(i) \|x\| \geq 0 \quad , \quad \|x\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = \vec{0}$$

$$(ii) \|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$$

$$(iii) \|c \cdot x\| = |c| \cdot \|x\|$$

② A normed vector space is a metric space

③ All the norms on \mathbb{R}^N are equivalent

In particular, every norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^N is complete

i.e. every Cauchy seq is convergent.

We introduce the operator norm on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\|A\| = \sup_{\substack{\|v\|=1 \\ v \in \mathbb{C}^n}} \|Av\|$$

norm in \mathbb{C}^n

Lemma

The operator norm is a norm on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ with the property

$$\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|B\|$$

Therefore,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{A^k}{k!} \right\| \leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \|A^k\| \leq (\|A\|)^k$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \|A\|^k$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \|A\|^k = e^{\|A\|} \text{ is convergent}$$

$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N$ s.t.

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \|A\|^k < \varepsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq N$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\| \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^k \right\| \leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{\|A\|^k}{k!} < \varepsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq N$$

So $\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k}{k!} \right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy seq.

in $(M_n(\mathbb{C}), \|\cdot\|)$
↑
operator norm

$\Rightarrow e^A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k}{k!}$ is convergent in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ #

In fact, this type of Cauchy seq \mathbb{R}^{2n^2}
" \mathbb{R}^{2n^2}

argument also shows $\exp: \underline{M_n(\mathbb{C})} \rightarrow \underline{M_n(\mathbb{C})}$

is smooth, i.e., \forall smooth curve

$\gamma: I \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$, the composition

$$\exp \circ \gamma: I \xrightarrow{\gamma} M_n(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\exp} M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

is also smooth.

Prop

If $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $AB = BA$, then

$$\exp(A) \cdot \exp(B) = \exp(A+B).$$

In particular,

i.e. $(\exp(A))^{-1} = \exp(-A)$

$$\exp(A) \cdot \exp(-A) \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} \exp(A-A) = \exp(O_n)$$

$$= I_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{LHS} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k}{k!} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{B^l}{l!} \right) = \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!l!} \underbrace{A^k \cdot B^l}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ AB \\ \uparrow \\ AB \\ \uparrow \\ AB}}$$

$$\text{RHS} = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p!} \underbrace{(A+B)^p}_{(A+B)^2 = A^2 + AB + BA + B^2}$$

$$(A+B)^2 = A^2 + AB + BA + B^2$$

To sum up, we have

Thm

The series

$$\exp(A) = e^A = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^k$$

defines a smooth map

$$\exp: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \underline{GL_n(\mathbb{C})}$$

\uparrow
 $\{n \times n \text{ invertible matrixes}\}$
in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$

Application to differential equation

The curve

$$\mathbb{R} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad t \mapsto \exp(tA) = e^{tA}$$

has the derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{(e^{tA})}' &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tA)^k}{k!} \right)' \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t^k A^k)'}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t^k)' A^k}{k!} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{k-1} A^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \cdot A = e^{tA} \cdot A \end{aligned}$$

Handwritten annotations:
- A blue circle around k and t^{k-1} in the second line.
- A blue circle around $k!$ and $(k-1)!$ in the third line.
- A blue circle around $(t^k)'$ in the third line.
- An orange circle around the final sum in the fourth line.

$$= \underbrace{e^{tA} \cdot A} = \underbrace{A \cdot e^{tA}}$$

Thm

Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, and $y: (a, b) \rightarrow M_{n \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying

$$y' = A \cdot y$$

Then

$$y(t) = e^{tA} \cdot C$$

for some constant $C \in M_{n \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$.

If $y(0) = y_0$, then

$$y(t) = e^{tA} \cdot y_0$$

pf

The equation

$$y' = Ay$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{-tA} (y' - Ay) = 0$$

$\underbrace{e^{-tA} (y' - Ay)}_{-tA \quad | \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot} = (e^{-tA} y)'$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= e^{-tA} \cdot y' - \underbrace{e^{-tA} A}_{\text{yellow}} \cdot y \\
&= e^{-tA} \cdot y' + (e^{-tA})' \cdot y \\
&= \left(e^{-tA} \cdot y \right)' = 0
\end{aligned}$$

So $e^{-tA} y = C$

for some constant matrix

$$C \in M_{n \times k}(\mathbb{C}).$$

$$\Rightarrow y = e^{tA} \cdot C \quad \#$$

Example

$$\underline{y'' + y' - 2y = 0} \quad (*)$$

sol

$$\lambda^2 + \lambda - 2 = 0$$

Let $z = y'$

$$\Rightarrow z' = y'' = -y' + 2y = -z + 2y$$

So $\textcircled{*}$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} y' = z \\ z' = 2y - z \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$

By Thm,

$$\begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = e^{\underline{t} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some constants c_1, c_2

Diagonalize $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \det \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \begin{matrix} (-\lambda) & (-1-\lambda) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & -2 \end{matrix} \\ &= \lambda^2 + \lambda - 2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \lambda = 1, -2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\underline{\lambda=1}: \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\lambda=-2}: \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \omega/2 & 1/3 \\ -1/3 & \omega/2 \end{pmatrix}}_{=}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exp\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} t\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \exp\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} t\right) \begin{pmatrix} \omega/2 & 1/3 \\ -1/3 & \omega/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega/2 & 1/3 \\ -1/3 & \omega/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{sol} = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = e^{t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} a e^t + b e^{-2t} \\ \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

So $y = ae^t + be^{-2t}$ for some a, b #

Matrix group and its Lie algebra

The symmetries of a space is usually described by a group action, and the theory of groups can be considered as a study of symmetries

Example $\subseteq \mathbb{R}$

$\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\pm 1\}$ acts on \mathbb{R} by multiplication

$$A_1: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto x$$

$$A_{-1}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto -x$$



Note

• $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is even

$$\Leftrightarrow f(-x) = f(x)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f \text{ is } \mathbb{Z}_2\text{-invariant} \Leftrightarrow f \circ A_y = f \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{Z}_2$$

• $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is odd

$$\Leftrightarrow f(-x) = -f(x)$$

$\Leftrightarrow f$ is \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariant

$$\Leftrightarrow f \circ A_y = A_y \circ f \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{Z}_2$$

HW10, 3

$$(a) \mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_c, \quad c = \gcd(m, n)$$

pf

$$\Rightarrow m = k \cdot c$$

⊙ Note $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z}$

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_c$$

$$x, y, x', y' \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\phi([x], [y]) = [xy]$$

is (i) well-defined because

$$\phi([x], [y]) = \phi([x + mx'], [y])$$

$$\begin{aligned} [xy] &\stackrel{\text{in } \mathbb{Z}_c}{=} [xy + \underbrace{m x' y}_{c \cdot k \cdot x' y}] = [xy] \\ &\text{in } \mathbb{Z}_c \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\phi([x], [y]) = \phi([x], [y + ny'])$$

(ii) \mathbb{Z} -bilinear because

$$\phi(a[x] + b[x'], [y]) = \phi([ax + bx'], [y])$$

$$= [(ax + bx')y] = a[xy] + b[x'y]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{in } \mathbb{Z}_c}{=} = a\phi([x], [y]) + b\phi([x'], [y])$$

and

$$\phi([x], a[y] + b[y']) = a\phi([x], [y]) + b\phi([x], [y'])$$

⊙ ...

By the universal property, \exists \mathbb{Z} -linear

$$\tilde{\phi} : \mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_c$$

$$\text{s.t. } \tilde{\phi}([x] \otimes [y]) = [xy]$$

② The map

$$\tilde{\psi} : \mathbb{Z}_c \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_n$$

$$\tilde{\psi}([z]) = [z] \otimes [1]$$

is (i) well-defined because

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\psi}([z]) & = & \tilde{\psi}([z + c \cdot z']) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ [z] \otimes 1 & & [z + c \cdot z'] \otimes 1 \end{array}$$

Note

$$c = \text{gcd}(m, n)$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$c = pm + qn$$

$$[z + (pm + qn) \cdot z'] \otimes 1$$

in $\mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_n$

$$\begin{aligned} & \overset{0 \otimes 1 = 0}{=} [z] \otimes 1 + \underbrace{[pm \cdot z']} \otimes 1 + \underbrace{[qn \cdot z']} \otimes 1 \\ & \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \\ & \quad [m(pz')] \quad n[qz'] \otimes 1 \\ & \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}_m \quad \uparrow \quad \parallel \\ & \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad n[qz'] \otimes 1 \\ & \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad = [qz'] \otimes \underbrace{(n \cdot 1)}_{= 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_n} \\ & \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad = [qz'] \otimes 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$= [z] \otimes 1 = \tilde{\psi}([z])$$

Note

in $M_{\mathbb{Z}}^{IV}$

$0 \otimes y = 0$ because

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \otimes y + \boxed{0 \otimes y} &= 0 \otimes (y+y) = 0 \otimes 2 \cdot y \\ &= 2 \cdot 0 \otimes y = \boxed{0 \otimes y} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \otimes y = 0$$

(ii) $\tilde{\varphi}$ is \mathbb{Z} -linear because

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(a \cdot [z]) &= [\tilde{a} \cdot z] \otimes 1 = a \cdot ([z] \otimes 1) \\ &= a \cdot \tilde{\varphi}([z]) \end{aligned}$$

In short,

$\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{Z}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_n$, $\tilde{\varphi}([z]) = [z] \otimes 1$
is \mathbb{Z} -linear.

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \text{id}, \text{ because } (\tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\varphi})(z) = \tilde{\varphi}(z \otimes 1) = z \cdot 1 = z$$

$$\tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \text{id}, \text{ because}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\varphi})([x] \otimes y) &= \tilde{\varphi}([x \cdot y]) = [xy] \otimes 1 \\ &= [x] \otimes y \cdot 1 = [x] \otimes [y] \end{aligned}$$

So $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism
torsion

(b) Let M be a \mathbb{Z} -module, i.e.

$$\forall x \in M, \exists n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } n \cdot x = 0$$

Show $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = 0$

pf

Since $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by $\left\{ x \otimes \frac{p}{q} \mid x \in M, \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}$

it suffices to show

$$x \otimes \frac{p}{q} = 0 \quad \forall x \in M, \forall \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\forall x \in M, \exists n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } n \cdot x = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x \otimes \frac{p}{q} = x \otimes n \cdot \frac{p}{nq} = n \cdot x \otimes \frac{p}{nq}$$

$$= 0$$

#

(c) $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}$

pf (sketch)

Check

$$\exists! \mathbb{Z}\text{-linear } \tilde{\phi} : \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \quad \tilde{\phi}(x \otimes y) = xy$$

$$\tilde{\psi} : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}, \quad \tilde{\psi}(z) = z \otimes 1$$

$$\tilde{\phi} \circ \tilde{\psi} = \text{id}, \quad \tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\phi} = \text{id}$$

#

HW10. 9

$$V = \Lambda^1 V$$

Suppose $\dim V < \infty$. $\alpha \neq \vec{0}$, $\gamma \in \Lambda^k V$.

Show $\alpha \wedge \gamma = 0 \Leftrightarrow \gamma = \alpha \wedge \beta$ for some $\beta \in \Lambda^{k-1} V$

pf

" \Leftarrow " is from the basic properties of $\wedge V$

" \Rightarrow " Let e_1, \dots, e_n be a basis for V

st. $e_1 = \alpha$

$\{e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} \mid i_1 < \dots < i_k\}$ is a basis for $\Lambda^k V$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k} a^{i_1 \dots i_k} e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha \wedge \gamma = e_1 \wedge \gamma \stackrel{\text{assumption}}{=} 0$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_k \\ i_1 \neq 1}} a^{i_1 \dots i_k} \underbrace{e_1 \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}}_{\text{linearly independent in } \Lambda^{k+1} V}$$

$$\Rightarrow a^{i_1, \dots, i_k} = 0 \quad \forall i_1 \neq 1, i_1 < \dots < i_k$$

$$S_0 \quad \sigma = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} a^{i_1, \dots, i_k} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}$$

$$= \sum_{i_1=1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k} a^{1, i_2, \dots, i_k} \underbrace{e_1}_{\alpha} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}$$

$$= \alpha \wedge \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i_2 < \dots < i_k} a^{1, i_2, \dots, i_k} e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} \right)}_{\beta} \quad \#$$