

# Numerical Analysis I

## Numerical solutions of nonlinear systems of equations

Instructor: Wei-Cheng Wang<sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics  
National TsingHua University

Fall 2020

nthu-logo

---

<sup>1</sup>These slides are based on Prof. Tsung-Ming Huang(NTNU)'s original slides

# Outline

- 1 Fixed points for functions of several variables
- 2 Newton's method
- 3 Quasi-Newton methods
- 4 Steepest Descent Techniques

# Fixed points for functions of several variables

## Theorem

Let  $f : D \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function and  $x_0 \in D$ . If all the partial derivatives of  $f$  exist and  $\exists \delta > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\forall \|x - x_0\| < \delta$  and  $x \in D$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_j} \right| \leq \alpha, \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$ .

## Definition (Fixed Point)

A function  $G$  from  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has a fixed point at  $p \in D$  if  $G(p) = p$ .

## Theorem (Contraction Mapping Theorem)

Let  $D = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T; a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i, \forall i = 1, \dots, n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose  $G : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a continuous function with  $G(x) \in D$  whenever  $x \in D$ . Then  $G$  has a fixed point in  $D$ .

Suppose, in addition,  $G$  has continuous partial derivatives and a constant  $\alpha < 1$  exists with

$$\left| \frac{\partial g_i(x)}{\partial x_j} \right| \leq \frac{\alpha}{n}, \quad \text{whenever } x \in D,$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then, for any  $x^{(0)} \in D$ ,

$$x^{(k)} = G(x^{(k-1)}), \quad \text{for each } k \geq 1$$

converges to the unique fixed point  $p \in D$  and

$$\|x^{(k)} - p\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\alpha^k}{1 - \alpha} \|x^{(1)} - x^{(0)}\|_{\infty}.$$

## Example

Consider the nonlinear system

$$\begin{aligned}3x_1 - \cos(x_2x_3) - \frac{1}{2} &= 0, \\x_1^2 - 81(x_2 + 0.1)^2 + \sin x_3 + 1.06 &= 0, \\e^{-x_1x_2} + 20x_3 + \frac{10\pi - 3}{3} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

- Fixed-point problem:

Change the system into the fixed-point problem:

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= \frac{1}{3} \cos(x_2x_3) + \frac{1}{6} \equiv g_1(x_1, x_2, x_3), \\x_2 &= \frac{1}{9} \sqrt{x_1^2 + \sin x_3 + 1.06} - 0.1 \equiv g_2(x_1, x_2, x_3), \\x_3 &= -\frac{1}{20} e^{-x_1x_2} - \frac{10\pi - 3}{60} \equiv g_3(x_1, x_2, x_3).\end{aligned}$$

Let  $G : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be defined by  $G(x) = [g_1(x), g_2(x), g_3(x)]^T$ .

- $G$  has a unique point in  $D \equiv [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ :

► Existence:  $\forall x \in D$ ,

$$|g_1(x)| \leq \frac{1}{3} |\cos(x_2 x_3)| + \frac{1}{6} \leq 0.5,$$

$$|g_2(x)| = \left| \frac{1}{9} \sqrt{x_1^2 + \sin x_3 + 1.06} - 0.1 \right| \leq \frac{1}{9} \sqrt{1 + \sin 1 + 1.06} - 0.1 < 0.09,$$

$$|g_3(x)| = \frac{1}{20} e^{-x_1 x_2} + \frac{10\pi - 3}{60} \leq \frac{1}{20} e + \frac{10\pi - 3}{60} < 0.61,$$

it implies that  $G(x) \in D$  whenever  $x \in D$ .

► Uniqueness:

$$\left| \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_1} \right| = 0, \quad \left| \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_2} \right| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{\partial g_3}{\partial x_3} \right| = 0,$$

as well as

$$\left| \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{3} |x_3| \cdot |\sin(x_2 x_3)| \leq \frac{1}{3} \sin 1 < 0.281,$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_3} \right| \leq \frac{1}{3} |x_2| \cdot |\sin(x_2 x_3)| \leq \frac{1}{3} \sin 1 < 0.281,$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_1} \right| = \frac{|x_1|}{9\sqrt{x_1^2 + \sin x_3 + 1.06}} < \frac{1}{9\sqrt{0.218}} < 0.238,$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_3} \right| = \frac{|\cos x_3|}{18\sqrt{x_1^2 + \sin x_3 + 1.06}} < \frac{1}{18\sqrt{0.218}} < 0.119,$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial g_3}{\partial x_1} \right| = \frac{|x_2|}{20} e^{-x_1 x_2} \leq \frac{1}{20} e < 0.14,$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial g_3}{\partial x_2} \right| = \frac{|x_1|}{20} e^{-x_1 x_2} \leq \frac{1}{20} e < 0.14.$$

These imply that  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$  and  $g_3$  are continuous on  $D$  and  $\forall x \in D$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j} \right| \leq 0.281, \quad \forall i, j.$$

Similarly,  $\partial g_i / \partial x_j$  are continuous on  $D$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . Consequently,  $G$  has a unique fixed point in  $D$ .



- Approximated solution:

- ▶ Fixed-point iteration (I):

Choosing  $x^{(0)} = [0.1, 0.1, -0.1]^T$ , the sequence  $\{x^{(k)}\}$  is generated by

$$x_1^{(k)} = \frac{1}{3} \cos x_2^{(k-1)} x_3^{(k-1)} + \frac{1}{6},$$

$$x_2^{(k)} = \frac{1}{9} \sqrt{\left(x_1^{(k-1)}\right)^2 + \sin x_3^{(k-1)} + 1.06} - 0.1,$$

$$x_3^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{20} e^{-x_1^{(k-1)} x_2^{(k-1)}} - \frac{10\pi - 3}{60}.$$

- ▶ Result:

$k$	$x_1^{(k)}$	$x_2^{(k)}$	$x_3^{(k)}$	$\ x^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)}\ _\infty$
0	0.10000000	0.10000000	-0.10000000	
1	0.49998333	0.00944115	-0.52310127	0.423
2	0.49999593	0.00002557	-0.52336331	$9.4 \times 10^{-3}$
3	0.50000000	0.00001234	-0.52359814	$2.3 \times 10^{-4}$
4	0.50000000	0.00000003	-0.52359847	$1.2 \times 10^{-5}$
5	0.50000000	0.00000002	-0.52359877	$3.1 \times 10^{-7}$

nthu-logo



- Approximated solution (cont.):

- ▶ Accelerate convergence of the fixed-point iteration:

$$x_1^{(k)} = \frac{1}{3} \cos x_2^{(k-1)} x_3^{(k-1)} + \frac{1}{6},$$

$$x_2^{(k)} = \frac{1}{9} \sqrt{\left(x_1^{(k)}\right)^2 + \sin x_3^{(k-1)} + 1.06} - 0.1,$$

$$x_3^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{20} e^{-x_1^{(k)} x_2^{(k)}} - \frac{10\pi - 3}{60},$$

as in the Gauss-Seidel method for linear systems.

- ▶ Result:

$k$	$x_1^{(k)}$	$x_2^{(k)}$	$x_3^{(k)}$	$\ x^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)}\ _\infty$
0	0.10000000	0.10000000	-0.10000000	
1	0.49998333	0.02222979	-0.52304613	0.423
2	0.49997747	0.00002815	-0.52359807	$2.2 \times 10^{-2}$
3	0.50000000	0.00000004	-0.52359877	$2.8 \times 10^{-5}$
4	0.50000000	0.00000000	-0.52359877	$3.8 \times 10^{-8}$

nthu logo

# Newton's method

First consider solving the following system of nonlinear equations:

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x_1, x_2) = 0, \\ f_2(x_1, x_2) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Suppose  $(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)})$  is an approximation to the solution of the system above, and we try to compute  $h_1^{(k)}$  and  $h_2^{(k)}$  such that  $(x_1^{(k)} + h_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)} + h_2^{(k)})$  satisfies the system. By the Taylor's theorem for two variables,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f_1(x_1^{(k)} + h_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)} + h_2^{(k)}) \\ &\approx f_1(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) + h_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) + h_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2}(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) \\ 0 &= f_2(x_1^{(k)} + h_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)} + h_2^{(k)}) \\ &\approx f_2(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) + h_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1}(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) + h_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) \end{aligned}$$

Put this in matrix form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2}(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1}(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_1^{(k)} \\ h_2^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) \\ f_2(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix

$$J(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2}(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1}(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) \end{bmatrix}$$

is called the **Jacobian matrix**. Set  $h_1^{(k)}$  and  $h_2^{(k)}$  be the solution of the linear system

$$J(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) \begin{bmatrix} h_1^{(k)} \\ h_2^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) \\ f_2(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}) \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1^{(k+1)} \\ x_2^{(k+1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^{(k)} \\ x_2^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} h_1^{(k)} \\ h_2^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}$$

is expected to be a better approximation.

In general, we solve the system of  $n$  nonlinear equations

$f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0, i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{bmatrix}^T$$

and

$$F(x) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) & f_2(x) & \cdots & f_n(x) \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

The problem can be formulated as solving

$$F(x) = 0, \quad F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let  $J(x)$ , where the  $(i, j)$  entry is  $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x)$ , be the  $n \times n$  Jacobian matrix.

Then the Newton's iteration is defined as

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + h^{(k)},$$

where  $h^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the solution of the linear system

$$J(x^{(k)})h^{(k)} = -F(x^{(k)}).$$

nthu-logo

## Algorithm (Newton's Method for Systems)

Given a function  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , an initial guess  $x^{(0)}$  to the zero of  $F$ , and stop criteria  $M$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\varepsilon$ , this algorithm performs the Newton's iteration to approximate one root of  $F$ .

Set  $k = 0$  and  $h^{(-1)} = e_1$ .

While  $(k < M)$  and  $(\|h^{(k-1)}\| \geq \delta)$  and  $(\|F(x^{(k)})\| \geq \varepsilon)$

    Calculate  $J(x^{(k)}) = [\partial F_i(x^{(k)}) / \partial x_j]$ .

    Solve the  $n \times n$  linear system  $J(x^{(k)})h^{(k)} = -F(x^{(k)})$ .

    Set  $x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + h^{(k)}$  and  $k = k + 1$ .

End while

Output ("Convergent  $x^{(k)}$ ") or

    ("Maximum number of iterations exceeded")

## Theorem

Let  $x^*$  be a solution of  $G(x) = x$ . Suppose  $\exists \delta > 0$  with

- (i)  $\partial g_i / \partial x_j$  is continuous on  $N_\delta = \{x; \|x - x^*\| < \delta\}$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ .
- (ii)  $\partial^2 g_i(x) / (\partial x_j \partial x_k)$  is continuous and

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2 g_i(x)}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \right| \leq M$$

for some  $M$  whenever  $x \in N_\delta$  for each  $i, j$  and  $k$ .

- (iii)  $\partial g_i(x^*) / \partial x_k = 0$  for each  $i$  and  $k$ .

Then  $\exists \hat{\delta} < \delta$  such that the sequence  $\{x^{(k)}\}$  generated by

$$x^{(k)} = G(x^{(k-1)})$$

converges **quadratically** to  $x^*$  for any  $x^{(0)}$  satisfying  $\|x^{(0)} - x^*\|_\infty < \hat{\delta}$ .

Moreover,

$$\|x^{(k)} - x^*\|_\infty \leq \frac{n^2 M}{2} \|x^{(k-1)} - x^*\|_\infty^2, \forall k \geq 1.$$

## Example

Consider the nonlinear system

$$\begin{aligned}3x_1 - \cos(x_2x_3) - \frac{1}{2} &= 0, \\x_1^2 - 81(x_2 + 0.1)^2 + \sin x_3 + 1.06 &= 0, \\e^{-x_1x_2} + 20x_3 + \frac{10\pi - 3}{3} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

- Nonlinear functions: Let

$$F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = [f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3), f_2(x_1, x_2, x_3), f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)]^T,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= 3x_1 - \cos(x_2x_3) - \frac{1}{2}, \\f_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= x_1^2 - 81(x_2 + 0.1)^2 + \sin x_3 + 1.06, \\f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= e^{-x_1x_2} + 20x_3 + \frac{10\pi - 3}{3}.\end{aligned}$$

- Nonlinear functions (cont.):

The Jacobian matrix  $J(x)$  for this system is

$$J(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & x_3 \sin x_2 x_3 & x_2 \sin x_2 x_3 \\ 2x_1 & -162(x_2 + 0.1) & \cos x_3 \\ -x_2 e^{-x_1 x_2} & -x_1 e^{-x_1 x_2} & 20 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Newton's iteration with initial  $x^{(0)} = [0.1, 0.1, -0.1]^T$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1^{(k)} \\ x_2^{(k)} \\ x_3^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^{(k-1)} \\ x_2^{(k-1)} \\ x_3^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} h_1^{(k-1)} \\ h_2^{(k-1)} \\ h_3^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} h_1^{(k-1)} \\ h_2^{(k-1)} \\ h_3^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix} = \left( J(x_1^{(k-1)}, x_2^{(k-1)}, x_3^{(k-1)}) \right)^{-1} F(x_1^{(k-1)}, x_2^{(k-1)}, x_3^{(k-1)}).$$

nthu-logo



- Result:

$k$	$x_1^{(k)}$	$x_2^{(k)}$	$x_3^{(k)}$	$\ x^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)}\ _\infty$
0	0.10000000	0.10000000	-0.10000000	
1	0.50003702	0.01946686	-0.52152047	0.422
2	0.50004593	0.00158859	-0.52355711	$1.79 \times 10^{-2}$
3	0.50000034	0.00001244	-0.52359845	$1.58 \times 10^{-3}$
4	0.50000000	0.00000000	-0.52359877	$1.24 \times 10^{-5}$
5	0.50000000	0.00000000	-0.52359877	0

# Quasi-Newton methods

- Newton's Methods

- ▶ Advantage: **quadratic** convergence
- ▶ Disadvantage: For each iteration, it requires  $O(n^3) + O(n^2) + O(n)$  arithmetic operations:
  - ★  $n^2$  partial derivatives for Jacobian matrix – in most situations, the exact evaluation of the partial derivatives is inconvenient.
  - ★  $n$  scalar functional evaluations of  $F$
  - ★  $O(n^3)$  arithmetic operations to solve linear system.

- quasi-Newton methods

- ▶ Advantage: it requires only  $n$  scalar functional evaluations per iteration and  $O(n^2)$  arithmetic operations
- ▶ Disadvantage: **superlinear** convergence

Recall that in one dimensional case, one uses the **linear** model

$$\ell_k(x) = f(x_k) + a_k(x - x_k)$$

to **approximate** the function  $f(x)$  at  $x_k$ . That is,  $\ell_k(x_k) = f(x_k)$  for any  $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . If we further require that  $\ell'(x_k) = f'(x_k)$ , then  $a_k = f'(x_k)$ .

The zero of  $\ell_k(x)$  is used to give a new approximate for the zero of  $f(x)$ , that is,

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{1}{f'(x_k)} f(x_k)$$

which yields **Newton's** method.

If  $f'(x_k)$  is **not available**, one instead asks the linear model to satisfy

$$\ell_k(x_k) = f(x_k) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_k(x_{k-1}) = f(x_{k-1}).$$

In doing this, the identity

$$f(x_{k-1}) = \ell_k(x_{k-1}) = f(x_k) + a_k(x_{k-1} - x_k)$$

gives

$$a_k = \frac{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})}{x_k - x_{k-1}}.$$

Solving  $\ell_k(x) = 0$  yields the **secant** iteration

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{x_k - x_{k-1}}{f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})} f(x_k).$$

In multiple dimension, the analogue **affine model** becomes

$$M_k(x) = F(x_k) + A_k(x - x_k),$$

where  $x, x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $A_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , and satisfies

$$M_k(x_k) = F(x_k),$$

for any  $A_k$ . The zero of  $M_k(x)$  is then used to give a new approximate for the zero of  $F(x)$ , that is,

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - A_k^{-1} F(x_k).$$

The **Newton's** method chooses

$$A_k = F'(x_k) \equiv J(x_k) = \text{the Jacobian matrix}$$

and yields the iteration

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - (F'(x_k))^{-1} F(x_k).$$

nthu-logo

When the Jacobian matrix  $J(x_k) \equiv F'(x_k)$  is not available, one can require

$$M_k(x_{k-1}) = F(x_{k-1}).$$

Then

$$F(x_{k-1}) = M_k(x_{k-1}) = F(x_k) + A_k(x_{k-1} - x_k),$$

which gives

$$A_k(x_k - x_{k-1}) = F(x_k) - F(x_{k-1})$$

and this is the so-called secant equation. Let

$$h_k = x_k - x_{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad y_k = F(x_k) - F(x_{k-1}).$$

The secant equation becomes

$$A_k h_k = y_k.$$

However, this secant equation can not uniquely determine  $A_k$ . One way of choosing  $A_k$  is to minimize  $M_k - M_{k-1}$  subject to the secant equation.  
Note

$$\begin{aligned}M_k(x) - M_{k-1}(x) &= F(x_k) + A_k(x - x_k) - F(x_{k-1}) - A_{k-1}(x - x_{k-1}) \\&= (F(x_k) - F(x_{k-1})) + A_k(x - x_k) - A_{k-1}(x - x_{k-1}) \\&= A_k(x_k - x_{k-1}) + A_k(x - x_k) - A_{k-1}(x - x_{k-1}) \\&= A_k(x - x_{k-1}) - A_{k-1}(x - x_{k-1}) \\&= (A_k - A_{k-1})(x - x_{k-1}).\end{aligned}$$

For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we express

$$x - x_{k-1} = \alpha h_k + t_k,$$

for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $h_k^T t_k = 0$ . Then

$$M_k - M_{k-1} = (A_k - A_{k-1})(\alpha h_k + t_k) = \alpha(A_k - A_{k-1})h_k + (A_k - A_{k-1})t_k.$$

Since

$$(A_k - A_{k-1})h_k = A_k h_k - A_{k-1} h_k = y_k - A_{k-1} h_k,$$

both  $y_k$  and  $A_{k-1} h_k$  are old values, we have no control over the first part  $(A_k - A_{k-1})h_k$ . In order to minimize  $M_k(x) - M_{k-1}(x)$ , we try to choose  $A_k$  so that

$$(A_k - A_{k-1})t_k = 0$$

for all  $t_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $h_k^T t_k = 0$ . This requires that  $A_k - A_{k-1}$  to be a rank-one matrix of the form

$$A_k - A_{k-1} = u_k h_k^T$$

for some  $u_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$u_k h_k^T h_k = (A_k - A_{k-1})h_k = y_k - A_{k-1} h_k$$

which gives

$$u_k = \frac{y_k - A_{k-1}h_k}{h_k^T h_k}.$$

Therefore,

$$A_k = A_{k-1} + \frac{(y_k - A_{k-1}h_k)h_k^T}{h_k^T h_k}. \quad (1)$$

After  $A_k$  is determined, the new iterate  $x_{k+1}$  is derived from solving  $M_k(x) = 0$ . It can be done by first noting that

$$h_{k+1} = x_{k+1} - x_k \quad \Longrightarrow \quad x_{k+1} = x_k + h_{k+1}$$

and

$$M_k(x_{k+1}) = 0 \Rightarrow F(x_k) + A_k(x_{k+1} - x_k) = 0 \Rightarrow A_k h_{k+1} = -F(x_k)$$

These formulations give the **Broyden's** method.

nthu-logo



## Algorithm (Broyden's Method)

Given a  $n$ -variable nonlinear function  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , an initial iterate  $x_0$  and initial Jacobian matrix  $A_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  (e.g.,  $A_0 = I$ ), this algorithm finds the solution for  $F(x) = 0$ .

Given  $x_0$ , tolerance  $TOL$ , maximum number of iteration  $M$ .

Set  $k = 1$ .

While  $k \leq M$  and  $\|x_k - x_{k-1}\|_2 \geq TOL$

Solve  $A_k h_{k+1} = -F(x_k)$  for  $h_{k+1}$

Update  $x_{k+1} = x_k + h_{k+1}$

Compute  $y_{k+1} = F(x_{k+1}) - F(x_k)$

Update

$$A_{k+1} = A_k + \frac{(y_{k+1} - A_k h_{k+1})h_{k+1}^T}{h_{k+1}^T h_{k+1}} = A_k + \frac{(y_{k+1} + F(x_k))h_{k+1}^T}{h_{k+1}^T h_{k+1}}$$

$k = k + 1$

End While

Solve the linear system  $A_k h_{k+1} = -F(x_k)$  for  $h_{k+1}$ :

- $LU$ -factorization: cost  $\frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(n^2)$  floating-point operations.
- Applying the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula

$$(B + UV^T)^{-1} = B^{-1} - B^{-1}U(I + V^T B^{-1}U)^{-1}V^T B^{-1}$$

to (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & A_k^{-1} \\ &= \left[ A_{k-1} + \frac{(y_k - A_{k-1}h_k)h_k^T}{h_k^T h_k} \right]^{-1} \\ &= A_{k-1}^{-1} - A_{k-1}^{-1} \frac{y_k - A_{k-1}h_k}{h_k^T h_k} \left( 1 + h_k^T A_{k-1}^{-1} \frac{y_k - A_{k-1}h_k}{h_k^T h_k} \right)^{-1} h_k^T A_{k-1}^{-1} \\ &= A_{k-1}^{-1} + \frac{(h_k - A_{k-1}^{-1}y_k)h_k^T A_{k-1}^{-1}}{h_k^T A_{k-1}^{-1}y_k}. \end{aligned}$$

# Steepest Descent Techniques

- Newton-based methods
  - ▶ Advantage: high speed of convergence once a sufficiently accurate approximation
  - ▶ Weakness: an accurate initial approximation to the solution is needed to ensure convergence.
- The Steepest Descent method converges only linearly to the solution, but it will usually converge even for poor initial approximations.
- “Find sufficiently accurate starting approximate solution by using Steepest Descent method” + “Compute convergent solution by using Newton-based methods”
- The method of Steepest Descent determines a local minimum for a multivariable function of  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- A system of the form  $f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  has a solution at  $x$  iff the function  $g$  defined by

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n [f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)]^2$$

has the minimal value zero.



Basic idea of steepest descent method:

- (i) Evaluate  $g$  at an initial approximation  $x^{(0)}$ ;
- (ii) Determine a direction from  $x^{(0)}$  that results in a decrease in the value of  $g$ ;
- (iii) Move an appropriate distance in this direction and call the new vector  $x^{(1)}$ ;
- (iv) Repeat steps (i) through (iii) with  $x^{(0)}$  replaced by  $x^{(1)}$ .

### Definition (Gradient)

If  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the gradient,  $\nabla g(x)$ , at  $x$  is defined by

$$\nabla g(x) = \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}(x), \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n}(x) \right).$$

### Definition (Directional Derivative)

The directional derivative of  $g$  at  $x$  in the direction of  $v$  with  $\|v\|_2 = 1$  is defined by

$$D_v g(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x + hv) - g(x)}{h} = v^T \nabla g(x).$$

## Theorem

*The direction of the greatest decrease in the value of  $g$  at  $x$  is the direction given by  $-\nabla g(x)$ .*

- Object: reduce  $g(x)$  to its minimal value zero.  
 $\Rightarrow$  for an initial approximation  $x^{(0)}$ , an appropriate choice for new vector  $x^{(1)}$  is

$$x^{(1)} = x^{(0)} - \alpha \nabla g(x^{(0)}), \quad \text{for some constant } \alpha > 0.$$

- Choose  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $g(x^{(1)}) < g(x^{(0)})$ : define

$$h(\alpha) = g(x^{(0)} - \alpha \nabla g(x^{(0)})),$$

then find  $\alpha^*$  such that

$$h(\alpha^*) = \min_{\alpha} h(\alpha).$$

- How to find  $\alpha^*$ ?

- ▶ Solve a root-finding problem  $h'(\alpha) = 0 \Rightarrow$  Too costly, in general.
- ▶ Choose three number  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3$ , construct quadratic polynomial  $P(x)$  that interpolates  $h$  at  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$ , i.e.,

$$P(\alpha_1) = h(\alpha_1), \quad P(\alpha_2) = h(\alpha_2), \quad P(\alpha_3) = h(\alpha_3),$$

to approximate  $h$ . Use the minimum value  $P(\hat{\alpha})$  in  $[\alpha_1, \alpha_3]$  to approximate  $h(\alpha^*)$ . The new iteration is

$$x^{(1)} = x^{(0)} - \hat{\alpha} \nabla g(x^{(0)}).$$

- ★ Set  $\alpha_1 = 0$  to minimize the computation
- ★  $\alpha_3$  is found with  $h(\alpha_3) < h(\alpha_1)$ .
- ★ Choose  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3/2$ .

## Example

Use the Steepest Descent method with  $x^{(0)} = (0, 0, 0)^T$  to find a reasonable starting approximation to the solution of the nonlinear system

$$f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 3x_1 - \cos(x_2x_3) - \frac{1}{2} = 0,$$

$$f_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 - 81(x_2 + 0.1)^2 + \sin x_3 + 1.06 = 0,$$

$$f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) = e^{-x_1x_2} + 20x_3 + \frac{10\pi - 3}{3} = 0.$$

Let  $g(x_1, x_2, x_3) = [f_1(x_1, x_2, x_3)]^2 + [f_2(x_1, x_2, x_3)]^2 + [f_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)]^2$ .  
Then

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla g(x_1, x_2, x_3) &\equiv \nabla g(x) \\ &= \left( 2f_1(x) \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(x) + 2f_2(x) \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1}(x) + 2f_3(x) \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_1}(x), \right. \\ &\quad 2f_1(x) \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2}(x) + 2f_2(x) \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}(x) + 2f_3(x) \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_2}(x), \\ &\quad \left. 2f_1(x) \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_3}(x) + 2f_2(x) \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_3}(x) + 2f_3(x) \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_3}(x) \right)\end{aligned}$$

For  $x^{(0)} = [0, 0, 0]^T$ , we have

$$g(x^{(0)}) = 111.975 \quad \text{and} \quad z_0 = \|\nabla g(x^{(0)})\|_2 = 419.554.$$

Let

$$z = \frac{1}{z_0} \nabla g(x^{(0)}) = [-0.0214514, -0.0193062, 0.999583]^T.$$

With  $\alpha_1 = 0$ , we have

$$g_1 = g(x^{(0)} - \alpha_1 z) = g(x^{(0)}) = 111.975.$$

Let  $\alpha_3 = 1$  so that

$$g_3 = g(x^{(0)} - \alpha_3 z) = 93.5649 < g_1.$$

Set  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3/2 = 0.5$ . Thus

$$g_2 = g(x^{(0)} - \alpha_2 z) = 2.53557.$$



Form quadratic polynomial  $P(\alpha)$  defined as

$$P(\alpha) = g_1 + h_1\alpha + h_3\alpha(\alpha - \alpha_2)$$

that interpolates  $g(x^{(0)} - \alpha z)$  at  $\alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = 0.5$  and  $\alpha_3 = 1$  as follows

$$g_2 = P(\alpha_2) = g_1 + h_1\alpha_2 \Rightarrow h_1 = \frac{g_2 - g_1}{\alpha_2} = -218.878,$$

$$g_3 = P(\alpha_3) = g_1 + h_1\alpha_3 + h_3\alpha_3(\alpha_3 - \alpha_2) \Rightarrow h_3 = 400.937.$$

Thus

$$P(\alpha) = 111.975 - 218.878\alpha + 400.937\alpha(\alpha - 0.5)$$

so that

$$0 = P'(\alpha_0) = -419.346 + 801.872\alpha_0 \Rightarrow \alpha_0 = 0.522959$$

Since

$$g_0 = g(x^{(0)} - \alpha_0 z) = 2.32762 < \min\{g_1, g_3\},$$

we set

$$x^{(1)} = x^{(0)} - \alpha_0 z = [0.0112182, 0.0100964, -0.522741]^T.$$