

Let  $S$  be a nonempty set of real numbers,

- a real number  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  is called an **upper bound** of the set  $S$ , if  $\xi \geq x, \forall x \in S$

e.g. • let  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , then 4, 5, 6.8, etc. are all upper bound of  $S$

- let  $S = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots\}$ , then 1, 2, 3.7,  $\pi$ , etc. are all upper bound of  $S$

- a real number  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$  is called a **least upper bound** of the set  $S$ , if  
①  $\eta$  is an upper bound of  $S$ , and ② if  $\xi$  is any upper bound of  $S$ ,  $\xi \geq \eta$ .

(i.e.  $\eta$  is the minimum among all upper bounds)

## ⑥ Least upper bound axiom

Every nonempty set of real numbers that has an upper bound has a least upper bound.

(clearly, if we change real numbers to rational numbers, it's not true)

Lemma A: Let  $f$  be a continuous fun on  $[a, b]$ . If  $f(a) < 0 < f(b)$  or  $f(b) < 0 < f(a)$  then  $\exists c, a < c < b$ , s.t.  $f(c) = 0$

Pf: we'll prove the case for  $f(a) < 0 < f(b)$ , because  $f(b) < 0 < f(a)$  is similar.

Now since  $f(a) < 0$ ,  $f$  cont, there exists a  $t > a$ , s.t.  $f(x) < 0 \forall x \in [a, t)$

In fact, there are many  $\xi$  having this property.

Consider the set  $\{t : f(x) < 0 \forall x \in [a, t)\}$

since this set has an upper bound, <sup>(for example,  $b$  is an upper bound.)</sup> it must have a least upper bound by the axiom.

Define  $c :=$  least upper bound of  $S$ , where

$S := \{t : f(x) < 0 \ \forall x \in [a, t)\}$ . clearly  $c \leq b$ .

Moreover,  $:=$  "this notation means" defined as"

1° If  $f(c) > 0$ , then since  $f$  is cont., there exists  $\eta > 0$ , s.t.  $\forall x \in (c - \eta, c]$ ,  $f(x) > 0$ . But this means that  $\eta$  is an upper bound of the set  $S$  and it is smaller than  $c$ , which contradict to the definition that  $c$  is l.u.b.  $\times$  Thus  $f(c) \leq 0$ .

2° since  $f(b) > 0 \Rightarrow c \neq b \Rightarrow c < b$ .

3° If  $f(c) < 0$ , then since  $f$  is cont., there exists  $\delta > 0$ , s.t.  $\forall x \in [c, c + \delta)$ ,  $f(x) < 0$

But this means  $c$  is not an upper bound of the set  $S$ , also contradict to the definition that  $c$  is l.u.b.  $\times$

Thus  $f(c) = 0$ , and we are done #

Using this Lemma A, we can prove the intermediate value theorem:

Thm: If  $f$  is cont. on  $[a, b]$ , and  $k$  is any number between  $f(a)$  and  $f(b)$ , then there is at least one number  $c$  between  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $f(c) = k$ .

Pf: suppose we have  $f(a) < k < f(b)$ . The other cases can be proved similarly.

Consider a new function  $g(x) := f(x) - k$ , then  $g(a) < 0$  and  $g(b) > 0$ . So Lemma A implies that  $\exists c$  between  $a$  and  $b$  s.t.  $g(c) = 0$ , which means  $f(c) = k$

#

Next, we look at the extreme value theorem.

Lemma B: If  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ , then  $f$  is bounded on  $[a, b]$ .

Pf. The idea is similar to Lemma A we'll consider a corresponding set, and argue that the least upper bound is what we want.

Consider a set  $S$

$$S := \{t : t \in [a, b] \text{ and } f \text{ is bounded on } [a, t]\}$$

This set is nonempty because  $a \in S$ , it's bounded above by  $b$  because  $S \subseteq [a, b]$

↑  
"subset"

Define  $c :=$  least upper bound of  $S$ .

clearly  $c \leq b$ . Claim:  $c = b$

Suppose  $c < b$ . Since  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ , it is cont. at  $c$ , so  $\exists \eta > 0$  s.t., for  $x \in [c - \eta, c + \eta]$ ,  $|f(x) - f(c)| < 1$

'e.  $f(x)$  is bounded on  $[c-\eta, c+\eta]$ .

Since  $c$  is the l.u.b. of  $S \Rightarrow c-\eta \in S$

So  $f(x)$  is bounded on  $[a, c-\eta]$ .

But this means  $f(x)$  is actually bounded on  $[a, c+\eta]$ , i.e.  $c+\eta \in S$ , contradict to the definition that  $c$  is l.u.b. of  $S$ .

$\therefore c = b$ .

This also means  $f(x)$  is bounded on  $[a, t]$  for all  $t < b$ , b/c now  $b$  is the l.u.b. of  $S$ .

On the other hand,  $f$  being continuous on  $[a, b]$

implies that  $\exists \delta > 0$ , s.t. for  $x \in [b-\delta, b]$ ,

$|f(x) - f(b)| < 1$ , i.e.  $f(x)$  is bounded on  $[b-\delta, b]$ .

Now  $b$  being the l.u.b. of  $S$  implies that  $f$  is bounded on  $[a, b-\delta]$ , thus,

$f$  is bounded on  $[a, b]$ .

\*

we use one more property : (Weierstrass Principal)

Every bounded infinite sequence of real numbers has a convergent subsequence.

(This property can be proved by using l.u.b.)

Thm : If  $f$  is continuous on a bounded closed interval  $[a, b]$ , then  $f$  takes on both a max value  $M$  and a min value  $m$  on  $[a, b]$ .

Pf: Since  $f$  is cont. on  $[a, b]$ , so by Lemma B,  $f$  is bounded on  $[a, b]$ , i.e. the set of value of  $f$ ,  $S := \{f(x), x \in [a, b]\}$  is a bounded set. Then, by the l.u.b. axiom, there exists a l.u.b.  $M$  of  $S$ , i.e.  $M$  is the smallest number that satisfies  $f(x) \leq M, \forall x \in [a, b]$   
 $\Rightarrow$  either ①  $M \in S$ , then we are done

$$\textcircled{2} M = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n, \text{ for } \{a_n\} \subset S$$

(we'll look at sequences & their limits in the Spring)

In case  $\textcircled{2}$ , there exists

a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset [a, b]$  s.t.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = M$$

(notice  $\{x_n\} \subset [a, b]$   
while  $\{a_n\} \subset S$ )

domain of  $f$

image of  $f$

Then by the above property, there exists a convergent subsequence  $\{y_n\} \subset \{x_n\}$ , i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = c, \text{ for some } c \in [a, b].$$

Now, use again that  $f$  is continuous, we have

$$M = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(y_n) = f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n) = f(c).$$

minimum can be proved similarly.

Remark: Extreme value theorem can be proved by different methods. Here is just one of them.  $\#$