

Real Analysis Homework 3, due 2007-10-3 in class

Show Your Work to Each Problem

1. (20 points) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Define the collection of sets \mathcal{P} as
- $$\mathcal{P} = \{ B \subset \mathbb{R} : f^{-1}(B) \text{ is measurable} \}.$$

Does \mathcal{P} form a σ -algebra? If $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a Borel set, does it follow that $f^{-1}(B)$ is a Borel set? Give your reasons.

Solution: Clearly $\emptyset, \mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{P}$. If $E \in \mathcal{P}$, by

$$f^{-1}(E^c) = (f^{-1}(E))^c \quad (0.1)$$

we know that $E^c \in \mathcal{P}$ also. Similarly if $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a collection of subsets of \mathcal{P} , then by

$$f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_k E_k\right) = \bigcup_k f^{-1}(E_k) \quad \text{and} \quad f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_k E_k\right) = \bigcap_k f^{-1}(E_k) \quad (0.2)$$

we know that $\bigcup_k E_k \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\bigcap_k E_k \in \mathcal{P}$. Hence \mathcal{P} is a σ -algebra.

Similarly we can show that the set

$$\Lambda = \{ B \subset \mathbb{R} : f^{-1}(B) \text{ is Borel measurable} \}$$

forms a σ -algebra. For any open set $O \subset \mathbb{R}$, the set $f^{-1}(O)$ is also open. Hence $f^{-1}(O) \in \Lambda$. The same for closed set. Hence all open sets and closed sets are contained in Λ . Since Λ is a σ -algebra, if $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a Borel set, then $f^{-1}(B)$ must also be a Borel set. \square

2. (20 points) Do Exercise 12 in p. 48. Hint: You can use Theorem 3.29.

Solution: We first show that $E_1 \times E_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is measurable.

Write $E_1 = H_1 - Z_1$, H_1 is G_δ set and $|Z_1| = 0$. The same for $E_2 = H_2 - Z_2$. Then

$$E_1 \times E_2 = H_1 \times H_2 - Z_1 \times H_2 - H_1 \times Z_2$$

where

$$H_1 \times H_2 = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty O_n \times \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \tilde{O}_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty (O_n \times \tilde{O}_n)$$

is a G_δ set in \mathbb{R}^2 (O_n and \tilde{O}_n are open set in \mathbb{R}^1). We see that $H_1 \times H_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is measurable.

Assume first that $|H_2| < \infty$. One can find open sets $G_1 \supset Z_1$, $G_2 \supset H_2$ such that $|G_1| < \varepsilon$, $|G_2| < |H_2| + \varepsilon$. Write

$$G_1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty I_j \quad (\text{nonoverlapping closed intervals in } \mathbb{R}^1)$$

$$G_2 = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \tilde{I}_k \quad (\text{nonoverlapping closed intervals in } \mathbb{R}^1)$$

to see that

$$Z_1 \times H_2 \subset G_1 \times G_2 = \prod_{j,k=1}^{\infty} I_j \times \tilde{I}_k \quad (\text{nonoverlapping closed intervals in } \mathbb{R}^2)$$

with

$$|G_1 \times G_2| = \prod_{j,k} |I_j \times \tilde{I}_k| = \prod_j |I_j| \cdot \prod_k |\tilde{I}_k| = |G_1| \cdot |G_2| < \varepsilon (|H_2| + \varepsilon) \quad (0.3)$$

which implies $|Z_1 \times H_2| = 0$.

If $|H_2| = \infty$, decompose $H_2 = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (H_2 \cap [n, n+1))$, disjoint union, and see that $|Z_1 \times H_2| = 0$. The same for $|H_1 \times Z_2| = 0$. Hence $E_1 \times E_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is measurable.

Next we show that $|E_1 \times E_2| = |E_1| \times |E_2|$

Case 1: $|E_1| < \infty$, $|E_2| < \infty$.

From (0.3) we see that $|G_1 \times G_2| = |G_1| \times |G_2|$ for any two open sets in \mathbb{R}^1 .

Choose open sets $G_1 \supset E_1$, $G_2 \supset E_2$ with $|G_1 - E_1| < \varepsilon$, $|G_2 - E_2| < \varepsilon$. Then $E_1 \times E_2 \subset G_1 \times G_2$ and so

$$|E_1 \times E_2| \leq |G_1 \times G_2| = |G_1| \times |G_2| \leq (|E_1| + \varepsilon) \cdot (|E_2| + \varepsilon)$$

which implies that

$$|E_1 \times E_2| \leq |E_1| \times |E_2|. \quad (0.4)$$

Write $G_1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j = S \cup N_1$, where

$$S = \prod_{j=1}^M I_j, \quad N_1 = \prod_{j=M+1}^{\infty} I_j \quad (M \text{ is some large number})$$

satisfies

$$|E_1| - \varepsilon \leq |S| \leq |E_1| + \varepsilon, \quad |N_1| < \varepsilon. \quad (0.5)$$

Then one can express E_1 as

$$E_1 = (S \cup N_1) \text{ (open set)} - N_2, \quad \text{where } N_2 = G_1 - E_1, \quad |N_2| < \varepsilon.$$

Do the same for G_2 and E_2 to get

$$E_2 = \prod_{j=1}^3 \tilde{S} \cup \tilde{N}_1 \text{ (open set)} - \tilde{N}_2, \quad \tilde{N}_2 = G_2 - E_2, \quad |\tilde{N}_2| < \varepsilon$$

with

$$|E_2| - \varepsilon \leq |\tilde{S}| \leq |E_2| + \varepsilon, \quad |\tilde{N}_1| < \varepsilon. \quad (0.6)$$

Now

$$E_1 \times E_2 = (S \cup N_1) \times \prod_{j=1}^3 \tilde{S} \cup \tilde{N}_1 - N_2 \times \prod_{j=1}^3 \tilde{S} \cup \tilde{N}_1 - (S \cup N_1) \times \tilde{N}_2 := A - B$$

where A and B are measurable sets with $B \subset A$, $|B| < \infty$. Hence

$$|E_1 \times E_2| = |A| - |B|$$

with

$$|A| = |S \cup N_1| \times |\tilde{S} \cup \tilde{N}_1| = (|S| + |N_1|) \cdot (|\tilde{S}| + |\tilde{N}_1|) \quad (0.7)$$

and by (0.4)

$$|B| = |N_2 \times \tilde{S} \cup \tilde{N}_1| + |(S \cup N_1) \times \tilde{N}_2| \leq C\varepsilon \quad (0.8)$$

for some finite constant C . By (0.4), (0.7), (0.8), (0.5) and (0.6), we get the equality

$$|E_1 \times E_2| = |E_1| \times |E_2|.$$

Case 2: $|E_1| = \infty$, $|E_2| = 0$.

Consider $E_1^{(n)} := E_1 \cap [n, n+1)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we have $|E_1 \times E_2| = 0 = |E_1| \times |E_2|$ (we view $\infty \cdot 0 = 0$).

Case 3: $|E_1| = \infty$, $|E_2| = \lambda > 0$.

Consider $E_1^{(n)} := E_1 \cap [n, n+1)$, then we have $|E_1 \times E_2| = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |E_1^{(n)}| \cdot |E_2| = \infty = |E_1| \times |E_2|$.

Case 4: $|E_1| = \infty$, $|E_2| = \infty$.

Easy to see that $|E_1 \times E_2| = \infty = |E_1| \times |E_2|$. □

3. (10 points) It has been proved in class that if $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an arbitrary measurable set ($|E| = \infty$ is allowed). We have

$$|E| = \inf_{G \supset E, G \text{ open in } \mathbb{R}^n} |G| = \sup_{F \subset E, F \text{ closed in } \mathbb{R}^n} |F|.$$

Show that if $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an arbitrary set satisfying the following

$$(|E|_e < \infty$$

$$\inf_{G \supset E, G \text{ open in } \mathbb{R}^n} |G| = \sup_{F \subset E, F \text{ closed in } \mathbb{R}^n} |F|$$

then E must be measurable. Use an example to explain that the condition $|E|_e < \infty$ is necessary. That is, there exists a set E with $|E|_e = \infty$, $\inf_{G \supset E, G \text{ open in } \mathbb{R}^n} |G| = \sup_{F \subset E, F \text{ closed in } \mathbb{R}^n} |F|$, but it is not measurable.

Solution: By the assumption for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ one can choose open set $G \supset E$ and closed set $F \subset E$ so that

$$|E|_e - \varepsilon < |F| \leq |G| < |E|_e + \varepsilon. \quad (0.9)$$

Since $|F| \leq |E|_e < \infty$ and then (0.9) gives

$$|G - F| = |G| - |F| < 2\varepsilon.$$

In particular we have $|G - E| \leq |G - F| < 2\varepsilon$ and so E is measurable.

Let $E = (-\infty, 0) \cup A$, where $A \subset (1, 2)$ is some nonmeasurable set. Clearly E satisfies

$$|E|_e = \infty, \quad \inf_{G \supset E, G \text{ open in } \mathbb{R}^n} |G| = \sup_{F \subset E, F \text{ closed in } \mathbb{R}^n} |F| = \infty$$

but it is nonmeasurable. □