## Solutions to Homework 1

1. (10 points) Let Q be the set of all rationals in the interval [0,1]. Let  $S = \{I_1, I_2, ..., I_m\}$ be a finite collection of closed intervals covering Q. Show that

$$\overset{\mathsf{X}^{n}}{\underset{k=1}{}} v\left(I_{k}\right) \ge 1.$$
(0.1)

On the other hand, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one can find  $S = \{I_1, I_2, ..., I_m, \cdots\}$ , which is a **count**able collection of closed intervals covering Q, such that

$$\bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} v\left(I_k\right) < \varepsilon. \tag{0.2}$$

Ø

In particular, (0.2) implies that  $|Q|_e = 0$ . (Now you see the difference between the use of "finite cover" and "countable cover".)

<u>Solution</u>: For (0.1), we first assume that the intervals  $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_m$  are nonoverlapping. In such case we clearly have (0.1).

For arbitrary intervals  $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_m$  with overlapping, one can throw away the overlapping part and the remaining nonoverlapping part, which we denote it as  $J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_n$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} v(J_k) \ge 1$ . Therefore we have (0.1). satisfies ¤

For (0.2), it has been done in class.

2. (10 points) Find a set  $E \subset \mathbf{R}$  with outer measure zero and a function  $f: E \to \mathbf{R}$  such that f is continuous on E and f(E) = [0, 1]. This exercise says that a continuous function can map a set with outer measure zero onto a set with outer measure one.

<u>Solution</u>: Choose E = C to be the Cantor set contained in [0,1] and let f(x) be the continuous Cantor Lebesgue function defined on [0,1] (see book p. 35). We know that when restricted to C, f(x) is still a **continuous** function on C. Moreover, one can easily see that f(C) = f([0,1]) = [0,1] (for example, we have  $f \stackrel{1}{\xrightarrow{1}}_{3}, \frac{2}{3} = f \stackrel{1}{\xrightarrow{1}}_{3}, \frac{2}{3}$ , etc.).

3. (10 points) Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be two subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $E_1 \subset E_2$  and  $E_2 - E_1$  is countable. Show that

$$|E_1|_e = |E_2|_e$$
.

<u>Solution</u>: Clearly we have  $|E_1|_e \leq |E_2|_e$ . Also

$$|E_2|_e \le |E_1|_e + |E_2 - E_1|_e = |E_1|_e$$

which implies  $|E_1|_e = |E_2|_e$ .

4. (10 points) Find a continuous function f(x) defined on [0, 1] such that f(x) is differentiable on a subset  $E \subset [0,1]$  with  $|E|_e = 1$  and f'(x) = 0 for all  $x \in E$ , but f(x) is not a constant function.

<u>Solution</u>: Let f(x) be the Cantor Lebesgue function defined on [0, 1] as given in p. 35 of the book. We know f(x) is differentiable on the open set  $O = O_1 \cup O_2 \cup O_3 \cup \cdots$ , where

$$O_1 = \frac{\mu_1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}^{\P}, \quad O_2 = \frac{\mu_1}{9}, \frac{2}{9}^{\P} \cup \frac{\mu_7}{9}, \frac{8}{9}^{\P}, \quad O_3 = \frac{\mu_1}{27}, \frac{2}{27}^{\P} \cup \cdots, \quad O_4 = \cdots$$

The total length of these open intervals is given by

$$\frac{1}{3} 1 + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{\mu_2}{3} + \frac{\mu_2}{3} + \frac{\mu_2}{3} + \frac{\mu_3}{3} + \cdots = 1.$$

¤

The example below shows you how to obtain a set which is measurable, but **not** Borel measurable.

Let  $f(x) : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be the Cantor-Lebesgue function and let g(x) = x + f(x). It is easy to see that  $g(x) : [0,1] \to [0,2]$  is a strictly increasing continuous function. Hence g(x) is a homeomorphism of [0,1] onto [0,2]. On each interval  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ ,  $I_3$ , ..., removed in the construction of the Cantor set, say the interval  $I_1 = \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$ , the function g(x) becomes  $g(x) = x + \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence g(x) sends  $I_1$  onto an open interval with the same length. Using this observation one can see that

$$|g(\cup_{k=1}^{\infty}I_{k})| = |\cup_{k=1}^{\infty}g(I_{k})| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |g(I_{k})| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |I_{k}| = 1$$

which implies |g(C)| = 2 - 1 = 1, where C is the Cantor set.

Since g(C) has positive measure, by Corollary 3.39 in the book, there exists a non-measurable set  $B \subset g(C)$ . Now consider the set  $A = g^{-1}(B) \subset C$ . It has measure zero, hence it is measurable. However it can not be Borel measurable. If A were Borel measurable, then since g(x) is a homeomorphism, it would imply that B = g(A) is also Borel measurable. But this is impossible since B is a non-measurable set.