

1 Second order linear PDE with constant coefficients; classification and canonical form.

We now consider the linear second order equation with **constant coefficients**, given by

$$au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} + 2du_x + 2eu_y + ku = f(x, y), \quad u = u(x, y) \quad (1)$$

where a, \dots, k are all **constants** with $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 > 0$ and $f(x, y)$ is a given function defined on some open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. We want to find a C^2 function $u(x, y)$ satisfying (1) on some open set (may be just a subset of Ω). Note that for a C^2 function $u(x, y)$, we have $u_{xy}(x, y) = u_{yx}(x, y)$ on its domain.

Remark 1.1 Note that if $u = u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ depends on n variables, the discussions below are similar. For convenience, we assume that $u = u(x, y)$ depends only on 2 variables.

We can write (1) in the matrix form as

$$\text{Trace} \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{xx} & u_{xy} \\ u_{xy} & u_{yy} \end{pmatrix} \right] + 2 \begin{pmatrix} d \\ e \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} u_x \\ u_y \end{pmatrix} + ku = f(x, y), \quad (2)$$

which is helpful for us to understand the effect of the change of variables. As we shall see soon, the "**type**" of the equation (1) is determined only by the **sign** of the **determinant** of the coefficient matrix.

Remark 1.2 We shall use the notation $\text{Tr}A$ to denote the trace of a square $n \times n$ matrix $A \in M(n)$. The basic properties of the trace operator are

$$\begin{cases} \text{Tr}(c_1A + c_2B) = c_1\text{Tr}(A) + c_2\text{Tr}(B) \\ \text{Tr}(A) = \text{Tr}(A^T), \quad \text{Tr}(P^{-1}AP) = \text{Tr}(A), \quad \text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA), \end{cases}$$

where $A, B, P \in M(n)$, P is invertible, and $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. However, unlike $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$, we do not have $\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(B)$.

Lemma 1.3 Assume $u(x, y)$ is a C^2 function defined on some domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. If we introduce the linear change of variables given by

$$\xi = \xi(x, y) = Ax + By, \quad \eta = \eta(x, y) = Cx + Dy, \quad A, B, C, D \text{ are all const.}, \quad (3)$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = J \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } J = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det J \neq 0, \quad (4)$$

then the equation (2) for the function $U(\xi, \eta)$ (where $U(Ax + By, Cx + Dy) = u(x, y)$) becomes

$$\text{Tr} \left[\underbrace{J \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} J^T}_{\text{matrix}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} U_{\xi\xi} & U_{\xi\eta} \\ U_{\xi\eta} & U_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix} \right] + (\text{lower order terms}) = F(\xi, \eta), \quad (5)$$

where $\text{Tr}(\cdot)$ is the trace of a matrix.

Remark 1.4 Denote

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad N = J \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} J^T, \quad \det J \neq 0. \quad (6)$$

We see that the matrix N is also **symmetric**. By a theorem from linear algebra, **all eigenvalues of both M and N are real**. Moreover, the **sign** of $\det M$ and $\det N$ are the **same** due to $\det N = (\det J)^2 \det M$, where $\det J \neq 0$. In particular, the **sign** of the **eigenvalues** λ_1, λ_2 are unchanged under the change of variables. Finally, if J is an **orthogonal** matrix (i.e. $J^T = J^{-1}$), then both M and N are **similar** and have the **same** eigenvalues. Our goal is to **diagonalize** M (i.e. make N to be **diagonal**), which will reduce equation (1) into **canonical form**.

Proof. We have

$$U(Ax + By, Cx + Dy) = u(x, y),$$

and by the chain rule we have

$$u_x = AU_\xi + CU_\eta, \quad u_y = BU_\xi + DU_\eta, \quad \begin{pmatrix} u_x \\ u_y \end{pmatrix} = J^T \begin{pmatrix} U_\xi \\ U_\eta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underbrace{\nabla u = J^T \nabla U}, \quad (7)$$

which is equivalent to the operator identities:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = A \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + C \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = B \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + D \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta},$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} = J^T \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

One step furthermore, we get

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + C \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) (\dots) = A^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} + 2AC \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} + C^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = \left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + C \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) (\dots) = AB \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} + (AD + BC) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} + CD \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = \left(B \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + D \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) (\dots) = B^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} + 2BD \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} + D^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2}, \end{cases}$$

i.e. we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} = J^T \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \end{pmatrix} = J^T \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} \end{pmatrix} J, \quad (9)$$

which gives the **Hessian matrix relation**:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} & \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \end{pmatrix} = J^T \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \xi^2} & \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} \\ \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} & \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \eta^2} \end{pmatrix} J, \quad \underbrace{\nabla^2 u = J^T (\nabla^2 U) J} \quad (10)$$

where $\nabla^2 u$ denotes the Hessian matrix of u . Thus the equation

$$\text{Trace} \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{xx} & u_{xy} \\ u_{xy} & u_{yy} \end{pmatrix} \right] + (\text{lower order terms}) = f(x, y)$$

becomes (note that for any two matrices A, B , we have the identity $\text{Trace}(AB) = \text{Trace}(BA)$ in linear algebra)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Trace} \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \cdot \underbrace{J^T \begin{pmatrix} U_{\xi\xi} & U_{\xi\eta} \\ U_{\xi\eta} & U_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix} J}_{\nabla^2 U} \right] + (\text{lower order terms}) \\ & = \text{Trace} \left[\underbrace{J \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} J^T}_{M} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} U_{\xi\xi} & U_{\xi\eta} \\ U_{\xi\eta} & U_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix} \right] + (\text{lower order terms}) = F(\xi, \eta) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The proof is done. \square

Definition 1.5 Since the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$$

is **symmetric**, it has two **real eigenvalues** λ_1 and λ_2 . If both of them are positive (or both are negative), then we say the equation (1) is **elliptic** (this is equivalent to $\det M = ac - b^2 > 0$). If one eigenvalue is positive and the other is negative, we say the equation is **hyperbolic** (this is equivalent to $\det M = ac - b^2 < 0$). If one eigenvalue is zero and the other is nonzero, we say the equation is **parabolic** (this is equivalent to $\det M = ac - b^2 = 0$). Note that by

$$\det \left(\underbrace{J \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} J^T}_{\text{symmetric}} \right) = (\det J)^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } \det J \neq 0,$$

we see that **the type of the linear equation (2) is invariant under a linear change of variables.**

Since the matrix M in (6) is **symmetric**, by linear algebra theory, we can find **orthonormal** basis $\{v_1, v_2\}$ (they are **eigenvectors** corresponding to λ_1, λ_2) such that

$$P^T M P = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^T = P^{-1}, \quad (12)$$

where P is the **orthogonal matrix** $P = (v_1, v_2)$ (v_1, v_2 are **column vectors** of P). Assume that $v_1 = (\alpha, \beta)$ and $v_2 = (p, q)$ and let

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ p & q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & p \\ \beta & q \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^T = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ p & q \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

i.e. we choose $J = P^T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace} \left[\underbrace{J \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} J^T}_{\text{symmetric}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} U_{\xi\xi} & U_{\xi\eta} \\ U_{\xi\eta} & U_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix} \right] &= \text{Trace} \left[\underbrace{P^T \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} P}_{\text{symmetric}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} U_{\xi\xi} & U_{\xi\eta} \\ U_{\xi\eta} & U_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \text{Trace} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} U_{\xi\xi} & U_{\xi\eta} \\ U_{\xi\eta} & U_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix} \right] = \underbrace{\lambda_1 U_{\xi\xi} + \lambda_2 U_{\eta\eta}}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

which will reduce the leading terms $au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} + \dots$ of the PDE (1) into **canonical form** !!

By (14), we can conclude the following **classification** result for equation (1):

Lemma 1.6 (Canonical form.) If the linear equation (2) is **elliptic**, then one can find a suitable linear change of variables (**using eigenvalues and eigenvectors**)

$$\xi = Ax + By, \quad \eta = Cx + Dy, \quad A, B, C, D \text{ are constants,}$$

so that the equation for $U(\xi, \eta)$ has the form

$$U_{\xi\xi} + U_{\eta\eta} + (\text{lower order terms}) = F(\xi, \eta). \quad (15)$$

For **hyperbolic** case, the equation has the form

$$U_{\xi\xi} - U_{\eta\eta} + (\text{lower order terms}) = F(\xi, \eta), \quad (16)$$

and for **parabolic** case, the equation has the form

$$U_{\xi\xi} + (\text{lower order terms}) = F(\xi, \eta). \quad (17)$$

Remark 1.7 The forms in (15), (16) and (17) are said to be in **canonical forms**. Another canonical form of the **hyperbolic** case is

$$U_{\xi\eta} + (\text{lower order terms}) = F(\xi, \eta). \quad (18)$$

One can show that an equation of the form $u_{xx} - u_{yy} = 0$ can be converted into an equation of the form $4U_{\xi\eta} = 0$ (by the change of variables $\xi = x + y$, $\eta = x - y$). Therefore, canonical form (16) and (18) are **equivalent**.

Proof. For the **elliptic** case, by multiplying the equation by a minus sign if necessary, we may assume $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$ (both are eigenvalues of the coefficient matrix). By the change of variables (13), we can convert in into the form

$$\lambda_1 U_{\xi\xi} + \lambda_2 U_{\eta\eta} + (\text{lower order terms}) = F(\xi, \eta), \quad \lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 > 0.$$

If we let

$$\tilde{\xi} = \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}, \quad \tilde{\eta} = \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}, \quad \tilde{U}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) = U(\sqrt{\lambda_1}\tilde{\xi}, \sqrt{\lambda_2}\tilde{\eta}), \quad (19)$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1 U_{\xi\xi} + \lambda_2 U_{\eta\eta} + (\text{lower order terms}), \quad \text{where } \lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 < 0 \\ & = \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\xi}}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) + \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\eta}}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) + (\text{lower order terms}) = \tilde{F}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have arrived at the form (15). For the **hyperbolic** case, we have $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 < 0$. Then we replace (19) by

$$\tilde{\xi} = \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}, \quad \tilde{\eta} = \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{-\lambda_2}}, \quad \tilde{U}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) = U(\sqrt{\lambda_1}\tilde{\xi}, \sqrt{-\lambda_2}\tilde{\eta}) \quad (20)$$

and get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1 U_{\xi\xi} + \lambda_2 U_{\eta\eta} + (\text{lower order terms}), \quad \text{where } \lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 < 0 \\ & = \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\xi}}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) - \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\eta}}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) + (\text{lower order terms}) = \tilde{F}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}). \end{aligned}$$

For the **parabolic** case, by multiplying the equation by a minus sign if necessary, we may assume $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 = 0$. Then we replace (19) by

$$\tilde{\xi} = \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}, \quad \tilde{\eta} = \eta, \quad \tilde{U}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) = U(\sqrt{\lambda_1}\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) \quad (21)$$

and get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1 U_{\xi\xi} + \lambda_2 U_{\eta\eta} + (\text{lower order terms}), \quad \text{where } \lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 = 0 \\ & = \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\xi}}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) + (\text{lower order terms}) = \tilde{F}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) \end{aligned}$$

The proof is done. □

Definition 1.8 In case equation (1) is parabolic with canonical form

$$U_{\xi\xi} + (\text{lower order terms}) = F(\xi, \eta), \quad (22)$$

and there is **no** $U_{\eta\eta}$ term in (lower order terms) of (22), we say the equation is **degenerate**. Otherwise, we say it is **nondegenerate**. A degenerate parabolic equation is just a second order **ODE** in ξ of the form (view η as a parameter):

$$U_{\xi\xi} + aU_{\xi} + bU = F(\xi, \eta), \quad a, b \text{ are constants.} \quad (23)$$

We **will not** study a degenerate parabolic equation. **From now on, if we study a parabolic equation, we always assume that it is nondegenerate.**

1.1 Refined canonical form; getting rid of the first derivative terms.

One can go further to **get rid of the first derivative terms** in (15), (16) and (17) of Lemma 1.6. For simplicity, we can just look at two examples.

Example 1.9 (For elliptic and hyperbolic equations.) Assume we have an **elliptic equation** in canonical form:

$$U_{\xi\xi} + U_{\eta\eta} + 3U_{\xi} + 4U_{\eta} + 5U = F(\xi, \eta), \quad (24)$$

where we can write is as

$$\left(\underbrace{U_{\xi\xi} + 3U_{\xi}} \right) + \left(\underbrace{U_{\eta\eta} + 4U_{\eta}} \right) + 5U = F(\xi, \eta)$$

We let $v(\xi, \eta)$ be the new function given by

$$v(\xi, \eta) = e^{a\xi + b\eta} U(\xi, \eta)$$

for some constants a, b and we choose $a = 3/2, b = 4/2$ to get

$$v(\xi, \eta) = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} U(\xi, \eta) \quad (25)$$

and compute

$$v_{\xi} = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} \left(\frac{3}{2}U + U_{\xi} \right), \quad v_{\eta} = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} \left(\frac{4}{2}U + U_{\eta} \right) \quad (26)$$

and

$$v_{\xi\xi} = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} \left(\frac{9}{4}U + \underbrace{3U_{\xi} + U_{\xi\xi}} \right), \quad v_{\eta\eta} = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} \left(4U + \underbrace{4U_{\eta} + U_{\eta\eta}} \right). \quad (27)$$

Hence we obtain

$$v_{\xi\xi} + v_{\eta\eta} = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} \left[\left(\underbrace{U_{\xi\xi} + 3U_{\xi}} \right) + \left(\underbrace{U_{\eta\eta} + 4U_{\eta}} \right) + \left(\frac{9}{4} + 4 \right) U \right]$$

and conclude

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\xi\xi} + v_{\eta\eta} &= e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} \left(\underbrace{U_{\xi\xi} + 3U_{\xi} + U_{\eta\eta} + 4U_{\eta} + 5U}_{F(\xi, \eta)} + \overbrace{\left(\frac{9}{4} + 4 \right) U - 5U} \right) \\ &= e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} F(\xi, \eta) + \frac{5}{4}v, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$v_{\xi\xi} + v_{\eta\eta} - \frac{5}{4}v = \phi(\xi, \eta), \quad \text{where } \phi(\xi, \eta) = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} F(\xi, \eta). \quad (28)$$

The new equation for v **has no first derivatives terms**. Note that, in general, one **cannot** choose **two** constants a and b to get rid of the **three** terms $3U_{\xi} + 4U_{\eta} + 5U$. Therefore, the term $-(5/4)v$ in (28) **cannot** be removed in general. The same method applies to the **hyperbolic equation**. We omit it.

Example 1.10 (For nondegenerate parabolic equations.) Assume we have the **nondegenerate parabolic equation** in canonical form:

$$U_{\xi\xi} + 3U_{\xi} + 4U_{\eta} + 5U = F(\xi, \eta). \quad (29)$$

where we can write is as

$$\left(\underbrace{U_{\xi\xi} + 3U_{\xi}} \right) + \left(\underbrace{4U_{\eta} + 5U} \right) = F(\xi, \eta). \quad (30)$$

Now we let $v(\xi, \eta)$ be the new function given by

$$v(\xi, \eta) = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \lambda\eta} U(\xi, \eta), \quad \lambda \text{ is a constant to be determined} \quad (31)$$

and compute

$$\begin{cases} v_\xi = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \lambda\eta} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{2}U + U_\xi \right), & v_\eta = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \lambda\eta} \cdot \left(\lambda U + U_\eta \right), \\ v_{\xi\xi} = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \lambda\eta} \left(\frac{9}{4}U + \underbrace{3U_\xi + U_{\xi\xi}} \right), \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

where, since there is no $U_{\eta\eta}$ term in the original equation, we **do not have to** compute $v_{\eta\eta}$ (otherwise, we will get $U_{\eta\eta}$ and this does not make sense). Now, unlike the elliptic case in which we can compute $v_{\eta\eta}$ to produce the term U_η (see (27)), here to produce the term $4U_\eta$ in (30), **the only method** is to look at $4v_\eta$ and get

$$4v_\eta = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \lambda\eta} \cdot \left(\underbrace{4\lambda U + 4U_\eta} \right). \quad (33)$$

Now we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\xi\xi} + 4v_\eta &= e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \lambda\eta} \left(\frac{9}{4}U + \underbrace{3U_\xi + U_{\xi\xi}} + \underbrace{4\lambda U + 4U_\eta} \right) \\ &= e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \lambda\eta} \left(U_{\xi\xi} + 3U_\xi + 4U_\eta + \underbrace{\left(\frac{9}{4} + 4\lambda \right) U} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

By (??), if we choose $\lambda = 11/16$, we will have $(9/4 + 4\lambda)U = 5U$ and (34) becomes

$$v_{\xi\xi} + 4v_\eta = \phi(\xi, \eta), \quad \text{where } v(\xi, \eta) = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{11}{16}\eta} U(\xi, \eta), \quad \phi(\xi, \eta) = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{11}{16}\eta} F(\xi, \eta). \quad (35)$$

As a comparison, we see that we have got rid of the terms $3U_\xi$ and $5U$ in (35). From the above computation, we also see that it is **impossible** to reduce the **nondegenerate parabolic equation** (29) (the coefficient of U_η is not zero) into the form

$$v_{\xi\xi} + cv = \phi(\xi, \eta) \quad (36)$$

for some constant c .

We summarize the above in the following lemma:

Lemma 1.11 *For elliptic or hyperbolic equation*

$$U_{\xi\xi} \pm U_{\eta\eta} + \underbrace{aU_\xi + bU_\eta} + cU = F(\xi, \eta),$$

where a, b, c are all nonzero constants, in general we can at most get rid of the two terms $aU_\xi + bU_\eta$ only. For **nondegenerate parabolic equation**

$$U_{\xi\xi} + \underbrace{aU_\xi} + bU_\eta + \underbrace{cU} = F(\xi, \eta),$$

where a, b, c are all nonzero constants, in general we can at most get rid of the two terms $aU_\xi + cU$ only.

By the above two examples, we can improve Lemma 1.6 as:

Theorem 1.12 (Refined canonical form.) If the linear equation (2) is **elliptic**, then one can find a suitable linear change of variables (**using eigenvalues, eigenvectors and scalings**) and **multiply the solution** by some suitable **exponential function** so that, eventually, the equation has the form

$$v_{\xi\xi} + v_{\eta\eta} = cv + \phi(\xi, \eta), \quad v = v(\xi, \eta), \quad (37)$$

for some constant $c \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and some function $\phi(\xi, \eta)$. If the equation (2) is **hyperbolic**, the equation has the form

$$v_{\xi\xi} - v_{\eta\eta} = cv + \phi(\xi, \eta), \quad v = v(\xi, \eta), \quad (38)$$

for some constant $c \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and some function $\phi(\xi, \eta)$. If the equation (2) is **parabolic** and **nondegenerate**, the equation has the form

$$v_{\xi\xi} = cv_{\eta} + \phi(\xi, \eta), \quad v = v(\xi, \eta), \quad (39)$$

for some constant $c \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $c \neq 0$, and some function $\phi(\xi, \eta)$.

Proof. The proof is now obvious. We omit it. □

Remark 1.13 (Important.) The constant c in the **elliptic case** can be $c > 0$ or $c = 0$ or $c < 0$. For $c > 0$, we can make it equal to 1 by doing the change of variables

$$\tilde{\xi} = \sqrt{c}\xi, \quad \tilde{\eta} = \sqrt{c}\eta, \quad \tilde{v}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) = v\left(\frac{\tilde{\xi}}{\sqrt{c}}, \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{\sqrt{c}}\right)$$

and for $c < 0$, we can make it equal to -1 by doing the change of variables

$$\tilde{\xi} = \sqrt{-c}\xi, \quad \tilde{\eta} = \sqrt{-c}\eta, \quad \tilde{v}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) = v\left(\frac{\tilde{\xi}}{\sqrt{-c}}, \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{\sqrt{-c}}\right).$$

Thus in the **elliptic case**, we may simply assume $c = 1$ or 0 or -1 . The same for the **hyperbolic case**. Finally, for the **parabolic case**, the constant c can be $c > 0$ or $c < 0$. So eventually we can simply assume $c = 1$ or -1 . However, since most parabolic equations come from physical phenomenon involving the behavior of some quantity $v(\xi, \eta)$ depending on space and time. So ξ will represent **space variable** (we rewrite it as x) and η will represent **time variable** (we rewrite it as t). In that case a **nondegenerate parabolic equation** in its **refined canonical form** looks like (assume $\phi(\xi, \eta) = 0$ for simplicity)

$$(1) \cdot v_t = v_{xx} \quad \text{or} \quad (2) \cdot v_t = -v_{xx}, \quad (40)$$

where, physically, the quantity v_{xx} describes the process due to **diffusion** (say, from high temperature to low temperature, or from high concentration to low concentration, ... etc). We call (1) the **"forward heat equation"** (or just **heat equation**) and (2) the **"backward heat equation"**. Since in reality, time cannot go backwards, so in a parabolic equation, we always focus on the behavior of a solution $v(x, t)$ **as time goes forwards**, i.e., as t is **increasing**. One can use simple examples to see that, **as time goes forwards**, the heat equation (1) will **make solution better**, while the backward heat equation (2) will **make solution worse** (look at $e^{-t} \sin x$ and $e^t \sin x$ respectively). Thus, as time goes forwards, equation (1) is **well-posed**, while (2) is **ill-posed**. Hence, we will focus only on (1).

Finally, by the above remark, we conclude the following **final canonical form**:

Theorem 1.14 (Final canonical form.) *If the linear equation (2) is **elliptic**, then one can find a suitable linear change of variables (using **eigenvalues, eigenvectors and scalings**) and multiply the solution by some suitable **exponential function** so that, eventually, the equation has the form*

$$v_{\xi\xi} + v_{\eta\eta} = \begin{cases} v + \phi(\xi, \eta), \\ \phi(\xi, \eta), \\ -v + \phi(\xi, \eta), \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

where $v = v(\xi, \eta)$. If the equation (2) is **hyperbolic**, the equation has the form

$$v_{\xi\xi} - v_{\eta\eta} = \begin{cases} v + \phi(\xi, \eta), \\ \phi(\xi, \eta), \\ -v + \phi(\xi, \eta), \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

where $v = v(\xi, \eta)$. If the equation (2) is **parabolic, nondegenerate and forward**, the equation has the form

$$v_{\xi\xi} = v_{\eta} + \phi(\xi, \eta), \quad v = v(\xi, \eta). \quad (43)$$

Proof. The proof is now obvious. We omit it. □

Definition 1.15 *Let $v = v(\xi, \eta)$. The equations $v_{\xi\xi} + v_{\eta\eta} = 0$, $v_{\xi\xi} - v_{\eta\eta} = 0$ (view η as time), $v_{\eta} = v_{\xi\xi}$ (view η as time), are called **Laplace equation (elliptic equation)**, **wave equation (hyperbolic equation)**, and **heat equation (nondegenerate forward parabolic equation)**, respectively.*

Remark 1.16 *In this elementary course we will focus only on **Laplace equation, wave equation and heat equation**, or focus only on equation (44) below.*

2 General solutions of hyperbolic equations without lower order terms.

In this section, we look at equations of the following form with **no** lower order terms and $f(x, y) \equiv 0$, i.e.

$$au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = 0, \quad u = u(x, y), \quad a, b, c \text{ are const.}, \quad (44)$$

where a, b, c are constants with $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 > 0$. Note that (44) can be written as

$$\text{Trace} \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{xx} & u_{xy} \\ u_{xy} & u_{yy} \end{pmatrix} \right] = 0, \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} = ac - b^2.$$

We want to find the general C^2 solution $u = u(x, y)$ of (44) defined on \mathbb{R}^2 .

The **canonical form** of (44) is given by

$$\begin{cases} U_{\xi\xi} + U_{\eta\eta} = 0 & (\det M > 0, \text{ elliptic}) \\ U_{\xi\xi} - U_{\eta\eta} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad U_{\xi\eta} = 0 & (\det M < 0, \text{ hyperbolic}) \\ U_{\xi\xi} = 0 & (\det M = 0, \text{ parabolic but } \mathbf{degenerate}), \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

where M is the coefficient matrix of (44). The result is that, **for hyperbolic and parabolic cases in (45), we can solve them easily (but not for elliptic equation)**. The method is either by a **change of variables (diagonalization method)** or by a **factorization method**.

Lemma 2.1 Let A, B, C, D be constants with $AD - BC \neq 0$. Consider the first order equation

$$Au_x + Bu_y = g(Dx - Cy), \quad u = u(x, y) \quad (46)$$

where $g(\cdot)$ is a given continuous function defined on \mathbb{R} . Then the **general solution** of (46) is given by

$$u(x, y) = F(Bx - Ay) + G(Dx - Cy), \quad (47)$$

where $F(\cdot)$ is an arbitrary C^1 function defined on \mathbb{R} and the C^1 function $G(\theta)$ satisfies

$$G'(\theta) = \frac{g(\theta)}{AD - BC}, \quad \forall \theta \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (48)$$

In particular, if the function $g(\cdot)$ on \mathbb{R} is **arbitrary**, then the function $G(\cdot)$ on \mathbb{R} is also **arbitrary**.

Remark 2.2 The condition $AD - BC \neq 0$ is necessary. The case $AD - BC = 0$ will be discussed later on. See (77).

Remark 2.3 Also note that $AD - BC \neq 0$ implies that the two families of lines $Bx - Ay = \lambda$, $Dx - Cy = \eta$ are **not** parallel. As a consequence of this, the two terms $F(Bx - Ay)$, $G(Dx - Cy)$ in (55) are essentially different.

Proof. We do the linear change of variables

$$w = Bx - Ay, \quad z = Dx - Cy, \quad \text{Jacobian is } \begin{vmatrix} B & -A \\ D & -C \end{vmatrix} = AD - BC \neq 0, \quad (49)$$

which is a global linear change of variables from xy -space to wz -space. Now the function $u(x, y)$ becomes $U(w, z)$ and we have

$$Au_x + Bu_y = A[U_w B + U_z D] + B[U_w (-A) + U_z (-C)] = (AD - BC)U_z = g(z),$$

which gives

$$U_z = \frac{g(z)}{AD - BC}, \quad AD - BC \neq 0$$

and so

$$U(w, z) = F(w) + G(z) = F(Bx - Ay) + G(Dx - Cy),$$

where $G'(z) = \frac{g(z)}{AD - BC}$. The proof is done. \square

Remark 2.4 In the above change of variables (49), we prefer not choose $z = y$ (for $B \neq 0$) or $z = x$ (for $A \neq 0$). If you choose $z = y$ or $z = x$, the method is still correct but the computation will be more involved.

2.1 Solving hyperbolic equations; factorization method.

In this section, we will use factorization method to solve a hyperbolic equation

$$au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = 0, \quad u = u(x, y), \quad a, b, c \text{ are const.},$$

where a, b, c are constants with $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 > 0$ and $ac - b^2 < 0$, which means the determinant of the coefficient matrix is negative. **The idea is to decompose a second order PDE into two first order PDEs.**

Lemma 2.5 Let a, b, c be three given constants with $ac - b^2 < 0$ (same as $b^2 - ac > 0$). Then one can find constants A, B, C, D satisfying

$$AC = a, \quad AD + BC = 2b, \quad BD = c. \quad (50)$$

In particular, we have

$$(AD - BC)^2 = 4(b^2 - ac) > 0, \quad AD - BC \neq 0. \quad (51)$$

This means that, if we have $ac - b^2 < 0$ (same as $b^2 - ac > 0$), we can factor the second order homogeneous polynomial $ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2$ as

$$ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2 = (Ax + By)(Cx + Dy), \quad AD - BC \neq 0, \quad (52)$$

where the two lines $Ax + By = 0, Cx + Dy = 0$ on \mathbb{R}^2 are not parallel (same as $Bx - Ay = 0, Dx - Cy = 0$ are **not** parallel).

Remark 2.6 If $ac > b^2$, then (50) and (52) cannot be satisfied (check it yourself). Therefore, the method in this section cannot be used to elliptic equations.

Remark 2.7 If $a = 1$, we can choose $A = C = 1$, and then solve

$$B + D = 2b, \quad BD = c$$

to get

$$B = b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - c}, \quad D = \frac{c}{b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - c}}, \quad \text{for } c \neq 0$$

and

$$B = 2b, \quad D = 0, \quad \text{for } c = 0.$$

Remark 2.8 If $a > 0, b = 0, c < 0$, we can choose $A = C = \sqrt{a}$ and $B = \sqrt{-c}, D = -\sqrt{-c}$.

Proof. (Read it yourself. We omit it.) If $a = 0$, then by $ac = 0 < b^2$, we must have $b \neq 0$. The numbers

$$A = 1, \quad B = \frac{c}{2b}, \quad C = 0, \quad D = 2b,$$

satisfy (50). If $c = 0$, then we still have $b \neq 0$. The numbers

$$A = \frac{a}{2b}, \quad B = 1, \quad C = 2b, \quad D = 0,$$

satisfy (50). If $ac \neq 0$, then $b + \sqrt{b^2 - ac} \neq 0$ and $b - \sqrt{b^2 - ac} \neq 0$. The numbers

$$A = 1, \quad B = \frac{b + \sqrt{b^2 - ac}}{a}, \quad C = a, \quad D = \frac{ac}{b + \sqrt{b^2 - ac}}$$

satisfy (50). Finally, in each case we can see that $AD - BC \neq 0$. □

We now focus on the **hyperbolic** ($ac - b^2 < 0$) case in (44). That is, the eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 of the coefficient matrix have different sign and we may assume $\lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 < 0$.

Lemma 2.9 (Factorization method for hyperbolic equation.) Assume (44) is hyperbolic, i.e., $ac < b^2$. Then one can decompose it as

$$au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = \left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left[\left(C \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + D \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) u \right] = 0 \quad (53)$$

for some constants A, B, C, D satisfying

$$AC = a, \quad AD + BC = 2b, \quad BD = c, \quad AD - BC \neq 0. \quad (54)$$

In particular, the **general solution** of (44) is given by

$$u(x, y) = F(Bx - Ay) + G(Dx - Cy), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (55)$$

for arbitrary C^2 **functions** $F(\cdot), G(\cdot)$ defined on \mathbb{R} .

Proof. For a C^2 function u , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left[\left(C \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + D \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) u \right] \\ &= A(Cu_x + Du_y)_x + B(Cu_x + Du_y)_y = ACu_{xx} + (AD + BC)u_{xy} + BDu_{yy}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Lemma 2.5, there are numbers A, B, C, D satisfying

$$AC = a, \quad AD + BC = 2b, \quad BD = c, \quad AD - BC \neq 0. \quad (56a)$$

Hence, for a C^2 function u , it satisfies $au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = 0$ if and only if it satisfies

$$\left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left[\left(C \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + D \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) u \right] = 0, \quad (57)$$

where A, B, C, D satisfy (56a). We can find solutions of (57) by solving two first order PDE. Let

$$v = \left(C \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + D \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) u.$$

It satisfies $\left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) v = 0$. Hence $v(x, y) = f(Bx - Ay)$ for **arbitrary** C^1 function f and the equation for u becomes

$$Cu_x + Du_y = f(Bx - Ay), \quad f \in C^1.$$

By Lemma 2.1, the general solution for $u(x, y)$ is

$$u(x, y) = G(Dx - Cy) + F(Bx - Ay), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where F, G are two arbitrary C^2 functions defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$ (since we want $u(x, y)$ to be a C^2 solution, we must require F, G to be C^2 functions). The proof is done. \square

Remark 2.10 (Important.) Lemma 2.9 says that to solve the second order hyperbolic equation, it suffices to solve **two first order equations**.

Definition 2.11 We call the **2-parameter family of lines**

$$Bx - Ay = \lambda, \quad Dx - Cy = \eta, \quad AD - BC \neq 0$$

where λ, η are arbitrary constants, the **characteristic lines** of the hyperbolic equation (53).

Example 2.12 Consider the second order linear equation in two variables:

$$u_{xx} - 4u_{xy} - 2u_{yy} = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad u = u(x, y) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

What is the type (elliptic, hyperbolic, or parabolic) of this equation? Use **factorization method** to find the general solution of the equation.

Solution:

The equation has the form $au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = 0$, where $a = 1$, $b = -2$, $c = -2$ and $ac - b^2 = -6 < 0$. Therefore the equation is **hyperbolic**. We know that one can decompose it into two **first order equations** of the form (by Remark 2.7, we can choose $A = C = 1$)

$$u_{xx} - 4u_{xy} - 2u_{yy} = (\partial_x + B\partial_y)(\partial_x + D\partial_y)u = u_{xx} + (B + D)u_{xy} + BDu_{yy}.$$

Thus we solve B , D to satisfy the equation (note that now we have $A = C = 1$)

$$B + D = -4, \quad BD = -2.$$

We obtain $(B, D) = (-2 + \sqrt{6}, -2 - \sqrt{6})$ or $(B, D) = (-2 - \sqrt{6}, -2 + \sqrt{6})$. Thus we choose $(B, D) = (-2 + \sqrt{6}, -2 - \sqrt{6})$ and get

$$u_{xx} - 4u_{xy} - 2u_{yy} = \left[\partial_x + (-2 + \sqrt{6})\partial_y \right] \left[\partial_x + (-2 - \sqrt{6})\partial_y \right] u \quad (58)$$

and the general solution is

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) &= F(Bx - Ay) + G(Dx - Cy) = F\left(\left(-2 + \sqrt{6}\right)x - y\right) + G\left(\left(-2 - \sqrt{6}\right)x - y\right) \\ &= F\left(\left(\sqrt{6} - 2\right)x - y\right) + G\left(\left(\sqrt{6} + 2\right)x + y\right), \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

where $F(z) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $G(z) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are two arbitrary C^2 functions. \square

Remark 2.13 *In terms of polynomial, the decomposition (58) is the same as*

$$x^2 - 4xy - 2y^2 = \left(x + \left(-2 + \sqrt{6}\right)y\right) \left(x + \left(-2 - \sqrt{6}\right)y\right). \quad (60)$$

2.2 Solving hyperbolic equations; change of variables method.

Remark 2.14 *By (63) below, we see that this method is essentially the same as the factorization method. Computationally, you can just use the factorization method. Note that solving the equation $U_{\xi\eta} = 0$ is the same as solving **two first order equations**. See equation (57) also.*

We can also use a change of variables method to solve the equation

$$au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = 0, \quad \text{where } ac < b^2. \quad (61)$$

Introduce the change of variables

$$\xi = Bx - Ay, \quad \eta = Dx - Cy, \quad \text{Jacobian} = \begin{vmatrix} B & -A \\ D & -C \end{vmatrix} = AD - BC, \quad (62)$$

where A , B , C , D are constants satisfying

$$AC = a, \quad AD + BC = 2b, \quad BD = c, \quad AD - BC \neq 0, \quad (63)$$

where we note that the existence of A , B , C , D satisfying (63) is guaranteed by Lemma 2.5. Since $AD - BC \neq 0$, this change of variables is good on all \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $U(\xi, \eta)$ be the function $u(x, y)$ in (ξ, η) variables. We have:

Lemma 2.15 (*Change of variables method for hyperbolic equation.*) Under the change of variables (62), (63), the equation for $U(\xi, \eta)$ is given by

$$-(AD - BC)^2 U_{\xi\eta} = 0 \quad (\text{same as } U_{\xi\eta} = 0 \text{ since } AD - BC \neq 0), \quad (64)$$

which has general solution given by $U(\xi, \eta) = F(\xi) + G(\eta)$ for arbitrary C^2 functions (we want $U(\xi, \eta)$ to be a C^2 function) $F(\xi)$ and $G(\eta)$ defined on \mathbb{R} . As a consequence, the general solution $u(x, y)$ of (61) is

$$u(x, y) = F(Bx - Ay) + G(Dx - Cy), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

for arbitrary C^2 functions $F(\xi)$ and $G(\eta)$ defined on \mathbb{R} .

Proof. We have

$$\begin{cases} u_x = BU_\xi + DU_\eta, & u_y = -AU_\xi - CU_\eta, \\ u_{xx} = B^2U_{\xi\xi} + 2BDU_{\xi\eta} + D^2U_{\eta\eta}, \\ u_{xy} = -ABU_{\xi\xi} - (BC + AD)U_{\xi\eta} - CDU_{\eta\eta}, \\ u_{yy} = A^2U_{\xi\xi} + 2ACU_{\xi\eta} + C^2U_{\eta\eta} \end{cases}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} \\ &= \begin{cases} a[B^2U_{\xi\xi} + 2BDU_{\xi\eta} + D^2U_{\eta\eta}] \\ + 2b[-ABU_{\xi\xi} - (BC + AD)U_{\xi\eta} - CDU_{\eta\eta}] + c[A^2U_{\xi\xi} + 2ACU_{\xi\eta} + C^2U_{\eta\eta}] \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (aB^2 - 2bAB + cA^2)U_{\xi\xi} \\ + \left(\underbrace{a2BD - 2bBC - 2bAD + c2AC}_{\text{}} \right) U_{\xi\eta} + \left(\overbrace{aD^2 - 2bCD + cC^2} \right) U_{\eta\eta}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By

$$AC = a, \quad AD + BC = 2b, \quad BD = c, \quad AD - BC \neq 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{aB^2 - 2bAB + cA^2}{\text{}} = ACB^2 - (AD + BC)AB + BDA^2 = 0 \\ \left(\overbrace{aD^2 - 2bCD + cC^2} \right) = ACD^2 - (AD + BC)CD + BDC^2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{a2BD - 2bBC - 2bAD + c2AC}_{\text{}} \\ &= 2ACBD - (AD + BC)BC - (AD + BC)AD + BD2AC \\ &= 2ABCD - B^2C^2 - A^2D^2 = -(AD - BC)^2 \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the equation for $U(\xi, \eta)$ is

$$-(AD - BC)^2 U_{\xi\eta} = 0 \quad (\text{same as } U_{\xi\eta} = 0).$$

Its general solution is $U(\xi, \eta) = F(\xi) + G(\eta)$ for arbitrary C^2 functions $F(\xi)$ and $G(\eta)$ defined on \mathbb{R} . \square

Example 2.16 Consider the second order linear equation in two variables:

$$u_{xx} - 4u_{xy} - 2u_{yy} = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad u = u(x, y) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Use **change of variables method** to reduce it to canonical form ($U_{\xi\xi} - U_{\eta\eta} = 0$ or $U_{\xi\eta} = 0$) and then solve it.

Solution:

Recall that the numbers A, B, C, D satisfying

$$AC = a = 1, \quad AD + BC = 2b = -4, \quad BD = c = -2, \quad (65)$$

are given by $A = C = 1, B = -2 + \sqrt{6}, D = -2 - \sqrt{6}$. By Lemma 2.15, if we do the change of variables

$$\begin{cases} \xi = Bx - Ay = (-2 + \sqrt{6})x - y \\ \eta = Dx - Cy = (-2 - \sqrt{6})x - y, \end{cases} \quad (66)$$

the new for $U(\xi, \eta)$ is given by $U_{\xi\eta}(\xi, \eta) = 0$. The general solution for $U(\xi, \eta)$ is $U(\xi, \eta) = F(\xi) + G(\eta)$. Hence the general solution for $u(x, y)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) &= F(Bx - Ay) + G(Dx - Cy) = F\left(\left(-2 + \sqrt{6}\right)x - y\right) + G\left(\left(-2 - \sqrt{6}\right)x - y\right) \\ &= F\left(\left(\sqrt{6} - 2\right)x - y\right) + G\left(\left(\sqrt{6} + 2\right)x + y\right), \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

where $F(z) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $G(z) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are two arbitrary C^2 functions. \square

2.3 Solving hyperbolic equations; diagonalization method (eigenvalue-eigenvector method).

Remark 2.17 *Interesting question: Can you find the relation between this method and the factorization method?*

Example 2.18 *Consider the second order linear equation in two variables:*

$$u_{xx} - 4u_{xy} - 2u_{yy} = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad u = u(x, y) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Use **diagonalization method** to reduce it to canonical form ($U_{\xi\xi} - U_{\eta\eta} = 0$) and then solve it.

Solution:

One can write the equation as

$$\text{Trace} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -2 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{xx} & u_{xy} \\ u_{xy} & u_{yy} \end{pmatrix} \right] = 0.$$

The eigenvalues of the coefficient matrix are $\lambda_1 = 2, \lambda_2 = -3$ with corresponding **orthonormal** eigenvectors

$$v_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (68)$$

By (13) and (14), we introduce the change of variables (recall that the change of variables matrix J is chosen as $J = P^T$, see (13))

$$\xi = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}y, \quad \eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}x + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}y.$$

Then, in terms of the variables (ξ, η) , we have

$$2U_{\xi\xi} - 3U_{\eta\eta} = 0.$$

Finally, we let $\tilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi$, $\tilde{\eta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\eta$, we have the final **canonical form**:

$$\tilde{U}_{\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\xi}} - \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\eta}} = 0 \text{ (which can be decomposed as } \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\xi}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\eta}}\right) \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\xi}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\eta}}\right)\tilde{U}\right] = 0 \text{)}$$

and its general solution is

$$\tilde{U}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) = F(\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\eta}) + G(-\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\eta}) \text{ (same as } G(\tilde{\xi} + \tilde{\eta}) \text{),}$$

where $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ are two arbitrary C^2 functions. Hence the general solution for $u(x, y)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) &= \begin{cases} F\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}y\right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}x + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}y\right)\right) \\ + G\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}y\right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}x + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}y\right)\right) \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} F\left(\underbrace{\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{10}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}\right)x + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}}\right)y}_{\left(\sqrt{6} + 2\right)x + y}\right) \\ + G\left(\underbrace{\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{10}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}\right)x + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}}\right)y}_{\left(-\sqrt{6} + 2\right)x + y}\right). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

By the identity

$$\frac{-\frac{2}{\sqrt{10}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}}} = \frac{2\sqrt{15} + \sqrt{10}}{-\sqrt{15} + 2\sqrt{10}} = \sqrt{6} + 2$$

we can write $F\left(\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{10}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}\right)x + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}}\right)y\right)$ as $F\left(\left(\sqrt{6} + 2\right)x + y\right)$ and by the identity

$$\frac{-\frac{2}{\sqrt{10}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}}} = \frac{-2\sqrt{15} + \sqrt{10}}{\sqrt{15} + 2\sqrt{10}} = -\sqrt{6} + 2$$

we can write $G\left(\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{10}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}\right)x + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}}\right)y\right)$ as $G\left(\left(-\sqrt{6} + 2\right)x + y\right)$. Thus the general solution can also be expressed as

$$u(x, y) = F\left(\left(\sqrt{6} + 2\right)x + y\right) + G\left(\left(-\sqrt{6} + 2\right)x + y\right), \quad (70)$$

which is the same as (59) and (67). \square

3 General solutions of parabolic equations without lower order terms.

3.1 Solving parabolic equations; factorization method.

We now come to the **parabolic** case for the equation

$$au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = 0, \quad \text{where } ac = b^2. \quad (71)$$

Here we may assume a, b, c are **all nonzero** (otherwise we will get into a trivial case). By the identity $ac = b^2 > 0$, we know that a, c have the same sign. By multiplying the equation by a minus sign if necessary, we may assume that $a > 0, c > 0$. However, b can be either $b > 0$ or $b < 0$.

As there is no lower order terms in (71), the parabolic equation is **degenerate**. Hence it is **essentially an ODE**. We have the following:

Lemma 3.1 (*Factorization method for parabolic equation.*) Assume the equation (71) is parabolic, i.e. $ac = b^2$, with $a > 0$, $b \neq 0$, $c > 0$ (if $b = 0$, then we are in the trivial case; hence we assume $b \neq 0$ and multiply the equation by -1 if necessary, we can assume $a > 0$ and $c > 0$). Then one can decompose it as

$$au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = \left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left[\left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) u \right] = 0 \quad (72)$$

for some constants $A > 0$, $B \neq 0$. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{cases} A = \sqrt{a}, & B = \sqrt{c}, & \text{if } b > 0 \\ A = \sqrt{a}, & B = -\sqrt{c}, & \text{if } b < 0. \end{cases} \quad (73)$$

The **general solution** of (71) can be expressed as either one of the following two forms

$$(1). \quad u(x, y) = F(Bx - Ay) + \frac{x}{A}G(Bx - Ay) \quad (74)$$

or

$$(2). \quad u(x, y) = F(Bx - Ay) + \frac{y}{B}G(Bx - Ay) \quad (75)$$

for arbitrary C^2 functions $F(z)$, $G(z)$ defined on \mathbb{R} .

Remark 3.2 If $a = 0$ (then $b = 0$, $c \neq 0$) or $c = 0$ (then $b = 0$, $a \neq 0$), then we are in a trivial case. The equation now has the form $cu_{yy} = 0$ or $au_{xx} = 0$. We have not much to discuss.

Remark 3.3 See Remark 3.6 below for a third form of the solution.

Remark 3.4 The two forms in (74) and (75) are the same due to the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{x}{A}G(Bx - Ay) \\ &= \frac{(Bx - Ay) + Ay}{AB}G(Bx - Ay) \\ &= \frac{(Bx - Ay)}{AB}G(Bx - Ay) \text{ (absorb this term into } F(Bx - Ay)) + \frac{y}{B}G(Bx - Ay). \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

Proof. For a C^2 function u , (72) is the same as

$$A(Au_x + Bu_y)_x + B(Au_x + Bu_y)_y = A^2u_{xx} + 2ABu_{xy} + B^2u_{yy} = 0.$$

Hence we need to solve

$$A^2 = a, \quad AB = b, \quad B^2 = c,$$

which is **solvable** due to $ac - b^2 = 0$. If $a > 0$, $b > 0$, $c > 0$, then we can choose $A = \sqrt{a}$, $B = \sqrt{c}$. If $a > 0$, $b < 0$, $c > 0$, then we can choose $A = \sqrt{a}$, $B = -\sqrt{c}$.

Let $w = \left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) u$. Then by $\left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) w = 0$, we see that $w = G(Bx - Ay)$ for some arbitrary C^1 function $G(z)$ defined on \mathbb{R} . Next we solve

$$\left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) u(x, y) = G(Bx - Ay). \quad (77)$$

Since $A > 0$ and $B \neq 0$, we can do the change of variables $w = Bx - Ay$, $z = x$, to get

$$Au_x + Bu_y = A[U_w B + U_z] + BU_w(-A) = \underbrace{AU_z}_{= G(w)}$$

and obtain the general solution for $U(w, z)$:

$$U(w, z) = F(w) + \frac{z}{A}G(w),$$

which gives

$$u(x, y) = F(Bx - Ay) + \frac{x}{A}G(Bx - Ay), \quad \text{if } A \neq 0,$$

where now $F(z)$, $G(z)$ are two arbitrary C^2 functions defined on \mathbb{R} . This is the form (74).

Similarly, we can also do the change of variables $w = Bx - Ay$, $z = y$, to get

$$Au_x + Bu_y = A(U_w B) + B[U_w(-A) + U_z] = \underbrace{BU_z}_{= G(w)}$$

which gives the general solution of the form (75). □

Remark 3.5 Note that, in solving (77), Lemma 2.1 is **not** applicable here.

Remark 3.6 (Important.) We can also do the change of variables $w = Bx - Ay$, $z = Ax + By$ in (77) and get

$$Au_x + Bu_y = A[U_w B + U_z A] + B[U_w(-A) + U_z B] = \underbrace{(A^2 + B^2)U_z}_{= G(w)}.$$

We now have

$$U(w, z) = F(w) + \frac{z}{A^2 + B^2}G(w), \tag{78}$$

which gives the **symmetric** form

$$u(x, y) = F(Bx - Ay) + \frac{Ax + By}{A^2 + B^2}G(Bx - Ay). \tag{79}$$

The **three forms** (74), (75) and (79) are all **equivalent** due to the following identities:

$$\frac{Ax + By}{A^2 + B^2} = \frac{1}{A^2 + B^2} \left[-\frac{B(Bx - Ay)}{A} + \frac{(A^2 + B^2)x}{A} \right] \tag{80}$$

and

$$\frac{Ax + By}{A^2 + B^2} = \frac{1}{A^2 + B^2} \left[\frac{A(Bx - Ay)}{B} + \frac{(A^2 + B^2)y}{B} \right]. \tag{81}$$

Definition 3.7 Unlike the hyperbolic case, we have only **1-parameter family of characteristic lines**

$$Bx - Ay = \lambda \tag{82}$$

for the parabolic equation (71), where λ is an arbitrary constant.

3.2 Solving parabolic equations; diagonalization method (eigenvalue-eigenvector method).

What happens if we use **diagonalization method** to solve a parabolic equation ($ac = b^2$) ? One can check that the coefficient matrix of equation (71) has two eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = a + c$, $\lambda_2 = 0$. The corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors are

$$v_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ -a \end{pmatrix}$$

and we get the matrix

$$P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & -a \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^T = P = P^{-1}$$

By (14), under the change of variables (recall that the change of variables matrix J is chosen as $J = P^T$, see (13))

$$\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} (ax + by), \quad \eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} (bx - ay), \quad (83)$$

equation (71) can be reduced to

$$\text{Trace} \left[\begin{pmatrix} a+c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} U_{\xi\xi} & U_{\xi\eta} \\ U_{\xi\eta} & U_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix} \right] = 0.$$

Since $a + c \neq 0$, the above is same as

$$U_{\xi\xi} = 0, \quad (84)$$

which implies

$$U(\xi, \eta) = \xi g(\eta) + f(\eta) \quad (85)$$

for arbitrary C^2 functions $f(\eta)$ and $g(\eta)$. The corresponding $u(x, y)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} (ax + by) g\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} (bx - ay)\right) + f\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} (bx - ay)\right) \\ &= H(bx - ay) + \frac{ax + by}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} K(bx - ay) \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

for arbitrary C^2 functions $H(\eta)$ and $K(\eta)$. We can compare (86) with (79). Assume we are in the case $a > 0$, $b > 0$, $c > 0$, then we have $A = \sqrt{a}$, $B = \sqrt{c}$ and so $b = \sqrt{ac} = AB$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &H(bx - ay) + \frac{ax + by}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} K(bx - ay) \\ &= H(ABx - A^2y) + \frac{A^2x + AB^2y}{\sqrt{A^4 + A^2B^2}} K(ABx - A^2y) \\ &= H(ABx - A^2y) + \frac{Ax + By}{\sqrt{A^2 + B^2}} K(ABx - A^2y) = F(Bx - Ay) + \frac{Ax + By}{A^2 + B^2} G(Bx - Ay). \end{aligned}$$

for another two arbitrary C^2 functions $F(\eta)$ and $G(\eta)$. **Therefore, (86) is the same as (79).** The check for the case $a > 0$, $b < 0$, $c > 0$ is similar. Therefore, both methods are actually equivalent.

4 Hyperbolic and parabolic equations of the form $au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = 0$ with initial conditions.

If we write the equation $au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = 0$ as $au_{xx} + 2bu_{xt} + cu_{tt} = 0$ and view x as space variable, t as time variable, then a pair of initial conditions (at time $t = 0$) of the form

$$u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty) \quad (87)$$

can determine the solution **uniquely**, i.e. the solution exists and is unique. Here $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ are two given functions defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$. We will demonstrate this by direct computations.

Remark 4.1 (Useful motivation.) Roughly speaking, a hyperbolic equation (imagine it is a *wave equation*) comes from *Newtonian mechanics*, hence as long as the *initial position* and *initial velocity* are known, the whole process of motion is **uniquely** determined.

Lemma 4.2 (Hyperbolic equation with initial conditions.) Let $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ be two given functions. Assume $ac < b^2$ in equation $au_{xx} + 2bu_{xt} + cu_{tt} = 0$. Consider the **hyperbolic equation with initial conditions**:

$$\begin{cases} (A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) [(C \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + D \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) u] = 0, & u = u(x, t) \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), & x \in (-\infty, \infty), \end{cases} \quad (88)$$

where A, B, C, D are constants satisfying $AD - BC \neq 0$ and **here we also assume that $B \neq 0$ and $D \neq 0$** (they are the coefficients of the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$). Then the initial value problem (88) has a unique solution $u(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Moreover, the solution is given by

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{AD - BC} \left[AD \cdot \phi \left(x - \frac{C}{D}t \right) - BC \cdot \phi \left(x - \frac{A}{B}t \right) \right] + \frac{BD}{AD - BC} \int_{x - \frac{A}{B}t}^{x - \frac{C}{D}t} \psi(s) ds, \quad (89)$$

where $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Note: since we assume $B \neq 0$ and $D \neq 0$, the line $t = 0$ is **not a characteristic line**, which is good. See Remark 4.3 below.

Remark 4.3 (Important.) The assumption $B \neq 0$ and $D \neq 0$ is **essential** in the formula (89). There are **2-parameter families of characteristic lines** for the hyperbolic equation

$$\left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \left[\left(C \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + D \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) u \right] = 0, \quad (90)$$

namely the lines

$$Bx - At = \text{const.}, \quad \text{and} \quad Dx - Ct = \text{const.} \quad (91)$$

If we have $B = 0$, then by $AD - BC \neq 0$, we must have $A \neq 0$ and $D \neq 0$. Hence the line $t = \text{const.}$ is a characteristic line. Similarly, if we have $D = 0$, then $B \neq 0$ and $C \neq 0$, and again the line $t = \text{const.}$ is a characteristic line. By this, the initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty)$$

happen to occur on the **characteristic line** $t = \text{const.}$ (i.e. $t = 0$). In general, for $B = 0$, we have either **no** solution to the initial value problem or **infinitely many solutions** to the initial value problem. The same conclusion holds for the case $D = 0$. **We will leave this as a homework problem for you to verify.** Also see Remark 4.10.

Proof. The general solution of the equation is

$$u(x, t) = F(Bx - At) + G(Dx - Ct) \quad (92)$$

for arbitrary C^2 functions $F(\cdot), G(\cdot)$ defined on \mathbb{R} and we need to solve

$$\begin{cases} F(Bx) + G(Dx) = \phi(x) \\ -AF'(Bx) - CG'(Dx) = \psi(x). \end{cases} \quad (93)$$

Differentiate the first equation with respect to x to get the system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} BF'(Bx) + DG'(Dx) = \phi'(x) \\ -AF'(Bx) - CG'(Dx) = \psi(x), \end{cases}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & D \\ -A & -C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F'(Bx) \\ G'(Dx) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi'(x) \\ \psi(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} F'(Bx) \\ G'(Dx) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{AD - BC} \begin{pmatrix} -C & -D \\ A & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi'(x) \\ \psi(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

and so

$$F'(Bx) = \frac{-1}{AD - BC} (C\phi'(x) + D\psi(x)), \quad G'(Dx) = \frac{1}{AD - BC} (A\phi'(x) + B\psi(x)),$$

which are the same as (note that we assume $B \neq 0$ and $D \neq 0$)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dx} F(Bx) = BF'(Bx) = \frac{-B}{AD - BC} (C\phi'(x) + D\psi(x)), \\ \frac{d}{dx} G(Dx) = DG'(Dx) = \frac{D}{AD - BC} (A\phi'(x) + B\psi(x)). \end{cases} \quad (94)$$

If we let $\tilde{\psi}(x)$ be an **antiderivative** of $\psi(x)$ (it is not unique), we get

$$\begin{cases} F(Bx) = \frac{-B}{AD - BC} (C\phi(x) + D\tilde{\psi}(x)) + C_1 \\ G(Dx) = \frac{D}{AD - BC} (A\phi(x) + B\tilde{\psi}(x)) + C_2 \end{cases} \quad (95)$$

for some integration constants C_1, C_2 . Now by the first equation of (93), we must have $C_1 + C_2 = 0$. Therefore, we conclude (note that we assume $B \neq 0$ and $D \neq 0$)

$u(x, t)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= F(Bx - At) + G(Dx - Ct) = F\left(B\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right)\right) + G\left(D\left(x - \frac{C}{D}t\right)\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{-B}{AD - BC} \left[C\phi\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) + D\tilde{\psi}\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) \right] \\ + \frac{D}{AD - BC} \left[A\phi\left(x - \frac{C}{D}t\right) + B\tilde{\psi}\left(x - \frac{C}{D}t\right) \right] \end{cases} \\ &= \frac{AD}{AD - BC} \phi\left(x - \frac{C}{D}t\right) - \frac{BC}{AD - BC} \phi\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) + \frac{BD}{AD - BC} \underbrace{\left[\tilde{\psi}\left(x - \frac{C}{D}t\right) - \tilde{\psi}\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) \right]} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the antiderivative $\tilde{\psi}(x)$ is **not unique**. However, the quantity

$$\tilde{\psi}\left(x - \frac{C}{D}t\right) - \tilde{\psi}\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) \quad (96)$$

is **unique** and is **independent of** the choice of the antiderivative $\tilde{\psi}(x)$. For convenience, we can choose $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \int_0^x \psi(s) ds$ and obtain

$$\tilde{\psi}\left(x - \frac{C}{D}t\right) - \tilde{\psi}\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) = \int_{x - \frac{A}{B}t}^{x - \frac{C}{D}t} \psi(s) ds. \quad (97)$$

Thus the solution formula for $u(x, t)$ is given by

$$u(x, t) = \frac{AD}{AD - BC} \phi\left(x - \frac{C}{D}t\right) - \frac{BC}{AD - BC} \phi\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) + \frac{BD}{AD - BC} \int_{x - \frac{A}{B}t}^{x - \frac{C}{D}t} \psi(s) ds. \quad (98)$$

The solution is defined on all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Since $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, we have $u(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$. The solution (98) satisfies the initial value problem (88).

To see uniqueness of the solution (98), we may look at the case $\phi(x) = \psi(x) \equiv 0$ for all $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and from the above derivation, we must have $u(x, t) \equiv 0$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Hence the uniqueness follows. \square

Remark 4.4 By direct check, one can see that (98) is indeed a solution of (88). First, the solution $u(x, t)$ has the form $F(Bx - At) + G(Dx - Ct)$. Hence it must be a solution. Second, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$u(x, 0) = \frac{AD}{AD - BC} \phi(x) - \frac{BC}{AD - BC} \phi(x) + \frac{BD}{AD - BC} \int_x^x \psi(s) ds = \phi(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(x, 0) &= \begin{cases} \frac{AD}{AD - BC} \left(-\frac{C}{D}\right) \phi'(x) - \frac{BC}{AD - BC} \left(-\frac{A}{B}\right) \phi'(x) \\ + \frac{BD}{AD - BC} \left(-\frac{C}{D}\right) \psi(x) - \frac{BD}{AD - BC} \left(-\frac{A}{B}\right) \psi(x) \end{cases} \\ &= \frac{BD}{AD - BC} \left(-\frac{C}{D}\right) \psi(x) - \frac{BD}{AD - BC} \left(-\frac{A}{B}\right) \psi(x) = \psi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $u(x, t)$ given by (98) is indeed a solution of the equation satisfying the initial conditions.

Remark 4.5 (Domain of dependence for hyperbolic equations.) By (98), the **domain of dependence interval** of the point (x_0, t_0) is the interval $\left[x_0 - \frac{A}{B}t_0, x_0 - \frac{C}{D}t_0\right]$ (or the interval $\left[x_0 - \frac{C}{D}t_0, x_0 - \frac{A}{B}t_0\right]$ if $x_0 - \frac{C}{D}t_0$ is smaller) lying on the x -axis. Only the values of $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ on the interval will determine the value of u at the point (x_0, t_0) . Draw a picture for the two characteristic lines L_1, L_2 passing through (x_0, t_0) .

In case equation (88) is parabolic (i.e. $A = C, B = D$) and has the same initial conditions, we can still solve it (note that now the equation is **degenerate parabolic**).

Lemma 4.6 (Parabolic equation with initial conditions.) Let $\phi \in C^3(\mathbb{R})$ and $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ be two given functions. Assume $ac = b^2$ in equation (44) (we view y as time and here we denote it as t). Consider the **parabolic equation with initial conditions (at time $t = 0$)**:

$$\begin{cases} \left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \left[\left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u\right] = 0, & u = u(x, t) \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), & x \in (-\infty, \infty), \end{cases} \quad (99)$$

where A, B are constants with $B \neq 0$ (this is same as in Lemma 4.2; B is the coefficient of the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$). Then the initial value problem (99) has a **unique** solution $u(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Moreover, the solution is given by

$$u(x, t) = \phi\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) + \frac{t}{B} \left[A\phi'\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) + B\psi\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right)\right], \quad (100)$$

where $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Note: there is only **1-parameter family of characteristic lines** $Bx - At = \text{const.}$ for the parabolic equation in (99). Since we assume $B \neq 0$, the line $t = 0$ is **not a characteristic line**, which is good.

Remark 4.7 Assume $B \neq 0$. Note that (100) is still correct even if we have $A = 0$. In such a case, we have

$$u_{tt}(x, t) = 0, \quad u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

and the solution is unique, given by

$$u(x, t) = \phi(x) + t\psi(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (101)$$

However, if we have $B = 0, A \neq 0$, then the solution either does not exist or is not unique. See Remark 4.10 below.

Proof. Since $B \neq 0$, we choose the general solution to have the form (see (75))

$$u(x, t) = F(Bx - At) + \frac{t}{B}G(Bx - At), \quad B \neq 0, \quad (102)$$

then we have

$$\begin{cases} F(Bx) = \phi(x) \\ -AF'(Bx) + \frac{1}{B}G(Bx) = \psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

which gives

$$\begin{cases} \underbrace{BF'(Bx)} = \phi'(x) \\ -A \underbrace{BF'(Bx)} + G(Bx) = B\psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

and we conclude

$$\begin{cases} F(Bx) = \phi(x) \\ G(Bx) = B\psi(x) + A\phi'(x). \end{cases} \quad (103)$$

By the above we will get

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= F(Bx - At) + \frac{t}{B}G(Bx - At) = F\left(B\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right)\right) + \frac{t}{B}G\left(B\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right)\right) \\ &= \phi\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) + \frac{t}{B}\left[B\psi\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) + A\phi'\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right)\right], \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

Since we assume $\phi \in C^3(\mathbb{R})$ and $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, the solution $u(x, t)$ given by (104) satisfies $u(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$. \square

Remark 4.8 *By direct check, one can see that (104) is indeed a solution of (99).*

Remark 4.9 (Important.) *Note that the value of the solution u at (x_0, t_0) depends only on the initial data ϕ and ψ at the point $x_0 - \frac{A}{B}t_0$. Another way to see this is that the two characteristic lines L_1, L_2 passing through (x_0, t_0) **degenerates into one characteristic line** only.*

Remark 4.10 (Important.) *(This is a continuation of Remark 4.3.) In case $B = 0$ (of course, we must have $A \neq 0$), equation becomes*

$$\begin{cases} u_{xx}(x, t) = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty), \end{cases} \quad (105)$$

and the general solution for $u(x, t)$ is $u(x, t) = h(t)x + g(t)$ for arbitrary functions of $h(t)$ and $g(t)$, $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Now we need to require

$$\begin{cases} u(x, 0) = h(0)x + g(0) = \phi(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty), \\ u_t(x, 0) = h'(0)x + g'(0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty). \end{cases} \quad (106)$$

Clearly, in general, (106) has **no solution**. But if $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ are of the form $ax+b$, $cx+d$, then (106) has **infinitely many solutions**. Again, the reason for this is that **the data is prescribed on the line $t = 0$, which is a characteristic line**. If we change

Example 4.11 *Find the solution $u(x, t)$ satisfying:*

$$u_{xx} + 2u_{xt} + u_{tt} = 0, \quad u(x, 0) = x^2, \quad u_t(x, 0) = e^x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Solution:

By (74) in Lemma 3.1 and the identity

$$u_{xx} + 2u_{xt} + u_{tt} = (\partial_x + \partial_t)[(\partial_x + \partial_t)u] = 0, \quad A = B = 1,$$

we see that the general solution of this degenerate parabolic equation has the form

$$u(x, t) = F(x - t) + xG(x - t)$$

for arbitrary C^2 functions $F(\cdot)$, $G(\cdot)$ defined on \mathbb{R} . Hence we need to solve

$$\begin{cases} F(x) + xG(x) = x^2 \\ -F'(x) - xG'(x) = e^x \end{cases}$$

and obtain

$$\begin{cases} F'(x) + G(x) + xG'(x) = 2x \\ -F'(x) - xG'(x) = e^x \end{cases}$$

and then

$$G(x) = 2x + e^x.$$

Next, by the identity $F(x) + xG(x) = x^2$ we have

$$F(x) = x^2 - xG(x) = x^2 - x(2x + e^x) = -x^2 - xe^x.$$

The answer for $u(x, t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= F(x - t) + xG(x - t) \\ &= -(x - t)^2 - (x - t)e^{x-t} + x[2(x - t) + e^{x-t}] = (x - t)(x + t) + te^{x-t} \end{aligned}$$

□

5 The wave equation with initial conditions.

Remark 5.1 *One can see W. A. Strauss PDE book (second edition), p. 11-13, for a brief explanation of how to derive wave equation from a flexible, elastic homogeneous string which undergoes relatively small transverse vibrations. However, it is difficult to understand his explanation.*

In this section, we look at **one-dimensional** wave equation for the function $u(x, t)$, given by

$$u_{tt}(x, t) = c^2 u_{xx}(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (107)$$

Under some assumptions (small amplitude, etc ...), the equation describes **the motion of a vibrating string**, where $u(x, t)$ represents the position of the string (I will not derive this in class). Here $c > 0$ is a constant given by

$$c = \sqrt{\frac{T}{\rho}}, \quad (108)$$

where T is the **tension** of the string and ρ is the **density** of the string (both are assumed to be constants, not very realistic at all).

Remark 5.2 *By a change of variable in time:*

$$x = x, \quad \tilde{t} = ct,$$

the function $v(x, \tilde{t}) = u(x, t) = u\left(x, \frac{\tilde{t}}{c}\right)$ will satisfy (107) with $c = 1$. Hence, the two equations $u_{\tilde{t}\tilde{t}} = c^2 u_{xx}$ and $u_{tt} = u_{xx}$ are equivalent. Some books discuss wave equation in the form $u_{tt} = u_{xx}$ only. However, we prefer to keep the constant $c > 0$ in the equation to reveal some of its physical implications.

As (107) is a physical equation, it has initial conditions. They are the **initial position** and **initial velocity** of the string, given by

$$u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (109)$$

Remark 5.3 (Useful motivation.) *Roughly speaking, the wave equation comes from **Newtonian mechanics**, hence as long as the initial position and initial velocity are known, the whole process of motion is uniquely determined.*

With the above conditions, the solution $u(x, t)$ satisfying (107) and (109) **exists** and is **unique** (which can be seen from (98)). Moreover, if we change $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ a little bit, then the corresponding solution will also change a little bit (we shall see this soon). In this sense, we say that the problem (107) and (109) is **well-posed**.

Since one can factorize the equation (107) as

$$\left(c \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \left[\left(c \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u\right] = 0, \quad u = u(x, t), \quad (110)$$

by previous discussion we know the general solution of (107), defined on the whole space \mathbb{R}^2 , is given by

$$u(x, t) = F(x + ct) + G(x - ct), \quad (x, t) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty) \quad (111)$$

for arbitrary C^2 functions F, G defined on \mathbb{R} .

Definition 5.4 *Any line of the form $x + ct = \text{const.}$ or $x - ct = \text{const.}$ is called a **characteristic line** of the wave equation (107). A wave equation has **2-parameter family** of characteristic lines.*

Remark 5.5 (The geometric meaning of the wave equation.) *The solution $u(x, t)$ given by (111) consists of two **traveling waves** moving in opposite directions (positive and negative x -direction) with the same speed c (the graph of $F(x + ct)$ moves to the left and the graph of $G(x - ct)$ moves to the right; draw a picture on blackboard). Moreover, since $c = \sqrt{T/\rho}$, if the tension T is large and the density ρ is small, then the traveling wave speed is large. This matches with physical observation.*

Without remembering the formula in Lemma 4.2, one can easily derive the solution formula satisfying the conditions (109). We need to require

$$\begin{cases} u(x, 0) = F(x) + G(x) = \phi(x) \\ u_t(x, 0) = cF'(x) - cG'(x) = \psi(x). \end{cases} \quad (112)$$

By this we obtain

$$F'(x) = \frac{c\phi'(x) + \psi(x)}{2c}, \quad G'(x) = \frac{c\phi'(x) - \psi(x)}{2c}, \quad (113)$$

and so

$$F(x) = \frac{\phi(x)}{2} + \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^x \psi(s) ds + \delta, \quad G(x) = \frac{\phi(x)}{2} - \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^x \psi(s) ds + \varepsilon \quad (114)$$

with suitable constants δ, ε . Here $\delta + \varepsilon = 0$ by (112). Hence we get the **unique solution** given by

$$u(x, t) = F(x + ct) + G(x - ct) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} [\phi(x + ct) + \phi(x - ct)] + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} \psi(s) ds}_{(115)}. \quad (115)$$

We can conclude the following:

Lemma 5.6 *Assume ϕ and ψ in the initial conditions (109) satisfy $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then the function $u(x, t)$ given by*

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} [\phi(x + ct) + \phi(x - ct)] + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} \psi(s) ds. \quad (116)$$

is the **unique** C^2 solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) = c^2 u_{xx}(x, t) \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty) \end{cases} \quad (117)$$

defined on the domain $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. (Due to Lemma 4.2, we can omit the proof of this lemma.) Given $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, we define $u(x, t)$ as in (116) for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. It clearly satisfies $u(x, 0) = \phi(x)$ for all $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$. We also have

$$u_t(x, t) = \frac{c}{2} [\phi'(x + ct) - \phi'(x - ct)] + \frac{1}{2} [\psi(x + ct) + \psi(x - ct)]$$

for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and so $u(x, t)$ satisfies $u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x)$ for all $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Finally, note that

$$u_{tt}(x, t) = \frac{c^2}{2} [\phi''(x + ct) + \phi''(x - ct)] + \frac{c}{2} [\psi'(x + ct) - \psi'(x - ct)]$$

and

$$u_{xx}(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} [\phi''(x + ct) + \phi''(x - ct)] + \frac{1}{2c} [\psi'(x + ct) - \psi'(x - ct)],$$

which implies $u_{tt}(x, t) = c^2 u_{xx}(x, t)$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Therefore, $u(x, t)$ given by (116) is indeed a C^2 solution of (117) on the domain $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

To check **uniqueness**, assume we have two C^2 solutions $u_1(x, t)$ and $u_2(x, t)$ of (117) on \mathbb{R}^2 . Then the function $u(x, t) = u_1(x, t) - u_2(x, t)$ is a C^2 solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) = c^2 u_{xx}(x, t) \\ u(x, 0) = 0, \quad u_t(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty) \end{cases}$$

on \mathbb{R}^2 . Since we know the general solution of $u_{tt}(x, t) = c^2 u_{xx}(x, t)$ on \mathbb{R}^2 has the form $u(x, t) = F(x + ct) + G(x - ct)$ for some C^2 functions $F(\cdot), G(\cdot)$ defined on \mathbb{R} , we have

$$\begin{cases} u(x, 0) = F(x) + G(x) = 0 \\ u_t(x, 0) = cF'(x) - cG'(x) = 0, \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty) \end{cases} \quad (118)$$

which implies $F'(x) = G'(x) = 0$ on \mathbb{R} . Therefore, both $F(\cdot), G(\cdot)$ are **constant** functions and by $u(x, t) = F(x + ct) + G(x - ct)$, $u(x, t)$ is a constant function on \mathbb{R}^2 . Since we have $u(x, 0) \equiv 0$ for all x , we must have $u(x, t) \equiv 0$ on \mathbb{R}^2 . The uniqueness property is verified. \square

Remark 5.7 (Important.) We call (116) **d'Alembert solution**. It was due to him in 1746. Note that the function

$$\frac{1}{2} [\phi(x+ct) + \phi(x-ct)] \quad (119)$$

is an **even function** in t and the function

$$\frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} \psi(s) ds \quad (120)$$

is an **odd function** in t .

Remark 5.8 (Important.) One can also express the solution as

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} [\phi(x+ct) + \phi(x-ct)] + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} \psi(s) ds = F(x+ct) + G(x-ct)$$

for some suitable function $F(z)$ and $G(z)$. To see this, let

$$\tilde{\psi}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^\theta \psi(s) ds, \quad \theta \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad \tilde{\psi}(0) = 0.$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} \psi(s) ds = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^{x+ct} \psi(s) ds - \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^{x-ct} \psi(s) ds = \tilde{\psi}(x+ct) - \tilde{\psi}(x-ct)$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2} [\phi(x+ct) + \phi(x-ct)] + \tilde{\psi}(x+ct) - \tilde{\psi}(x-ct) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi(x+ct) + \tilde{\psi}(x+ct) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi(x-ct) - \tilde{\psi}(x-ct) \right) = F(x+ct) + G(x-ct), \end{aligned}$$

where $F(z) = \frac{1}{2} \phi(z) + \tilde{\psi}(z)$ and $G(z) = \frac{1}{2} \phi(z) - \tilde{\psi}(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 5.9 (Omit this in class) If $\phi \in C^k(\mathbb{R})$ and $\psi \in C^{k-1}(\mathbb{R})$, then u given by (116) represents a classical solution $u \in C^k(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty))$ of the initial value problem, but **its regularity is not smoother (or worse) in general. Thus the wave equation does not produce instantaneous smoothing of the initial data as the heat equation does.**

5.1 Domain of dependence and influence of the initial conditions for wave equation.

For convenience of discussion, we confine to **nonnegative** time $t \geq 0$ (this is not really essential). Recall that the solution of the initial value problem (117) is

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} [\phi(x+ct) + \phi(x-ct)] + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} \psi(s) ds, \quad (x, t) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times [0, \infty). \quad (121)$$

For each fixed time $t = t_0 \in [0, \infty)$ and fixed $x_0 \in (-\infty, \infty)$, we get an interval of the form $[x_0 - ct_0, x_0 + ct_0]$. The value of $u(x_0, t_0)$ depends only on ϕ at $x_0 - ct_0$, $x_0 + ct_0$, and ψ on the interval $[x_0 - ct_0, x_0 + ct_0]$. The values of ϕ and ψ **outside** the interval $[x_0 - ct_0, x_0 + ct_0]$ **will not** affect the value of $u(x_0, t_0)$.

Definition 5.10 The interval $[x_0 - ct_0, x_0 + ct_0]$ lying on the x -axis is called the **domain of dependence interval** of the point (x_0, t_0) .

Remark 5.11 *The above also says that for our wave equation "disturbances" or "signals" only travel with speed c . To understand this more clearly, see the definition of "domain of influence".*

In view of this, for each fixed $x_0 \in (-\infty, \infty)$, there is a region $R \subset xt$ -plane (**unbounded closed set**, lying on the upper half xt -plane) so that the values of u on this region can be **affected** by the values of $\phi(x_0)$ and $\psi(x_0)$. This region $R \subset xt$ -plane is called the **domain of influence** of the point $(x_0, 0)$ (equivalently, a point $p \in R$ if and only if its domain of dependence interval **contains** the point x_0). The value of u at any point (x, t) lying **out of** the region R is **not** affected by the values of $\phi(x_0)$ and $\psi(x_0)$. The **domain of influence** region R can be described as

$$R = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) : x - ct \leq x_0 \text{ and } x + ct \geq x_0\}, \quad (122)$$

where the two half-lines $x - ct = x_0, t \geq 0$, and $x + ct = x_0, t \geq 0$, intersect at the point $(x_0, 0)$.

Remark 5.12 *Draw a picture for the region R (or see Figure 1 in p. 39 of Strauss's undergraduate PDE book).*

Outside the **domain of influence** of the point $(x_0, 0)$, the value of $u(x, t)$ is not affected by the values of $\phi(x_0)$ and $\psi(x_0)$. In view of this, we have the following obvious fact:

Lemma 5.13 *For any given $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$, the **domain of influence** of the interval (lying on the x -axis) $|x| \leq \sigma$ is the region (lying on $\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$) $|x| \leq \sigma + ct$. In particular, if $\phi(x) \equiv \psi(x) \equiv 0$ for $|x| > \sigma$ (i.e. both $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ have **compact support**), then $u(x, t) \equiv 0$ on the region $|x| \geq \sigma + ct$.*

Remark 5.14 *Draw a picture for the above lemma. Note that the wave speed is $c > 0$ and the wave is propagating on the x -axis (the space dimension). From this observation, it is easy to see that if $\phi(x) \equiv \psi(x) \equiv 0$ for $|x| > \sigma$, then $u(x, t) \equiv 0$ on the region $|x| \geq \sigma + ct$.*

Corollary 5.15 *Assume both $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ have **compact support**. Then $u(x, t)$ given by (116) has compact support in x for each fixed t (however, the support of $u(x, t)$ will become larger if t gets larger).*

Example 5.16 *Consider the wave equation with initial conditions:*

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) = c^2 u_{xx}(x, t), & c > 0 \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x), & u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Assume that $\phi = \psi \equiv 0$ on the interval $[-1 - c, 1 + c]$. Determine the region $R \subset \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$ such that $u(x, t) \equiv 0$ on R .

Solution:

The region R is the triangular-shaped region given by

$$R = \{(x, t) : x - ct \geq -1 - c\} \cap \{(x, t) : x + ct \leq 1 + c\} \cap \{(x, t) : t \geq 0\}.$$

□

Remark 5.17 *Draw a picture for the above answer.*

5.2 Space-time separable solutions of the wave equation.

We look for certain special solutions of the wave equation $u_{tt}(x, t) = c^2 u_{xx}(x, t)$ with the **separable form**:

$$u(x, t) = f(x)g(t),$$

where $f(x)$ and $g(t)$ are C^2 functions defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$. Plug it into the equation to get

$$f(x)g''(t) = c^2 f''(x)g(t)$$

and then we get the identity (assume the denominators are nonzero)

$$\frac{g''(t)}{c^2 g(t)} = \frac{f''(x)}{f(x)}.$$

The above identity cannot hold unless there is some constant K such that

$$\frac{g''(t)}{c^2 g(t)} = \frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} = K, \quad \forall x \in \text{domain of } f, \quad \forall t \in \text{domain of } g.$$

There are three possibilities for the constant K : $K = \lambda^2 > 0$, $K = 0$, $K = -\lambda^2 < 0$. For the first case, we have

$$\frac{g''(t)}{c^2 g(t)} = \frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} = \lambda^2, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

which gives

$$g(t) = c_1 e^{\lambda ct} + c_2 e^{-\lambda ct}, \quad f(x) = d_1 e^{\lambda x} + d_2 e^{-\lambda x}, \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad (123)$$

where c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 are integration constants. For the second case, we have

$$\frac{g''(t)}{c^2 g(t)} = \frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} = 0,$$

which gives

$$g(t) = c_1 t + c_2, \quad f(x) = d_1 x + d_2, \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (124)$$

For the third case, we have

$$\frac{g''(t)}{c^2 g(t)} = \frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} = -\lambda^2, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

which gives

$$g(t) = c_1 \sin(\lambda ct) + c_2 \cos(\lambda ct), \quad f(x) = d_1 \sin(\lambda x) + d_2 \cos(\lambda x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (125)$$

Thus we have:

Lemma 5.18 (*Classification of space-time separable solutions of the wave equation.*)

The following are the **space-time separable solutions** of the wave equation

$$u(x, t) = \begin{cases} (c_1 e^{\lambda ct} + c_2 e^{-\lambda ct})(d_1 e^{\lambda x} + d_2 e^{-\lambda x}) \\ (c_1 t + c_2)(d_1 x + d_2) \\ [c_1 \sin(\lambda ct) + c_2 \cos(\lambda ct)][d_1 \sin(\lambda x) + d_2 \cos(\lambda x)], \end{cases} \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty) \quad (126)$$

and there are no others. Here λ ($\lambda > 0$), c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 are all arbitrary constants.

Remark 5.19 (Important.) The space-time separable solutions are important if we want to use **Fourier series** to express solutions of the wave equation, especially using the third solution in (126).

Example 5.20 For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L > 0$, there is a solution, defined on \mathbb{R}^2 , of the wave equation of the form (choose $\lambda = n\pi/L$ in the above lemma)

$$u_n(x, t) = \left[A_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi c}{L}t\right) + B_n \cos\left(\frac{n\pi c}{L}t\right) \right] \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right), \quad A_n, B_n \text{ are const.}, \quad (127)$$

which satisfies the **initial-boundary conditions (fixed-end condition)** on the domain $(x, t) \in [0, L] \times [0, \infty)$:

$$\begin{cases} (u_n)_{tt}(x, t) = c^2 (u_n)_{xx}(x, t), & (x, t) \in [0, L] \times [0, \infty) \\ u_n(0, t) = u_n(L, t) = 0, & \forall t \in [0, \infty) \quad (\text{boundary cond.}) \\ u_n(x, 0) = B_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right), & (u_n)_t(x, 0) = A_n \frac{n\pi c}{L} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right), \quad \forall x \in [0, L] \quad (\text{initial cond.}). \end{cases} \quad (128)$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we call the above $u_n(x, t)$ a **harmonic** of the wave equation $u_{tt} = c^2 u_{xx}$ with **fixed ends** at $x = 0$ and $x = L$ (by Fourier series expansion applying to solutions of the wave equation with fixed ends, any such solution can be expressed as an **infinite sum of different harmonics**). For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the initial data $u_n(x, 0) = B_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right)$ in (127) has n zeros on the interval $[0, L]$. Note that for a wave equation with **fixed-end** boundary condition, we also need the initial physical conditions

$$u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in [0, L], \quad (129)$$

to guarantee a unique solution (imagine the vibrations of a guitar string). One can rewrite $u_n(x, t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(x, t) &= R_n \left[\cos \theta_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi c}{L}t\right) + \sin \theta_n \cos\left(\frac{n\pi c}{L}t\right) \right] \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) \\ &= R_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi c}{L}t + \theta_n\right) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (130)$$

where $R_n = \sqrt{A_n^2 + B_n^2}$ and the angle θ_n satisfies $\cos \theta_n = \frac{A_n}{R_n}$, $\sin \theta_n = \frac{B_n}{R_n}$. Note that the value of $u_n(x, t)$ lies between $-R_n$ and R_n . We call R_n the **amplitude** of $u_n(x, t)$ and call θ_n the **phase** of $u_n(x, t)$. The solution (130), defined on $[0, L]$, is **periodic** in time with

$$u_n\left(x, t + \frac{2L}{nc}\right) = u_n(x, t), \quad \forall x \in [0, L], \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The time $\frac{2L}{nc}$ is called the **period** of the solution $u_n(x, t)$.

5.3 Conservation of the total energy for wave equation.

Lemma 5.21 (Equipartition of energy for wave equations with compact support initial data.) Assume both $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ have **compact support** (this assumption is essential) in (117) and $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, \infty))$ solves the initial value problem (117). Define the **kinetic energy** and the **potential energy** for the solution $u(x, t)$ as

$$k(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_t^2(x, t) dx \quad \text{and} \quad p(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_x^2(x, t) dx, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (131)$$

Then we have

$$k(t) + c^2 p(t) \quad \text{is a constant,} \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (132)$$

Moreover, for $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ such that $|t|$ is **large enough**, we have

$$k(t) = c^2 p(t), \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (133)$$

In particular, we have

$$k(t) = c^2 p(t) = \text{const.} \quad (134)$$

when $|t|$ is large enough.

Remark 5.22 Be careful that there is a coefficient c^2 before $p(t)$.

Remark 5.23 By (132), we see that the energy is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} k(t) + c^2 p(t) &= k(0) + c^2 p(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_t^2(x, 0) dx + \frac{c^2}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_x^2(x, 0) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^2(x) dx + \frac{c^2}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\phi'(x))^2 dx, \end{aligned} \quad (135)$$

where we see that the improper integrals are actually proper integrals since $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ have **compact support** ...

Proof. To prove (132), by Corollary 5.15, we know that $u(x, t)$ given by (115) have compact support in x for each fixed $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Computing

$$\begin{cases} u_t(x, t) = \frac{c}{2} [\phi'(x+ct) - \phi'(x-ct)] + \frac{1}{2} [\psi(x+ct) + \psi(x-ct)] \\ u_x(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} [\phi'(x+ct) + \phi'(x-ct)] + \frac{1}{2c} [\psi(x+ct) - \psi(x-ct)], \end{cases} \quad (136)$$

we can see that both $u_t(x, t)$ and $u_x(x, t)$ also have **compact support** in x for each fixed $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Hence the two **improper** integrals in (131) both **converge** (both are actually **proper** integrals). **Hence, the differentiation with respect to time can commute with the integral.** That is, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} [k(t) + c^2 p(t)] &= \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_t^2(x, t) dx + \frac{c^2}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_x^2(x, t) dx \right] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_t(x, t) u_{tt}(x, t) dx + c^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_x(x, t) u_{xt}(x, t) dx \\ &= c^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [u_t(x, t) u_{xx}(x, t) + u_x(x, t) u_{xt}(x, t)] dx \\ &= c^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [u_t(x, t) u_x(x, t)] dx = c^2 [u_x(x, t) u_t(x, t)] \Big|_{x=-\infty}^{x=\infty} = 0, \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that both $u_t(x, t)$ and $u_x(x, t)$ also have compact support in x for each fixed $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$. The proof of (132) is done.

For (133), by (136) we have

$$u_t^2(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{c^2}{4} \left[\underbrace{(\phi'(x+ct))^2 + (\phi'(x-ct))^2}_{\text{}} - 2\phi'(x+ct)\phi'(x-ct) \right] \\ + \frac{1}{4} \left[\underbrace{\psi^2(x+ct) + \psi^2(x-ct)}_{\text{}} + 2\psi(x+ct)\psi(x-ct) \right] \\ + \frac{c}{2} \left(\underbrace{\phi'(x+ct)\psi(x+ct)}_{\text{}} + \phi'(x+ct)\psi(x-ct) \right. \\ \left. - \phi'(x-ct)\psi(x+ct) - \underbrace{\phi'(x-ct)\psi(x-ct)}_{\text{}} \right) \end{cases}$$

and

$$c^2 u_x^2(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{c^2}{4} \left[\underbrace{(\phi'(x+ct))^2 + (\phi'(x-ct))^2}_{+2\phi'(x+ct)\phi'(x-ct)} \right] \\ + \frac{1}{4} \left[\underbrace{\psi^2(x+ct) + \psi^2(x-ct)}_{-2\psi(x+ct)\psi(x-ct)} \right] \\ + \frac{c}{2} \left(\underbrace{\phi'(x+ct)\psi(x+ct) - \phi'(x+ct)\psi(x-ct)}_{+ \phi'(x-ct)\psi(x+ct) - \phi'(x-ct)\psi(x-ct)} \right). \end{cases}$$

By above, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c^2}{4} [-2\phi'(x+ct)\phi'(x-ct)] + \frac{1}{4} [2\psi(x+ct)\psi(x-ct)] \right) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c^2}{4} [2\phi'(x+ct)\phi'(x-ct)] + \frac{1}{4} [-2\psi(x+ct)\psi(x-ct)] \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to showing that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} -c^2\phi'(x+ct)\phi'(x-ct) + \psi(x+ct)\psi(x-ct) \\ +c\phi'(x+ct)\psi(x-ct) - c\phi'(x-ct)\psi(x+ct) \end{pmatrix} dx = 0. \quad (137)$$

Since, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the two points $p = x + ct$, $q = x - ct$ have distance $2c|t|$. They **both** can not stay in the support of ϕ and ψ for large $|t|$ for **any** $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence if $|t| \geq 0$ is large enough, we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'(x+ct)\phi'(x-ct) &= \psi(x+ct)\psi(x-ct) \\ &= \phi'(x+ct)\psi(x-ct) = \phi'(x-ct)\psi(x+ct) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in (-\infty, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is done. □

Remark 5.24 (*Read the following by yourself.*) If you have difficulty assuring the identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_t^2(x, t) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{dt} u_t^2(x, t) \right) dx = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_t(x, t) u_{tt}(x, t) dx, \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

you can use definition and mean value theorem to see that for fixed $t_0 \in (-\infty, \infty)$ (let $F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_t^2(x, t) dx$, $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_t^2(x, t) dx &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(t_0 + h) - F(t_0)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{u_t^2(x, t_0 + h) - u_t^2(x, t_0)}{h} dx = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2u_t(x, t_0 + \theta(x, h)) u_{tt}(x, t_0 + \theta(x, h)) dx,}_{\text{where } \theta(x, h) \text{ lies between } 0 \text{ and } h} \end{aligned}$$

where the number $\theta(x, h)$ lies between 0 and h and so $t_0 + \theta(x, h)$ lies between t_0 and $t_0 + h$ (note that h may be positive or negative). As we will let $h \rightarrow 0$, we may assume that $h \in [-1, 1]$ and so $t_0 + \theta(h) \in [t_0 - 1, t_0 + 1]$ for all $h \in [-1, 1]$. One can find a large number $M > 0$ so that

$$2u_t(x, t_0 + \theta(h)) u_{tt}(x, t_0 + \theta(h)) \equiv 0 \text{ for all } |x| \geq M \text{ and all } h \in [-1, 1], \quad (138)$$

which gives

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2u_t(x, t_0 + \theta(h)) u_{tt}(x, t_0 + \theta(h)) dx = \int_{-M}^M 2u_t(x, t_0 + \theta(h)) u_{tt}(x, t_0 + \theta(h)) dx, \quad \forall h \in [-1, 1].$$

Since the integrand $2u_t(x, s)u_{tt}(x, s)$ is a **continuous function** in $(x, s) \in [-M, M] \times [t_0 - 1, t_0 + 1]$, we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t_0} \int_{-M}^M 2u_t(x, s)u_{tt}(x, s) dx = \int_{-M}^M 2u_t(x, t_0)u_{tt}(x, t_0) dx,$$

which is an elementary fact in Advanced Calculus (note that the situation here is easier because we are doing integral on a compact interval $[-M, M]$). The above implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2u_t(x, t_0 + \theta(h))u_{tt}(x, t_0 + \theta(h)) dx}_{=} \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow t_0} \int_{-M}^M 2u_t(x, s)u_{tt}(x, s) dx = \int_{-M}^M 2u_t(x, t_0)u_{tt}(x, t_0) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2u_t(x, t_0)u_{tt}(x, t_0) dx \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=t_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_t^2(x, t) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2u_t(x, t_0)u_{tt}(x, t_0) dx,$$

where $t_0 \in (-\infty, \infty)$ is fixed but can be arbitrary. The proof is done.

Another energy property for the wave equation is the following:

Lemma 5.25 (Conservation of energy for wave equations with fixed-end condition.) Assume $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a solution of the wave equation $u_{tt}(x, t) = c^2 u_{xx}(x, t)$ and there is some $L > 0$ such that

$$u(0, t) = u(L, t) = 0, \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad (139)$$

i.e. $u(x, t)$ satisfies "**fixed-end**" condition, then the **total energy over the interval** $[0, L]$:

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^L u_t^2(x, t) dx + c^2 \int_0^L u_x^2(x, t) dx \right), \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty) \quad (140)$$

is **independent** of time.

Proof. We first note that by (139) we have $u_t(0, t) = u_t(L, t) = 0$ for all $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^L u_t^2(x, t) dx + c^2 \int_0^L u_x^2(x, t) dx \right) \right] \\ &= \int_0^L u_t u_{tt} dx + c^2 \int_0^L u_x u_{xt} dx = c^2 \int_0^L (u_t u_{xx} + u_x u_{xt}) dx \\ &= c^2 \int_0^L \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u_t u_x) dx = c^2 \underbrace{u_t(L, t) u_x(L, t)}_{=0} - c^2 \underbrace{u_t(0, t) u_x(0, t)}_{=0} = 0, \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the total energy over the interval $[0, L]$ is independent of time. □

The above will be the coverage of the midterm exam on 2023-4-13.

6 Nonhomogeneous wave equation.

We now consider the nonhomogeneous wave equation

$$u_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 u_{xx}(x, t) = f(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (141)$$

with initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (142)$$

Here the functions $f(x, t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\phi(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\psi(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ are all given.

Remark 6.1 (Important.) Note that here we assume $f(x, t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ instead of $f(x, t) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We will explain the reason later on.

By linearity, it suffices to look at the case when $\phi(x) = \psi(x) = 0$ due to the following observation:

Lemma 6.2 If $w(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ solves the problem

$$\begin{cases} w_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 w_{xx}(x, t) = f(x, t), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ w(x, 0) = 0, \quad w_t(x, 0) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \quad (143)$$

and $v(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ solves the problem

$$\begin{cases} v_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 v_{xx}(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ v(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad v_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (144)$$

then $u(x, t) = w(x, t) + v(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ solves the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 u_{xx}(x, t) = f(x, t), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (145)$$

Since we already know how to solve (144), it suffices to focus on (143). We want to derive a **solution formula** for $u(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfying (143).

We shall use the **change of variables method (characteristic coordinates method)** to solve (143). This method is quite **straightforward** and **natural**. We first note the following simple fact:

Lemma 6.3 Let $p(x, y)$ be a C^1 function defined on \mathbb{R}^2 . Then the following two conditions are equivalent: *satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} (1). \quad p(\lambda, \lambda) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_x(\lambda, \lambda) = p_y(\lambda, \lambda), \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \\ (2). \quad p(\lambda, \lambda) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_x(\lambda, \lambda) = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (146)$$

Proof. Assume $p(\lambda, \lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. By the chain rule we have

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\lambda} p(\lambda, \lambda) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(\lambda, \lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{d\lambda} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(\lambda, \lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{d\lambda} = p_x(\lambda, \lambda) + p_y(\lambda, \lambda). \quad (147)$$

By (147), we see that the two conditions in (146) are equivalent. Moreover, both conditions imply

$$p_x(\lambda, \lambda) = p_y(\lambda, \lambda) = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (148)$$

The proof is done. \square

Recall that (see Section 2.2) when we solve the homogeneous wave equation $u_{tt} - c^2 u_{xx} = 0$, we can use the change of variables (by use of the two characteristic lines)

$$\xi = x + ct, \quad \eta = x - ct \quad (149)$$

to reduce the equation into a simple form (let $\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta) = u(x, t)$). By

$$\begin{cases} u_t = c\tilde{u}_\xi - c\tilde{u}_\eta, & u_x = \tilde{u}_\xi + \tilde{u}_\eta \\ u_{tt} = c^2\tilde{u}_{\xi\xi} - 2c^2\tilde{u}_{\xi\eta} + c^2\tilde{u}_{\eta\eta}, & u_{xx} = \tilde{u}_{\xi\xi} + 2\tilde{u}_{\xi\eta} + \tilde{u}_{\eta\eta}, \end{cases} \quad (150)$$

the simple new equation for $\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta)$ is

$$-4c^2\tilde{u}_{\xi\eta}(\xi, \eta) = 0, \quad (151)$$

where, in (151), we have used the identity $\tilde{u}_{\xi\eta} = \tilde{u}_{\eta\xi}$ for a C^2 solution. Hence we obtain the general solution for $\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta)$:

$$\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta) = F(\xi) + G(\eta) \quad (152)$$

and then the general C^2 solution of $u_{tt} - c^2 u_{xx} = 0$ is given by

$$u(x, t) = F(x + ct) + G(x - ct) \quad (153)$$

for arbitrary C^2 functions $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

For the nonhomogeneous equation $u_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 u_{xx}(x, t) = f(x, t)$ with initial conditions in (143), we can **do the same change of variables** and the equation for $\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta)$ becomes

$$-4c^2\tilde{u}_{\xi\eta}(\xi, \eta) = f\left(\frac{\xi + \eta}{2}, \frac{\xi - \eta}{2c}\right). \quad (154)$$

To solve (154), **we also need to convert the initial conditions for $u(x, t)$ into the initial conditions for $\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta)$** . Since we have $u(x, t) = \tilde{u}(x + ct, x - ct)$, the condition $u(x, 0) = 0$ for all $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ becomes

$$\tilde{u}(\lambda, \lambda) = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (155)$$

Next, by

$$u_t(x, t) = c\tilde{u}_\xi(x + ct, x - ct) - c\tilde{u}_\eta(x + ct, x - ct), \quad (156)$$

the condition $u_t(x, 0) = 0$ for all $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ becomes

$$\tilde{u}_\xi(\lambda, \lambda) = \tilde{u}_\eta(\lambda, \lambda), \quad \forall \lambda \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (157)$$

Now by Lemma 6.3, the conditions in (155) and (157) are equivalent to the following:

$$(1). \tilde{u}(\lambda, \lambda) = 0, \quad (2). \tilde{u}_\xi(\lambda, \lambda) = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (158)$$

Thus the initial value problem (143) for the new function $\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta)$ becomes the following equation **with condition on the diagonal line**:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}_{\xi\eta}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}(\tilde{u}_\xi(\xi, \eta)) = -\frac{1}{4c^2}f\left(\frac{\xi + \eta}{2}, \frac{\xi - \eta}{2c}\right), & \forall (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \tilde{u}(\xi, \xi) = 0, \quad \tilde{u}_\xi(\xi, \xi) = 0, & \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (159)$$

We first integrate the equation with respect to η to get $\tilde{u}_\xi(\xi, \eta)$. We need to take the condition $\tilde{u}_\xi(\xi, \xi) = 0$ into consideration. In calculus, if we want to find a one-variable function $H(\eta)$ satisfying $H'(\eta) = g(\eta)$, $H(\xi) = 0$, then the answer is **unique** and is given by

$$H(\eta) = \int_\xi^\eta g(\sigma) d\sigma. \quad (160)$$

Motivated by the above, we write the equation in (159) as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\tilde{u}_\xi(\xi, \theta)) = -\frac{1}{4c^2} f\left(\frac{\xi + \theta}{2}, \frac{\xi - \theta}{2c}\right)$$

and apply the integral $\int_\xi^\eta d\sigma$ onto it to get

$$\tilde{u}_\xi(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \tilde{u}(\xi, \eta) = -\frac{1}{4c^2} \int_\xi^\eta f\left(\frac{\xi + \theta}{2}, \frac{\xi - \theta}{2c}\right) d\theta, \quad \tilde{u}_\xi(\xi, \xi) = 0. \quad (161)$$

Next, we integrate the above with respect to ξ to get $\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta)$ and we need to take the condition $\tilde{u}(\xi, \xi) = 0$ into consideration. By the same trick as in (160), if we want to find $G(\xi)$ satisfying $G'(\xi) = p(\xi)$, $G(\eta) = 0$, then the answer is **unique** and is given by

$$G(\xi) = \int_\eta^\xi p(r) dr. \quad (162)$$

Therefore, by similar trick, we write the equation in (161) as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \tilde{u}(r, \eta) = -\frac{1}{4c^2} \int_r^\eta f\left(\frac{r + \theta}{2}, \frac{r - \theta}{2c}\right) d\theta$$

and apply the integral $\int_\eta^\xi dr$ onto it to get

$$\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta) = \int_\eta^\xi \left[-\frac{1}{4c^2} \int_r^\eta f\left(\frac{r + \theta}{2}, \frac{r - \theta}{2c}\right) d\theta \right] dr, \quad (163)$$

which is the same as

$$\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{4c^2} \int_\eta^\xi \left[\int_\eta^r f\left(\frac{r + \theta}{2}, \frac{r - \theta}{2c}\right) d\theta \right] dr, \quad \forall (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (164)$$

It satisfies

$$\tilde{u}(\xi, \xi) = 0, \quad \tilde{u}_\xi(\xi, \xi) = 0, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (165)$$

Therefore, we conclude that the unique solution of problem (159) is given by the formula (164). To get a better picture for the domain of integration in (ξ, η) -plane, we write (164) as

$$\tilde{u}(\xi_0, \eta_0) = \frac{1}{4c^2} \int_{\eta_0}^{\xi_0} \left[\int_{\eta_0}^\xi f\left(\frac{\xi + \eta}{2}, \frac{\xi - \eta}{2c}\right) d\eta \right] d\xi, \quad \forall (\xi_0, \eta_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (166)$$

or as the double integral

$$\tilde{u}(\xi_0, \eta_0) = \frac{1}{4c^2} \iint_{\tilde{\Delta}} f\left(\frac{\xi + \eta}{2}, \frac{\xi - \eta}{2c}\right) d\xi d\eta. \quad (167)$$

The domain of integration $\tilde{\Delta}$ in the (ξ, η) -plane for the above double integral is given by (for convenience, in the picture below we assume $\eta_0 < \xi_0$)

$\tilde{\Delta}$: DRAW A PICTURE HERE IN (ξ, η) -PLANE !!!

We note that $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the region inside a **right triangle** bounded by the three lines $L_1 : \xi = \xi_0$, $L_2 : \eta = \eta_0$, and $L_3 : \xi = \eta$ (the point (ξ_0, η_0) is on $L_1 \cap L_2$). Going back to (x, t) -plane, by the relation

$$\xi = x + ct, \quad \eta = x - ct \quad \iff \quad x = \frac{\xi + \eta}{2}, \quad t = \frac{\xi - \eta}{2c},$$

we have the following correspondence (denote the point corresponding to (ξ_0, η_0) as (x_0, t_0)):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1). (\xi_0, \eta_0) = (x_0 + ct_0, x_0 - ct_0) \iff (x_0, t_0) = \left(\frac{\xi_0 + \eta_0}{2}, \frac{\xi_0 - \eta_0}{2c} \right) \text{ (top point of the triangle),} \\ (2). L_1 : \xi = \xi_0 \iff \text{line } x + ct = x_0 + ct_0 \text{ (characteristic line with negative slope),} \\ (3). L_2 : \eta = \eta_0 \iff \text{line } x - ct = x_0 - ct_0 \text{ (characteristic line with positive slope),} \\ (4). L_3 : \xi = \eta \iff \text{line } t = 0 \text{ (the } x\text{-axis).} \end{array} \right. \quad (168)$$

Denote the region of (168) as $\Delta \subset (x, t)$ plane, which is a triangle with top point at (x_0, t_0) . Its picture is given by

Δ : DRAW A PICTURE HERE IN (x, t) -PLANE !!!

As a consequence of the above, from Advanced Calculus, we have the change of variables identity for **double integrals** (the **absolute value** of the Jacobian $J = \partial(\xi, \eta) / \partial(x, t)$ is $2c$, $c > 0$) :

$$\tilde{u}(\xi_0, \eta_0) = \frac{1}{4c^2} \iint_{\tilde{\Delta}} f\left(\frac{\xi + \eta}{2}, \frac{\xi - \eta}{2c}\right) d\xi d\eta = \frac{1}{2c} \iint_{\Delta} f(x, t) dx dt = u(x_0, t_0). \quad (169)$$

The last step is to express the double integral $\iint_{\Delta} f(x, t) dx dt$ as an **iterated integral**. Based on the shape of Δ , it is easier to integrate **with respect to x first and then with respect to t** . We have

$$u(x_0, t_0) = \frac{1}{2c} \iint_{\Delta} f(x, t) dx dt = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^{t_0} \left(\int_{x_0 - c(t_0 - t)}^{x_0 + c(t_0 - t)} f(x, t) dx \right) dt, \quad (x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (170)$$

Back to general $u(x, t)$, we can express the solution as

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \left(\int_{x - c(t-s)}^{x + c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (171)$$

At this moment, we are ready to state **the main theorem in this section**, which is:

Theorem 6.4 (*Solution for nonhomogeneous wave equation with zero initial data.*) Assume $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then the function $u(x, t)$ given by

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \left(\int_{x - c(t-s)}^{x + c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (172)$$

lies in the space $C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and is a C^2 **solution** of the initial value problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 u_{xx}(x, t) = f(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ u(x, 0) = 0, \quad u_t(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right. \quad (173)$$

on the domain $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover, the $C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ solution of the problem (173) is uniquely given by (172).

Proof. One first give a direct proof that, for $f \in C^1$, the function $u(x, t)$ given by (172) is indeed a C^2 **solution** of problem (173) defined on \mathbb{R}^2 . For this purpose, we recall the following **derivative formula** from Calculus: Assume $\alpha(x), \beta(x) : [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ are **differentiable** with respect to $x \in [a, b]$ and $h(x, y) : [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1 function. Then we have the identity (also see Remark 6.5 below)

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_{\alpha(x)}^{\beta(x)} h(x, y) dy = h(x, \beta(x)) \beta'(x) - h(x, \alpha(x)) \alpha'(x) + \int_{\alpha(x)}^{\beta(x)} h_x(x, y) dy. \quad (174)$$

Now let $u(x, t)$ be the function given by (172). We first note that

$$\int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \text{ is } C^1 \text{ in } s \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \quad (175)$$

and

$$\int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \text{ is } C^2 \text{ in } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2). \quad (176)$$

We clearly have

$$u(x, 0) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^0 (\dots) ds = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (177)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_x(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2c} \frac{d}{dx} \left[\int_0^t \left(\int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds \right] = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t [f(x+c(t-s), s) - f(x-c(t-s), s)] ds \end{aligned} \quad (178)$$

and

$$u_{xx}(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [f(x+c(t-s), s) - f(x-c(t-s), s)]}_{\text{derivative}} ds. \quad (179)$$

On the other hand, by the identity (174), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2c} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t (h(x, t, s)) ds, \quad \text{where } h(x, t, s) = \int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \\ &= h(x, t, t) \text{ (this is zero)} + \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (h(x, t, s)) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t [f(x+c(t-s), s) c - f(x-c(t-s), s) (-c)] ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t [f(x+c(t-s), s) + f(x-c(t-s), s)] ds, \end{aligned} \quad (180)$$

which gives

$$u_t(x, 0) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^0 (\dots) ds = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (181)$$

and also

$$u_{tt}(x, t) = f(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [f(x+c(t-s), s) + f(x-c(t-s), s)]}_{\text{derivative}} ds. \quad (182)$$

By the identity

$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [f(x+c(t-s), s) + f(x-c(t-s), s)]}_{=0} = c \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [f(x+c(t-s), s) - f(x-c(t-s), s)]}_{=0},$$

we conclude

$$u_{tt}(x, t) = f(x, t) + c^2 u_{xx}(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (183)$$

The check is done.

Now we check uniqueness. If there is another C^2 solution $w(x, t)$ of the problem (173) on \mathbb{R}^2 , we can look at the difference

$$v(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \left(\int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds - w(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Then $v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and it satisfies

$$\begin{cases} v_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 v_{xx}(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ v(x, 0) = 0, \quad v_t(x, 0) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 5.6, we must have $v(x, t) \equiv 0$ on \mathbb{R}^2 . The proof is done. \square

Remark 6.5 (*Change the order of differentiation and integration.*) If $h(x, y)$ and $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(x, y)$ are both in $C^0([a, b] \times [c, d])$, then the function

$$H(x) := \int_c^d h(x, y) dy, \quad x \in [a, b]$$

is differentiable with respect to $x \in [a, b]$ and satisfies

$$H'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\int_c^d h(x, y) dy \right) = \int_c^d \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(x, y) dy, \quad \forall x \in [a, b]. \quad (184)$$

In particular, we note that $H'(x)$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ due to $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \in C^0([a, b] \times [c, d])$.

Remark 6.6 (*Important observation.*) In calculus, if $f(s) \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ is a **continuous** function on \mathbb{R} , and we **integrate it twice over** \mathbb{R} , then the result will be a C^2 function on \mathbb{R} , i.e.

$$u(t) = \int_0^t \left(\int_0^\rho f(s) ds \right) d\rho \in C^2(\mathbb{R}). \quad (185)$$

Note that here $\dim \mathbb{R} = 1$ and the times of integration over the domain space \mathbb{R} is 2. However, if $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and we look at

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \left(\int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds = \frac{1}{2c} \iint_{\Delta} f(\theta, s) d\theta ds \text{ (top point of } \Delta \text{ is } (x, t)), \quad (186)$$

then we have $\dim \mathbb{R}^2 = 2$ and the times of integration over the domain space \mathbb{R}^2 is 1 (2 iterated integrals over \mathbb{R} is equal to 1 **double integral** over the domain space \mathbb{R}^2). **Therefore, we expect $u(x, t)$ given by (186) to lie in the space $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ only (and this is so in general !!!).** Finally, if we assume $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then $u(x, t)$ given by (186) will lie in the space $C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. In Evans PDE book, p. 81, Theorem 4, the author also assumes that $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ in order for $u(x, t)$ to be a C^2 solution of the problem (173) on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Remark 6.7 (Important observation.) Another way to see that if $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then the function $u(x, t)$ in (186) will, in general, lie in the space $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ only. This is because $f(\theta, s)$ is continuous in θ and we only do integral with respect to θ **once**. Similarly, $f(\theta, s)$ is continuous in s and we only do integral with respect to s **once**.

Example 6.8 For simplicity of computation, we assume $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and look at the double integral over a **rectangle**:

$$u(x, t) = \iint_{\square} f(\theta, s) d\theta ds \quad (\text{top right point of } \square \text{ is } (x, t)),$$

where \square is the domain $[0, x] \times [0, t] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. In terms of iterated integrals, it is equal to

$$u(x, t) = \iint_{\square} f(\theta, s) d\theta ds = \int_0^t \int_0^x f(\theta, s) d\theta ds$$

and if we choose $f(\theta, s) = |\theta s| = |\theta| |s| \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we get

$$u(x, t) = \iint_{\square} |\theta| |s| d\theta ds = \int_0^t \int_0^x |\theta| |s| d\theta ds = \int_0^t |s| ds \cdot \int_0^x |\theta| d\theta,$$

which satisfies

$$u_x(x, t) = |x| \int_0^t |s| ds, \quad u_t(x, t) = |t| \int_0^x |\theta| d\theta, \quad u_{xt}(x, t) = u_{tx}(x, t) = |x| |t|.$$

However, $u_{xx}(x, t)$ does not exist at $x = 0$ and $u_{tt}(x, t)$ does not exist at $t = 0$. $u(x, t)$ is a C^1 function on \mathbb{R}^2 , but **not a C^2 function on \mathbb{R}^2** .

By Theorem 6.4, we can state the following:

Theorem 6.9 Consider the following initial value problem for nonhomogeneous wave equation:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 u_{xx}(x, t) = f(x, t), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (187)$$

where $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, are given functions. Then the solution $u(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of (187) is **unique**, defined on \mathbb{R}^2 , and is given by the formula

$$u(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} [\phi(x + ct) + \phi(x - ct)] + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} \psi(s) ds \\ + \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \left(\int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \end{cases} \quad (188)$$

Remark 6.10 (Important.) By the representation formula (188), we can conclude the following: The **domain of dependence** of the solution u at the point (x_0, t_0) , $t_0 > 0$, is given by a **compact triangular set** Δ in the upper (x, t) plane, enclosed by the three lines:

$$\text{line } t = 0 \text{ (} x\text{-axis); line } x + ct = x_0 + ct_0; \text{ line } x - ct = x_0 - ct_0. \quad (189)$$

Note that in case $t_0 < 0$, the description (189) is still correct. Now the **compact triangular set** ∇ is in the lower (x, t) plane.

In the above lemma we assume $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ so that $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. **However, if $f(x, t)$ depends only on x (or only on t), then it suffices to assume that $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$. We have:**

Corollary 6.11 Assume $f(x, t)$ in (173) is a **continuous** function depending only on x , i.e., $f(x, t) = f(x) \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$. Let $G(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ be a function satisfying $G''(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then the function $u(x, t)$ in (172) has the form

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c^2} [G(x + ct) + G(x - ct) - 2G(x)], \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (190)$$

where $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Note that the solution $u(x, t)$ depends on both space and time.

Remark 6.12 One can check that the solution (190) does not depend on the function $G(x)$ as long as it satisfies $G''(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. That is, if we replace $G(x)$ by $\tilde{G}(x) = G(x) + ax + b$ for some constants a, b , then we still have $\tilde{G}''(x) = f(x)$. Using this $\tilde{G}(x)$ in (190) will give the same answer $u(x, t)$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward. Let $F(x)$ be such that $F'(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $G(x)$ be such that $G'(x) = F(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ (and so $G''(x) = f(x)$). We have

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \left(\int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta) d\theta \right) ds = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t [F(x + c(t-s)) - F(x - c(t-s))] ds.$$

By

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{-1}{c} G(x + c(t-s)) \right) = F(x + c(t-s)), \quad \frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{1}{c} G(x - c(t-s)) \right) = F(x - c(t-s)),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2c} \left\{ \left(\frac{-1}{c} G(x + c(t-s)) \right) \Big|_{s=0}^{s=t} - \left(\frac{1}{c} G(x - c(t-s)) \right) \Big|_{s=0}^{s=t} \right\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2c^2} G(x) + \frac{1}{2c^2} G(x + ct) - \frac{1}{2c^2} G(x) + \frac{1}{2c^2} G(x - ct) \\ &= \frac{1}{2c^2} [G(x + ct) + G(x - ct) - 2G(x)], \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is done. □

Corollary 6.13 Assume $f(x, t)$ in (173) is a **continuous** function depending only on t , i.e., $f(x, t) = f(t) \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$. Then the function $u(x, t)$ in (172) has the form

$$u(x, t) = \int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds = \int_0^t \left(\int_0^\rho f(s) ds \right) d\rho \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (191)$$

Note that $u(x, t)$ depends only on time t and is a C^2 function of $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. By (172), we have

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \left(\int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \left(\int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(s) d\theta \right) ds = \underbrace{\int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds}_{}$$

We have

$$\begin{cases} u_t(x, t) = f(t)(t-t) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (f(s)(t-s)) ds = \int_0^t f(s) ds, & u_{tt}(x, t) = f(t), \\ u(x, 0) = 0, & u_t(x, 0) = 0, \\ u_x(x, t) = u_{xx}(x, t) = 0, & u_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 u_{xx}(x, t) = f(t). \end{cases}$$

□

Remark 6.14 (*Interesting observation !!*) A remarkable thing is that for a **continuous** function $f(t) \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$, the function $u(t) := \int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds$ is a C^2 function of $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ (not just a C^1 function). Note that $\int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds$ is a **convolution-type integral** and we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds = \int_0^t f(s) ds \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds = f(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (192)$$

On the other hand, since $u(t)$ satisfies $u''(t) = f(t)$, $u(0) = 0$, $u'(0) = 0$, we can also express $u(t)$ as the **double integral**

$$u(t) = \int_0^t \left(\int_0^\rho f(s) ds \right) d\rho, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (193)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds = \int_0^t \left(\int_0^\rho f(s) ds \right) d\rho, \quad (194)$$

which can be verified using the **change of order of integration in the ρs -plane**, i.e.

$$\int_0^t \left(\int_0^\rho f(s) ds \right) d\rho = \int_0^t \left(\int_s^t f(s) d\rho \right) ds = \int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds. \quad (195)$$

From (194), we see that $\int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds$ is a C^2 function of $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$.

This is the end of second-order equations