

**Remark 0.1** *This notes is based on "Lecture-notes-on-PDE-first-part-2022.tex".*

## 1 Second order linear PDE with constant coefficients; classification and canonical form.

We now consider the linear second order equation with **constant coefficients**, given by

$$au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} + 2du_x + 2eu_y + ku = f(x, y), \quad u = u(x, y) \quad (1)$$

where  $a, \dots, k$  are all **constants** with  $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 > 0$  and  $f(x, y)$  is a given function defined on some open set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ . We want to find a  $C^2$  function  $u(x, y)$  satisfying (1) on some open set (may be just a subset of  $\Omega$ ). Note that for a  $C^2$  function  $u(x, y)$ , we have  $u_{xy}(x, y) = u_{yx}(x, y)$  on its domain.

**Remark 1.1** *Note that if  $u = u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  depends on  $n$  variables, the discussions below are similar. For convenience, we assume that  $u = u(x, y)$  depends only on 2 variables.*

We can write (1) in the matrix form as

$$\text{Trace} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{xx} & u_{xy} \\ u_{xy} & u_{yy} \end{pmatrix} \right] + 2 \begin{pmatrix} d \\ e \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} u_x \\ u_y \end{pmatrix} + ku = f(x, y), \quad (2)$$

which is helpful for us to understand the effect of the change of variables. As we shall see soon, the **"type"** of the equation (1) is determined by the **sign** of the **determinant** of the coefficient matrix.

**Remark 1.2** *We shall use the notation  $\text{Tr}A$  to denote the trace of a square  $n \times n$  matrix  $A \in M(n)$ . The basic properties of the trace operator are*

$$\begin{cases} \text{Tr}(c_1A + c_2B) = c_1\text{Tr}(A) + c_2\text{Tr}(B) \\ \text{Tr}(A) = \text{Tr}(A^T), \quad \text{Tr}(P^{-1}AP) = \text{Tr}(A), \quad \text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA), \end{cases}$$

where  $A, B, P \in M(n)$ ,  $P$  is invertible, and  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . However, unlike  $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$ , we do not have  $\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(B)$ .

**Lemma 1.3** *Assume  $u(x, y)$  is a  $C^2$  function defined on some domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ . If we introduce the linear change of variables given by*

$$\xi = \xi(x, y) = Ax + By, \quad \eta = \eta(x, y) = Cx + Dy, \quad A, B, C, D \text{ are all const.}, \quad (3)$$

*i.e.,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = J \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } J = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det J \neq 0, \quad (4)$$

then the equation (2) for the function  $U(\xi, \eta)$  (where  $U(Ax + By, Cx + Dy) = u(x, y)$ ) becomes

$$\text{Tr} \left[ \underbrace{J \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} J^T}_{\text{matrix}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} U_{\xi\xi} & U_{\xi\eta} \\ U_{\xi\eta} & U_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix} \right] + (\text{lower order terms}) = F(\xi, \eta), \quad (5)$$

where  $\text{Tr}(\cdot)$  is the trace of a matrix.

**Remark 1.4** Denote

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad N = J \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} J^T, \quad \det J \neq 0. \quad (6)$$

We see that the matrix  $N$  is also **symmetric**. By a theorem from linear algebra, **all eigenvalues of both  $M$  and  $N$  are real**. Moreover, the **sign** of  $\det M$  and  $\det N$  are the **same** due to  $\det N = (\det J)^2 \det M$ , where  $\det J \neq 0$ . In particular, the **sign** of the **eigenvalues**  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are unchanged under the change of variables. Finally, if  $J$  is an **orthogonal** matrix (i.e.  $J^T = J^{-1}$ ), then both  $M$  and  $N$  are **similar** and have the **same** eigenvalues. Our goal is to **diagonalize**  $M$  (i.e. make  $N$  to be **diagonal**), which will reduce equation (1) into **canonical form**.

**Proof.** We have

$$U(Ax + By, Cx + Dy) = u(x, y),$$

and by the chain rule we have

$$u_x = AU_\xi + CU_\eta, \quad u_y = BU_\xi + DU_\eta, \quad \begin{pmatrix} u_x \\ u_y \end{pmatrix} = J^T \begin{pmatrix} U_\xi \\ U_\eta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

which is equivalent to the operator identities:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = A \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + C \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = B \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + D \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta},$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = J \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} = J^T \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

One step furthermore, we get

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \left( A \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + C \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) (\dots) = A^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} + 2AC \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} + C^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = \left( A \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + C \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \left( B \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + D \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) (\dots) = AB \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} + (AD + BC) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} + CD \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = \left( B \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + D \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) (\dots) = B^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} + 2BD \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} + D^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2}, \end{cases}$$

i.e. we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} = J^T \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \end{pmatrix} = J^T \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} \end{pmatrix} J, \quad (9)$$

which means

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_x \\ u_y \end{pmatrix} = J^T \begin{pmatrix} U_\xi \\ U_\eta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} u_{xx} & u_{xy} \\ u_{xy} & u_{yy} \end{pmatrix} = J^T \begin{pmatrix} U_{\xi\xi} & U_{\xi\eta} \\ U_{\xi\eta} & U_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix} J. \quad (10)$$

Thus the equation

$$\text{Trace} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{xx} & u_{xy} \\ u_{xy} & u_{yy} \end{pmatrix} \right] + (\text{lower order terms}) = f(x, y)$$

becomes (note that for any two matrices  $A, B$ , we have the identity  $\text{Trace}(AB) = \text{Trace}(BA)$  in linear algebra)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Trace} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \cdot \underline{J^T \begin{pmatrix} U_{\xi\xi} & U_{\xi\eta} \\ U_{\xi\eta} & U_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix} J} \right] + (\text{lower order terms}) \\ & = \text{Trace} \left[ \underline{J \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} J^T} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} U_{\xi\xi} & U_{\xi\eta} \\ U_{\xi\eta} & U_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix} \right] + (\text{lower order terms}) = F(\xi, \eta) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The proof is done.  $\square$

**Definition 1.5** Since the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$$

is **symmetric**, it has two **real eigenvalues**  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ . If both of them are positive (or both are negative), then we say the equation (1) is **elliptic** (this is equivalent to  $\det M = ac - b^2 > 0$ ). If one eigenvalue is positive and the other is negative, we say the equation is **hyperbolic** (this is equivalent to  $\det M = ac - b^2 < 0$ ). If one eigenvalue is zero and the other is nonzero, we say the equation is **parabolic** (this is equivalent to  $\det M = ac - b^2 = 0$ ). Note that by

$$\det \left( \underbrace{J \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} J^T}_{\text{symmetric}} \right) = (\det J)^2 \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } \det J \neq 0,$$

we see that **the type of the linear equation (2) is invariant under a linear change of variables.**

Since the matrix  $M$  in (6) is **symmetric**, by linear algebra theory, we can find **orthonormal** basis  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  (they are **eigenvectors** corresponding to  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ ) such that

$$P^T M P = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^T = P^{-1}, \quad (12)$$

where  $P$  is the **orthogonal matrix**  $P = (v_1, v_2)$  ( $v_1, v_2$  are column vectors of  $P$ ). Assume that  $v_1 = (\alpha, \beta)$  and  $v_2 = (p, q)$  and let

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = J \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ p & q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ p & q \end{pmatrix} = P^T, \quad (13)$$

i.e.  $J = P^T$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace} \left[ \underbrace{J \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} J^T}_{\text{symmetric}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} U_{\xi\xi} & U_{\xi\eta} \\ U_{\xi\eta} & U_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix} \right] &= \text{Trace} \left[ \underbrace{P^T \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} P}_{\text{symmetric}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} U_{\xi\xi} & U_{\xi\eta} \\ U_{\xi\eta} & U_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \text{Trace} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} U_{\xi\xi} & U_{\xi\eta} \\ U_{\xi\eta} & U_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix} \right] = \underbrace{\lambda_1 U_{\xi\xi} + \lambda_2 U_{\eta\eta}}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

which will reduce the leading terms  $au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} + \dots$  of the PDE (1) into **canonical form** !!

**Remark 1.6** (*Omit this in class.*) (**Important.**) In case the original equation (1) is already a Laplace equation  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$ , then the matrix  $M = I$  is the identity matrix and **any orthogonal matrix**  $P$  will make

$$P^T M P = P^T I P = P^T P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P = (v_1, v_2).$$

With this, (5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace} \left[ \underbrace{J \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} J^T}_{\text{symmetric}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} U_{\xi\xi} & U_{\xi\eta} \\ U_{\xi\eta} & U_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix} \right], \quad J = P^T, \quad J J^T = P^T P = I \\ = \text{Trace} \left[ J J^T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} U_{\xi\xi} & U_{\xi\eta} \\ U_{\xi\eta} & U_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix} \right] = U_{\xi\xi} + U_{\eta\eta} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

which means that under **any orthogonal linear change of variables**  $(x, y) \longleftrightarrow (\xi, \eta)$ , the new equation for  $U(\xi, \eta)$  still has the **same form**

$$U_{\xi\xi} + U_{\eta\eta} = 0. \quad (16)$$

That means if  $u(x, y)$  is a solution of the Laplace equation  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$  and  $(x, y) \longleftrightarrow (\xi, \eta)$  is an orthogonal linear change of variable, then the corresponding new function  $U(\xi, \eta)$  will also satisfy the same equation  $U_{\xi\xi} + U_{\eta\eta} = 0$ .

**Remark 1.7 (Omit this in class.) (Important.)** The space of all  $2 \times 2$  **orthogonal matrices** forms a **group**, which we denote it as  $O(2)$ . It is known that the group  $O(2)$  is the set of **all rotations about the origin  $O$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$**  (they have  $\det = 1$ ) together with **all reflections with respect to straight lines through the origin  $O$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$**  (they have  $\det = -1$ ). In terms of the polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , the first case corresponds to  $\theta \rightarrow \theta + \theta_0$  ( $\theta_0$  may be positive or negative) and the second case, if we do reflection with respect to the  $x$ -axis, corresponds to  $\theta \rightarrow -\theta$ . Note that **the Laplace equation under polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$  has the form**

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \right) U(r, \theta) = 0$$

and one can see that if  $U(r, \theta)$  is a solution, so is  $U(r, \theta + \theta_0)$  (rotation about the origin); the same for  $U(r, -\theta)$  (reflection with respect to the  $x$ -axis).

By (14), we can conclude the following **classification** result for equation (1):

**Lemma 1.8 (Canonical form.)** If the linear equation (2) is **elliptic**, then one can find a suitable linear change of variables (**using eigenvalues and eigenvectors**)

$$\xi = Ax + By, \quad \eta = Cx + Dy, \quad A, B, C, D \text{ are constants,}$$

so that the equation for  $U(\xi, \eta)$  has the form

$$U_{\xi\xi} + U_{\eta\eta} + (\text{lower order terms}) = F(\xi, \eta). \quad (17)$$

For **hyperbolic** case, the equation has the form

$$U_{\xi\xi} - U_{\eta\eta} + (\text{lower order terms}) = F(\xi, \eta), \quad (18)$$

and for **parabolic** case, the equation has the form

$$U_{\xi\xi} + (\text{lower order terms}) = F(\xi, \eta). \quad (19)$$

**Remark 1.9** The forms in (17), (18) and (19) are said to be in **canonical forms**. Another canonical form of the **hyperbolic** case is

$$U_{\xi\eta} + (\text{lower order terms}) = F(\xi, \eta). \quad (20)$$

One can show that an equation of the form  $u_{xx} - u_{yy} = 0$  can be converted into an equation of the form  $4U_{\xi\eta} = 0$  (by the change of variables  $\xi = x + y$ ,  $\eta = x - y$ ). Therefore, canonical form (18) and (20) are **equivalent**.

**Proof.** For the **elliptic** case, by multiplying the equation by a minus sign if necessary, we may assume  $\lambda_1 > 0$ ,  $\lambda_2 > 0$  (both are eigenvalues of the coefficient matrix). By the change of variables (13), we can convert in into the form

$$\lambda_1 U_{\xi\xi} + \lambda_2 U_{\eta\eta} + (\text{lower order terms}) = F(\xi, \eta), \quad \lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 > 0.$$

If we let

$$\tilde{\xi} = \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}, \quad \tilde{\eta} = \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}}, \quad \tilde{U}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) = U\left(\sqrt{\lambda_1}\tilde{\xi}, \sqrt{\lambda_2}\tilde{\eta}\right), \quad (21)$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1 U_{\xi\xi} + \lambda_2 U_{\eta\eta} + (\text{lower order terms}), \quad \text{where } \lambda_1 > 0, \quad \lambda_2 < 0 \\ & = \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\xi}}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) + \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\eta}}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) + (\text{lower order terms}) = \tilde{F}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have arrived at the form (17). For the **hyperbolic** case, we have  $\lambda_1 > 0$ ,  $\lambda_2 < 0$ . Then we replace (21) by

$$\tilde{\xi} = \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}, \quad \tilde{\eta} = \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{-\lambda_2}}, \quad \tilde{U}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) = U\left(\sqrt{\lambda_1}\tilde{\xi}, \sqrt{-\lambda_2}\tilde{\eta}\right) \quad (22)$$

and get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1 U_{\xi\xi} + \lambda_2 U_{\eta\eta} + (\text{lower order terms}), \quad \text{where } \lambda_1 > 0, \quad \lambda_2 < 0 \\ & = \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\xi}}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) - \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\eta}}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) + (\text{lower order terms}) = \tilde{F}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}). \end{aligned}$$

For the **parabolic** case, by multiplying the equation by a minus sign if necessary, we may assume  $\lambda_1 > 0$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 0$ . Then we replace (21) by

$$\tilde{\xi} = \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}, \quad \tilde{\eta} = \eta, \quad \tilde{U}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) = U\left(\sqrt{\lambda_1}\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}\right) \quad (23)$$

and get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1 U_{\xi\xi} + \lambda_2 U_{\eta\eta} + (\text{lower order terms}), \quad \text{where } \lambda_1 > 0, \quad \lambda_2 = 0 \\ & = \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\xi}}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) + (\text{lower order terms}) = \tilde{F}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) \end{aligned}$$

The proof is done. □

**Definition 1.10** *In case equation (1) is parabolic with canonical form*

$$U_{\xi\xi} + (\text{lower order terms}) = F(\xi, \eta), \quad (24)$$

and there is **no**  $U_\eta$  term in (lower order terms) of (24), we say the equation is **degenerate**. Otherwise, we say it is **nondegenerate**. A degenerate parabolic equation is just a second order **ODE** in  $\xi$  of the form (view  $\eta$  as a parameter):

$$U_{\xi\xi} + aU_\xi + bU = F(\xi, \eta), \quad a, b \text{ are constants.} \quad (25)$$

We **will not** study a degenerate parabolic equation. From now on, if we study a parabolic equation, we always assume that it is **nondegenerate**.

## 1.1 Refined canonical form; getting rid of the first derivative terms.

One can go further to **get rid of the first derivative terms** in (17), (18) and (19) of Lemma 1.8. For simplicity, we can just look at two examples.

**Example 1.11 (For elliptic and hyperbolic equations.)** Assume we have an **elliptic equation** in canonical form:

$$U_{\xi\xi} + U_{\eta\eta} + 3U_{\xi} + 4U_{\eta} + 5U = F(\xi, \eta), \quad (26)$$

where we can write it as

$$\left( \underbrace{U_{\xi\xi} + 3U_{\xi}} \right) + \left( \underbrace{U_{\eta\eta} + 4U_{\eta}} \right) + 5U = F(\xi, \eta)$$

We let  $v(\xi, \eta)$  be the new function given by

$$v(\xi, \eta) = e^{a\xi + b\eta} U(\xi, \eta)$$

for some constants  $a, b$  and we choose  $a = 3/2, b = 4/2$  to get

$$v(\xi, \eta) = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} U(\xi, \eta) \quad (27)$$

and compute

$$v_{\xi} = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} \left( \frac{3}{2}U + U_{\xi} \right), \quad v_{\eta} = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} \left( \frac{4}{2}U + U_{\eta} \right) \quad (28)$$

and

$$v_{\xi\xi} = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} \left( \frac{9}{4}U + \underbrace{3U_{\xi} + U_{\xi\xi}} \right), \quad v_{\eta\eta} = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} \left( 4U + \underbrace{4U_{\eta} + U_{\eta\eta}} \right). \quad (29)$$

Hence we obtain

$$v_{\xi\xi} + v_{\eta\eta} = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} \left[ \left( \underbrace{U_{\xi\xi} + 3U_{\xi}} \right) + \left( \underbrace{U_{\eta\eta} + 4U_{\eta}} \right) + \left( \frac{9}{4} + 4 \right) U \right]$$

and conclude

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\xi\xi} + v_{\eta\eta} &= e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} \left( \underbrace{U_{\xi\xi} + 3U_{\xi} + U_{\eta\eta} + 4U_{\eta} + 5U}_{\text{original equation}} + \left( \frac{9}{4} + 4 \right) U - 5U \right) \\ &= \frac{5}{4}v + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} F(\xi, \eta), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$v_{\xi\xi} + v_{\eta\eta} - \frac{5}{4}v = \phi(\xi, \eta), \quad \text{where } \phi(\xi, \eta) = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{4}{2}\eta} F(\xi, \eta). \quad (30)$$

The new equation for  $v$  **has no first derivatives terms**. Note that, in general, one **cannot** choose **two** constants  $a$  and  $b$  to get rid of the **three** terms  $3U_{\xi} + 4U_{\eta} + 5U$ . Therefore, the term  $-(5/4)v$  in (30) **cannot** be removed in general. The same result holds for the **hyperbolic equation**.

**Example 1.12 (For nondegenerate parabolic equations.)** Assume we have the **nondegenerate parabolic equation** in canonical form:

$$U_{\xi\xi} + 3U_{\xi} + 4U_{\eta} + 5U = F(\xi, \eta). \quad (31)$$

where we can write it as

$$\left( \underbrace{U_{\xi\xi} + 3U_{\xi}} \right) + \left( \underbrace{4U_{\eta} + 5U} \right) = F(\xi, \eta). \quad (32)$$

Now we let  $v(\xi, \eta)$  be the new function given by

$$v(\xi, \eta) = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \lambda\eta} U(\xi, \eta), \quad \lambda \text{ is a constant to be determined} \quad (33)$$

and compute

$$\begin{cases} v_\xi = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \lambda\eta} \cdot \left( \frac{3}{2}U + U_\xi \right), & v_\eta = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \lambda\eta} \cdot \left( \underbrace{\lambda U + U_\eta}_{\text{term}} \right), \\ v_{\xi\xi} = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \lambda\eta} \left( \frac{9}{4}U + \underbrace{3U_\xi + U_{\xi\xi}}_{\text{term}} \right), \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

where, since there is no  $U_{\eta\eta}$  term in the original equation, we **do not have to** compute  $v_{\eta\eta}$  (otherwise, we will get  $U_{\eta\eta}$  and this does not make sense). Now, unlike the elliptic case in which we can compute  $v_{\eta\eta}$  to produce the term  $U_\eta$  (see (29)), here to produce the term  $4U_\eta$  in (32), **the only method** is to look at  $4v_\eta$  and get

$$4v_\eta = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \lambda\eta} \cdot \left( \underbrace{4\lambda U + 4U_\eta}_{\text{term}} \right). \quad (35)$$

Now we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\xi\xi} + 4v_\eta &= e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \lambda\eta} \left( \frac{9}{4}U + \underbrace{3U_\xi + U_{\xi\xi}}_{\text{term}} + \underbrace{4\lambda U + 4U_\eta}_{\text{term}} \right) \\ &= e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \lambda\eta} \left( U_{\xi\xi} + 3U_\xi + 4U_\eta + \underbrace{\left( \frac{9}{4} + 4\lambda \right) U}_{\text{term}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

By (??), if we choose  $\lambda = 11/16$ , we will have  $(9/4 + 4\lambda)U = 5U$  and (36) becomes

$$v_{\xi\xi} + 4v_\eta = \phi(\xi, \eta), \quad \text{where } \phi(\xi, \eta) = e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi + \frac{11}{16}\eta} F(\xi, \eta). \quad (37)$$

As a comparison, we see that we have got rid of the terms  $3U_\xi$  and  $5U$  in (37). From the above computation, we also see that it is **impossible** to reduce the **nondegenerate parabolic equation** (31) into the form

$$v_{\xi\xi} + cv = 0 \quad (38)$$

for some constant  $c$ .

By the above two examples, we can improve Lemma 1.8 as:

**Theorem 1.13 (Refined canonical form.)** *If the linear equation (2) is **elliptic**, then one can find a suitable linear change of variables (using eigenvalues, eigenvectors and scalings) and multiply the solution by some suitable exponential function so that, eventually, the equation has the form*

$$v_{\xi\xi} + v_{\eta\eta} = cv + \phi(\xi, \eta), \quad v = v(\xi, \eta), \quad (39)$$

for some constant  $c \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and some function  $\phi(\xi, \eta)$ . If the equation (2) is **hyperbolic**, the equation has the form

$$v_{\xi\xi} - v_{\eta\eta} = cv + \phi(\xi, \eta), \quad v = v(\xi, \eta), \quad (40)$$

for some constant  $c \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and some function  $\phi(\xi, \eta)$ . If the equation (2) is **parabolic** and **nondegenerate**, the equation has the form

$$v_{\xi\xi} = cv_\eta + \phi(\xi, \eta), \quad v = v(\xi, \eta), \quad (41)$$

for some constant  $c \in (-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ , and some function  $\phi(\xi, \eta)$ .

**Proof.** The proof is now obvious. We omit it. □

**Remark 1.14 (Important.)** The constant  $c$  in the **elliptic case** can be  $c > 0$  or  $c = 0$  or  $c < 0$ . For  $c > 0$ , we can make it equal to 1 by doing the change of variables

$$\tilde{\xi} = \sqrt{c}\xi, \quad \tilde{\eta} = \sqrt{c}\eta, \quad \tilde{v}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) = v\left(\frac{\tilde{\xi}}{\sqrt{c}}, \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{\sqrt{c}}\right)$$

and for  $c < 0$ , we can make it equal to  $-1$  by doing the change of variables

$$\tilde{\xi} = \sqrt{-c}\xi, \quad \tilde{\eta} = \sqrt{-c}\eta, \quad \tilde{v}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) = v\left(\frac{\tilde{\xi}}{\sqrt{-c}}, \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{\sqrt{-c}}\right).$$

Thus in the **elliptic case**, we may simply assume  $c = 1$  or  $0$  or  $-1$ . The constant  $c$  in the **hyperbolic case** can be  $c > 0$  or  $c = 0$  or  $c < 0$ . For  $c < 0$ , by switching the role of  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ , we may assume  $c > 0$  or  $c = 0$ . Hence for the **hyperbolic case**, eventually, we can simply assume  $c = 1$  or  $0$ . Finally, for the **parabolic case**, the constant  $c \neq 0$  can be  $c > 0$  or  $c < 0$ . So eventually we can simply assume  $c = 1$  or  $-1$ . However, since most parabolic equations come from physical phenomenon involving the behavior of some quantity  $v(\xi, \eta)$  depending on space and time. So  $\xi$  will represent **space variable** (we rewrite it as  $x$ ) and  $\eta$  will represent **time variable** (we rewrite it as  $t$ ). In that case a **nondegenerate parabolic equation** in its **refined canonical form** looks like (assume  $\phi(\xi, \eta) = 0$  for simplicity)

$$(1) \cdot v_t = v_{xx} \quad \text{or} \quad (2) \cdot v_t = -v_{xx}, \quad (42)$$

where, physically, the quantity  $v_{xx}$  describes the process due to **diffusion** (say, from high temperature to low temperature, or from high concentration to low concentration, ... etc). We call (1) the **"forward heat equation"** (or just **heat equation**) and (2) the **"backward heat equation"**. Since in reality, time cannot go backwards, so in a parabolic equation, we always focus on the behavior of a solution  $v(x, t)$  **as time goes forwards**, i.e., as  $t$  is **increasing**. One can use simple examples to see that, **as time goes forwards**, the heat equation (1) will make solution better, while the backward heat equation (2) will make solution worse (look at  $e^{-t} \sin x$  and  $e^t \sin x$  respectively). Thus, as time goes forwards, equation (1) is **well-posed**, while (2) is **ill-posed**. Hence, we will focus only on (1).

Finally, by the above remark, we conclude the following **final canonical form**:

**Theorem 1.15 (Final canonical form.)** If the linear equation (2) is **elliptic**, then one can find a suitable linear change of variables (**using eigenvalues, eigenvectors and scalings**) and multiply the solution by some suitable **exponential function** so that, eventually, the equation has the form

$$v_{\xi\xi} + v_{\eta\eta} = \begin{cases} v + \phi(\xi, \eta), \\ \phi(\xi, \eta), \\ -v + \phi(\xi, \eta), \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

where  $v = v(\xi, \eta)$ . If the equation (2) is **hyperbolic**, the equation has the form

$$v_{\xi\xi} - v_{\eta\eta} = \begin{cases} v + \phi(\xi, \eta), \\ \phi(\xi, \eta), \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

where  $v = v(\xi, \eta)$ . If the equation (2) is **parabolic, nondegenerate and forward**, the equation has the form

$$v_{\xi\xi} = v_{\eta} + \phi(\xi, \eta), \quad v = v(\xi, \eta). \quad (45)$$

**Proof.** The proof is now obvious. We omit it. □



**Corollary 1.16** *If the equation (1) has the form*

$$au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} + 2du_x + 2eu_y = 0, \quad (46)$$

where  $a, \dots, e$  are all **constants** with  $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 > 0$ , then one can reduce it into one of the canonical forms

$$v_{\xi\xi} + v_{\eta\eta} = 0, \quad v_{\xi\xi} - v_{\eta\eta} = 0, \quad v_{\xi\xi} - v_{\eta} = 0, \quad v = v(\xi, \eta). \quad (47)$$

**Definition 1.17** *Let  $v = v(\xi, \eta)$ . The equations  $v_{\xi\xi} + v_{\eta\eta} = 0$ ,  $v_{\xi\xi} - v_{\eta\eta} = 0$  (view  $\eta$  as time),  $v_{\eta} = v_{\xi\xi}$  (view  $\eta$  as time), are called **Laplace equation (elliptic equation)**, **wave equation (hyperbolic equation)**, and **heat equation (nondegenerate forward parabolic equation)**, respectively.*

**Remark 1.18** *In this elementary course we will focus only on **Laplace equation, wave equation and heat equation**, or focus only on equation (48) below.*

## 2 General solutions of hyperbolic equations without lower order terms.

In this section, we look at equations of the following form with **no** lower order terms and  $f(x, y) \equiv 0$ , i.e.

$$au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = 0, \quad u = u(x, y), \quad a, b, c \text{ are const.}, \quad (48)$$

where  $a, b, c$  are constants with  $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 > 0$ . (48) can be written as

$$\text{Trace} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{xx} & u_{xy} \\ u_{xy} & u_{yy} \end{pmatrix} \right] = 0, \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} = ac - b^2.$$

We want to find general  $C^2$  solution  $u = u(x, y)$  of (48) defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The **canonical form** of (48) is given by

$$\begin{cases} U_{\xi\xi} + U_{\eta\eta} = 0 & (\det M > 0, \text{ elliptic}) \\ U_{\xi\xi} - U_{\eta\eta} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad U_{\xi\eta} = 0 & (\det M < 0, \text{ hyperbolic}) \\ U_{\xi\xi} = 0 & (\det M = 0, \text{ parabolic but } \mathbf{degenerate}), \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

where  $M$  is the coefficient matrix of (48). The result is that, **for hyperbolic and parabolic cases in (49), we can solve them easily (but not for elliptic equation)**. The method is either by a **change of variables (diagonalization method)** or by a **factorization method**.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $A, B, C, D$  be constants with  $AD - BC \neq 0$ . Consider the first order equation*

$$Au_x + Bu_y = g(Dx - Cy), \quad u = u(x, y) \quad (50)$$

where  $g(\cdot)$  is a given continuous function defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then the **general solution** of (50) is given by

$$u(x, y) = F(Bx - Ay) + G(Dx - Cy), \quad (51)$$

where  $F(\cdot)$  is an arbitrary  $C^1$  function defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  and the  $C^1$  function  $G(\theta)$  satisfies

$$G'(\theta) = \frac{g(\theta)}{AD - BC}, \quad \forall \theta \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (52)$$

In particular, if the function  $g(\cdot)$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is **arbitrary**, then the function  $G(\cdot)$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is also **arbitrary**.

**Remark 2.2** The condition  $AD - BC \neq 0$  is necessary. The case  $AD - BC = 0$  will be discussed later on. See (81).

**Remark 2.3** Also note that  $AD - BC \neq 0$  implies that the two families of lines  $Bx - Ay = \lambda$ ,  $Dx - Cy = \eta$  are **not** parallel. As a consequence of this, the two terms  $F(Bx - Ay)$ ,  $G(Dx - Cy)$  in (58) are essentially different.

**Proof.** We do the linear change of variables

$$w = Bx - Ay, \quad z = Dx - Cy, \quad \text{Jacobian is } \begin{vmatrix} B & -A \\ D & -C \end{vmatrix} = AD - BC \neq 0,$$

which is a global linear change of variables from  $xy$ -space to  $wz$ -space. Now the function  $u(x, y)$  becomes  $U(w, z)$  and we have

$$Au_x + Bu_y = A[U_w B + U_z D] + B[U_w (-A) + U_z (-C)] = (AD - BC)U_z = g(z),$$

which gives

$$U_z = \frac{g(z)}{AD - BC}, \quad AD - BC \neq 0$$

and so

$$U(w, z) = F(w) + G(z) = F(Bx - Ay) + G(Dx - Cy),$$

where  $G'(z) = \frac{g(z)}{AD - BC}$ . The proof is done.  $\square$

## 2.1 Solving hyperbolic equations; factorization method.

**Lemma 2.4** Let  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$  be three given constants with  $ac < b^2$  (same as  $b^2 - ac > 0$ ). Then one can find constants  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ ,  $D$  satisfying

$$AC = a, \quad AD + BC = 2b, \quad BD = c. \quad (53)$$

In particular, we have

$$(AD - BC)^2 = 4(b^2 - ac) > 0, \quad AD - BC \neq 0. \quad (54)$$

This means that, if we have  $b^2 - ac > 0$ , we can factor the second order homogeneous polynomial  $ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2$  as

$$ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2 = (Ax + By)(Cx + Dy), \quad AD - BC \neq 0, \quad (55)$$

where the two lines  $Ax + By = 0$ ,  $Cx + Dy = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are not parallel (same as  $Bx - Ay = 0$ ,  $Dx - Cy = 0$  are **not** parallel).

**Remark 2.5** If  $ac > b^2$ , then (53) and (55) cannot be satisfied (check it yourself). Therefore, the method in this section cannot be used to elliptic equations.

**Remark 2.6** If  $a = 1$ , we can choose  $A = C = 1$ , and then solve

$$B + D = 2b, \quad BD = c$$

to get

$$B = b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - c}, \quad D = \frac{c}{b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - c}}, \quad \text{for } c \neq 0$$

and

$$B = 2b, \quad D = 0, \quad \text{for } c = 0.$$

**Remark 2.7** If  $a > 0$ ,  $b = 0$ ,  $c < 0$ , we can choose  $A = C = \sqrt{a}$  and  $B = \sqrt{-c}$ ,  $D = -\sqrt{-c}$ .

**Proof.** (Read it yourself. We omit it.) If  $a = 0$ , then by  $ac = 0 < b^2$ , we must have  $b \neq 0$ . The numbers

$$A = 1, \quad B = \frac{c}{2b}, \quad C = 0, \quad D = 2b,$$

satisfy (53). If  $c = 0$ , then we still have  $b \neq 0$ . The numbers

$$A = \frac{a}{2b}, \quad B = 1, \quad C = 2b, \quad D = 0,$$

satisfy (53). If  $ac \neq 0$ , then  $b + \sqrt{b^2 - ac} \neq 0$  and  $b - \sqrt{b^2 - ac} \neq 0$ . The numbers

$$A = 1, \quad B = \frac{b + \sqrt{b^2 - ac}}{a}, \quad C = a, \quad D = \frac{ac}{b + \sqrt{b^2 - ac}}$$

satisfy (53). Finally, in each case we can see that  $AD - BC \neq 0$ . □

We now focus on the **hyperbolic** ( $ac - b^2 < 0$ ) case in (48). That is, the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$  of the coefficient matrix have different sign and we may assume  $\lambda_1 > 0$ ,  $\lambda_2 < 0$ .

**Lemma 2.8** (*Factorization method for hyperbolic equation.*) Assume (48) is hyperbolic, i.e.,  $ac < b^2$ . Then one can decompose it as

$$au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = \left( A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left[ \left( C \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + D \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) u \right] = 0 \quad (56)$$

for some constants  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ ,  $D$  satisfying

$$AC = a, \quad AD + BC = 2b, \quad BD = c, \quad AD - BC \neq 0. \quad (57)$$

In particular, the **general solution** of (48) is given by

$$u(x, y) = F(Bx - Ay) + G(Dx - Cy), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (58)$$

for arbitrary  $C^2$  **functions**  $F(\cdot)$ ,  $G(\cdot)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** For a  $C^2$  function  $u$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left[ \left( C \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + D \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) u \right] \\ &= A(Cu_x + Du_y)_x + B(Cu_x + Du_y)_y = ACu_{xx} + (AD + BC)u_{xy} + BDu_{yy}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Lemma 2.4, there are numbers  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ ,  $D$  satisfying

$$AC = a, \quad AD + BC = 2b, \quad BD = c, \quad AD - BC \neq 0. \quad (59a)$$

Hence, for a  $C^2$  function  $u$ , it satisfies  $au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = 0$  if and only if it satisfies

$$\left( A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \left[ \left( C \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + D \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) u \right] = 0, \quad (60)$$

where  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ ,  $D$  satisfy (59a). We can find solutions of (60) by solving two first order PDE. Let

$$v = \left( C \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + D \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) u.$$

It satisfies  $\left(A\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)v = 0$ . Hence  $v(x, y) = f(Bx - Ay)$  for **arbitrary**  $C^1$  function  $f$  and the equation for  $u$  becomes

$$Cu_x + Du_y = f(Bx - Ay), \quad f \in C^1.$$

By Lemma 2.1, the general solution for  $u(x, y)$  is

$$u(x, y) = G(Dx - Cy) + F(Bx - Ay), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where  $F, G$  are two arbitrary  $C^2$  functions defined on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  (since we want  $u(x, y)$  to be a  $C^2$  solution, we must require  $F, G$  to be  $C^2$  functions). The proof is done.  $\square$

**Remark 2.9 (Important.)** Lemma 2.8 says that to solve the second order hyperbolic equation, it suffices to solve **two first order equations**.

**Definition 2.10** We call the **2-parameter family of lines**

$$Bx - Ay = \lambda, \quad Dx - Cy = \eta, \quad AD - BC \neq 0$$

where  $\lambda, \eta$  are arbitrary constants, the **characteristic lines** of the hyperbolic equation (56).

**Example 2.11** Consider the second order linear equation in two variables:

$$u_{xx} - 4u_{xy} - 2u_{yy} = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad u = u(x, y) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

What is the type (elliptic, hyperbolic, or parabolic) of this equation? Use **factorization method** to find the general solution of the equation.

**Solution:**

The equation has the form  $au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = 0$ , where  $a = 1, b = -2, c = -2$  and  $ac - b^2 = -6 < 0$ . Therefore the equation is **hyperbolic**. We know that one can decompose it into two **first order equations** of the form (by Remark 2.6, we can choose  $A = C = 1$ )

$$u_{xx} - 4u_{xy} - 2u_{yy} = (\partial_x + B\partial_y)(\partial_x + D\partial_y)u = u_{xx} + (B + D)u_{xy} + BDu_{yy}.$$

Thus we solve  $B, D$  to satisfy the equation (note that now we have  $A = C = 1$ )

$$B + D = -4, \quad BD = -2.$$

We obtain  $(B, D) = (-2 + \sqrt{6}, -2 - \sqrt{6})$  or  $(B, D) = (-2 - \sqrt{6}, -2 + \sqrt{6})$ . Thus we choose  $(B, D) = (-2 + \sqrt{6}, -2 - \sqrt{6})$  and get

$$u_{xx} - 4u_{xy} - 2u_{yy} = \left[\partial_x + (-2 + \sqrt{6})\partial_y\right] \left[\partial_x + (-2 - \sqrt{6})\partial_y\right] u \quad (61)$$

and the general solution is

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) &= F(Bx - Ay) + G(Dx - Cy) = F\left(\left(-2 + \sqrt{6}\right)x - y\right) + G\left(\left(-2 - \sqrt{6}\right)x - y\right) \\ &= F\left(\left(\sqrt{6} - 2\right)x - y\right) + G\left(\left(\sqrt{6} + 2\right)x + y\right), \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

where  $F(z) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $G(z) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are two arbitrary  $C^2$  functions.  $\square$

**Remark 2.12** In terms of polynomial, the decomposition (61) is the same as

$$x^2 - 4xy - 2y^2 = \left(x + (-2 + \sqrt{6})y\right) \left(x + (-2 - \sqrt{6})y\right). \quad (63)$$

## 2.2 Solving hyperbolic equations; change of variables method.

**Remark 2.13** By (66) below, we see that this method is essentially the same as the factorization method. Computationally, you can just use the factorization method. Note that solving the equation  $U_{\xi\eta} = 0$  is the same as solving **two first order equations**. See equation (60) also.

We can also use a change of variables method to solve the equation

$$au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = 0, \quad \text{where } ac < b^2. \quad (64)$$

Introduce the change of variables

$$\xi = Bx - Ay, \quad \eta = Dx - Cy, \quad \text{Jacobian} = \begin{vmatrix} B & -A \\ D & -C \end{vmatrix} = AD - BC, \quad (65)$$

where  $A, B, C, D$  are constants satisfying

$$AC = a, \quad AD + BC = 2b, \quad BD = c, \quad AD - BC \neq 0, \quad (66)$$

where we note that the existence of  $A, B, C, D$  satisfying (66) is guaranteed by Lemma 2.4. Since  $AD - BC \neq 0$ , this change of variables is good on all  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $U(\xi, \eta)$  be the function  $u(x, y)$  in  $(\xi, \eta)$  variables. We have:

**Lemma 2.14** (*Change of variables method for hyperbolic equation.*) Under the change of variables (65), (66), the equation for  $U(\xi, \eta)$  is given by

$$-(AD - BC)^2 U_{\xi\eta} = 0 \quad (\text{same as } U_{\xi\eta} = 0 \text{ since } AD - BC \neq 0), \quad (67)$$

which has general solution given by  $U(\xi, \eta) = F(\xi) + G(\eta)$  for arbitrary  $C^2$  functions (we want  $U(\xi, \eta)$  to be a  $C^2$  function)  $F(\xi)$  and  $G(\eta)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . As a consequence, the general solution  $u(x, y)$  of (64) is

$$u(x, y) = F(Bx - Ay) + G(Dx - Cy), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

for arbitrary  $C^2$  functions  $F(\xi)$  and  $G(\eta)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{cases} u_x = BU_\xi + DU_\eta, & u_y = -AU_\xi - CU_\eta, \\ u_{xx} = B^2U_{\xi\xi} + 2BDU_{\xi\eta} + D^2U_{\eta\eta}, \\ u_{xy} = -ABU_{\xi\xi} - (BC + AD)U_{\xi\eta} - CDU_{\eta\eta}, \\ u_{yy} = A^2U_{\xi\xi} + 2ACU_{\xi\eta} + C^2U_{\eta\eta} \end{cases}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} \\ &= \begin{cases} a[B^2U_{\xi\xi} + 2BDU_{\xi\eta} + D^2U_{\eta\eta}] \\ + 2b[-ABU_{\xi\xi} - (BC + AD)U_{\xi\eta} - CDU_{\eta\eta}] + c[A^2U_{\xi\xi} + 2ACU_{\xi\eta} + C^2U_{\eta\eta}] \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (aB^2 - 2bAB + cA^2)U_{\xi\xi} \\ + \left( \underbrace{a2BD - 2bBC - 2bAD + c2AC}_{\text{}} \right) U_{\xi\eta} + \left( \underbrace{aD^2 - 2bCD + cC^2}_{\text{}} \right) U_{\eta\eta}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By

$$AC = a, \quad AD + BC = 2b, \quad BD = c, \quad AD - BC \neq 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{aB^2 - 2bAB + cA^2}{aD^2 - 2bCD + cC^2} = ACB^2 - (AD + BC)AB + BDA^2 = 0 \\ = ACD^2 - (AD + BC)CD + BDC^2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{a2BD - 2bBC - 2bAD + c2AC}_{= 2ACBD - (AD + BC)BC - (AD + BC)AD + BD2AC} \\ & = 2ACBD - (AD + BC)BC - (AD + BC)AD + BD2AC \\ & = 2ABCD - B^2C^2 - A^2D^2 = -(AD - BC)^2 \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the equation for  $U(\xi, \eta)$  is

$$-(AD - BC)^2 U_{\xi\eta} = 0 \quad (\text{same as } U_{\xi\eta} = 0).$$

Its general solution is  $U(\xi, \eta) = F(\xi) + G(\eta)$  for arbitrary  $C^2$  functions  $F(\xi)$  and  $G(\eta)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.15** Consider the second order linear equation in two variables:

$$u_{xx} - 4u_{xy} - 2u_{yy} = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad u = u(x, y) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Use **change of variables method** to reduce it to canonical form ( $U_{\xi\xi} - U_{\eta\eta} = 0$  or  $U_{\xi\eta} = 0$ ) and then solve it.

**Solution:**

Recall that the numbers  $A, B, C, D$  satisfying

$$AC = a = 1, \quad AD + BC = 2b = -4, \quad BD = c = -2, \quad (68)$$

are given by  $A = C = 1, B = -2 + \sqrt{6}, D = -2 - \sqrt{6}$ . By Lemma 2.14, if we do the change of variables

$$\begin{cases} \xi = Bx - Ay = (-2 + \sqrt{6})x - y \\ \eta = Dx - Cy = (-2 - \sqrt{6})x - y, \end{cases} \quad (69)$$

the new for  $U(\xi, \eta)$  is given by  $U_{\xi\eta}(\xi, \eta) = 0$ . The general solution for  $U(\xi, \eta)$  is  $U(\xi, \eta) = F(\xi) + G(\eta)$ . Hence the general solution for  $u(x, y)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) &= F(Bx - Ay) + G(Dx - Cy) = F\left(\left(-2 + \sqrt{6}\right)x - y\right) + G\left(\left(-2 - \sqrt{6}\right)x - y\right) \\ &= F\left(\left(\sqrt{6} - 2\right)x - y\right) + G\left(\left(\sqrt{6} + 2\right)x - y\right), \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

where  $F(z) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $G(z) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are two arbitrary  $C^2$  functions.  $\square$

## 2.3 Solving hyperbolic equations; diagonalization method (eigenvalue-eigenvector method).

**Remark 2.16** Interesting question: *Can you find the relation between this method and the factorization method?*

**Example 2.17** Consider the second order linear equation in two variables:

$$u_{xx} - 4u_{xy} - 2u_{yy} = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad u = u(x, y) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Use **diagonalization method** to reduce it to canonical form ( $U_{\xi\xi} - U_{\eta\eta} = 0$ ) and then solve it.

**Solution:**

One can write the equation as

$$\text{Trace} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -2 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{xx} & u_{xy} \\ u_{xy} & u_{yy} \end{pmatrix} \right] = 0.$$

The eigenvalues of the coefficient matrix are  $\lambda_1 = 2$ ,  $\lambda_2 = -3$  with corresponding **orthonormal** eigenvectors

$$v_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (71)$$

By (13) and (14), we introduce the change of variables

$$\xi = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}y, \quad \eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}x + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}y.$$

Then, in terms of the variables  $(\xi, \eta)$ , we have

$$2U_{\xi\xi} - 3U_{\eta\eta} = 0.$$

Finally, we let  $\tilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi$ ,  $\tilde{\eta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\eta$ , we have the final **canonical form**:

$$\tilde{U}_{\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\xi}} - \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\eta}} = 0 \quad (\text{which can be decomposed as } \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\xi}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\eta}} \right) \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\xi}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\eta}} \right) \tilde{U} \right] = 0)$$

and its general solution is

$$\tilde{U}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}) = F(\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\eta}) + G(-\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\eta}) \quad (\text{same as } G(\tilde{\xi} + \tilde{\eta})),$$

where  $F(z)$  and  $G(z)$  are two arbitrary  $C^2$  functions. Hence the general solution for  $u(x, y)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) &= \begin{cases} F\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}y\right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}x + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}y\right)\right) \\ + G\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}y\right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}x + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}y\right)\right) \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} F\left(\underbrace{\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{10}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}\right)x + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}}\right)y}_{\left(\sqrt{6}+2\right)x+y}\right) \\ + G\left(\underbrace{\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{10}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}\right)x + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}}\right)y}_{\left(-\sqrt{6}+2\right)x+y}\right). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

By the identity

$$\frac{-\frac{2}{\sqrt{10}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}}} = \frac{2\sqrt{15} + \sqrt{10}}{-\sqrt{15} + 2\sqrt{10}} = \sqrt{6} + 2$$

we can write  $F\left(\underbrace{\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{10}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}\right)x + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}}\right)y}_{\left(\sqrt{6}+2\right)x+y}\right)$  as  $F\left(\underbrace{\left(\sqrt{6}+2\right)x+y}_{\left(\sqrt{6}+2\right)x+y}\right)$  and by the identity

$$\frac{-\frac{2}{\sqrt{10}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}}} = \frac{-2\sqrt{15} + \sqrt{10}}{\sqrt{15} + 2\sqrt{10}} = -\sqrt{6} + 2$$

we can write  $G\left(\underbrace{\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{10}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}\right)x + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{15}}\right)y}_{\left(-\sqrt{6}+2\right)x+y}\right)$  as  $G\left(\underbrace{\left(-\sqrt{6}+2\right)x+y}_{\left(-\sqrt{6}+2\right)x+y}\right)$ . Thus the general solution can also be expressed as

$$u(x, y) = F\left(\left(\sqrt{6}+2\right)x+y\right) + G\left(\left(-\sqrt{6}+2\right)x+y\right), \quad (73)$$

which is the same as (62) and (70).  $\square$

**Remark 2.18** (*Omit this in class.*) (*Interesting.*) One can use eigenvalues and eigenvectors to express the general solution (72) as

$$u(x, y) = \begin{cases} F\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, v_1 \right\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda_2}} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, v_2 \right\rangle\right) \\ + G\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, v_1 \right\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\lambda_2}} \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, v_2 \right\rangle\right), \end{cases} \quad (74)$$

where  $\lambda_1 = 2$ ,  $\lambda_2 = -3$  and  $v_1, v_2$  are corresponding **orthonormal eigenvectors** given by (71).

### 3 General solutions of parabolic equations without lower order terms.

#### 3.1 Solving parabolic equations; factorization method.

We now come to the **parabolic** case for the equation

$$au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = 0, \quad \text{where } ac = b^2. \quad (75)$$

Here we may assume  $a, b, c$  are **all nonzero** (otherwise we will get into a trivial case). By the identity  $ac = b^2 > 0$ , we know that  $a, c$  have the same sign. By multiplying the equation by a minus sign if necessary, we may assume that  $a > 0, c > 0$ . However,  $b$  can be either  $b > 0$  or  $b < 0$ .

As there is no lower order terms in (75), the parabolic equation is **degenerate**. Hence it is essentially an ODE. We have the following:

**Lemma 3.1** (*Factorization method for parabolic equation.*) Assume the equation (75) is parabolic, i.e.  $ac = b^2$ , with  $a > 0, b \neq 0, c > 0$ . Then one can decompose it as

$$au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = \left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \left[\left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) u\right] = 0 \quad (76)$$

for some constants  $A > 0, B \neq 0$ . More precisely, we have

$$\begin{cases} A = \sqrt{a}, & B = \sqrt{c}, & \text{if } b > 0 \\ A = \sqrt{a}, & B = -\sqrt{c}, & \text{if } b < 0. \end{cases} \quad (77)$$

The **general solution** of (75) can be expressed as either one of the following two forms

$$(1). \quad u(x, y) = F(Bx - Ay) + \frac{x}{A}G(Bx - Ay) \quad (78)$$

or

$$(2). \quad u(x, y) = F(Bx - Ay) + \frac{y}{B}G(Bx - Ay) \quad (79)$$

for arbitrary  $C^2$  functions  $F(z), G(z)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Remark 3.2** If  $a = 0$  (then  $b = 0, c \neq 0$ ) or  $c = 0$  (then  $b = 0, a \neq 0$ ), then we are in a trivial case. The equation now has the form  $cu_{yy} = 0$  or  $au_{xx} = 0$ . We have not much to discuss.

**Remark 3.3** The two forms in (78) and (79) are the same due to the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{x}{A}G(Bx - Ay) \\ &= \frac{(Bx - Ay) + Ay}{AB}G(Bx - Ay) \\ &= \frac{(Bx - Ay)}{AB}G(Bx - Ay) \quad (\text{absorb this term into } F(Bx - Ay)) + \frac{y}{B}G(Bx - Ay). \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$



**Proof.** For a  $C^2$  function  $u$ , (76) is the same as

$$A(Au_x + Bu_y)_x + B(Au_x + Bu_y)_y = A^2u_{xx} + 2ABu_{xy} + B^2u_{yy} = 0.$$

Hence we need to solve

$$A^2 = a, \quad AB = b, \quad B^2 = c,$$

which is **solvable** due to  $ac - b^2 = 0$ . If  $a > 0$ ,  $b > 0$ ,  $c > 0$ , then we can choose  $A = \sqrt{a}$ ,  $B = \sqrt{c}$ . If  $a > 0$ ,  $b < 0$ ,  $c > 0$ , then we can choose  $A = \sqrt{a}$ ,  $B = -\sqrt{c}$ .

Let  $w = \left(A\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)u$ . Then by  $\left(A\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)w = 0$ , we see that  $w = G(Bx - Ay)$  for some arbitrary  $C^1$  function  $G(z)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Next we solve

$$\left(A\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)u(x, y) = G(Bx - Ay). \quad (81)$$

Since  $A > 0$  and  $B \neq 0$ , we can do the change of variables  $w = Bx - Ay$ ,  $z = x$ , to get

$$Au_x + Bu_y = A[U_w B + U_z] + BU_w(-A) = \underbrace{AU_z = G(w)}$$

and obtain the general solution for  $U(w, z)$ :

$$U(w, z) = F(w) + \frac{z}{A}G(w),$$

which gives

$$u(x, y) = F(Bx - Ay) + \frac{x}{A}G(Bx - Ay), \quad \text{if } A \neq 0,$$

where now  $F(z)$ ,  $G(z)$  are two arbitrary  $C^2$  functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . This is the form (78).

Similarly, we can also do the change of variables  $w = Bx - Ay$ ,  $z = y$ , to get

$$Au_x + Bu_y = A(U_w B) + B[U_w(-A) + U_z] = \underbrace{BU_z = G(w)}$$

which gives the general solution of the form (79). □

**Remark 3.4** Note that, in solving (81), Lemma 2.1 is **not** applicable here.

**Remark 3.5** We can also do the change of variables  $w = Bx - Ay$ ,  $z = Ax + By$  in (81) and get

$$Au_x + Bu_y = A[U_w B + U_z A] + B[U_w(-A) + U_z B] = \underbrace{(A^2 + B^2)U_z = G(w)}.$$

We now have

$$U(w, z) = F(w) + \frac{z}{A^2 + B^2}G(w), \quad (82)$$

which gives the **symmetric** form

$$u(x, y) = F(Bx - Ay) + \frac{Ax + By}{A^2 + B^2}G(Bx - Ay). \quad (83)$$

The **three forms** (78), (79) and (83) are all **equivalent** due to the following identities:

$$\frac{Ax + By}{A^2 + B^2} = \frac{1}{A^2 + B^2} \left[ -\frac{B(Bx - Ay)}{A} + \frac{(A^2 + B^2)x}{A} \right] \quad (84)$$

and

$$\frac{Ax + By}{A^2 + B^2} = \frac{1}{A^2 + B^2} \left[ \frac{A(Bx - Ay)}{B} + \frac{(A^2 + B^2)y}{B} \right]. \quad (85)$$

**Definition 3.6** Unlike the hyperbolic case, we have only **1-parameter family of characteristic lines**

$$Bx - Ay = \lambda \quad (86)$$

for the parabolic equation (75), where  $\lambda$  is an arbitrary constant.

### 3.2 Solving parabolic equations; diagonalization method.

What happens if we use **diagonalization method** to solve a parabolic equation ( $ac = b^2$ )? One can check that the coefficient matrix of equation (75) has two eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = a + c$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 0$ . The corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors are

$$v_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ -a \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (14), under the change of variables

$$\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} (ax + by), \quad \eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} (bx - ay), \quad (87)$$

equation (75) can be reduced to

$$\text{Trace} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} a + c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} U_{\xi\xi} & U_{\xi\eta} \\ U_{\xi\eta} & U_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix} \right] = 0.$$

Since  $a + c \neq 0$ , the above is same as

$$U_{\xi\xi} = 0, \quad (88)$$

which implies

$$U(\xi, \eta) = \xi g(\eta) + f(\eta) \quad (89)$$

for arbitrary  $C^2$  functions  $f(\eta)$  and  $g(\eta)$ . The corresponding  $u(x, y)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} (ax + by) g\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} (bx - ay)\right) + f\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} (bx - ay)\right) \\ &= H(bx - ay) + \frac{ax + by}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} K(bx - ay) \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

for arbitrary  $C^2$  functions  $H(\eta)$  and  $K(\eta)$ . We can compare (90) with (83). Assume we are in the case  $a > 0$ ,  $b > 0$ ,  $c > 0$ , then we have  $A = \sqrt{a}$ ,  $B = \sqrt{c}$  and so  $b = \sqrt{ac} = AB$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &H(bx - ay) + \frac{ax + by}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} K(bx - ay) \\ &= H(ABx - A^2y) + \frac{A^2x + AB y}{\sqrt{A^4 + A^2B^2}} K(ABx - A^2y) = F(Bx - Ay) + \frac{Ax + By}{A^2 + B^2} G(Bx - Ay) \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

for another two arbitrary  $C^2$  functions  $F(\eta)$  and  $G(\eta)$ . **Therefore, (90) is the same as (83).** The check for the case  $a > 0$ ,  $b < 0$ ,  $c > 0$  is similar. Therefore, both methods are actually equivalent.

## 4 Hyperbolic and parabolic equations of the form $au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = 0$ with initial conditions.

If we write the equation  $au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = 0$  as  $au_{xx} + 2bu_{xt} + cu_{tt} = 0$  and view  $x$  as space variable,  $t$  as time variable, then a pair of initial conditions (at time  $t = 0$ ) of the form

$$u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty) \quad (92)$$

can determine the solution **uniquely**, i.e. the solution exists and is unique. Here  $\phi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$  are two given functions defined on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . We will demonstrate this by direct computations.

**Remark 4.1 (Useful motivation.)** Roughly speaking, a hyperbolic equation (imagine it is a wave equation) comes from **Newtonian mechanics**, hence as long as the **initial position** and **initial velocity** are known, the whole process of motion is **uniquely** determined.

**Lemma 4.2 (Hyperbolic equation with initial conditions.)** Let  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  be two given functions. Assume  $ac < b^2$  in equation  $au_{xx} + 2bu_{xt} + cu_{tt} = 0$ . Consider the **hyperbolic equation with initial conditions**:

$$\begin{cases} (A\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B\frac{\partial}{\partial t}) [(C\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + D\frac{\partial}{\partial t}) u] = 0, & u = u(x, t) \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), & x \in (-\infty, \infty), \end{cases} \quad (93)$$

where  $A, B, C, D$  are constants satisfying  $AD - BC \neq 0$  and **here we also assume that  $B \neq 0$  and  $D \neq 0$**  (they are the coefficients of the operator  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ ). Then the initial value problem (93) has a unique solution  $u(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Moreover, the solution is given by

$$u(x, t) = \frac{AD}{AD - BC} \phi\left(x - \frac{C}{D}t\right) - \frac{BC}{AD - BC} \phi\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) + \frac{BD}{AD - BC} \int_{x - \frac{A}{B}t}^{x - \frac{C}{D}t} \psi(s) ds, \quad (94)$$

where  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Note: since we assume  $B \neq 0$  and  $D \neq 0$ , the line  $t = 0$  is **not a characteristic line**, which is good.

**Remark 4.3 (Important.) (2-parameter families of characteristic lines for hyperbolic equations.)** The assumption  $B \neq 0$  and  $D \neq 0$  is **essential** in the formula (94). There are **2-parameter families of characteristic lines** for the hyperbolic equation

$$\left(A\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \left[\left(C\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + D\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u\right] = 0, \quad (95)$$

namely the lines

$$Bx - At = \text{const.}, \quad \text{and} \quad Dx - Ct = \text{const.} \quad (96)$$

If we have  $B = 0$ , then by  $AD - BC \neq 0$ , we must have  $A \neq 0$  and  $D \neq 0$ . Hence the line  $t = \text{const.}$  is a characteristic line. Similarly, if we have  $D = 0$ , then  $B \neq 0$  and  $C \neq 0$ , and again the line  $t = \text{const.}$  is a characteristic line. By this, the initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty)$$

happen to occur on the **characteristic line**  $t = \text{const.}$  (i.e.  $t = 0$ ). In general, for  $B = 0$ , we have either **no** solution to the initial value problem or **infinitely many solutions** to the initial value problem. The same conclusion holds for the case  $D = 0$ . **We will leave this as a homework problem for you to verify.** Also see Remark 4.9.

**Proof.** The general solution of the equation is

$$u(x, t) = F(Bx - At) + G(Dx - Ct) \quad (97)$$

for arbitrary  $C^2$  functions  $F(\cdot), G(\cdot)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  and we need to solve

$$\begin{cases} F(Bx) + G(Dx) = \phi(x) \\ -AF'(Bx) - CG'(Dx) = \psi(x). \end{cases} \quad (98)$$

Differentiate the first equation with respect to  $x$  to get the system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} BF'(Bx) + DG'(Dx) = \phi'(x) \\ -AF'(Bx) - CG'(Dx) = \psi(x), \end{cases}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & D \\ -A & -C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F'(Bx) \\ G'(Dx) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi'(x) \\ \psi(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} F'(Bx) \\ G'(Dx) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{AD-BC} \begin{pmatrix} -C & -D \\ A & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi'(x) \\ \psi(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

and so

$$F'(Bx) = \frac{-1}{AD-BC} (C\phi'(x) + D\psi(x)), \quad G'(Dx) = \frac{1}{AD-BC} (A\phi'(x) + B\psi(x)),$$

which are the same as (note that we assume  $B \neq 0$  and  $D \neq 0$ )

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dx} F(Bx) = BF'(Bx) = \frac{-B}{AD-BC} (C\phi'(x) + D\psi(x)), \\ \frac{d}{dx} G(Dx) = DG'(Dx) = \frac{D}{AD-BC} (A\phi'(x) + B\psi(x)). \end{cases} \quad (99)$$

If we let  $\tilde{\psi}(x)$  be an **antiderivative** of  $\psi(x)$  (it is not unique), we get

$$\begin{cases} F(Bx) = \frac{-B}{AD-BC} (C\phi(x) + D\tilde{\psi}(x)) + C_1 \\ G(Dx) = \frac{D}{AD-BC} (A\phi(x) + B\tilde{\psi}(x)) + C_2 \end{cases} \quad (100)$$

for some integration constants  $C_1, C_2$ . Now by the first equation of (98), we must have  $C_1 + C_2 = 0$ . Therefore, we conclude (note that we assume  $B \neq 0$  and  $D \neq 0$ )

$u(x, t)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= F(Bx - At) + G(Dx - Ct) = F\left(B\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right)\right) + G\left(D\left(x - \frac{C}{D}t\right)\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{-B}{AD-BC} \left[ C\phi\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) + D\tilde{\psi}\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) \right] \\ + \frac{D}{AD-BC} \left[ A\phi\left(x - \frac{C}{D}t\right) + B\tilde{\psi}\left(x - \frac{C}{D}t\right) \right] \end{cases} \\ &= \frac{AD}{AD-BC} \phi\left(x - \frac{C}{D}t\right) - \frac{BC}{AD-BC} \phi\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) + \frac{BD}{AD-BC} \underbrace{\left[ \tilde{\psi}\left(x - \frac{C}{D}t\right) - \tilde{\psi}\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) \right]} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the antiderivative  $\tilde{\psi}(x)$  is **not unique**. However, the quantity

$$\tilde{\psi}\left(x - \frac{C}{D}t\right) - \tilde{\psi}\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) \quad (101)$$

is **unique** and is **independent of** the choice of the antiderivative  $\tilde{\psi}(x)$ . For convenience, we can choose  $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \int_0^x \psi(s) ds$  and obtain

$$\tilde{\psi}\left(x - \frac{C}{D}t\right) - \tilde{\psi}\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) = \int_{x-\frac{A}{B}t}^{x-\frac{C}{D}t} \psi(s) ds. \quad (102)$$

Thus the solution formula for  $u(x, t)$  is given by

$$u(x, t) = \frac{AD}{AD-BC} \phi\left(x - \frac{C}{D}t\right) - \frac{BC}{AD-BC} \phi\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) + \frac{BD}{AD-BC} \int_{x-\frac{A}{B}t}^{x-\frac{C}{D}t} \psi(s) ds. \quad (103)$$

The solution is defined on all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Since  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ , we have  $u(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ . The solution (103) satisfies the initial value problem (93).

To see uniqueness of the solution (103), we may look at the case  $\phi(x) = \psi(x) \equiv 0$  for all  $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and from the above derivation, we must have  $u(x, t) \equiv 0$  for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Hence the uniqueness follows.  $\square$

**Remark 4.4** *By direct check, one can see that (103) is indeed a solution of (93). First, the solution  $u(x, t)$  has the form  $F(Bx - At) + G(Dx - Ct)$ . Hence it must be a solution. Second, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have*

$$u(x, 0) = \frac{AD}{AD - BC}\phi(x) - \frac{BC}{AD - BC}\phi(x) + \frac{BD}{AD - BC} \int_x^x \psi(s) ds = \phi(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(x, 0) &= \begin{cases} \frac{AD}{AD - BC} \left(-\frac{C}{D}\right) \phi'(x) - \frac{BC}{AD - BC} \left(-\frac{A}{B}\right) \phi'(x) \\ + \frac{BD}{AD - BC} \left(-\frac{C}{D}\right) \psi(x) - \frac{BD}{AD - BC} \left(-\frac{A}{B}\right) \psi(x) \end{cases} \\ &= \frac{BD}{AD - BC} \left(-\frac{C}{D}\right) \psi(x) - \frac{BD}{AD - BC} \left(-\frac{A}{B}\right) \psi(x) = \psi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $u(x, t)$  given by (103) is indeed a solution of the equation satisfying the initial conditions.

**Remark 4.5 (Domain of dependence for hyperbolic equations.)** *By (103), the **domain of dependence interval** of the point  $(x_0, t_0)$  is the interval  $[x_0 - \frac{A}{B}t_0, x_0 - \frac{C}{D}t_0]$  (or the interval  $[x_0 - \frac{C}{D}t_0, x_0 - \frac{A}{B}t_0]$  if  $x_0 - \frac{C}{D}t_0$  is smaller) lying on the  $x$ -axis. Only the values of  $\phi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$  on the interval will determine the value of  $u$  at the point  $(x_0, t_0)$ .*

In case equation (93) is parabolic (i.e.  $A = C$ ,  $B = D$ ) and has the same initial conditions, we can still solve it (note that now the equation is **degenerate parabolic**).

**Lemma 4.6 (Parabolic equation with initial conditions.)** *Let  $\phi \in C^3(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  be two given functions. Assume  $ac = b^2$  in equation (48) (we view  $y$  as time and here we denote it as  $t$ ). Consider the **parabolic equation with initial conditions (at time  $t = 0$ )**:*

$$\begin{cases} (A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) [(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) u] = 0, & u = u(x, t) \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), & x \in (-\infty, \infty), \end{cases} \quad (104)$$

where  $A, B$  are constants with  $B \neq 0$  (this is same as in Lemma 4.2;  $B$  is the coefficient of the operator  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ ). Then the initial value problem (104) has a **unique** solution  $u(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Moreover, the solution is given by

$$u(x, t) = \phi\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) + \frac{t}{B} \left[ A\phi'\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) + B\psi\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) \right], \quad (105)$$

where  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Note: there is only **1-parameter family of characteristic lines**  $Bx - At = \text{const.}$  for the parabolic equation in (104). Since we assume  $B \neq 0$ , the line  $t = 0$  is **not a characteristic line**, which is good.

**Proof.** Since  $B \neq 0$ , we choose the general solution to have the form (see (79))

$$u(x, t) = F(Bx - At) + \frac{t}{B}G(Bx - At), \quad B \neq 0, \quad (106)$$

then we have

$$\begin{cases} F(Bx) = \phi(x) \\ -AF'(Bx) + \frac{1}{B}G(Bx) = \psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

which gives

$$\begin{cases} BF'(Bx) = \phi'(x) \\ -ABF'(Bx) + G(Bx) = B\psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

and we conclude

$$\begin{cases} F(Bx) = \phi(x) \\ G(Bx) = B\psi(x) + A\phi'(x). \end{cases} \quad (107)$$

By the above we will get

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= F(Bx - At) + \frac{t}{B}G(Bx - At) = F\left(B\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right)\right) + \frac{t}{B}G\left(B\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right)\right) \\ &= \phi\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) + \frac{t}{B}\left[B\psi\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) + A\phi'\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right)\right], \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

Since we assume  $\phi \in C^3(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ , the solution  $u(x, t)$  given by (108) satisfies  $u(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.7** *By direct check, one can see that (116) is indeed a solution of (104).*

**Remark 4.8 (Important.)** *Note that the value of the solution  $u$  at  $(x_0, t_0)$  depends only on the initial data  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  at the point  $x_0 - \frac{A}{B}t_0$ .*

**Remark 4.9 (1-parameter family of characteristic lines for parabolic equations.)** *(This is a continuation of Remark 4.3.) In case  $B = 0$  (of course, we must have  $A \neq 0$ ), equation becomes*

$$\begin{cases} u_{xx}(x, t) = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty), \end{cases} \quad (109)$$

and the general solution for  $u(x, t)$  is  $u(x, t) = h(t)x + g(t)$  for arbitrary functions of  $h(t)$  and  $g(t)$ ,  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . Now we need to require

$$\begin{cases} u(x, 0) = h(0)x + g(0) = \phi(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty), \\ u_t(x, 0) = h'(0)x + g'(0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty). \end{cases} \quad (110)$$

Clearly, in general, (110) has **no solution**. But if  $\phi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$  are of the form  $ax+b$ ,  $cx+d$ , then (110) has **infinitely many solutions**. Again, the reason for this is that **the data is prescribed on the line  $t = 0$ , which is a characteristic line**.

**Remark 4.10 (Omit this in class.)** *Here is another proof of Lemma 4.6 using formula (78). We divide the discussion into two cases. In case  $A = 0$ , the equation becomes  $u_{tt} = 0$  with general solution given by  $u(x, t) = g(x) + th(x)$  for arbitrary  $C^2$  functions  $h(x)$  and  $g(x)$ . To satisfy the initial conditions, the unique solution is given by  $u(x, t) = \phi(x) + t\psi(x)$ ,  $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . In case  $A \neq 0$ , we choose the general solution to have the form*

$$u(x, t) = F(Bx - At) + \frac{x}{A}G(Bx - At), \quad A \neq 0, \quad (111)$$

then by the initial conditions, we need to solve

$$\begin{cases} \underbrace{F(Bx) + \frac{x}{A}G(Bx)} = \phi(x) \\ -AF'(Bx) - xG'(Bx) = \psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (112)$$

which gives

$$\begin{cases} BF'(Bx) + \frac{1}{A}G(Bx) + \frac{x}{A}BG'(Bx) = \phi'(x) \\ -AF'(Bx) - xG'(Bx) = \psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (113)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{cases} ABF'(Bx) + G(Bx) + xBG'(Bx) = A\phi'(x) \\ -ABF'(Bx) - xBG'(Bx) = B\psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (114)$$

From the above, we first obtain

$$\underbrace{G(Bx)} = \underbrace{A\phi'(x) + B\psi(x)}$$

and substitute it into the first identity of (112), we can get

$$F(Bx) = \phi(x) - \frac{x}{A}[A\phi'(x) + B\psi(x)], \quad G(Bx) = A\phi'(x) + B\psi(x), \quad (115)$$

which gives

$$\begin{cases} F(Bx - At) = F\left(B\frac{Bx-At}{B}\right) = \phi\left(\frac{Bx-At}{B}\right) - \frac{1}{A}\left(\frac{Bx-At}{B}\right)[A\phi'\left(\frac{Bx-At}{B}\right) + B\psi\left(\frac{Bx-At}{B}\right)] \\ G(Bx - At) = G\left(B\frac{Bx-At}{B}\right) = A\phi'\left(\frac{Bx-At}{B}\right) + B\psi\left(\frac{Bx-At}{B}\right) \end{cases}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= F(Bx - At) + \frac{x}{A}G(Bx - At) \\ &= \begin{cases} \phi\left(\frac{Bx-At}{B}\right) - \frac{1}{A}\left(\frac{Bx-At}{B}\right)[A\phi'\left(\frac{Bx-At}{B}\right) + B\psi\left(\frac{Bx-At}{B}\right)] \\ + \frac{x}{A}[A\phi'\left(\frac{Bx-At}{B}\right) + B\psi\left(\frac{Bx-At}{B}\right)] \end{cases} \\ &= \phi\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) + \frac{t}{B}\left[A\phi'\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right) + B\psi\left(x - \frac{A}{B}t\right)\right], \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (116)$$

which is the same as (108). From this proof we see that, whether  $A = 0$  or not is not important. In either case we can get unique solution. The key point is that we must require  $B \neq 0$ .

**Example 4.11** Find the solution  $u(x, t)$  satisfying:

$$u_{xx} + 2u_{xt} + u_{tt} = 0, \quad u(x, 0) = x^2, \quad u_t(x, 0) = e^x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Solution:**

By (78) in Lemma 3.1 and the identity

$$u_{xx} + 2u_{xt} + u_{tt} = (\partial_x + \partial_t)[(\partial_x + \partial_t)u] = 0, \quad A = B = 1,$$

we see that the general solution of this degenerate parabolic equation has the form

$$u(x, t) = F(x - t) + xG(x - t)$$

for arbitrary  $C^2$  functions  $F(\cdot)$ ,  $G(\cdot)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence we need to solve

$$\begin{cases} F(x) + xG(x) = x^2 \\ -F'(x) - xG'(x) = e^x \end{cases}$$

and obtain

$$\begin{cases} F'(x) + G(x) + xG'(x) = 2x \\ -F'(x) - xG'(x) = e^x \end{cases}$$

and then

$$G(x) = 2x + e^x.$$

Next, by the identity  $F(x) + xG(x) = x^2$  we have

$$F(x) = x^2 - xG(x) = x^2 - x(2x + e^x) = -x^2 - xe^x.$$

The answer for  $u(x, t)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= F(x-t) + xG(x-t) \\ &= -(x-t)^2 - (x-t)e^{x-t} + x[2(x-t) + e^{x-t}] = (x-t)(x+t) + te^{x-t} \end{aligned}$$

□

## 5 The wave equation with initial conditions.

**Remark 5.1** *One can see W. A. Strauss PDE book (second edition), p. 11-13, for a brief explanation of how to derive wave equation from a flexible, elastic homogeneous string which undergoes relatively small transverse vibrations. However, it is difficult to understand his explanation.*

In this section, we look at **one-dimensional** wave equation for the function  $u(x, t)$ , given by

$$u_{tt}(x, t) = c^2 u_{xx}(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (117)$$

Under some assumptions (small amplitude, etc ...), the equation describes **the motion of a vibrating string**, where  $u(x, t)$  represents the position of the string (I will not derive this in class). Here  $c > 0$  is a constant given by

$$c = \sqrt{\frac{T}{\rho}}, \quad (118)$$

where  $T$  is the **tension** of the string and  $\rho$  is the **density** of the string (both are assumed to be constants, not very realistic at all).

**Remark 5.2** *By a change of variable in time:*

$$x = x, \quad \tilde{t} = ct,$$

*the function  $v(x, \tilde{t}) = u(x, t) = u\left(x, \frac{\tilde{t}}{c}\right)$  will satisfy (117) with  $c = 1$ . Hence, the two equations  $u_{tt} = c^2 u_{xx}$  and  $u_{\tilde{t}\tilde{t}} = u_{xx}$  are equivalent. Some books discuss wave equation in the form  $u_{tt} = u_{xx}$  only.*

As (117) is a physical equation, it has initial conditions. They are the **initial position** and **initial velocity** of the string, given by

$$u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (119)$$



**Remark 5.3 (Useful motivation.)** Roughly speaking, the wave equation comes from *Newtonian mechanics*, hence as long as the initial position and initial velocity are known, the whole process of motion is uniquely determined.

With the above conditions, the solution  $u(x, t)$  satisfying (117) and (119) **exists** and is **unique** (which can be seen from (103)). Moreover, if we change  $\phi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$  a little bit, then the corresponding solution will also change a little bit (we shall see this soon). In this sense, we say that the problem (117) and (119) is **well-posed**.

Since one can factorize the equation (117) as

$$\left(c \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \left[\left(c \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u\right] = 0, \quad u = u(x, t), \quad (120)$$

by previous discussion we know the general solution of (117), defined on the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , is given by

$$u(x, t) = F(x + ct) + G(x - ct), \quad (x, t) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty) \quad (121)$$

for arbitrary  $C^2$  functions  $F, G$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 5.4** Any line of the form  $x + ct = \text{const.}$  or  $x - ct = \text{const.}$  is called a **characteristic line** of the wave equation (117). A wave equation has **2-parameter family** of characteristic lines.

**Remark 5.5 (The geometric meaning of the wave equation.)** The solution  $u(x, t)$  given by (121) consists of two **traveling waves** moving in opposite directions (positive and negative  $x$ -direction) with the same speed  $c$  (the graph of  $F(x + ct)$  moves to the left and the graph of  $G(x - ct)$  moves to the right; draw a picture on blackboard). Moreover, since  $c = \sqrt{T/\rho}$ , if the tension  $T$  is large and the density  $\rho$  is small, then the traveling wave speed is large. This matches with physical observation.

Without remembering the formula in Lemma 4.2, one can easily derive the solution formula satisfying the conditions (119). We need to require

$$\begin{cases} u(x, 0) = F(x) + G(x) = \phi(x) \\ u_t(x, 0) = cF'(x) - cG'(x) = \psi(x). \end{cases} \quad (122)$$

By this we obtain

$$F'(x) = \frac{c\phi'(x) + \psi(x)}{2c}, \quad G'(x) = \frac{c\phi'(x) - \psi(x)}{2c}, \quad (123)$$

and so

$$F(x) = \frac{\phi(x)}{2} + \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^x \psi(s) ds + \delta, \quad G(x) = \frac{\phi(x)}{2} - \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^x \psi(s) ds + \varepsilon \quad (124)$$

with suitable constants  $\delta, \varepsilon$ . Here  $\delta + \varepsilon = 0$  by (122). Hence we get the **unique solution** given by

$$u(x, t) = F(x + ct) + G(x - ct) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} [\phi(x + ct) + \phi(x - ct)] + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} \psi(s) ds}_{\text{}}. \quad (125)$$

We can conclude the following:

**Lemma 5.6** Assume  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  in the initial conditions (119) satisfy  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then the function  $u(x, t)$  given by (125) is the **unique**  $C^2$  solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) = c^2 u_{xx}(x, t) \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty) \end{cases} \quad (126)$$

defined on the domain  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Proof.** (Due to Lemma 4.2, we can omit the proof of this lemma.) Given  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ , we define  $u(x, t)$  as in (125) for  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . It clearly satisfies  $u(x, 0) = \phi(x)$  for all  $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . We also have

$$u_t(x, t) = \frac{c}{2} [\phi'(x + ct) - \phi'(x - ct)] + \frac{1}{2} [\psi(x + ct) + \psi(x - ct)]$$

for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , and so  $u(x, t)$  satisfies  $u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x)$  for all  $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . Finally, note that

$$u_{tt}(x, t) = \frac{c^2}{2} [\phi''(x + ct) + \phi''(x - ct)] + \frac{c}{2} [\psi'(x + ct) - \psi'(x - ct)]$$

and

$$u_{xx}(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} [\phi''(x + ct) + \phi''(x - ct)] + \frac{1}{2c} [\psi'(x + ct) - \psi'(x - ct)],$$

which implies  $u_{tt}(x, t) = c^2 u_{xx}(x, t)$  for all  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Therefore,  $u(x, t)$  given by (125) is indeed a  $C^2$  solution of (126) on the domain  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

To check **uniqueness**, assume we have two  $C^2$  solutions  $u_1(x, t)$  and  $u_2(x, t)$  of (126) on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then the function  $u(x, t) = u_1(x, t) - u_2(x, t)$  is a  $C^2$  solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) = c^2 u_{xx}(x, t) \\ u(x, 0) = 0, \quad u_t(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty) \end{cases}$$

on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Since we know the general solution of  $u_{tt}(x, t) = c^2 u_{xx}(x, t)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  has the form  $u(x, t) = F(x + ct) + G(x - ct)$  for some  $C^2$  functions  $F(\cdot)$ ,  $G(\cdot)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} u(x, 0) = F(x) + G(x) = 0 \\ u_t(x, 0) = cF'(x) - cG'(x) = 0, \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty) \end{cases} \quad (127)$$

which implies  $F'(x) = G'(x) = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, both  $F(\cdot)$ ,  $G(\cdot)$  are **constant** functions, and we must have  $u(x, t) \equiv 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The uniqueness property is verified.  $\square$

**Remark 5.7 (Important.)** We call (125) **d'Alembert solution**. It was due to him in 1746. Note that the function

$$\frac{1}{2} [\phi(x + ct) + \phi(x - ct)] \quad (128)$$

is an **even function** in  $t$  and the function

$$\frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} \psi(s) ds \quad (129)$$

is an **odd function** in  $t$ .

**Remark 5.8 (Omit this in class)** If  $\phi \in C^k(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\psi \in C^{k-1}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $u$  given by (125) represents a classical solution  $u \in C^k(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty))$  of the initial value problem, but **its regularity is not smoother (or worse) in general. Thus the wave equation does not produce instantaneous smoothing of the initial data as the heat equation does.**

## 5.1 Domain of dependence and influence of the initial conditions for wave equation.

For convenience of discussion, we confine to **nonnegative** time  $t \geq 0$  (this is not really essential). Recall that the solution of the initial value problem (126) is

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} [\phi(x + ct) + \phi(x - ct)] + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} \psi(s) ds, \quad (x, t) \in (-\infty, \infty) \times [0, \infty). \quad (130)$$

For each fixed time  $t = t_0 \in [0, \infty)$  and fixed  $x_0 \in (-\infty, \infty)$ , we get an interval of the form  $[x_0 - ct_0, x_0 + ct_0]$ . The value of  $u(x_0, t_0)$  depends only on  $\phi$  at  $x_0 - ct_0$ ,  $x_0 + ct_0$ , and  $\psi$  on the interval  $[x_0 - ct_0, x_0 + ct_0]$ . The values of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  **outside** the interval  $[x_0 - ct_0, x_0 + ct_0]$  **will not** affect the value of  $u(x_0, t_0)$ .

**Definition 5.9** *The interval  $[x_0 - ct_0, x_0 + ct_0]$  lying on the  $x$ -axis is called the **domain of dependence interval** of the point  $(x_0, t_0)$ .*

**Remark 5.10** *The above also says that for our wave equation "disturbances" or "signals" only travel with speed  $c$ . To understand this more clearly, see the definition of "domain of influence".*

In view of this, for each fixed  $x_0 \in (-\infty, \infty)$ , there is a region  $R \subset xt$ -plane (**unbounded closed set**, lying on the upper half  $xt$ -plane) so that the values of  $u$  on this region can be **affected** by the values of  $\phi(x_0)$  and  $\psi(x_0)$ . This region  $R \subset xt$ -plane is called the **domain of influence** of the point  $(x_0, 0)$  (equivalently, a point  $p \in R$  if and only if its domain of dependence interval **contains** the point  $x_0$ ). The value of  $u$  at any point  $(x, t)$  lying **out of** the region  $R$  is **not** affected by the values of  $\phi(x_0)$  and  $\psi(x_0)$ . The **domain of influence** region  $R$  can be described as

$$R = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) : x - ct \leq x_0 \text{ and } x + ct \geq x_0\}, \quad (131)$$

where the two half-lines  $x - ct = x_0$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and  $x + ct = x_0$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , intersect at the point  $(x_0, 0)$ .

**Remark 5.11** *Draw a picture for the region  $R$  (or see Figure 1 in p. 39 of Strauss's undergraduate PDE book).*

Outside the **domain of influence** of the point  $(x_0, 0)$ , the value of  $u(x, t)$  is not affected by the values of  $\phi(x_0)$  and  $\psi(x_0)$ . In view of this, we have the following obvious fact:

**Lemma 5.12** *For any given  $\phi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$ , the **domain of influence** of the interval (lying on the  $x$ -axis)  $|x| \leq \sigma$  is the region (lying on  $\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$ )  $|x| \leq \sigma + ct$ . In particular, if  $\phi(x) \equiv \psi(x) \equiv 0$  for  $|x| > \sigma$  (i.e. both  $\phi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$  have **compact support**), then  $u(x, t) \equiv 0$  on the region  $|x| \geq \sigma + ct$ .*

**Remark 5.13** *Draw a picture for the above lemma.*

**Corollary 5.14** *Assume both  $\phi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$  have **compact support**. Then  $u(x, t)$  given by (125) has compact support in  $x$  for each fixed  $t$  (however, the support of  $u(x, t)$  will become larger if  $t$  gets larger).*

**Remark 5.15 (Important.)** *If we write the solution as (see (125))*

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} [\phi(x + ct) + \phi(x - ct)] + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} \psi(s) ds = F(x + ct) + G(x - ct)$$

for some suitable function  $F(\cdot)$  and  $G(\cdot)$ , then, in general,  $F(\cdot)$  and  $G(\cdot)$  **do not** have compact support. For example, take  $\phi(x) \equiv 0$  and

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0 \\ \sin x, & 0 \leq x \leq \pi \\ 0, & x > \pi, \end{cases}$$

then we have

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} \psi(s) ds = \frac{1}{2c} \left( \tilde{\psi}(x+ct) - \tilde{\psi}(x-ct) \right),$$

where  $\tilde{\psi}(x)$  is an antiderivative of  $\psi(x)$ , given by

$$\tilde{\psi}(x) = \begin{cases} -1, & x < 0 \\ -\cos x, & 0 \leq x \leq \pi \\ 1, & x > 0. \end{cases}$$

In such a case, the functions  $F(\cdot)$  and  $G(\cdot)$  **do not** have compact support.

**Example 5.16** Consider the wave equation with initial conditions:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) = c^2 u_{xx}(x, t), & c > 0 \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x), & u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), & x \in (-\infty, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Assume that  $\phi = \psi \equiv 0$  on the interval  $[-1-c, 1+c]$ . Determine the region  $R \subset \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty)$  such that  $u(x, t) \equiv 0$  on  $R$ .

**Solution:**

The region  $R$  is the triangular-shaped region given by

$$R = \{(x, t) : x - ct \geq -1 - c\} \cap \{(x, t) : x + ct \leq 1 + c\} \cap \{(x, t) : t \geq 0\}.$$

□

**Remark 5.17** Draw a picture for the above answer.

## 5.2 Space-time separable solutions of the wave equation.

We look for certain special solutions of the wave equation  $u_{tt}(x, t) = c^2 u_{xx}(x, t)$  with the **separable form**:

$$u(x, t) = f(x)g(t),$$

where  $f(x)$  and  $g(t)$  are  $C^2$  functions defined on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . Plug it into the equation to get

$$f(x)g''(t) = c^2 f''(x)g(t)$$

and then we get the identity (assume the denominators are nonzero)

$$\frac{g''(t)}{c^2 g(t)} = \frac{f''(x)}{f(x)}.$$

The above identity cannot hold unless there is some constant  $K$  such that

$$\frac{g''(t)}{c^2 g(t)} = \frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} = K, \quad \forall x \in \text{domain of } f, \quad \forall t \in \text{domain of } g.$$

There are three possibilities for the constant  $K$  :  $K = \lambda^2 > 0$ ,  $K = 0$ ,  $K = -\lambda^2 < 0$ . For the first case, we have

$$\frac{g''(t)}{c^2 g(t)} = \frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} = \lambda^2, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

which gives

$$g(t) = c_1 e^{\lambda ct} + c_2 e^{-\lambda ct}, \quad f(x) = d_1 e^{\lambda x} + d_2 e^{-\lambda x}, \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad (132)$$

where  $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2$  are integration constants. For the second case, we have

$$\frac{g''(t)}{c^2 g(t)} = \frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} = 0,$$

which gives

$$g(t) = c_1 t + c_2, \quad f(x) = d_1 x + d_2, \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (133)$$

For the third case, we have

$$\frac{g''(t)}{c^2 g(t)} = \frac{f''(x)}{f(x)} = -\lambda^2, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

which gives

$$g(t) = c_1 \sin(\lambda ct) + c_2 \cos(\lambda ct), \quad f(x) = d_1 \sin(\lambda x) + d_2 \cos(\lambda x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (134)$$

Thus we have:

**Lemma 5.18** (*Classification of space-time separable solutions of the wave equation.*)  
The following are the **space-time separable** solutions of the wave equation

$$u(x, t) = \begin{cases} (c_1 e^{\lambda ct} + c_2 e^{-\lambda ct}) (d_1 e^{\lambda x} + d_2 e^{-\lambda x}) \\ (c_1 t + c_2) (d_1 x + d_2) \\ [c_1 \sin(\lambda ct) + c_2 \cos(\lambda ct)] [d_1 \sin(\lambda x) + d_2 \cos(\lambda x)], \end{cases} \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty)$$

and there are no others. Here  $\lambda$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ),  $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2$  are all arbitrary constants.

**Remark 5.19** (*Important.*) The space-time separable solutions are important if we want to use **Fourier series** to express solutions of the wave equation.

**Example 5.20** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $L > 0$ , there is a solution, defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , of the wave equation of the form (choose  $\lambda = n\pi/L$  in the above lemma)

$$u_n(x, t) = \left[ A_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi c}{L} t\right) + B_n \cos\left(\frac{n\pi c}{L} t\right) \right] \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right), \quad A_n, B_n \text{ are const.}, \quad (135)$$

which satisfies the **initial-boundary conditions (fixed-end condition)**

$$\begin{cases} u_n(x, 0) = B_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right), & (u_n)_t(x, 0) = A_n \frac{n\pi c}{L} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right), & \forall x \in [0, L] & \text{(initial cond.)} \\ u_n(0, t) = u_n(L, t) = 0, & \forall t \in [0, \infty) & \text{(boundary cond.)} \end{cases} \quad (136)$$

We call it a **harmonic** of the wave equation  $u_{tt} = c^2 u_{xx}$  with **fixed ends** at  $x = 0$  and  $x = L$  (by Fourier series expansion applying to solutions of the wave equation with fixed ends, any such solution can be expressed as an **infinite sum of different harmonics**). For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the initial data  $u_n(x, 0) = B_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right)$  in (135) has  $n$  zeros on the interval  $[0, L]$ . Note that a wave equation with **fixed-end** boundary condition is **not enough to ensure a unique solution**. We still need the initial physical conditions  $u(x, 0) = \phi(x)$ ,  $u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x)$ ,  $x \in [0, L]$ , to guarantee a

unique solution (see F. John, *PDE*, 4th edition, p. 42-44 for a geometrical explanation). One can rewrite  $u_n(x, t)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(x, t) &= R_n \left[ \cos \theta_n \sin \left( \frac{n\pi c}{L} t \right) + \sin \theta_n \cos \left( \frac{n\pi c}{L} t \right) \right] \sin \left( \frac{n\pi x}{L} \right) \\ &= R_n \sin \left( \frac{n\pi c}{L} t + \theta_n \right) \sin \left( \frac{n\pi x}{L} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (137)$$

where  $R_n = \sqrt{A_n^2 + B_n^2}$  and the angle  $\theta_n$  satisfies  $\cos \theta_n = \frac{A_n}{R_n}$ ,  $\sin \theta_n = \frac{B_n}{R_n}$ . Note that the value of  $u_n(x, t)$  lies between  $-R_n$  and  $R_n$ . We call  $R_n$  the **amplitude** of  $u_n(x, t)$  and call  $\theta_n$  the **phase** of  $u_n(x, t)$ . The solution (137), defined on  $[0, L]$ , is **periodic** in time with

$$u_n \left( x, t + \frac{2L}{nc} \right) = u_n(x, t), \quad \forall x \in [0, L], \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The time  $\frac{2L}{nc}$  is called the **period** of the solution.

### 5.3 Conservation of the total energy for wave equation.

**Lemma 5.21** (*Equipartition of energy for wave equations with compact support initial data.*) Assume both  $\phi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$  have **compact support** (this assumption is essential) in (126) and  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, \infty))$  solves the initial value problem (126). Define the **kinetic energy** and the **potential energy** for the solution  $u(x, t)$  as

$$k(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_t^2(x, t) dx \quad \text{and} \quad p(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_x^2(x, t) dx, \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (138)$$

Then we have (1).  $k(t) + c^2 p(t)$  is a **constant** for all time  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . (2). Moreover, for  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $|t|$  is **large enough**, we have  $k(t) = c^2 p(t)$ . In particular, we have

$$k(t) = c^2 p(t) = \text{const.} \quad (139)$$

when  $|t|$  is large enough.

**Remark 5.22** By the conclusion (1), we see that the energy is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} k(t) + c^2 p(t) &= k(0) + c^2 p(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_t^2(x, 0) dx + \frac{c^2}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_x^2(x, 0) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^2(x) dx + \frac{c^2}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\phi'(x))^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we see that the improper integrals are actually proper integrals since  $\phi(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$  have **compact support** ...

**Proof.** (1). By Corollary 5.14, we know that  $u(x, t)$  given by (125) have compact support in  $x$  for each fixed  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . Computing

$$\begin{cases} u_t(x, t) = \frac{c}{2} [\phi'(x+ct) - \phi'(x-ct)] + \frac{1}{2} [\psi(x+ct) + \psi(x-ct)] \\ u_x(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} [\phi'(x+ct) + \phi'(x-ct)] + \frac{1}{2c} [\psi(x+ct) - \psi(x-ct)], \end{cases} \quad (140)$$

we can see that both  $u_t(x, t)$  and  $u_x(x, t)$  also have **compact support** in  $x$  for each fixed  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . Hence the two **improper** integrals in (138) both **converge** (both are actually **proper**

integrals). **Hence, the differentiation with respect to time can commute with the integral.** That is, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} [k(t) + c^2 p(t)] &= \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_t^2(x, t) dx + \frac{c^2}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_x^2(x, t) dx \right] \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_t(x, t) u_{tt}(x, t) dx + c^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_x(x, t) u_{xt}(x, t) dx \\
&= c^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [u_t(x, t) u_{xx}(x, t) + u_x(x, t) u_{xt}(x, t)] dx \\
&= c^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [u_t(x, t) u_x(x, t)] dx = c^2 [u_x(x, t) u_t(x, t)] \Big|_{x=-\infty}^{x=\infty} = 0, \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, \infty),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that both  $u_t(x, t)$  and  $u_x(x, t)$  also have compact support in  $x$  for each fixed  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . The proof of (1) is done.

**Remark 5.23** (*Omit this in class.*) If you have difficulty assuring the identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_t^2(x, t) dx = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_t(x, t) u_{tt}(x, t) dx,$$

you can use definition and mean value theorem to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_t^2(x, t) dx \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{u_t^2(x, t+h) - u_t^2(x, t)}{h} dx = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2u_t(x, t + \theta(h)) u_{tt}(x, t + \theta(h)) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $t + \theta(h)$  lies between  $t$  and  $t + h$  and in the above two limits the time  $t$  is fixed. As we will let  $h \rightarrow 0$ , we may assume that  $h \in [-1, 1]$  and so  $t + \theta(h) \in [t - 1, t + 1]$  for all  $h \in [-1, 1]$ . One can find a large number  $M > 0$  so that

$$2u_t(x, t + \theta(h)) u_{tt}(x, t + \theta(h)) \equiv 0 \text{ for all } |x| \geq M \text{ and all } h \in [-1, 1],$$

which gives

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2u_t(x, t + \theta(h)) u_{tt}(x, t + \theta(h)) dx = \int_{-M}^M 2u_t(x, t + \theta(h)) u_{tt}(x, t + \theta(h)) dx, \quad \forall h \in [-1, 1].$$

Since the integrand  $2u_t(x, s) u_{tt}(x, s)$  is a **continuous function** in  $(x, s) \in [-M, M] \times [t - 1, t + 1]$ , we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \int_{-M}^M 2u_t(x, s) u_{tt}(x, s) dx = \int_{-M}^M 2u_t(x, t) u_{tt}(x, t) dx,$$

which is an elementary fact in Advanced Calculus. The above implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2u_t(x, t + \theta(h)) u_{tt}(x, t + \theta(h)) dx \\
&= \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \int_{-M}^M 2u_t(x, s) u_{tt}(x, s) dx = \int_{-M}^M 2u_t(x, t) u_{tt}(x, t) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2u_t(x, t) u_{tt}(x, t) dx
\end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_t^2(x, t) dx \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2u_t(x, t + \theta(h)) u_{tt}(x, t + \theta(h)) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2u_t(x, t) u_{tt}(x, t) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is done.

For (2), by (140), we have

$$u_t^2(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{c^2}{4} \left[ \underbrace{(\phi'(x+ct))^2 + (\phi'(x-ct))^2}_{-2\phi'(x+ct)\phi'(x-ct)} \right] \\ + \frac{1}{4} \left[ \underbrace{\psi^2(x+ct) + \psi^2(x-ct)}_{+2\psi(x+ct)\psi(x-ct)} \right] \\ + \frac{c}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \underbrace{\phi'(x+ct)\psi(x+ct)}_{-\phi'(x-ct)\psi(x+ct)} + \phi'(x+ct)\psi(x-ct) \\ -\phi'(x-ct)\psi(x+ct) - \underbrace{\phi'(x-ct)\psi(x-ct)} \end{pmatrix} \end{cases}$$

and

$$c^2 u_x^2(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{c^2}{4} \left[ \underbrace{(\phi'(x+ct))^2 + (\phi'(x-ct))^2}_{+2\phi'(x+ct)\phi'(x-ct)} \right] \\ + \frac{1}{4} \left[ \underbrace{\psi^2(x+ct) + \psi^2(x-ct)}_{-2\psi(x+ct)\psi(x-ct)} \right] \\ + \frac{c}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \underbrace{\phi'(x+ct)\psi(x+ct)}_{+\phi'(x-ct)\psi(x+ct)} - \phi'(x+ct)\psi(x-ct) \\ +\phi'(x-ct)\psi(x+ct) - \underbrace{\phi'(x-ct)\psi(x-ct)} \end{pmatrix}. \end{cases}$$

By above, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{c^2}{4} [-2\phi'(x+ct)\phi'(x-ct)] + \frac{1}{4} [2\psi(x+ct)\psi(x-ct)] \right) dx \\ & + \frac{c}{2} [\phi'(x+ct)\psi(x-ct) - \phi'(x-ct)\psi(x+ct)] \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{c^2}{4} [2\phi'(x+ct)\phi'(x-ct)] + \frac{1}{4} [-2\psi(x+ct)\psi(x-ct)] \right) dx \\ & + \frac{c}{2} [-\phi'(x+ct)\psi(x-ct) + \phi'(x-ct)\psi(x+ct)] \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to showing that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} -c^2\phi'(x+ct)\phi'(x-ct) + \psi(x+ct)\psi(x-ct) \\ +c\phi'(x+ct)\psi(x-ct) - c\phi'(x-ct)\psi(x+ct) \end{pmatrix} dx = 0. \quad (141)$$

Since, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the two points  $p = x + ct$ ,  $q = x - ct$  have distance  $2c|t|$ . They **both** can not stay in the support of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  for large  $|t|$  for **any**  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence if  $|t| \geq 0$  is large enough, we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'(x+ct)\phi'(x-ct) &= \psi(x+ct)\psi(x-ct) \\ &= \phi'(x+ct)\psi(x-ct) = \phi'(x-ct)\psi(x+ct) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in (-\infty, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is done. □

Another energy property for the wave equation is the following:

**Lemma 5.24** (*Conservation of energy for wave equations with fixed-end condition.*) Assume  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is a solution of the wave equation  $u_{tt}(x, t) = c^2 u_{xx}(x, t)$  and there is some  $L > 0$  such that

$$u(0, t) = u(L, t) = 0, \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad (142)$$

i.e.  $u(x, t)$  satisfies "**fixed-end**" condition, then the **total energy over the interval**  $[0, L]$ :

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^L u_t^2(x, t) dx + c^2 \int_0^L u_x^2(x, t) dx \right), \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty) \quad (143)$$

is **independent** of time.



**Proof.** We first note that by (142) we have  $u_t(0, t) = u_t(L, t) = 0$  for all  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . Compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^L u_t^2(x, t) dx + c^2 \int_0^L u_x^2(x, t) dx \right) \right] \\ &= \int_0^L u_t u_{tt} dx + c^2 \int_0^L u_x u_{xt} dx = c^2 \int_0^L (u_t u_{xx} + u_x u_{xt}) dx \\ &= c^2 \int_0^L \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (u_t u_x) dx = c^2 \underbrace{u_t(L, t) u_x(L, t)} - c^2 \underbrace{u_t(0, t) u_x(0, t)} = 0, \quad \forall t \in (-\infty, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the total energy over the interval  $[0, L]$  is independent of time.  $\square$

## 6 Nonhomogeneous wave equation.

We now consider the nonhomogeneous wave equation

$$u_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 u_{xx}(x, t) = f(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (144)$$

with initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (145)$$

Here the functions  $f(x, t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $\phi(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\psi(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  are all given.

**Remark 6.1 (Important.)** Note that here we assume  $f(x, t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  instead of  $f(x, t) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . We will explain the reason later on.

By linearity, it suffices to look at the case when  $\phi(x) = \psi(x) = 0$  due to the following observation:

**Lemma 6.2** If  $u(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  solves the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 u_{xx}(x, t) = f(x, t), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ u(x, 0) = 0, \quad u_t(x, 0) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \quad (146)$$

and  $v(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  solves the problem

$$\begin{cases} v_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 v_{xx}(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ v(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad v_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (147)$$

then  $w(x, t) = u(x, t) + v(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  solves the problem

$$\begin{cases} w_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 w_{xx}(x, t) = f(x, t), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ w(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad w_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (148)$$

Since we already know how to solve (147), it suffices to focus on (146). We want to derive a **solution formula** for  $u(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  satisfying (146).

We shall use the **change of variables method (characteristic coordinates method)** to solve (146). This method is quite **straightforward** and **natural**. We first note the following simple fact:

**Lemma 6.3** Let  $p(x, y)$  be a  $C^1$  function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  satisfying

$$p(\lambda, \lambda) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_x(\lambda, \lambda) = p_y(\lambda, \lambda), \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (149)$$

Then we must have

$$p_x(\lambda, \lambda) = p_y(\lambda, \lambda) = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (150)$$

Conversely, if it satisfies

$$p(\lambda, \lambda) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_x(\lambda, \lambda) = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (151)$$

then we must also have  $p_y(\lambda, \lambda) = 0$  also for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . **Hence condition (149) is equivalent to condition (151).**

**Proof.** Assume  $p(\lambda, \lambda) = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then by the chain rule

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\lambda} p(\lambda, \lambda) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(\lambda, \lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{d\lambda} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(\lambda, \lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{d\lambda} = p_x(\lambda, \lambda) + p_y(\lambda, \lambda).$$

The rest is clear.  $\square$

Recall that (see Section 2.2) when we solve the homogeneous wave equation  $u_{tt} - c^2 u_{xx} = 0$ , we can use the change of variables (by use of the two characteristic lines)

$$\xi = x + ct, \quad \eta = x - ct \quad (152)$$

to reduce the equation into the simple form (let  $\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta) = u(x, t)$ ). By

$$\begin{cases} u_t = c\tilde{u}_\xi - c\tilde{u}_\eta, & u_x = \tilde{u}_\xi + \tilde{u}_\eta \\ u_{tt} = c^2\tilde{u}_{\xi\xi} - 2c^2\tilde{u}_{\xi\eta} + c^2\tilde{u}_{\eta\eta}, & u_{xx} = \tilde{u}_{\xi\xi} + 2\tilde{u}_{\xi\eta} + \tilde{u}_{\eta\eta}, \end{cases} \quad (153)$$

the new equation for  $\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta)$  is

$$-4c^2\tilde{u}_{\xi\eta}(\xi, \eta) = 0, \quad (154)$$

where, in (154), we have used the identity  $\tilde{u}_{\xi\eta} = \tilde{u}_{\eta\xi}$  for a  $C^2$  solution. Hence we obtain the general solution for  $\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta)$ :

$$\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta) = F(\xi) + G(\eta) \quad (155)$$

and then the general  $C^2$  solution of  $u_{tt} - c^2 u_{xx} = 0$  is given by

$$u(x, t) = F(x + ct) + G(x - ct) \quad (156)$$

for arbitrary  $C^2$  functions  $F(z)$  and  $G(z)$  defined on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .

For the nonhomogeneous equation  $u_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 u_{xx}(x, t) = f(x, t)$  with initial conditions in (146), we can do the same change of variables and the equation for  $\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta)$  becomes

$$-4c^2\tilde{u}_{\xi\eta}(\xi, \eta) = f\left(\frac{\xi + \eta}{2}, \frac{\xi - \eta}{2c}\right). \quad (157)$$

To solve (157), we also need to convert the initial conditions for  $u(x, t)$  into the initial conditions for  $\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta)$ . Since we have  $u(x, t) = \tilde{u}(x + ct, x - ct)$ , the condition  $u(x, 0) = 0$  for all  $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$  becomes

$$\tilde{u}(\lambda, \lambda) = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (158)$$

Next, by

$$u_t(x, t) = c\tilde{u}_\xi(x + ct, x - ct) - c\tilde{u}_\eta(x + ct, x - ct), \quad (159)$$

the condition  $u_t(x, 0) = 0$  for all  $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$  becomes

$$\tilde{u}_\xi(\lambda, \lambda) = \tilde{u}_\eta(\lambda, \lambda), \quad \forall \lambda \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (160)$$

Now by Lemma 6.3, the initial conditions in (158) and (160) are equivalent to:

$$(1). \tilde{u}(\lambda, \lambda) = 0, \quad (2). \tilde{u}_\xi(\lambda, \lambda) = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in (-\infty, \infty). \quad (161)$$

Thus the initial value problem (146) for the new function  $\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta)$  becomes the following:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}_{\xi\eta}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}(\tilde{u}_\xi(\xi, \eta)) = -\frac{1}{4c^2}f\left(\frac{\xi+\eta}{2}, \frac{\xi-\eta}{2c}\right), & \forall (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \tilde{u}(\xi, \xi) = 0, \quad \tilde{u}_\xi(\xi, \xi) = 0, & \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (162)$$

We first integrate the equation with respect to  $\eta$  to get  $\tilde{u}_\xi(\xi, \eta)$ . We need to take the condition  $\tilde{u}_\xi(\xi, \xi) = 0$  into consideration. In calculus, if we want to find a one-variable function  $H(\eta)$  satisfying  $H'(\eta) = g(\eta)$ ,  $H(\xi) = 0$ , then the answer is **unique** and is given by

$$H(\eta) = \int_\xi^\eta g(\sigma) d\sigma. \quad (163)$$

Motivated by the above, we write the equation in (162) as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}(\tilde{u}_\xi(\xi, \sigma)) = -\frac{1}{4c^2}f\left(\frac{\xi+\sigma}{2}, \frac{\xi-\sigma}{2c}\right)$$

and apply the integral  $\int_\xi^\eta d\sigma$  onto it to get

$$\tilde{u}_\xi(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta) = -\frac{1}{4c^2}\int_\xi^\eta f\left(\frac{\xi+\sigma}{2}, \frac{\xi-\sigma}{2c}\right) d\sigma, \quad \tilde{u}_\xi(\xi, \xi) = 0. \quad (164)$$

Next, we integrate the above with respect to  $\xi$  to get  $\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta)$  and we need to take the condition  $\tilde{u}(\xi, \xi) = 0$  into consideration. By the same trick as in (163), if we want to find  $G(\xi)$  satisfying  $G'(\xi) = p(\xi)$ ,  $G(\eta) = 0$ , then the answer is **unique** and is given by

$$G(\xi) = \int_\eta^\xi p(r) dr. \quad (165)$$

Therefore, by similar trick, we write the equation in (164) as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\tilde{u}(r, \eta) = -\frac{1}{4c^2}\int_r^\eta f\left(\frac{r+\sigma}{2}, \frac{r-\sigma}{2c}\right) d\sigma$$

and apply the integral  $\int_\eta^\xi dr$  onto it to get

$$\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta) = \int_\eta^\xi \left[ -\frac{1}{4c^2}\int_r^\eta f\left(\frac{r+\sigma}{2}, \frac{r-\sigma}{2c}\right) d\sigma \right] dr, \quad (166)$$

which is the same as

$$\tilde{u}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{4c^2}\int_\eta^\xi \left[ \int_\eta^r f\left(\frac{r+\sigma}{2}, \frac{r-\sigma}{2c}\right) d\sigma \right] dr, \quad \forall (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (167)$$

It satisfies

$$\tilde{u}(\xi, \xi) = 0, \quad \tilde{u}_\xi(\xi, \xi) = 0, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (168)$$

Therefore, we conclude that the unique solution of problem (162) is given by the formula (167). To get a better picture for the domain of integration in  $(\xi, \eta)$ -plane, we write (167) as

$$\tilde{u}(\xi_0, \eta_0) = \frac{1}{4c^2} \int_{\eta_0}^{\xi_0} \left[ \int_{\eta_0}^{\xi} f\left(\frac{\xi + \eta}{2}, \frac{\xi - \eta}{2c}\right) d\eta \right] d\xi, \quad \forall (\xi_0, \eta_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (169)$$

or as the double integral

$$\tilde{u}(\xi_0, \eta_0) = \frac{1}{4c^2} \iint_{\tilde{\Delta}} f\left(\frac{\xi + \eta}{2}, \frac{\xi - \eta}{2c}\right) d\xi d\eta. \quad (170)$$

The domain of integration  $\tilde{\Delta}$  in the  $(\xi, \eta)$ -plane for the above double integral is given by (for convenience, in the picture below we assume  $\eta_0 < \xi_0$ )

$\tilde{\Delta}$  : DRAW A PICTURE HERE IN  $(\xi, \eta)$ -PLANE !!!.

We note that  $\tilde{\Delta}$  is the region inside a **right triangle** bounded by the three lines  $L_1 : \xi = \xi_0$ ,  $L_2 : \eta = \eta_0$ , and  $L_3 : \xi = \eta$  (the point  $(\xi_0, \eta_0)$  is on  $L_1 \cap L_2$ ). Going back to  $(x, t)$ -plane, by the relation

$$\xi = x + ct, \quad \eta = x - ct \quad \iff \quad x = \frac{\xi + \eta}{2}, \quad t = \frac{\xi - \eta}{2c},$$

we have the following correspondence (denote the point corresponding to  $(\xi_0, \eta_0)$  as  $(x_0, t_0)$ ):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1). (\xi_0, \eta_0) = (x_0 + ct_0, x_0 - ct_0) \iff (x_0, t_0) = \left(\frac{\xi_0 + \eta_0}{2}, \frac{\xi_0 - \eta_0}{2c}\right) \text{ (top point of the triangle),} \\ (2). L_1 : \xi = \xi_0 \iff \text{line } x + ct = x_0 + ct_0 \text{ (characteristic line with negative slope),} \\ (3). L_2 : \eta = \eta_0 \iff \text{line } x - ct = x_0 - ct_0 \text{ (characteristic line with positive slope),} \\ (4). L_3 : \xi = \eta \iff \text{line } t = 0 \text{ (the } x\text{-axis).} \end{array} \right. \quad (171)$$

Denote the region of (171) as  $\Delta \subset (x, t)$  plane, which is a triangle with top point at  $(x_0, t_0)$ . Its picture is given by

$\Delta$  : DRAW A PICTURE HERE IN  $(x, t)$ -PLANE !!!.

As a consequence of the above, from Advanced Calculus, we have the change of variables identity for **double integrals** (the **absolute value** of the Jacobian  $J = \partial(\xi, \eta) / \partial(x, t)$  is  $2c$ ,  $c > 0$ ) :

$$\tilde{u}(\xi_0, \eta_0) = \frac{1}{4c^2} \iint_{\tilde{\Delta}} f\left(\frac{\xi + \eta}{2}, \frac{\xi - \eta}{2c}\right) d\xi d\eta = \frac{1}{2c} \iint_{\Delta} f(x, t) dx dt = u(x_0, t_0). \quad (172)$$

The last step is to express the double integral  $\iint_{\Delta} f(x, t) dx dt$  as an **iterated integral**. Based on the shape of  $\Delta$ , it is easier to integrate with respect to  $x$  first and then with respect to  $t$ . We have

$$u(x_0, t_0) = \frac{1}{2c} \iint_{\Delta} f(x, t) dx dt = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^{t_0} \left( \int_{x_0 - c(t_0 - t)}^{x_0 + c(t_0 - t)} f(x, t) dx \right) dt, \quad (x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (173)$$

Back to general  $u(x, t)$ , we can express the solution as

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \left( \int_{x - c(t-s)}^{x + c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (174)$$

At this moment, we are ready to state **the main theorem in this section**, which is:

**Theorem 6.4** (*Solution for nonhomogeneous wave equation with zero initial data.*) Assume  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Then the function  $u(x, t)$  given by

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \left( \int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (175)$$

lies in the space  $C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and is a  $C^2$  **solution** of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 u_{xx}(x, t) = f(x, t), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ u(x, 0) = 0, \quad u_t(x, 0) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \quad (176)$$

on the domain  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Moreover, the  $C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  solution of the problem (176) is uniquely given by (175).

**Proof.** One first give a direct proof that, for  $f \in C^1$ , the function  $u(x, t)$  given by (175) is indeed a  $C^2$  **solution** of problem (176) defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For this purpose, we recall the following **derivative formula** from Calculus: Assume  $\alpha(x), \beta(x) : [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$  are differentiable with respect to  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $h(x, y) : [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $C^1$  function. Then we have the identity (also see Remark 6.5 below)

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_{\alpha(x)}^{\beta(x)} h(x, y) dy = h(x, \beta(x)) \beta'(x) - h(x, \alpha(x)) \alpha'(x) + \int_{\alpha(x)}^{\beta(x)} h_x(x, y) dy. \quad (177)$$

Now let  $u(x, t)$  be the function given by (175). We clearly have

$$u(x, 0) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^0 (\dots) ds = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (178)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_x(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2c} \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \int_0^t \left( \int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds \right] = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t [f(x+c(t-s), s) - f(x-c(t-s), s)] ds \end{aligned} \quad (179)$$

and

$$u_{xx}(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [f(x+c(t-s), s) - f(x-c(t-s), s)]}_{\text{derivative of the integrand}} ds. \quad (180)$$

On the other hand, we have (let  $h(x, t, s) = \int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta$  and apply the identity (177))

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2c} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t (h(x, t, s)) ds \\ &= h(x, t, t) \text{ (this is zero)} + \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (h(x, t, s)) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t [f(x+c(t-s), s) c - f(x-c(t-s), s) (-c)] ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t [f(x+c(t-s), s) + f(x-c(t-s), s)] ds, \end{aligned} \quad (181)$$

which gives

$$u_t(x, 0) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^0 (\dots) ds = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (182)$$

and also

$$u_{tt}(x, t) = f(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [f(x + c(t-s), s) + f(x - c(t-s), s)]}_{\text{}} ds. \quad (183)$$

By the identity

$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [f(x + c(t-s), s) + f(x - c(t-s), s)]}_{\text{}} = c \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [f(x + c(t-s), s) - f(x - c(t-s), s)]}_{\text{}},$$

we conclude

$$u_{tt}(x, t) = f(x, t) + c^2 u_{xx}(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (184)$$

The check is done.

Now we check uniqueness. If there is another  $C^2$  solution  $w(x, t)$  of the problem (176) on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we can look at the difference

$$v(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \left( \int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds - w(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Then  $v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and it satisfies

$$\begin{cases} v_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 v_{xx}(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ v(x, 0) = 0, \quad v_t(x, 0) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 5.6, we must have  $v(x, t) \equiv 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The proof is done.  $\square$

**Remark 6.5** (*Change the order of differentiation and integration.*) If  $h(x, y)$  and  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(x, y)$  are both in  $C^0([a, b] \times [c, d])$ , then the function

$$H(x) := \int_c^d h(x, y) dy, \quad x \in [a, b]$$

is differentiable with respect to  $x \in [a, b]$  and satisfies

$$H'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left( \int_c^d h(x, y) dy \right) = \int_c^d \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(x, y) dy, \quad \forall x \in [a, b]. \quad (185)$$

In particular, we note that  $H'(x)$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  due to  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \in C^0([a, b] \times [c, d])$ .

**Remark 6.6** (*Important observation.*) In calculus, if  $f(s) \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$  is a **continuous** function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and we **integrate it twice over**  $\mathbb{R}$ , then the result will be a  $C^2$  function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , i.e.

$$u(t) = \int_0^t \left( \int_0^\rho f(s) ds \right) d\rho \in C^2(\mathbb{R}). \quad (186)$$

Note that here  $\dim \mathbb{R} = 1$  and the times of integration over the domain space  $\mathbb{R}$  is 2. However, if  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and we look at

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \left( \int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds = \frac{1}{2c} \iint_{\Delta} f(\theta, s) d\theta ds \text{ (top point of } \Delta \text{ is } (x, t)), \quad (187)$$

then we have  $\dim \mathbb{R}^2 = 2$  and the times of integration over the domain space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is 1 (2 iterated integrals over  $\mathbb{R}$  is equal to 1 **double integral** over the domain space  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ). **Therefore, we expect  $u(x, t)$  given by (187) to lie in the space  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  only (and this is so in general !!!).** Finally, if we assume  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , then  $u(x, t)$  given by (187) will lie in the space  $C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . In Evans PDE book, p. 81, Theorem 4, the author also assumes that  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  in order for  $u(x, t)$  to be a  $C^2$  solution of the problem (176) on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Remark 6.7 (Omit this in class.) (Important.)** By (181), it is easy to give an example of  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$  but with  $u \notin C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . We can take  $c = 1$  and

$$f(p, q) = |p + q - 1|, \quad (p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (188)$$

and get

$$\begin{aligned} 2u_t(x, t) &= \int_0^t [f(x + (t - s), s) + f(x - (t - s), s)] ds \\ &= \int_0^t |x + t - 1| ds + \int_0^t |x - t + 2s - 1| ds \\ &= \underbrace{|x + t - 1| \cdot t}_{\text{first term}} + \int_0^t |x - t + 2s - 1| ds, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (189)$$

We look at the behavior of  $u(x, t)$  near the point  $(x, t) = (-4, 5)$ . At  $t = 5$ , we have

$$|x + t - 1| \cdot t = 5|x + 4|, \quad (190)$$

which is **not differentiable** at  $x = -4$ . For the second term in (189), at  $t = 5$ , we get

$$\int_0^5 |x - t + 2s - 1| ds = \int_0^5 |x + 2s - 6| ds = \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-6}^{x+4} |z| dz, \quad (191)$$

which is a **differentiable function** of  $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$  (since the integrand is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Therefore, we conclude that the function

$$2u_t(x, 5) = 5|x + 4| + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-6}^{x+4} |z| dz \quad (192)$$

is **not differentiable** at  $x = -4$ .

By Theorem 6.4, we can state the following:

**Theorem 6.8** Consider the following initial value problem for nonhomogeneous wave equation:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 u_{xx}(x, t) = f(x, t), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (193)$$

where  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ , are given functions. Then the solution  $u(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  of (193) is **unique**, defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and is given by the formula

$$u(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} [\phi(x + ct) + \phi(x - ct)] + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} \psi(s) ds \\ + \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \left( \int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \end{cases} \quad (194)$$

**Remark 6.9 (Important.)** By the representation formula (194), we can conclude the following: The **domain of dependence** of the solution  $u$  at the point  $(x_0, t_0)$ ,  $t_0 > 0$ , is given by a **compact triangular set**  $\Delta$  in the  $(x, t)$  plane, enclosed by the three lines:

$$\text{line } t = 0 \text{ (x-axis); line } x + ct = x_0 + ct_0; \text{ line } x - ct = x_0 - ct_0. \quad (195)$$

In the above lemma we assume  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  so that  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . **However, if  $f(x, t)$  depends only on  $x$  (or only on  $t$ ), then it suffices to assume that  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ . We have:**

**Corollary 6.10** Assume  $f(x, t)$  in (176) is a **continuous** function depending only on  $x$ , i.e.,  $f(x, t) = f(x) \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $G(x)$  be a function satisfying  $G''(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the function  $u(x, t)$  in (175) has the form

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c^2} [G(x + ct) + G(x - ct) - 2G(x)], \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (196)$$

where  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Note that the solution  $u(x, t)$  depends on both space and time.

**Remark 6.11** One can check that the solution (196) does not depend on the function  $G(x)$  as long as it satisfies  $G''(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . That is, if we replace  $G(x)$  by  $\tilde{G}(x) = G(x) + ax + b$  for some constants  $a, b$ , then we still have  $\tilde{G}''(x) = f(x)$ . Using this  $\tilde{G}(x)$  in (196) will give the same answer  $u(x, t)$ .

**Proof.** The proof is straightforward. Let  $F(x)$  be such that  $F'(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $G(x)$  be such that  $G'(x) = F(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  (and so  $G''(x) = f(x)$ ). We have

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \left( \int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta) d\theta \right) ds = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t [F(x + c(t-s)) - F(x - c(t-s))] ds.$$

By

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left( \frac{-1}{c} G(x + c(t-s)) \right) = F(x + c(t-s)), \quad \frac{d}{ds} \left( \frac{1}{c} G(x - c(t-s)) \right) = F(x - c(t-s)),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2c} \left( \frac{-1}{c} G(x + c(t-s)) \right) \Big|_{s=0}^{s=t} - \frac{1}{2c} \left( \frac{1}{c} G(x - c(t-s)) \right) \Big|_{s=0}^{s=t} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2c^2} G(x) + \frac{1}{2c^2} G(x + ct) - \frac{1}{2c^2} G(x) + \frac{1}{2c^2} G(x - ct) \\ &= \frac{1}{2c^2} [G(x + ct) + G(x - ct) - 2G(x)], \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is done. □

**Corollary 6.12** Assume  $f(x, t)$  in (176) is a **continuous** function depending only on  $t$ , i.e.,  $f(x, t) = f(t) \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ . Then the function  $u(x, t)$  in (175) has the form

$$u(x, t) = \int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds = \int_0^t \left( \int_0^\rho f(s) ds \right) d\rho \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (197)$$

Note that  $u(x, t)$  depends only on time  $t$  and is a  $C^2$  function of  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Remark 6.13 (Interesting observation !!)** A remarkable thing is that for a **continuous** function  $f(t) \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ , the function  $u(t) := \int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds$  is a  $C^2$  function of  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$  (not just a  $C^1$  function). Note that  $\int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds$  is a **convolution-type integral** and we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds = \int_0^t f(s) ds \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds = f(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (198)$$

On the other hand, since  $u(t)$  satisfies  $u''(t) = f(t)$ ,  $u(0) = 0$ ,  $u'(0) = 0$ , we can also express  $u(t)$  as the **double integral**

$$u(t) = \int_0^t \left( \int_0^\rho f(s) ds \right) d\rho, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (199)$$



Therefore, we have

$$\int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds = \int_0^t \left( \int_0^\rho f(s) ds \right) d\rho, \quad (200)$$

which can be verified using the **change of order of integration in the  $\rho s$ -plane**, i.e.

$$\int_0^t \left( \int_0^\rho f(s) ds \right) d\rho = \int_0^t \left( \int_s^t f(s) d\rho \right) ds = \int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds. \quad (201)$$

From (200), we see that  $\int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds$  is a  $C^2$  function of  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ .

**Proof.** By (175), we have

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \left( \int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(\theta, s) d\theta \right) ds = \frac{1}{2c} \int_0^t \left( \int_{x-c(t-s)}^{x+c(t-s)} f(s) d\theta \right) ds = \underbrace{\int_0^t f(s)(t-s) ds}_{\text{from (200)}}.$$

We have

$$\begin{cases} u_t(x, t) = f(t)(t-t) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (f(s)(t-s)) ds = \int_0^t f(s) ds, & u_{tt}(x, t) = f(t), \\ u(x, 0) = 0, & u_t(x, 0) = 0, \\ u_x(x, t) = u_{xx}(x, t) = 0, & u_{tt}(x, t) - c^2 u_{xx}(x, t) = f(t). \end{cases}$$

□

The above will be the coverage of the midterm exam on 2022-4-18.