## Revised on 2022-6-3

Remark 0.1 This part consists of parabolic equations only.
Remark 0.2 This note is based on "Lecture-notes-on-PDE-2019-third-part.tex".

## 1 The heat equation.

Recall that if we study the second order equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a u_{x x}+2 b u_{x y}+c u_{y y}+2 d u_{x}+2 e u_{y}+k u=f(x, y), \quad u=u(x, y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, \ldots, k$ are all constants and $f(x, y)$ is a given function defined on some open set $\Omega \subseteq$ $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, then we have the following classification result:

Theorem 1.1 (Refined canonical form.) If the linear equation (1) is elliptic, then one can find a suitable linear change of variables (using eigenvalues, eigenvectors and scalings) and multiply the solution by some exponential function so that, eventually, the equation has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\xi \xi}+v_{\eta \eta}+c v=\phi(\xi, \eta), \quad v=v(\xi, \eta), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c \in(-\infty, \infty)$ and some function $\phi(\xi, \eta)$. If the equation (1) is hyperbolic, the equation has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\xi \xi}-v_{\eta \eta}+c v=\phi(\xi, \eta), \quad v=v(\xi, \eta), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c \in(-\infty, \infty)$ and some function $\phi(\xi, \eta)$. If the equation (1) is parabolic and nondegenerate, the equation has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\xi \xi}+c v_{\eta}=\phi(\xi, \eta), \quad v=v(\xi, \eta), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c \neq 0$ and some function $\phi(\xi, \eta)$.
Remark 1.2 (Important.) The constant $c$ in the elliptic case can be $c>0$, or $c=0$, or $c<$ 0 . For $c>0$, we can make it equal to 1 by doing the change of variables

$$
\tilde{\xi}=\sqrt{c} \xi, \quad \tilde{\eta}=\sqrt{c} \eta, \quad \tilde{v}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta})=v\left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{c}}, \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{c}}\right)
$$

and for $c<0$, we can make it equal to -1 by doing the change of variables

$$
\tilde{\xi}=\sqrt{-c} \xi, \quad \tilde{\eta}=\sqrt{-c} \eta, \quad \tilde{v}(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta})=v\left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{-c}}, \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{-c}}\right) .
$$

Thus in the elliptic case, we may simply assume $c=1$, or 0 , or -1 . The constant $c$ in the hyperbolic case can be $c>0$, or $c=0$, or $c<0$. For $c<0$, by switching the role of $\xi$ and $\eta$, we may assume $c>0$, or $c=0$. Hence for the hyperbolic case, eventually, we can simply assume $c=1$, or 0 . Finally, for the parabolic case, the constant $c \neq 0$ can be $c>0$, or $c<0$. So eventually we can simply assume $c=1$, or -1 . However, since most parabolic equations come from physical phenomenon involving the behavior of some quantity $v(\xi, \eta)$ depending on space and time. So $\xi$ will represent space variable and $\eta$ will represent time variable. In that case a model parabolic equation looks like (assume $\phi(\xi, \eta)=0$ for simplicity)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (1). } v_{t}=v_{x x} \quad \text { or } \quad(2) \cdot v_{t}=-v_{x x} \text {. } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call (1) the "forward heat equation" (or just heat equation) and (2) the "backward heat equation". Since in reality, time cannot go backwards, so in a parabolic equation, we always fucus on the behavior of a solution $v(x, t)$ as time goes forwards, i.e., as $t$ is increasing. One can use simple examples to see that, as time goes forwards, the heat equation (1) will make solution better, while the backward heat equation (2) will make solution worse (look at $e^{-t} \sin x$ and $e^{t} \sin x$ respectively). Thus, as time goes forwards, equation (1) is well-posed, while (2) is ill-posed. In this course, we will focus only on (1) (on the other hand, as time goes backwards, (1) will make solution worse and (2) will make solution better...).

Definition 1.3 Let $v=v(\xi, \eta)$. The equations $v_{\xi \xi}+v_{\eta \eta}=0, v_{\xi \xi}-v_{\eta \eta}=0, v_{\xi \xi}-v_{\eta}=0$, are called Laplace equation (elliptic equation), wave equation (hyperbolic equation), and heat equation (nondegenerate parabolic equation), respectively.

By Theorem 1.1 and Remark 1.2, we study the following one-dimensional heat equation (nondegenerate parabolic equation) (we focus on equation (1) in (5)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=u_{x x}, \quad u=u(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For higher dimensional heat equation, it has the form

$$
u_{t}=\triangle u, \quad u=u\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, t\right), \quad\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

where

$$
\triangle=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{n}^{2}} \text { is the Laplace operator in } \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

We will focus only on the one-dimensional case, i.e. $n=1$, in this course.

## 2 Physical motivation for the heat equation.

We will give a brief explanation why the equation $u_{t}=\Delta u$ is called the heat equation. The reason is that it describes the behavior of the temperature function in the heat flow phenomenon. We look at the case $n=3$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a bounded "heated domain". At any time $t \in(0, \infty)$, let $u(x, y, z, t)$ be the temperature at the point $\mathbf{x}=(x, y, z) \in \Omega$. The total heat inside the domain $\Omega$ at time $t \in(0, \infty)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t)=\int_{\Omega} u(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}, \quad t \in(0, \infty) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The change of total heat inside $\Omega$ is given by (here we assume we can differentiate under the integral sign, which is actually so in most situations)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d H}{d t}(t)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}, \quad t \in(0, \infty) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by physical experiment, the French mathematician J. Fourier discovered that the heat will flow from hot to cold regions in a way that is proportional to the gradient of the temperature everywhere, i.e., proportional to the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla u=\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with certain proportion constant $\kappa$ (heat conductivity).

Moreover, due to the conservation law of energy, if there is a change in the total heat inside $\Omega$, it must be due to the heat flowing out or flowing into $\Omega$ through the boundary $\partial \Omega$ (which is a surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ ). By conservation law and Fourier's law, we also have the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d H}{d t}(t)=\int_{\partial \Omega} \kappa(\nabla u(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x})) d S \text { (surface integral in } \mathbb{R}^{3}\right), \quad t \in(0, \infty) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x})$ is the unit outward normal of $\partial \Omega$ at $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega$ and $\nabla u(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x})$ is the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ between the two vectors $\nabla u(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x})$. By (8) and (10) and the classical divergence theorem, we have the identity

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\partial \Omega} \kappa(\nabla u(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{x})) d S=\int_{\Omega} \kappa \operatorname{div}(\nabla u(\mathbf{x}, t)) d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Omega} \kappa \Delta u(\mathbf{x}, t) d \mathbf{x}
$$

and so we conclude the integral identity on $\Omega$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}, t)-\kappa \triangle u(\mathbf{x}, t)\right] d \mathbf{x}=0, \quad \forall t \in(0, \infty) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we note that the analysis leading to the identity (11) is independent of the domain $\Omega$, i.e. on any subdomain $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$, as long as the heat flow phenomenon obeys the conservation law and Fourier's law in $\tilde{\Omega}$, we always have the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tilde{\Omega}}\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}, t)-\kappa \triangle u(\mathbf{x}, t)\right] d \mathbf{x}=0, \quad \forall t \in(0, \infty) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the domain $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ in (12) is arbitrary, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}, t)=\kappa \Delta u(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad \forall(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega \times(0, \infty) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the heat equation if we do suitable scaling to make $\kappa=1$.

## 3 The 1-dimensional heat equation.

Most of the time (but not always) we will focus only on the 1-dimensional heat equation in this course ("1-dimensional" means space dimension $n$ is 1 ). Unlike the 1 -dimensional wave equation $u_{t t}=u_{x x}$, the heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}$ is much more difficult to solve. It is not difficult to guess some special solutions of $u_{t}=u_{x x}$, like

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=x, \quad 2 t+x^{2}, \quad x^{3}+6 x t, \quad e^{t+x}, \quad e^{t-x}, \quad e^{-t} \cos x, \quad e^{-t} \sin x, \quad e^{t} \cosh x, \quad e^{t} \sinh x \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

, etc. (note that $e^{t} \cosh x$ and $e^{t} \sinh x$ are linear combinations of $e^{t+x}$ and $e^{t-x}$ ). All of the above solutions are defined on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. One can check that the only space-time separable solutions of the heat equation are "essentially" of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=1, \quad x, \quad e^{t+x}, \quad e^{t-x}, \quad e^{-t} \cos x, \quad e^{-t} \sin x \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and no others. Also note that the solutions $u(x, t)=x, 2 t+x^{2}, x^{3}+6 x t$ are polynomial solutions with $u(x, 0)=x, x^{2}, x^{3}$. There is a formula for a polynomial solution with $u(x, 0)=x^{n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will discuss this later on.

There are several major differences between the wave equation and the heat equation:

1. There is smoothing effect for heat equation, but not so in wave equation. We will discuss this later on.
2. For wave equation, if $u(x, t)$ is a solution, so is the function $u(x,-t)$, but for the heat equation, if $u(x, t)$ is a solution, the function $u(x,-t)$ is, in general, no longer a solution. Thus for the heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}$, one cannot reverse the direction of time.
3. (Scaling property.) If $u(x, t)$ is a solution of the heat equation, so is the function $\tilde{u}(x, t)=$ $u\left(\lambda x, \lambda^{2} t\right)$ for any constant $\lambda \neq 0$ (for the wave equation, if $u(x, t)$ is a solution, so is the function $\tilde{u}(x, t)=u(\lambda x, \lambda t)$ for any constant $\lambda \neq 0)$.

Example 3.1 (Interesting solutions.) We have the following interesting solutions of $u_{t}=u_{x x}$. They are all defined on $\mathbb{R} \times(-\infty, \infty)$.

$$
u(x, t)=e^{-t} \cos x, \quad e^{-t} \sin x \quad(\text { space-periodic solutions, } u(x+2 \pi, t)=u(x, t))
$$

and
$u(x, t)$

$$
\left.=e^{ \pm \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}} \cos \left(t \pm \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right), \quad e^{ \pm \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}} \sin \left(t \pm \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \quad \text { (time-periodic solutions, } u(x, t+2 \pi)=u(x, t)\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(x, t) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{2}+2 t, \quad x^{3}+6 x t, \\
x^{4}+12 x^{2} t+12 t^{2}, \quad x^{5}+20 x^{3} t+60 x t^{2}, \quad \ldots
\end{array} \quad\right. \text { (polynomial solutions), }
\end{aligned}
$$

where we note the important property that $t$ is like $x^{2}$ (see Remark 3.7 below), and

$$
u(x, t)=e^{t+x}, \quad e^{t-x} \quad \text { (traveling wave solutions). }
$$

Note that a function $u(x, t)$ of the form $u(x, t)=h(x-\lambda t)$ for some constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is usually called a traveling wave function. To understand this terminology, you can plot the graphs of $u(x, 0), u(x, 1), u(x, 2), u(x, 3), \ldots$, and see that the graph of $u(x, 0)$ is moving along the $x$ direction as time goes on.

### 3.1 Polynomial solutions of the 1-dimensional heat equation.

If we do not impose any "side condition" on the heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}$, then on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ it has infinitely many solutions. Recall that for the Laplace equation on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, we have a family of polynomial solutions known as "harmonic polynomials". They are $1, x, y, x y, x^{2}-y^{2}$, etc., and they are all defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. In terms of polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ in the plane they have the forms $r^{n} \cos n \theta, r^{n} \sin n \theta$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} \bigcup\{0\}$. These solutions are important because we can use them to construct the Poisson Integral Formula on the disc.

For the heat equation $u_{t}(x, t)=u_{x x}(x, t)$ on $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, there are also "heat polynomials" defined on the whole space $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. In below, we show you how to derive them.

Consider the 1-dimensional heat equation $u_{t}(x, t)-u_{x x}(x, t)=0$ with initial data (data at $t=0$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=p_{0}(x), \quad x \in(-\infty, \infty) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{0}(x)$ is a polynomial defined on $x \in(-\infty, \infty)$ with degree $n \in \mathbb{N} \bigcup\{0\}$. We try to look for a space-time polynomial solution $u(x, t)$ of the heat equation of the form

$$
u(x, t)=p_{0}(x)+p_{1}(x) t+p_{2}(x) t^{2}+p_{3}(x) t^{3}+\cdots,
$$

where each $p_{i}(x)$ is also a polynomial in $x \in(-\infty, \infty)$.

We compute

$$
u_{t}(x, t)=p_{1}(x)+2 p_{2}(x) t+3 p_{3}(x) t^{2}+\cdots
$$

and

$$
u_{x x}(x, t)=p_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)+p_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x) t+p_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x) t^{2}+p_{3}^{\prime \prime}(x) t^{3}+\cdots
$$

and by comparing the coefficient functions (because we want $u_{t}(x, t)=u_{x x}(x, t)$ ), we require

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{1}(x)=p_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)  \tag{17}\\
p_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{1}{2} p_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) \\
p_{3}(x)=\frac{1}{3} p_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{1}{3!} p_{0}^{(6)}(x) \\
\cdots \\
p_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{3} p_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{1}{k!} p_{0}^{(2 k)}(x), \\
\cdots
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $p_{0}(x)$ is a polynomial with finite degree $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the above process will stop at some $k$ (i.e. $p_{0}^{(2 k)}(x)$ will become 0 for some $\left.k \in \mathbb{N}\right)$. Moreover, we see that all of the other polynomials $p_{1}(x), p_{2}(x), p_{3}(x), \ldots$. , can be uniquely determined by $p_{0}(x)$, which is the initial condition of the heat equation. Therefore, if the polynomial $p_{0}(x)$ is given in advance, we can find a unique polynomial solution of the heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}$ defined on $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ satisfying (16).

We look at some simple examples.
Example 3.2 Take $p_{0}(x)=x$. Then $p_{1}(x)=p_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$ and so on. The function $u(x, t)=x$ is a polynomial solution of the heat equation.

Example 3.3 Take $p_{0}(x)=x^{2}$. Then $p_{1}(x)=p_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)=2$ and $p_{2}(x)=0$ and so on. The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=p_{0}(x)+p_{1}(x) t=x^{2}+2 t \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a polynomial solution of the heat equation.
Example 3.4 Take $p_{0}(x)=x^{3}$. Then $p_{1}(x)=p_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)=6 x$ and $p_{2}(x)=0$ and so on. The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=p_{0}(x)+p_{1}(x) t=x^{3}+6 x t \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a polynomial solution of the heat equation.
Example 3.5 Take $p_{0}(x)=x^{4}$. Then $p_{1}(x)=p_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)=12 x^{2}$ and $p_{2}(x)=12$ and $p_{3}(x)=0$ and so on. The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=p_{0}(x)+p_{1}(x) t+p_{2}(x) t^{2}=x^{4}+12 x^{2} t+12 t^{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a polynomial solution of the heat equation.
Example 3.6 Take $p_{0}(x)=x^{5}$. Then $p_{1}(x)=p_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)=20 x^{3}$ and $p_{2}(x)=60 x$ and $p_{3}(x)=0$ and so on. The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=p_{0}(x)+p_{1}(x) t+p_{2}(x) t^{2}=x^{5}+20 x^{3} t+60 x t^{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a polynomial solution of the heat equation.

Remark 3.7 In all of the above examples, note that $t$ is like $x^{2}$ (so that each term has the same degree !!). Therefore, in the solution

$$
u(x, t)=x^{5}+20 x^{3} t+60 x t^{2}
$$

we see that each term has "degree 5".
Remark 3.8 (You will understand this remark later on.) If we use the representation formula (you will see it later on)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} p_{0}(y) d y, \quad t>0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

we will get the same answer on the domain $(x, t) \in(-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty)$. Note that the integral (22) converges for any polynomial $p_{0}(y)$. Moreover, differentiation can move into the integral sign.

### 3.2 Finding the fundamental solution of the heat equation with the help of polynomial solutions.

Until now, we have found lots of polynomial solutions of the heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}$ on $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+2 t, \quad x^{3}+6 x t, \quad x^{4}+12 x^{2} t+12 t^{2}, \quad x^{5}+20 x^{3} t+60 x t^{2}, \quad \cdots, \text { etc. } \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Restricted onto the domain $\mathbb{R} \times(0, \infty)$, each of the polynomial solution can be expressed as the form

$$
u(x, t)=g(t) h\left(\frac{x^{2}}{t}\right), \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times(0, \infty)
$$

for some functions $g(t), h(\theta)$ defined on $t \in(0, \infty), \theta \in[0, \infty)$. For example, we can express

$$
x^{2}+2 t=g(t) h\left(\frac{x^{2}}{t}\right), \quad \text { where } \quad g(t)=t, \quad h(\theta)=\theta+2
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{3}+6 x t=t^{3 / 2}\left(\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^{3}+6\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right) \\
& =g(t) h\left(\frac{x^{2}}{t}\right), \quad \text { where } g(t)=t^{3 / 2}, \quad h(\theta)=(\sqrt{\theta})^{3}+6 \sqrt{\theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{4}+12 x^{2} t+12 t^{2}=t^{2}\left(\left(\frac{x^{2}}{t}\right)^{2}+12\left(\frac{x^{2}}{t}\right)+12\right) \\
& =g(t) h\left(\frac{x^{2}}{t}\right), \quad \text { where } g(t)=t^{2}, \quad h(\theta)=\theta^{2}+12 \theta+12
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we can plug the general form $u(x, t)=g(t) h\left(\frac{x^{2}}{t}\right)$ into the heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}$ and see if we can find new interesting solutions. Compute

$$
u_{t}(x, t)=g^{\prime}(t) h\left(\frac{x^{2}}{t}\right)-g(t) h^{\prime}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{t}\right) \frac{x^{2}}{t^{2}}, \quad u_{x}(x, t)=g(t) h^{\prime}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{t}\right) \frac{2 x}{t}
$$

and

$$
u_{x x}(x, t)=g(t) h^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{t}\right) \frac{4 x^{2}}{t^{2}}+g(t) h^{\prime}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{t}\right) \frac{2}{t} .
$$

We hope to have the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(t) h\left(\frac{x^{2}}{t}\right)-\underbrace{g(t) h^{\prime}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{t}\right) \frac{x^{2}}{t^{2}}}=\underbrace{g(t) h^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{t}\right) \frac{4 x^{2}}{t^{2}}}+g(t) h^{\prime}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{t}\right) \frac{2}{t}, \quad \forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times(0, \infty), \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is possible if we require

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-h^{\prime}(\theta)=4 h^{\prime \prime}(\theta), \quad \theta \in[0, \infty)  \tag{25}\\
g^{\prime}(t) h(\theta)=\left(g(t) \frac{2}{t}\right) h^{\prime}(\theta), \quad \theta \in[0, \infty), \quad t \in(0, \infty)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Solving the first equation, we get the general solution $h(\theta)=A+B e^{-\frac{\theta}{4}}$ for arbitrary constants $A, B$ and we choose $A=0, B=1$ and plug $h(\theta)=e^{-\frac{\theta}{4}}$ into the second equation to get the equation for $g$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{1}{2 t} g(t) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives the general solution $g(t)=\frac{C}{\sqrt{ } t}$ for arbitrary constant $C$. Therefore, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=g(t) h\left(\frac{x^{2}}{t}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}}, \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times(0, \infty) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a new solution of the heat equation on $\mathbb{R} \times(0, \infty)$. Note that this solution is different from any solution you encountered before.

Remark 3.9 If $g(t)$ and $h(\theta)$ are from a polynomial solution $u(x, t)$, then they will satisfy (24) too.

Remark 3.10 (Important.) If we use the fact: if $u_{j}(x, t)$ is a solution for the one-dimensional heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}$ on $\mathbb{R} \times(0, \infty)$, then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\mathbf{x}, t)=u_{1}\left(x_{1}, t\right) \cdots u_{n}\left(x_{n}, t\right), \quad \mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution of the heat equation $u_{t}=\triangle u$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times(0, \infty)$. With this, by (27), we will obtain the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\mathbf{x}, t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{4 t}} \cdots \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-\frac{x_{n}^{2}}{4 t}}=\frac{1}{t^{n / 2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{4 t}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad t>0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the heat equation $u_{t}=\Delta u$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times(0, \infty)$.
By (29), we now define the following (for normalization purpose, we divide the solution in (29) by the constant $(4 \pi)^{n / 2}$; see Lemma 3.17):

Definition 3.11 The function

$$
\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{(4 \pi t)^{n / 2}} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}{4 t}}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad t>0  \tag{30}\\
0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad t \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

is called the fundamental solution of the heat equation. For each fixed time $t$, it is radial in $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Moreover it satisfies the heat equation $\partial_{t} u=\Delta u$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$ and is invariant under the space-time scaling $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t) \rightarrow \lambda^{n} \Phi\left(\lambda \mathbf{x}, \lambda^{2} t\right)$, i.e. we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{n} \Phi\left(\lambda \mathbf{x}, \lambda^{2} t\right)=\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad \forall \lambda>0, \quad \forall(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.12 (1). The only singularity of $\Phi$ is at the point $(0,0)$, i.e. $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \backslash\{(0,0)\}\right)$ and it is not continuous at $(0,0)$. To understand the property $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \backslash\{(0,0)\}\right)$ you need to know the fact that for each fixed $\mathbf{x}_{0} \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the function

$$
\psi(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{(4 \pi t)^{n / 2}} e^{-\frac{\left|\mathbf{x}_{0}\right|^{2}}{4 t}}, \quad t>0  \tag{32}\\
0, \quad t \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

is a $C^{\infty}$ function of $t \in(-\infty, \infty)$. On the other hand, for $\mathbf{x}_{0}=0, \psi(t)$ becomes

$$
\psi(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{(4 \pi t)^{n / 2}}, \quad t>0 \\
0, \quad t \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \psi(t)=+\infty$ and so it is not continuous at $t=0$. (2). $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ satisfies the heat equation $\partial_{t} \Phi=\triangle \Phi$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$. There is an easy way to check this on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times(0, \infty)$. Let $v=\ln \Phi$. Then $\Phi$ satisfies the heat equation $\partial_{t} \Phi=\triangle \Phi$ if and only if $v=\ln \Phi$ satisfies the equation $\partial_{t} v=\Delta v+|\nabla v|^{2}$ (this is an exercise for you to check). Therefore we check the later equation. We have

$$
v=\ln \Phi=-\frac{n}{2} \ln (4 \pi t)-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}{4 t}, \quad t>0
$$

and then

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=-\frac{n}{2 t}+\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}{4 t^{2}}
$$

Also

$$
\Delta v=-\frac{n}{2 t}, \quad|\nabla v|^{2}=\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}{4 t^{2}}
$$

Hence we have $\partial_{t} v=\Delta v+|\nabla v|^{2}$. (3). Exercise: check that we have $\partial_{t} \Phi(\mathbf{x}, 0)=\triangle \Phi(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \mathbf{x} \neq 0$.

### 3.3 Basic properties of the fundamental solution.

In order to study the initial value problem for the heat equation (see (59) below) and to derive its solution formula, we need to discuss several important properties for the fundamental solution $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ given in (30). One can use this fundamental solution to give a representation formula (solution formula) for the solution of (59) (this is similar to the Poisson Integral Formula for Laplace equation on the disc).

As a comparison, recall that for the Laplace equation $\triangle u(\mathbf{x})=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ there is a radial solution (with a singularity at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, i.e. $\mathbf{x}=0$ ) of the form

$$
u(\mathbf{x})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A|\mathbf{x}|^{2-n}+B, \quad n>2, \quad \text { where } \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \\
A \log |\mathbf{x}|+B, \quad n=2, \quad \text { where } \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A, B$ are arbitrary constants. It plays an important role in the theory of Laplace equation.
For the heat equation $u_{t}=\triangle u$, the fundamental solution $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ given in (30) is also a radial solution (radial in space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, not in space-time $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ), which, similar to the elliptic case, has a singularity at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, i.e. at $(x, t)=(0,0)$.

In the following, we will discuss several properties of the fundamental solution $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ for the case $n=1$. These properties are all valid for general $n>1$, but for simplicity of proof, here we focus only on the case $n=1$.

Lemma 3.13 Let

$$
\Phi(x, t)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}}, & x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t>0  \tag{33}\\ 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, & t \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

Then $\Phi(x, t) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}\right)$ and it satisfies the heat equation $\partial_{t} u=\Delta u$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$.
Proof. Since

$$
\Phi(0, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}}, \quad t>0 \\
0, \quad t \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

we see that $\Phi(x, t)$ is not continuous at $(0,0)$. Moreover, we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \Phi(0, t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}}=\infty
$$

To check that $\Phi(x, t) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}\right)$, it suffices to look at the behavior of $\Phi(x, t)$ on the set $S=\left\{(x, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x \neq 0\right\}$. By the limit

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(\frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{t}}\right)=0, \quad \forall \text { const. } \alpha, \beta>0
$$

one can check that $\Phi(x, t)$ is $C^{\infty}$ at any point of $S$. Computing

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}}\right) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}}\left(-\frac{x}{2 t}\right) e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}}, \quad t>0 \\
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}}\right) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}}\left(-\frac{1}{2 t}+\frac{x^{2}}{4 t^{2}}\right) e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}}, \quad t>0 \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}}\right) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi}}\left(-\frac{1}{2} t^{-3 / 2}\right) e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}} \frac{x^{2}}{4 t^{2}}, \quad t>0 \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

we see that $\Phi(x, t)$ satisfies the heat equation on $\mathbb{R} \times(0, \infty)$. Clearly it also satisfies the heat equation on $\mathbb{R} \times(-\infty, 0)$. At any point $\left(x_{0}, 0\right) \in S, x_{0} \neq 0$, we have $\Phi_{x x}\left(x_{0}, 0\right)=0$. Also note that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{-}} \frac{\Phi\left(x_{0}, t\right)-\Phi\left(x_{0}, 0\right)}{t}=0 \quad\left(x_{0} \neq 0\right)
$$

and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\Phi\left(x_{0}, t\right)-\Phi\left(x_{0}, 0\right)}{t}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(\frac{1}{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{x_{0}^{2}}{4 t}}\right)=0 \quad\left(x_{0} \neq 0, x_{0}^{2}>0\right)
$$

and so we have $\Phi_{t}\left(x_{0}, 0\right)=0$. The proof is done.
Lemma 3.14 For any fixed $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \Phi(x, t)=0 \quad \text { uniformly in the region }\{x \in \mathbb{R}:|x| \geq \varepsilon\} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x, t)=0 \quad \text { uniformly in the region } t \in(-\infty, \infty) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.15 We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x, t)=0 \quad \text { uniformly in } x \in(-\infty, \infty) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is easy due to

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Phi(x, t)|=\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in(-\infty, \infty), \quad t>0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.16 Draw a picture for $\Phi(x, t)$ with $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$.
Proof. For (35), we have for $t>0$ the inequality

$$
0<\Phi(x, t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4 t}}, \quad \forall|x| \geq \varepsilon
$$

and the conclusion follows. For (36), it suffices to focus on $t \in(0, \infty)$ since $\Phi(x, t) \equiv 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}, t \leq 0$. For fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}, x \neq 0$, the maximum value of the positive function

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}}, \quad t \in(0, \infty)
$$

over $t \in(0, \infty)$, is attained at the point $t=x^{2} / 2$ with maximum value equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{|x| \sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is due to the identity

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} \frac{1}{2 t}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{2 t}-1\right) e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in(0, \infty)
$$

The result follows.
Lemma 3.17 For each fixed $t>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(x, t) d x=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}} d x=1, \quad t>0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the convergence of the integral is uniform with respect to $t \in(0, T)$ for any fixed $T>$ 0 (but not uniform with respect to $t \in(0, \infty)$ ).

Remark 3.18 Draw a picture for $\Phi(x, t)$ (for small $t>0$ and for large $t>0$ ) and show the property $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(x, t) d x=1$ for all $t>0$.

Remark 3.19 (Helpful interpretation ...) For fixed $t>0$, if we let

$$
F_{N}(t)=\int_{-N}^{N} \Phi(x, t) d x, \quad t \in(0, T), \quad N \in \mathbb{N}
$$

then the convergence of the integral is uniform with respect to $t \in(0, T)$ can be interpreted as

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} F_{N}(t)=1 \quad \text { uniformly in } t \in(0, T)
$$

Remark 3.20 Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} d x=1, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t>0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} d y=1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t>0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first recall the following improper integral identity from calculus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}} d s=\sqrt{\pi} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a change of variables (let $s=\alpha y+\beta$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(\alpha y+\beta)^{2}} d y=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\alpha}, \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha>0 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $x=\sqrt{4 t} s$, we obtain

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}} d x=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-s^{2}} \sqrt{4 t} d s=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}} d s=1
$$

Next, let $T>0$ be a fixed time. For any $\varepsilon>0$, then there exists a large $M>0$ ( $M$ depends only on $\varepsilon$ and $T$ ) such that for all $t \in(0, T)$ we have the estimate (again, let $x=\sqrt{4 t}$ s)

$$
0<\int_{M}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}} d x=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\frac{M}{\sqrt{4 t}}}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}} d s<\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\frac{M}{\sqrt{4 T}}}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}} d s<\varepsilon, \quad \forall t \in(0, T)
$$

The same result holds for the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{-M} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4 t}} d x$. Therefore, the convergence of the integral is uniform with respect to $t \in(0, T)$ for any fixed $T>0$.

Remark 3.21 By the integral identity

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-|\mathbf{x}|^{2}} d \mathbf{x}=(\sqrt{\pi})^{n}
$$

one can also obtain the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{(4 \pi t)^{n / 2}} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}{4 t}} d \mathbf{x}=1 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $t>0$.
Lemma 3.22 For fixed $\delta>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{|y-x|>\delta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} d y=0 \quad \text { uniformly in } x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that the values of the fundamental solution $\Phi(x-y, t)$ (view it as a function of $y$ with parameter $x$ ) concentrate around $x$ as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$.

Remark 3.23 For fixed $\delta>0$, the quantity

$$
\int_{|y-x|>\delta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} d y
$$

is a function of $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times(0, \infty)$ (denote it as $F(x, t)$ ). The above lemma says that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} F(x, t)=$ 0 uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $y=x+\sqrt{4 t} s$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{|y-x|>\delta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} d y=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{|s|>\delta / \sqrt{4 t}} e^{-s^{2}} d s=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the right hand side of (47) does not depend on $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence we have convergence to zero uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The proof is done.

The following lemma is crucial in solving the initial value problem (59) below.

Lemma 3.24 Let $\phi(x)$ be a bounded function defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and is continuous at $x=$ $x_{0}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{(x, t) \rightarrow\left(x_{0}, 0^{+}\right)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y=\phi\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{\left(x_{0}-y\right)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y=\phi\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.25 The above two limits have different meaning. In the first limit, $(x, t) \rightarrow\left(x_{0}, 0^{+}\right)$means that $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times(0, \infty)$ approaches the point $\left(x_{0}, 0\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times\{0\}$ in the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, while maintaining $t>0$. In the second limit, we take $x=x_{0}$ in the integrand and look at the limit $t \rightarrow 0$, still maintaining $t>0$. Note that (48) is a 2-dimensional limit, but (49) is just a 1-dimensional limit.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y, \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times(0, \infty) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\varepsilon>0$, we choose $\delta>0$ such that $\left|\phi(y)-\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right|<\varepsilon$ if $\left|y-x_{0}\right|<2 \delta$. Let $M=\sup _{\mathbb{R}}|\phi|$. If $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\delta$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|u(x, t)-\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right| & =\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}}\left(\phi(y)-\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right) d y\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}}\left(\int_{|y-x|<\delta} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}}\left|\phi(y)-\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d y+\int_{|y-x| \geq \delta} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}}\left|\phi(y)-\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d y\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}}\left(\int_{\left|y-x_{0}\right|<2 \delta} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}}\left|\phi(y)-\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right| d y+2 M \int_{|y-x| \geq \delta} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4 t}} d y\right) \\
& \leq \varepsilon\left(\int_{\left|y-x_{0}\right|<2 \delta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} d y\right)+2 M\left(\int_{|y-x| \geq \delta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} d y\right) \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by (42) and (46), if $t>0$ is small enough and $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\delta$, (51) will imply

$$
\left|u(x, t)-\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon+2 M \varepsilon
$$

Hence we have

$$
\lim _{(x, t) \rightarrow\left(x_{0}, 0^{+}\right)} u(x, t)=\phi\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

and (48) is proved. (49) is a consequence of (48).
Lemma 3.26 Let $\phi(y)$ be a continuous bounded function defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial^{m+n}}{\partial t^{m} \partial x^{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y\right)\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{m+n}}{\partial t^{m} \partial x^{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y)\right)\left(x_{0}, t_{0}, y\right)\right] d y \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in(-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty)$ and all $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \bigcup\{0\}$. In particular, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y, \quad(x, t) \in(-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(1) \cdot u(x, t) \in C^{\infty}((-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty))  \tag{54}\\
(2) \cdot u_{t}(x, t)=u_{x x}(x, t), \quad \forall(x, t) \in(-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark 3.27 (Important.) To understand the proof of Lemma 3.26, you need to know when a differentiation (say $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ ) and an improper integral (say of the form $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x, y) d y$ or $\int_{0}^{\infty} g(x, y) d y$ for some differentiable function $g(x, y)$ ) can commute. For your convenience, here I provide two results in the following:

1. Let $f(x, y) \in C^{0}(I \times[0, \infty))$, where $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary connected interval and assume that the improper integral $\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x, y) d y$ converges uniformly to a function $F(x)$ on $I$. Then $F(x)$ is continuous on $I$. This means that we have the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x, y) d y=\int_{0}^{\infty} f\left(x_{0}, y\right) d y, \quad \forall x_{0} \in I \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same conclusion holds if we replace $\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x, y) d y$ by $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) d y$.
2. Let $f(x, y) \in C^{0}(I \times[0, \infty))$, where $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary connected interval and assume that the improper integral $\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x, y) d y$ converges to a function $F(x)$ on $I$ (does not have to be uniform) and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \in C^{0}(I \times[0, \infty))$ and $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) d y$ converges uniformly on $I$, Then $F(x)$ is differentiable with respect to $x \in I$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) d y, \quad \forall x \in I \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $F(x)$ is also continuous on $I$. Moreover, if $I$ is a finite interval, then $\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x, y) d y$ also converges uniformly on $I$. The same conclusion holds if we replace $\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x, y) d y$ by $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) d y$ and $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) d y$ by $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) d y$.
Note: Compare with Rudin's Advanced Calculus book (Principle of Mathematical Analysis, 3rd edition) Theorem 7.17 in p. 152. In terms of series of functions, Rudin's Theorem 7.17 can be stated as: Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of differentiable functions on $[a, b]$ such that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(x)$ converges for some $x_{0} \in[a, b]$ and assume that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}^{\prime}(x)$ converges uniformly on $[a, b]$ to a function $h(x)$, then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(x)$ converges uniformly on $[a, b]$ to a function $f(x)$, which is differentiable, and we have

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=h(x), \quad \forall x \in[a, b] .
$$

Proof. For any fixed $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \bigcup\{0\}$ and fixed $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in(-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty)$ the function

$$
\left(\frac{\partial^{m+n}}{\partial t^{m} \partial x^{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y)\right)\left(x_{0}, t_{0}, y\right), \quad y \in(-\infty, \infty)
$$

decays exponentially in the variable $y$ as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, it also decays exponentially in the variable $y$ as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$ for all $(x, t)$ in some neighborhood $R$ of $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$. For example, one can take $R$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\left\{(x, t): x_{0}-1<x<x_{0}+1, \frac{t_{0}}{2}<t<\frac{3 t_{0}}{2}\right\}, \quad t_{0}>0 . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

By this decay property, one can check that the integral

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{m+n}}{\partial t^{m} \partial x^{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y)\right)(x, t, y)\right] d y, \quad(x, t) \text { is near }\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)
$$

converges uniformly for all $(x, t)$ in $R$. By standard theory in advanced calculus, the function (as a function of $(x, t) \in R)$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y
$$

is differentiable with respect to $t$ up to $m$ times and differentiable with respect to $x$ up to $n$ times, i.e. one can apply $\frac{\partial^{m+n} u}{\partial t^{m} \partial x^{n}}$ onto it and obtain the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial^{m+n} u}{\partial t^{m} \partial x^{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y\right)(x, t) \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{m+n} u}{\partial t^{m} \partial x^{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y)\right)(x, t, y)\right] d y, \quad \forall(x, t) \in R . \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

As the point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in(-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty)$ is arbitrary and the numbers $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \bigcup\{0\}$ are also arbitrary, the identity (52) is proved for all $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in(-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty)$. Moreover, the function

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y
$$

is a $C^{\infty}$ function of $(x, t) \in(-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty)$, which implies $u(x, t) \in C^{\infty}((-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty))$. Finally, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\right) u(x, t)=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\right)\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y\right) \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}}\right) \phi(y) d y=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 0 \cdot \phi(y) d y=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that $u(x, t)$ satisfies the heat equation on $(-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty)$.

### 3.4 Heat equation on the whole line with initial condition.

Motivated by the heat polynomials, to get unique solution (we hope so) of a heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}$, we focus on the following initial value problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}(x, t)=u_{x x}(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times(0, \infty)  \tag{59}\\
u(x, 0)=f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $f(x)$ is a given continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$ and we want (hope) to find a "unique" solution $u(x, t)$ lying in the space $C^{2}(\mathbb{R} \times(0, \infty)) \bigcap C^{0}(\mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty))$.

Remark 3.28 In physical reality, most phenomena described by heat equation (and wave equation) has initial-boundary conditions (where the space domain for $x$ is bounded). However, for $x \in(-\infty, \infty)$, the initial value problem (59) has a nice solution formula (this is similar to the wave equation $u_{t t}(x, t)=u_{x x}(x, t)$ with initial conditions $u(x, 0)$ and $\left.u_{t}(x, 0)\right)$ and it is easier to manipulate. Therefore, for mathematical reason (not for physical reason), instead of looking at initial-boundary value problem for heat equation, we look at (59) first.

Note that, unlike the wave equation, here we do not need the condition $u_{t}(x, 0)=g(x)$ for the heat equation. This is due to physical phenomenon (heat equation is not a mechanical equation coming from Newton's law) and also due to the fact that if $u(x, t)$ is $C^{2}$ up to $t=0$ (with $f \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ ), then we also have

$$
u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{x x}(x, 0)=f^{\prime \prime}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

i.e. the condition $u_{t}(x, 0)=g(x)$ is automatically a consequence of the condition $u(x, 0)=$ $f(x)$. On the other hand, for the case of wave equation, we can not determine $u_{t}(x, 0)$ from the condition $u(x, 0)$ (but we can determine $u_{t t}(x, 0)$ from the condition $u(x, 0)$ due to the identity $\left.u_{t t}(x, 0)=u_{x x}(x, 0)\right)$.

Unfortunately, the initial value problem (59) has infinitely many solutions (this is unlike the wave equation, which has a unique solution once we know $u(x, 0)$ and $u_{t}(x, 0)$ ) unless we impose condition on the behavior of solution $u(x, t)$ for large $|x|$. This is because the data is prescribed on the line $t=0$, which is a characteristic line of the heat equation $u_{x x}(x, t)-u_{t}(x, t)=0$.

In spite of this defect, when $f(x)$ is given and $x \in(-\infty, \infty)$, there is some "special solution" of (59), which is given by a representation formula (solution formula), which has good properties and is close to the physical reality.

Remark 3.29 Recall that for a second order linear parabolic equation with constant coefficients for $u(x, y)$ (here we view $y$ as time), given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a u_{x x}+2 b u_{x y}+c u_{y y}+(\text { lower order terms })=0, \quad a c=b^{2}, \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c$ are constants with $a c=b^{2}$, the leading terms $a u_{x x}+2 b u_{x y}+c u_{y y}$ can be factored as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a u_{x x}+2 b u_{x y}+c u_{y y}=\left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+B \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)\left[\left(A \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+B \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) u\right]=0 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $A, B, C$. The 1-parameter family of lines

$$
\begin{equation*}
B x-A y=\lambda, \quad \lambda \in(-\infty, \infty) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

are called the characteristic lines of the parabolic equation (60). By this, for the standard heat equation $u_{x x}+\left(-u_{t}\right)=0$, the 1-parameter family of characteristic lines are given by (we now have $B=0, A=1, y=t$ in (62))

$$
\begin{equation*}
-t=\lambda, \quad \lambda \in(-\infty, \infty) . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

From it, we know that the line $t=0$ (i.e. $x$-axis) is a characteristic line of the heat equation. This may explain the nonuniqueness of the initial value problem (59).

We now consider the following initial value problem for heat equation defined on the whole line:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}=u_{x x}, \quad x \in(-\infty, \infty), \quad t \in(0, \infty)  \tag{64}\\
u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad x \in(-\infty, \infty)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $\phi(x)$ is a given continuous function on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and we want to find a solution lying in the function space:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t) \in C^{2}((-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty)) \bigcap C^{0}((-\infty, \infty) \times[0, \infty)) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where satisfies (64).
As a consequence of Lemma 3.24 and Lemma 3.26, we can obtain the following solution formula for the initial value problem (64):

Theorem 3.30 Assume $\phi(x)$ is a continuous bounded function defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$. Then the function

$$
u(x, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y, \quad x \in(-\infty, \infty), \quad t \in(0, \infty)  \tag{66}\\
\phi(x), \quad t=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

belongs to the space $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times(0, \infty)) \cap C^{0}(\mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty))$ (i.e. continuous up to $t=0$ ) and satisfies the initial value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}(x, t)=u_{x x}(x, t), \quad x \in(-\infty, \infty), \quad t \in(0, \infty)  \tag{67}\\
u(x, 0)=\phi(x), \quad x \in(-\infty, \infty) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.24 and Lemma 3.26.
Remark 3.31 (Important.) As long as $t>0, u(x, t)$ becomes a smooth function even if the initial data $\phi(x)$ is only a continuous function. We call this a smoothing effect of the heat equation. This is unlike the wave equation, which has no smoothing effect.

Corollary 3.32 (The maximum principle.) The solution $u(x, t)$ given by (66), where $\phi(x)$ is a continuous bounded function defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$, satisfies the maximum principle:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\mathbb{R}} \phi \leq u(x, t) \leq \sup _{\mathbb{R}} \phi \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in(-\infty, \infty), \quad t \in(0, \infty) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x, t) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \underbrace{\phi(y)} d y \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \underbrace{\sup _{\mathbb{R}} \phi} d y \\
& =\sup _{\mathbb{R}} \phi \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} d y=\sup _{\mathbb{R}} \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly $u(x, t) \geq \inf _{\mathbb{R}} \phi$.
Corollary 3.33 (Infinite speed of propagation of the heat equation.) Let $\phi(x)$ be a continuous bounded function defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$. Assume $\phi(x) \geq 0$ everywhere, has compact support, and $\phi \not \equiv 0$. Then the solution $u(x, t)$ given by (66) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)>0, \quad \forall x \in(-\infty, \infty), \quad t \in(0, \infty) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., as long as time is positive, $u(x, t)$ is positive everywhere no matter how large $|x|$ is (that is why we say the equation has infinite speed of propagation).

Remark 3.34 This is different from the wave equation. The function $u(x, t)=\phi(x-t)$ satisfies the wave equation $u_{t t}=u_{x x}$ with $u(x, 0)=\phi(x)$. However, for $t>0, u(x, t)=0$ if $|x|>0$ is large enough.

Proof. Since $\phi$ is not a zero function, we have $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)>0$ for some $x_{0} \in(-\infty, \infty)$. By continuity, $\phi>0$ on $\left(x_{0}-\varepsilon, x_{0}+\varepsilon\right)$ for some $\varepsilon>0$. Now at any $(x, t) \in(-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty)$, we have

$$
u(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y \geq \int_{x_{0}-\varepsilon}^{x_{0}+\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y>0
$$

The proof is done.
To go on, we need the following special case of Fubini Theorem from advanced calculus textbook:

Lemma 3.35 (Tonelli's theorem.) Let $\phi(x, y)$ be a continuous "nonnegative" function defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2}=(-\infty, \infty) \times(-\infty, \infty)$. Then the finiteness of any one of the following three integrals:

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x, y) d x\right) d y, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x, y) d y\right) d x, \quad \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi(x, y) d x d y
$$

implies that of the other two. Moreover, their values are all equal.
Remark 3.36 The condition $\phi(x, y) \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is essential.

Proof. We omit it.
Lemma 3.37 (Conservation of total energy.) Let $\phi(x)$ be a continuous bounded function defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$ ( $\phi(x)$ may not be nonnegative). Assume $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\phi(x)| d x$ converges. Then the solution $u(x, t)$ given by (66) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x, t) d x=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) d x, \quad \forall t \in(0, \infty) . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the total energy (heat) is conserved.
Proof. For each $x \in(-\infty, \infty)$, let $\phi^{+}(x)=\max \{\phi(x), 0\} \geq 0$ and $\phi^{-}(x)=-\min \{\phi(x), 0\} \geq$ 0 . Then we have

$$
\phi(x)=\phi^{+}(x)-\phi^{-}(x), \quad|\phi(x)|=\phi^{+}(x)+\phi^{-}(x), \quad \forall x \in(-\infty, \infty) .
$$

The convergence of $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\phi(x)| d x$ implies that of $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{+}(x) d x$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{-}(x) d x$. Also, since $\phi(x)$ is a bounded function, for each fixed $(x, t) \in(-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty)$, the three improper integrals

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi^{+}(y) d y, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi^{-}(y) d y
$$

all converge. Now we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x, t) d x & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y\right] d x \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi^{+}(y) d y-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi^{-}(y) d y\right] d x \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

and by Lemma 3.35, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t} \phi^{+}}(y) d y\right] d x \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}[\phi^{+}(y) \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} d x}] d y=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{+}(y) d y<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi^{-}(y) d y\right] d x=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{-}(y) d y<\infty
$$

Therefore, the two iterated integrals in (71) converge and we conclude

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x, t) d x=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{+}(y) d y-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{-}(y) d y=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) d x, \quad \forall t \in(0, \infty) .
$$

The proof is done.

### 3.5 The maximum principle.

Assume that $u(x, t)$ is a solution of the heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}$ on $(-\infty, \infty) \times(-\infty, \infty)$. The maximum principle of the diffusion equation says that (roughly speaking), for fixed time $t_{0}$, we have $u_{t}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \leq 0$ if $u\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ has a local maximum at $x=x_{0}$ (so the value of $u\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ will "decrease" at the moment $\left.t=t_{0}\right)$; and $u_{t}\left(x_{0}, t\right) \geq 0$ if $u\left(x_{0}, t\right)$ has a local minimum at $x=x_{0}$ (so the value of $u\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ will "increase" at the moment $\left.t=t_{0}\right)$ (draw a picture for this). This is called the maximum principle of the heat equation. It matches with the physical phenomenon that heat goes from hot points to cold points and vice versa.

The maximum principle on the unbounded domain $x \in(-\infty, \infty)$ is more difficult to describe. We will discuss the maximum principle on bounded domains only.

### 3.5.1 The maximum principle on bounded domains.

Let $U_{T} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be the set given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{T}=\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0<x<\ell, 0<t \leq T\right\}, \quad \ell, T>0 \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

and assume that $u=u(x, t) \in C^{2}\left(U_{T}\right) \bigcap C^{0}\left(\bar{U}_{T}\right)$ satisfies the heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}$ on $U_{T}$ (note that the segment $(x, T), 0<x<\ell$, is included). Note that since $u$ is continuous on the compact set $\bar{U}_{T}$, it has global maximum and minimum on $\bar{U}_{T}$.

Remark 3.38 Explain the meaning of $u \in C^{2}\left(U_{T}\right)$.
The maximum principle says the following:
Lemma 3.39 (Weak maximum principle for heat equation.) Assume $u \in C^{2}\left(U_{T}\right) \bigcap C^{0}\left(\bar{U}_{T}\right)$ satisfies the heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}$ on $U_{T}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\bar{U}_{T}} u=\max _{\Gamma_{T}} u \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{T}:=\bar{U}_{T}-U_{T}$, which is called the parabolic boundary of $U_{T}$.
Remark 3.40 The above result is still true if we have $u_{t} \leq u_{x x}$ on $U_{T}$.
Proof. Assume $v \in C^{2}\left(U_{T}\right) \bigcap C^{0}\left(\bar{U}_{T}\right)$ is a function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{x x}(x, t)-v_{t}(x, t)>0 \quad \text { in } \quad U_{T} . \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then since $v \in C^{0}\left(\bar{U}_{T}\right)$, there is a point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \bar{U}_{T}$ such that $v\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\max _{\bar{U}_{T}} v$. If $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in$ $U_{T}$ with $t<T$, then from calculus we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{x}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=0, \quad v_{x x}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \leq 0, \quad v_{t}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=0 \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

This contradicts $v_{x x}-v_{t}>0$ in $U_{T}$.
If $(x, t) \in U_{T}$ with $t=T$, then we replace $v_{t}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=0$ by $v_{t}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \geq 0$ in (75) and get the same contradiction. Thus the point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ must lie on the parabolic boundary of $U_{T}$ and cannot lie on $U_{T}$ (for $v(x, t)$ satisfying the differential inequality (74)). In such a case we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\bar{U}_{T}} v=\max _{\Gamma_{T}} v(\text { call this value } M), \quad \text { where } v_{x x}(x, t)-v_{t}(x, t)>0 \text { in } U_{T}, \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

and moreover, $v(x, t)$ cannot attain the value $M$ on $U_{T}$.
Now let $v(x, t)=u(x, t)+\varepsilon x^{2}(\varepsilon>0$ is a small constant $)$, where $u \in C^{2}\left(U_{T}\right) \bigcap C^{0}\left(\bar{U}_{T}\right)$ satisfies the heat equation on $U_{T}$. We now have

$$
v_{x x}(x, t)-v_{t}(x, t)=u_{x x}(x, t)+2 \varepsilon-u_{t}(x, t)=2 \varepsilon>0 \quad \text { in } \quad U_{T} .
$$

By the above discussion, we know that

$$
\max _{\bar{U}_{T}} v=\max _{\Gamma_{T}} v=\max _{\Gamma_{T}}\left(u(x, t)+\varepsilon x^{2}\right) \leq\left(\max _{\Gamma_{T}} u(x, t)\right)+\varepsilon \ell^{2}
$$

and by $u(x, t)=v(x, t)-\varepsilon x^{2} \leq v(x, t)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\bar{U}_{T}} u \leq \max _{\bar{U}_{T}} v \leq\left(\max _{\Gamma_{T}} u(x, t)\right)+\varepsilon \ell^{2} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$(note that here $\ell$ is finite), we obtain $\max _{\bar{U}_{T}} u \leq \max _{\Gamma_{T}} u(x, t)$. On the other hand, we also have $\max _{\bar{U}_{T}} u \geq \max _{\Gamma_{T}} u(x, t)$. Hence $\max _{\bar{U}_{T}} u=\max _{\Gamma_{T}} u(x, t)$.

Exercise 3.41 Instead of using $v(x, t)=u(x, t)+\varepsilon x^{2}$, now use the function $v(x, t)=u(x, t)-$ $\varepsilon t, \varepsilon>0$, and repeat the same argument of proof. Can you obtain the same result?

Remark 3.42 (Be careful.) In the above proof, we do not exclude the possibility that the maximum of $u(x, t)$ (note that $u_{t}=u_{x x}$ ) can also be attained at some point in $U_{T}$. For example, when the solution $u(x, t)$ is a constant, then this can happen. However, this is the only case that can happen (this is the strong maximum principle).

We also have the following minimum principle:
Corollary 3.43 (Weak minimum principle for heat equation.) Assume $u \in C^{2}\left(U_{T}\right) \bigcap C^{0}\left(\bar{U}_{T}\right)$ satisfies the heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}$ on $U_{T}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\bar{U}_{T}} u=\min _{\Gamma_{T}} u \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.44 The above result is still true if we have $u_{t} \geq u_{x x}$ on $U_{T}$.
Remark 3.45 Again, here we do not exclude the possibility that the minimum can be attained at some point in $U_{T}$.

Proof. The proof for the minimum case is similar by looking at $-u$ (it also satisfies the heat equation) and the identity $\max _{\bar{U}_{T}}(-u)=\max _{\Gamma_{T}}(-u)$ becomes $-\min _{\bar{U}_{T}} u=-\min _{\Gamma_{T}} u$.

Corollary 3.46 Assume $u \in C^{2}\left(U_{T}\right) \bigcap C^{0}\left(\bar{U}_{T}\right)$ satisfies the heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}$ on $U_{T}$ and $u \equiv$ 0 on the parabolic boundary $\Gamma_{T}$, then $u \equiv 0$ on $\bar{U}_{T}$.

Proof. This is a consequence of the maximum-minimum principle.
Example 3.47 (Give this as an homework problem ....) Let $u(x, t)$ be one of the following functions:

$$
t+\frac{x^{2}}{2}, \quad e^{t+x}, \quad e^{t-x}, \quad e^{-t} \cos x, \quad e^{-t} \sin x, \quad e^{t} \cosh x, \quad e^{t} \sinh x, \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

They all satisfy the heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}$ (note that $e^{t} \cosh x$ and $e^{t} \sinh x$ are linear combinations of $e^{t+x}$ and $\left.e^{t-x}\right)$. Let $U_{T}=(0,1) \times(0, T]$. We have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(1) \cdot \max _{\bar{U}_{T}}\left(t+\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right)=T+\frac{1}{2}, \quad \text { attained at }(1, T) \in \Gamma_{T}:=\bar{U}_{T}-U_{T} \\
(2) \cdot \max _{\bar{U}_{T}}\left(e^{t+x}\right)=e^{T+1}, \quad \text { attained at }(1, T) \in \Gamma_{T} \\
(3) \cdot \max _{\bar{U}_{T}}\left(e^{t-x}\right)=e^{T-0}, \quad \text { attained at }(0, T) \in \Gamma_{T} \\
(4) \cdot \max _{\bar{U}_{T}}\left(e^{-t} \cos x\right)=e^{-0} \cos 0=1, \quad \text { attained at }(0,0) \in \Gamma_{T} \\
(5) \cdot \max _{\bar{U}_{T}}\left(e^{-t} \sin x\right)=e^{-0} \sin 1=\sin 1, \quad \text { attained at }(1,0) \in \Gamma_{T} \\
(6) \cdot \max _{\bar{U}_{T}}\left(e^{t} \cosh x\right)=e^{T} \cosh 1, \quad \text { attained at }(1, T) \in \Gamma_{T} \\
(7) \cdot \max _{\bar{U}_{T}}\left(e^{t} \sinh x\right)=e^{T} \sinh 1, \quad \text { attained at }(1, T) \in \Gamma_{T}
\end{array}\right.
$$

From the above, we see that each solution attains its maximum point on the parabolic boundary $\Gamma_{T}$. Also note that the maximum can be attained at any corner point (there are four of them) of $\Gamma_{T}$.

One can also use Energy Method (integral method) to prove the following (without using the maximum principle):

Lemma 3.48 Assume $u \in C^{2}\left(\bar{U}_{T}\right)$ satisfies the heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}$ on $U_{T}$ and $u \equiv 0$ on the parabolic boundary $\Gamma_{T}$, then $u \equiv 0$ on $\bar{U}_{T}$.

Proof. Let $E(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$, be the quantity

$$
E(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} u^{2}(x, t) d x \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T
$$

Then $E(t)$ is a differentiable function on $[0, T], E(0)=0$, and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d E}{d t}(t) & =\int_{0}^{\ell} u(x, t) u_{t}(x, t) d x=\int_{0}^{\ell} u(x, t) u_{x x}(x, t) d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{\ell}\left[\left(\frac{d}{d x}\left[u(x, t) u_{x}(x, t)\right]\right)-\left(u_{x}(x, t)\right)^{2}\right] d x \\
& =\left.\left[u(x, t) u_{x}(x, t)\right]\right|_{x=0} ^{x=\ell}-\int_{0}^{\ell}\left(u_{x}(x, t)\right)^{2} d x=-\int_{0}^{\ell}\left(u_{x}(x, t)\right)^{2} d x \leq 0 \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq E(t) \leq E(0)=0, \quad \forall t \in[0, T] . \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $E(t)=0$ for all time $t \in[0, T]$ and so $u \equiv 0$ on $\bar{U}_{T}$.

### 3.6 Discontinuous bounded initial data.

What happens if the initial condition $\phi(x)$ is a bounded function defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$ but discontinuous somewhere? (here we assume that $\phi(x)$ is discontinuous only at a finite number of points and at each discontinuous point $x_{0}$ both $\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}^{+}} \phi(x)$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}^{-}} \phi(x)$ exist).

We have the following interesting result:
Lemma 3.49 Let $\phi(x)$ be a bounded function defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and at $x=x_{0}$ it is discontinuous and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}^{+}} \phi(x)=A, \quad \lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}^{-}} \phi(x)=B, \quad \text { where } \quad A \neq B \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the function

$$
u(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y, \quad x \in(-\infty, \infty), \quad t \in(0, \infty)
$$

lies in the space $u \in C^{\infty}((-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty))$ and satisfies the heat equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}(x, t)=u_{x x}(x, t), \quad \forall(x, t) \in(-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty) \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} u\left(x_{0}, t\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{\left(x_{0}-y\right)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y=\frac{A+B}{2} . \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.50 (Be careful.) In general, the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lim _{(x, t) \rightarrow\left(x_{0}, 0^{+}\right)} u(x, t) \quad \text { (note that this is not the same as } \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} u\left(x_{0}, t\right)\right) \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not exist (see Example 3.51 below). On the other hand, if $\phi(x)$ is continuous at $x=x_{1}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{(x, t) \rightarrow\left(x_{1}, 0^{+}\right)} u(x, t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} u\left(x_{1}, t\right)=\phi\left(x_{1}\right) \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It suffices to verify (83). Let $M=\sup _{\mathbb{R}}|\phi|$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y, \quad(x, t) \in(-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty) \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (let $\left.y=x_{0}+\sqrt{4 t} s\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x_{0}, t\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}} \phi\left(x_{0}+\sqrt{4 t} s\right) d s+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-s^{2}} \phi\left(x_{0}+\sqrt{4 t} s\right) d s \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that if $x \in\left(x_{0}, x_{0}+\delta\right)$, then $|\phi(x)-A|<\varepsilon$. Hence the first integral in (87) satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}} \phi\left(x_{0}+\sqrt{4 t} s\right) d s-\frac{A}{2}\right|=\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}}\left[\phi\left(x_{0}+\sqrt{4 t} s\right)-A\right] d s\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\delta / \sqrt{4 t}} e^{-s^{2}}\left|\phi\left(x_{0}+\sqrt{4 t} s\right)-A\right| d s+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\delta / \sqrt{4 t}}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}}\left|\phi\left(x_{0}+\sqrt{4 t} s\right)-A\right| d s \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+2 M \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\delta / \sqrt{4 t}}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}} \phi\left(x_{0}+\sqrt{4 t} s\right) d s=\frac{A}{2}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-s^{2}} \phi\left(x_{0}+\sqrt{4 t} s\right) d s=\frac{B}{2}
$$

The proof is done.
Example 3.51 Let

$$
\phi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1, & x>0 \\
0, & x<0,
\end{array}, \quad \phi(x) \text { is not continuous at } x=0 .\right.
$$

It is a bounded function. Define the function

$$
u(x, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} d y
$$

and let $y=x-\sqrt{4 t}$ s to get (we will get the same result if we let $y=x+\sqrt{4 t}$ s)

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x, t) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x / \sqrt{4 t}} e^{-s^{2}} d s=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{0}+\int_{0}^{x / \sqrt{4 t}}\right) e^{-s^{2}} d s \\
& =\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{x / \sqrt{4 t}} e^{-s^{2}} d s, \quad(x, t) \in(-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u(x, t) \in C^{\infty}((-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty)) \quad \text { and } u_{t}=u_{x x} \text { on }(-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty)  \tag{88}\\
\lim _{(x, t) \rightarrow\left(x_{0}, 0^{+}\right)} u(x, t)=1, \quad \text { if } \quad x_{0}>0 \\
\lim _{(x, t) \rightarrow\left(x_{0}, 0^{+}\right)} u(x, t)=0, \quad \text { if } x_{0}<0 \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} u(0, t)=\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1+0}{2}, \\
\lim _{(x, t) \rightarrow\left(0,0^{+}\right)} u(x, t)=\lim _{(x, t) \rightarrow\left(0,0^{+}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{x / \sqrt{4 t}} e^{-s^{2}} d s\right) \text { does not exist. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

The last limit in (88) does not exist is due to the fact that as $(x, t) \rightarrow\left(0,0^{+}\right)$, the quantity $x / \sqrt{4 t}$ can approach any possible number in $(-\infty, \infty)$. Hence the limit

$$
\lim _{(x, t) \rightarrow\left(0,0^{+}\right)} \int_{0}^{x / \sqrt{4 t}} e^{-s^{2}} d s
$$

does not exist.
Example 3.52 Let the initial data $\phi(x)$ be

$$
\phi(x)= \begin{cases}e^{-x}, & x \in(0, \infty) \\ 0, & x \in(-\infty, 0)\end{cases}
$$

$\phi$ is bounded but not continuous at $x=0$. Now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x, t) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{4 t}} \phi(y) d y \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}-2 x y+y^{2}+4 t y}{4 t}} d y=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi t}} e^{-\frac{[y+(2 t-x)]^{2}}{4 t}} e^{t-x} d y \quad(\text { let } y=x-2 t+\sqrt{4 t} s) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{t-x} \int_{\frac{2 t-x}{\sqrt{4 t}}}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}} d s, \quad(x, t) \in(-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It satisfies $u_{t}(x, t)=u_{x x}(x, t)$ on $(-\infty, \infty) \times(0, \infty)$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lim _{(x, t) \rightarrow\left(x_{0}, 0^{+}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{t-x} \int_{\frac{2 t-x}{\sqrt{4 t}}}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}} d s\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-x_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}} d s=e^{-x_{0}}, \quad \text { if } x_{0}>0 \\
\lim _{(x, t) \rightarrow\left(x_{0}, 0^{+}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{t-x} \int_{\frac{2 t-x}{\sqrt{4 t}}}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}} d s\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-x_{0}} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}} d s=0, \quad \text { if } \quad x_{0}<0 \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} u(0, t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{t} \int_{\frac{2 t}{\sqrt{4 t}}}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}} d s\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s^{2}} d s=\frac{1}{2} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

This is the end of parabolic equations.

