

# 1 Laplace equation on $\mathbb{R}^n$ (for $n = 2, 3$ ) and the divergence theorem.

From now on, we shall focus on second order elliptic equation with constant coefficients. If  $u(x, y)$  is a function of two variables, its canonical form is

$$u_{xx}(x, y) + u_{yy}(x, y) + (\text{lower order terms}) = f(x, y),$$

where  $f(x, y)$  is a given continuous function defined on some domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ . To begin with, for simplicity, we shall look at the 2-dimensional **Laplace equation**:

$$(\Delta u)(x, y) \text{ (also write it as } \Delta u(x, y)) := u_{xx}(x, y) + u_{yy}(x, y) = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (1)$$

The purpose is to find  $C^2$  solutions  $u(x, y) : \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If the domain  $\Omega$  is not specified in advance, we want the solutions  $u(x, y)$  to be defined on some open set  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , as large as possible. A  $C^2$  solution  $u$  of (1), defined on  $\Omega$ , is called a **harmonic function** on  $\Omega$ . In case  $u = u(x, y, z)$  is a three-variable function, then the above becomes

$$\Delta u(x, y, z) := u_{xx}(x, y, z) + u_{yy}(x, y, z) + u_{zz}(x, y, z) = 0, \quad (x, y, z) \in \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (2)$$

**Example 1.1** *The following functions*

$$u(x, y) = x, \quad y, \quad x^2 - y^2, \quad 2xy, \quad x^3 - 3xy^2, \quad y^3 - 3x^2y, \quad e^x \cos y, \quad e^x \sin y \quad (3)$$

are all harmonic functions defined on the whole plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The functions

$$u(x, y) = \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}, \quad \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2}$$

are harmonic functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . In the above, the polynomials  $x, y, x^2 - y^2, 2xy, x^3 - 3xy^2, y^3 - 3x^2y, \dots$  etc. are called **harmonic polynomials** with degree 1, 2, 3, ... etc.

**Definition 1.2** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a domain (open and connected) and let  $V(x) : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $C^1$  **vector field** on  $\Omega$  given by

$$V(x) = (V_1(x), \dots, V_n(x)), \quad V_i(x) : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is a } C^1 \text{ function.}$$

Its **divergence**, denoted as  $(\operatorname{div} V)(x)$  (or just  $\operatorname{div} V(x)$ ):  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is a **scalar function** defined as

$$\operatorname{div} V(x) = \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial x_1}(x) + \dots + \frac{\partial V_n}{\partial x_n}(x), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega.$$

Note that  $\operatorname{div} V(x)$  is a continuous function on  $\Omega$ . In physics, we also denote  $\operatorname{div} V(x)$  as

$$\operatorname{div} V(x) = (\nabla \cdot V)(x),$$

where  $\nabla$  denotes the vector operator  $\nabla = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)$ .

**Remark 1.3** Note that we can also express  $\operatorname{div} V(x) : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$\operatorname{div} V(x) = \operatorname{Tr}(DV)(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (4)$$

where  $DV$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, which is the derivative of the map  $V : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .  $\operatorname{Tr}(DV)(x)$  means  $\operatorname{Tr}((DV)(x))$ .

We first note that for  $C^2$  function  $u(x, y) : \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Delta u(x, y)$  can be decomposed as

$$\Delta u(x, y) = (\operatorname{div}(\nabla u))(x, y) = (\nabla \cdot \nabla u)(x, y) = \operatorname{Tr} \left( \underbrace{D(\nabla u)} \right) (x, y), \quad (x, y) \in \Omega. \quad (5)$$

Similar identity holds for  $\Delta u(x)$ ,  $x \in \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . Note that the matrix  $(D(\nabla u))(x, y)$  is actually the **Hessian matrix** of  $u(x, y)$ .

The following **divergence theorem** (general version of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus) will be needed often later on:

**Theorem 1.4 (Divergence theorem.)** *Let  $\Omega$  be a  $C^1$  bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (which means that its boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is a  $C^1$   $(n-1)$ -dimensional surface in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) and  $\mathbf{W} : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a vector field on  $\bar{\Omega}$  with  $\mathbf{W} \in C^1(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ . We have the identity*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{W} dx \quad (\text{volume integral in } \mathbb{R}^n, dx \text{ means } dx_1 \cdots dx_n) \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{W} \cdot \mathbf{N} d\sigma \quad ((n-1)\text{-dimensional } \mathbf{surface\ integral\ in\ } \mathbb{R}^n) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathbf{N}$  is the unit **outward** normal to  $\partial\Omega$ . Here  $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{W} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the **divergence** of the vector field  $\mathbf{W}$  and  $d\sigma$  is the "**surface measure**" on  $\partial\Omega$ .

**Remark 1.5**  $\Omega$  is a  $C^1$  bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  means that its boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is locally a  $C^1$  graph everywhere. For example, if  $\Omega$  is a  $C^1$  bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then near any  $p \in \partial\Omega$ , the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  can be expressed as a graph  $z = f(x, y)$  for some  $C^1$  function  $f(x, y)$  defined on some open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Therefore the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is a  $C^1$  surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Remark 1.6 (Important.)** *Be careful that the divergence theorem is valid only when  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is bounded. For example, let  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > 0\}$  be the upper half-space of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\mathbf{W}$  be the smooth vector field on  $\bar{\Omega}$  given by*

$$\mathbf{W}(x) = (0, 0, \dots, 0, x_n), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \cup \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n.$$

Then we have  $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{W} \equiv 1$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  and  $\mathbf{W} \equiv 0$  on  $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$ . In such a case, the identity (6) clearly fails. In view of this, the **Green identities also fail on unbounded domains**.

**Remark 1.7** If  $n = 2$ , then  $d\sigma$  means  $ds$ , where  $ds$  is the **arc length differential** and the above theorem is the same as the familiar **Green Theorem** for plane region enclosed by a **simple closed curve**  $\Gamma$ . More precisely, let  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a **counterclockwise** simple closed curve parametrized by  $\alpha(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ ,  $t \in [a, b]$ , where  $t$  is an arbitrary parameter (**not necessarily the arc length parameter**) and let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be the open region enclosed by  $C$  with  $\partial\Omega = C$  ( $\partial\Omega$  means the boundary of  $\Omega$ ). Let  $\mathbf{W} \in C^1(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$  be a vector field on  $\bar{\Omega}$  given by

$$\mathbf{W}(x, y) = (p(x, y), q(x, y)), \quad (x, y) \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

Now we first have

$$\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{W} dx \quad (\text{volume integral in } \mathbb{R}^2) = \iint_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(x, y) + \frac{\partial q}{\partial y}(x, y) \right) dx dy \quad (7)$$

and the unit **outward** normal  $\mathbf{N}$  to  $(x(t), y(t)) \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $t \in [a, b]$ , is given by

$$\mathbf{N}(x(t), y(t)) = \left( \frac{y'(t)}{\sqrt{(x'(t))^2 + (y'(t))^2}}, \frac{-x'(t)}{\sqrt{(x'(t))^2 + (y'(t))^2}} \right), \quad t \in [a, b]$$

and we also have

$$d\sigma = ds = \sqrt{(x'(t))^2 + (y'(t))^2} dt, \quad t \in [a, b].$$

Hence we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{W} \cdot \mathbf{N} d\sigma \\ &= \int_a^b \left[ (p(x(t), y(t)), q(x(t), y(t))) \cdot \left( \frac{y'(t)}{\sqrt{(x'(t))^2 + (y'(t))^2}}, \frac{-x'(t)}{\sqrt{(x'(t))^2 + (y'(t))^2}} \right) \right] \sqrt{(x'(t))^2 + (y'(t))^2} dt \\ &= \int_a^b [(p(x(t), y(t)) y'(t) - q(x(t), y(t)) x'(t))] dt = \int_C -q dx + p dy \quad (\text{this is line integral}). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By (7) and (8), we conclude the identity

$$\iint_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(x, y) + \frac{\partial q}{\partial y}(x, y) \right) dx dy = \int_C -q dx + p dy, \quad (9)$$

which is exactly the familiar Green Theorem (in a slightly different way). Note that the value of the line integral  $\int_C -q dx + p dy$  is **independent of parametrization**.

**Remark 1.8** If  $n = 3$ , then the surface measure  $d\sigma$  means

$$d\sigma = \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)^2} dx dy \quad (10)$$

if the surface is represented by **the graph of a function**  $z = f(x, y)$ . Therefore, we are doing **surface integrals** in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Also, if the surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is given by the **parametrization form**

$$X(u, v) : (u, v) \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (x(u, v), y(u, v), z(u, v)) \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

then

$$d\sigma = |X_u \times X_v| du dv, \quad \text{where } \times \text{ is the cross product in } \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (11)$$

In case the surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is given by the equation

$$\varphi(x, y, z) = 0$$

for some smooth function  $\varphi(x, y, z) : O \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then (assuming the surface can be expressed as  $z = f(x, y)$  for  $(x, y) \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ) we have

$$X(x, y) = (x, y, f(x, y)) : U \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

and by chain rule we have

$$d\sigma = |X_x \times X_y| dx dy = \sqrt{1 + f_x^2 + f_y^2} dx dy = \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x^2 + \varphi_y^2 + \varphi_z^2}}{|\varphi_z|} dx dy. \quad (12)$$

The first identity in (12) is clear, to see the second identity, note that by  $\varphi(x, y, f(x, y)) = 0$  for all  $(x, y) \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , we have

$$f_x = -\frac{\varphi_x}{\varphi_z}, \quad f_y = -\frac{\varphi_y}{\varphi_z} \quad (13)$$

and so

$$\sqrt{1 + f_x^2 + f_y^2} = \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{\varphi_x}{\varphi_z} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\varphi_y}{\varphi_z} \right)^2} = \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x^2 + \varphi_y^2 + \varphi_z^2}}{|\varphi_z|}. \quad (14)$$

**Proof. (Read this by yourself.) (Special case only.)** We will give a proof of the theorem only for the case  $n = 2$  and assuming that  $\Omega$  takes the simple form:

$$\Omega = \{(x, y) : a \leq x \leq b, \quad 0 \leq y \leq f(x), \quad f(a) = f(b) = 0\} \quad (15)$$

where  $f(x)$  is a  $C^1$  function defined on  $[a, b]$ . Although  $\Omega$  may not be smooth at  $(a, f(a))$  and  $(b, f(b))$ , divergence theorem still holds for such  $\Omega$  as shown below. Its boundary  $\partial\Omega$  has two parts: the graph  $y = f(x)$  and the segment  $(x, 0)$ ,  $a \leq x \leq b$ . Call them  $\partial_1\Omega$  and  $\partial_2\Omega$  respectively. We have

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{N} \text{ at } (x, f(x)) = \frac{(-f'(x), 1)}{\sqrt{1 + (f'(x))^2}} \\ \mathbf{N} \text{ at } (x, 0) = (0, -1). \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Both normal vectors in (16) are pointing outwards. Writing  $\mathbf{W}(x, y) = (u(x, y), v(x, y))$ , the divergence theorem is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) dx dy, \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{W} &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \\ &= \int_{\partial_1\Omega} (u(x, y), v(x, y)) \cdot \frac{(-f'(x), 1)}{\sqrt{1 + (f'(x))^2}} ds + \int_{\partial_2\Omega} (u(x, y), v(x, y)) \cdot (0, -1) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where  $ds$  is the **arc length** differential. Note that the boundary line integral  $\int_{\partial\Omega} ds$  in (17) has **no orientation**. Clearly we have

$$\begin{cases} y = f(x), \quad ds = \sqrt{1 + (f'(x))^2} dx & \text{on } \partial_1\Omega \\ y = 0, \quad ds = dx & \text{on } \partial_2\Omega \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

and so the RHS (right-hand side) of (17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_a^b (u(x, f(x)), v(x, f(x))) \cdot (-f'(x), 1) dx + \int_a^b (u(x, 0), v(x, 0)) \cdot (0, -1) dx \\ &= \int_a^b [-u(x, f(x)) f'(x) + v(x, f(x))] dx - \int_a^b v(x, 0) dx \\ &= - \underbrace{\int_a^b u(x, f(x)) f'(x) dx}_{\text{}} + \underbrace{\int_a^b [v(x, f(x)) - v(x, 0)] dx}_{\text{}}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Also the LHS of (17) is

$$\iint_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) dx dy = \underbrace{\int_a^b \int_0^{f(x)} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) dy dx}_{\text{}} + \underbrace{\int_a^b \int_0^{f(x)} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) dy dx}_{\text{}}. \quad (20)$$

Now we have

$$\underbrace{\int_a^b \int_0^{f(x)} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) dy dx}_{\text{}} = \underbrace{\int_a^b [v(x, f(x)) - v(x, 0)] dx}_{\text{}}. \quad (21)$$

Hence it suffices to show

$$\underbrace{\int_a^b u(x, f(x)) f'(x) dx + \int_a^b \int_0^{f(x)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y) dy dx}_{\text{}} = 0. \quad (22)$$

Note that the LHS (left-hand side) of (22) is

$$\int_a^b \left( u(x, f(x)) f'(x) + \int_0^{f(x)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y) dy \right) dx, \quad (23)$$

where the integrand in (23) can be written as

$$\frac{u(x, f(x)) f'(x) + \int_0^{f(x)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y) dy}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx} \left( \int_0^{f(x)} u(x, y) dy \right).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \left( \frac{u(x, f(x)) f'(x) + \int_0^{f(x)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y) dy}{dx} \right) dx \\ &= \int_a^b \frac{d}{dx} \left( \int_0^{f(x)} u(x, y) dy \right) dx = \int_0^{f(b)} u(b, y) dy - \int_0^{f(a)} u(a, y) dy = 0, \end{aligned}$$

due to  $f(a) = f(b) = 0$ . The proof is done.  $\square$

## 1.1 Averaging property of the Laplace operator.

The Laplace operator has the following important geometric meaning: let  $u(x, y)$  be a  $C^2$  function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $O = (0, 0)$  be the origin. Any line  $L$  passing through  $O$  with direction  $v(\theta) = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ , has the parametric form

$$L = \{(t \cos \theta, t \sin \theta) : t \in (-\infty, \infty), \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\}.$$

The function  $u(x, y)$  restricted on  $L$  becomes a function of  $t$ , i.e., we have (here the angle  $\theta$  is fixed)

$$h(t) := u(O + tv) = u(tv), \text{ where } v = v(\theta) = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta), \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} h'(0) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} u(O + tv) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(O + tv) - u(O)}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(tv) - u(0, 0)}{t} = D_v u(O) = \langle \nabla u(O), v \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

which is the **directional derivative** of  $u$  at  $O = (0, 0)$  in the direction  $v = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ . If we compute the second derivative of  $h(t)$  at  $t = 0$ , by

$$\begin{cases} h'(t) = \frac{d}{dt} u(O + tv) = \langle \nabla u(O + tv), v \rangle = u_x(O + tv) \cos \theta + u_y(O + tv) \sin \theta, & t \in (-\infty, \infty) \\ h''(0) = D_v u(O) = \langle \nabla u(O), v \rangle = u_x(O) \cos \theta + u_y(O) \sin \theta, & O = (0, 0), \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{cases} h''(t) = \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} u(O + tv) = \left( \frac{d}{dt} u_x(O + tv) \right) \cos \theta + \left( \frac{d}{dt} u_y(O + tv) \right) \sin \theta \\ = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \begin{pmatrix} u_{xx}(O + tv) & u_{xy}(O + tv) \\ u_{yx}(O + tv) & u_{yy}(O + tv) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_{xy}(O + tv) = u_{yx}(O + tv) \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

and then

$$h''(0) = u_{xx}(O) \cos^2 \theta + 2u_{xy}(O) \cos \theta \sin \theta + u_{yy}(O) \sin^2 \theta, \quad (27)$$

and if we **average**  $h''(0)$  among all possible directions (i.e. among all possible angle  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ ), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h''(0) d\theta &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} u(tv(\theta)) \right) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(O) \cos^2 \theta + 2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y}(O) \cos \theta \sin \theta + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}(O) \sin^2 \theta \right) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(O) \cdot \pi + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}(O) \cdot \pi \right] = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta u)(O), \quad v(\theta) = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta), \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi]. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Thus the quantity  $\frac{1}{2}(\Delta u)(O)$  is the average of the second derivatives of  $u$  among all possible directions  $v(\theta)$ .

**Remark 1.9** One can **rotate the orthonormal basis**  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to see why we have the coefficient  $1/2$  in (28). Because we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} h''(0) d\theta \text{ (rotation of } e_1 \text{ by } 2\pi) + \int_0^{2\pi} h''(0) d\theta \text{ (rotation of } e_2 \text{ by } 2\pi) = 2\pi \cdot (\Delta u)(O), \quad (29)$$

which gives (28).

## 1.2 Green identities.

There are many useful consequence of the **divergence theorem** (equivalent to the **Green Theorem** if we are in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ). Among the most important are the **Green identities**: Assume  $\Omega$  is a  $C^1$  bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (or in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ) and  $u, v \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  ( $u, v : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ). We have the **Green 1st identity**:

$$\int_{\Omega} v \Delta u dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} v \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{N}} d\sigma, \quad \nabla u = \text{gradient of } u \quad (30)$$

and **Green 2nd identity**:

$$\int_{\Omega} (v \Delta u - u \Delta v) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( v \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{N}} - u \frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{N}} \right) d\sigma, \quad (31)$$

where in (30),  $\nabla u \cdot \nabla v$  denotes the inner product of the two gradient vectors  $\nabla u$ ,  $\nabla v$ , and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{N}}$  is the directional derivative of  $u$  on  $\partial\Omega$  along the unit outward normal vector  $\mathbf{N}$  which, by the chain rule, is equal to

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{N}} = \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{N}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{N}}(p) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{u(p + t\mathbf{N}) - u(p)}{t}, \quad p \in \partial\Omega. \quad (32)$$

**Remark 1.10** We call  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{N}}$  the **outward normal derivative** of  $u$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Note that  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{N}}$  is defined only on  $\partial\Omega$  since the vector  $\mathbf{N}$  is defined only on  $\partial\Omega$

**Remark 1.11** We can use **Green identities** to prove the **uniqueness of solution** for Dirichlet boundary value problem.

We note that (30) is a consequence of the **divergence theorem** and the identity

$$\operatorname{div}(v\nabla u) = v \Delta u + \nabla v \cdot \nabla u, \quad u, v \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega}). \quad (33)$$

By the divergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} v \Delta u dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} (v \nabla u) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} (v \nabla u) \cdot \mathbf{N} d\sigma = \int_{\partial\Omega} v \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{N}} d\sigma, \quad (34)$$

which gives (30). As for (31), it is an easy consequence of (30).

In particular, when  $u = v$  in (30), we get the identity

$$\int_{\Omega} u \Delta u dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{N}} d\sigma, \quad (35)$$

and when  $v \equiv 1$  in (30), we get the identity

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{N}} d\sigma = \int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{N} d\sigma. \quad (36)$$

Physically, the quantity  $\int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{N} d\sigma$  is called the **flux** of the vector field  $\nabla u$  across the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  of  $\Omega$ . In particular, if  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  is harmonic function on  $\Omega$ , we have  $\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{N}} d\sigma = 0$  (zero flux across  $\partial\Omega$ ). Therefore, **a harmonic function is in the state of balance.**

An useful application of (35) is the following:

**Lemma 1.12** *Let  $\Omega$  be a  $C^1$  bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $u : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ . If we have*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

*then we must have  $u \equiv 0$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$ .*

**Proof.** By (35), we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u \Delta u dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{N}} d\sigma,$$

and so  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx = 0$ , which implies  $\nabla u \equiv 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Since  $\Omega$  is open and connected,  $u$  must be a constant on  $\Omega$ . This constant must be zero since  $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .  $\square$

### 1.3 Radial harmonic functions in $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

In this section, we look at the Laplace equation on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  :

$$\Delta u(x) = 0, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \quad (37)$$

and we want to look for a **special important solution** of the Laplace equation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is **radial**, i.e., it has the form

$$u(x) = v(r), \quad r = |x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2} > 0,$$

where  $v(r)$  is chosen so that it satisfies  $\Delta u(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . **Intuitively speaking, since Laplace equation has symmetry among all directions  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ , such a radial solution should exist.**

The following observation is also useful to see why there is a radial harmonic function. It is known that if  $u(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a harmonic function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then for any **orthogonal matrix**  $A \in O(n)$  ( $O(n)$  is the space of all  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrices), the function

$$w(x) := u(Ax) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is also a harmonic func. on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . **An interesting question is:** Is there a **harmonic func.**  $u(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the property

$$u(x) = u(Ax), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and for all } A \in O(n). \quad (38)$$

In linear algebra, if  $x_0 \neq y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  has the same length  $|x_0| = |y_0| = r_0 > 0$ , then there exists an orthogonal matrix  $A \in O(n)$  such that  $Ax_0 = y_0$ . Therefore, if  $u(x)$  satisfies property (38), it also satisfies the property

$$u(x) = u(y), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ with the same length,} \quad (39)$$

which means that  $u(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  must be a **radial harmonic func.**, i.e. it has the form

$$u(x) = v(r), \quad r = |x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2} \geq 0 \quad (40)$$

for some func.  $v(r) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Remark 1.13** *At this moment, we do not know if such function  $v(r)$  exists or not; we shall see that the function  $v(r)$  does exist, but **cannot** be defined at  $r = 0$  (has a **singularity** at  $r = 0$ ), which implies that the radial function  $u(x)$  cannot be defined at  $x = 0$ .*

**Instead of solving a PDE for  $u(x)$ , we only have to solve an ODE for  $v(r)$ .** By the chain rule, for  $r > 0$  we have

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x) = v'(r) \frac{x_i}{r}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}(x) = v''(r) \frac{x_i^2}{r^2} + v'(r) \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{x_i^2}{r^3} \right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

which gives (sum over  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ )

$$\Delta u(x) = v''(r) + \frac{n-1}{r} v'(r) = 0, \quad r > 0 \quad (41)$$

and we obtain a **second-order ODE** for  $v(r)$  over the domain  $r \in (0, \infty)$ . Multiplying equation (41) by  $r^{n-1}$ , one can verify that the general solution of (41) is given by

$$v(r) = v(|x|) = u(x) = \begin{cases} Ar^{2-n} + B, & n > 2, \quad r = |x| \in (0, \infty) \\ A \log r + B, & n = 2, \quad r = |x| \in (0, \infty) \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

where  $A, B$  are integration constants. Since  $v(r)$  is **not** defined at  $r = 0$ , the above radial solution  $u(x)$  is not defined at  $x = 0$ . The corresponding  $u(x) = u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  lies in the space  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ , given by

$$u(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} A(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^{\frac{2-n}{2}} + B, & n > 2, \\ A \log \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} + B = \tilde{A} \log(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + B, \quad \tilde{A} = \frac{A}{2}, & n = 2. \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

**Remark 1.14 (Interesting observation.)** *Why does the Laplace equation have radial solutions? This is because the Laplace operator has **symmetry** in it. If we change the Laplace operator into a **non-symmetric form**, for example, the form:*

$$\tilde{\Delta} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} = \Delta + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \quad (\text{or other forms, say } \Delta + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2}),$$

then if  $u(x)$  has the radial form  $v(r)$ ,  $r = |x|$ , we have

$$\tilde{\Delta} u(x) = \Delta u(x) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}(x) = v''(r) + \frac{n-1}{r} v'(r) + \underbrace{v'(r) \frac{x_1}{r}}_r,$$

which **cannot produce a self-contained equation (ODE)** for  $v(r)$  due to the term  $v'(r) \frac{x_1}{r}$ . Thus for the new operator  $\tilde{\Delta}$ , it has **no radial** solution at all (except the trivial constant solutions).

We can conclude the following:

**Lemma 1.15** Consider the Laplace equation on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , given by

$$(\Delta u)(x) = 0, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (44)$$

Its **radial solution** (defined only on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ ) is given by

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} A|x|^{2-n} + B, & n > 2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \\ A \log|x| + B, & n = 2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

and no others. Here  $A, B$  are two arbitrary constants with  $A \neq 0$ .

**Definition 1.16** The radial function  $u(x)$  given by (45) is also called the **fundamental solution** of the Laplace equation. It is a **harmonic function** defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . Moreover, it has a singularity at  $x = 0$ .

**Remark 1.17** In the one-dimensional case, i.e.  $n = 1$ , the **fundamental solution** of the Laplace equation  $u''(x) = 0$  is given by the radial function  $u(x) = A|x| + B$ , where  $A \neq 0, B$  are two arbitrary constants. Unlike the case  $n \geq 2$ , it is continuous at the origin  $x = 0$  (but not differentiable). It is not so interesting.

In  $\mathbb{R}^3$  we have (now we denote  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$  as  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ ) the **radial solution** of the Laplace equation:

$$u(x, y, z) = \frac{A}{r} + B = \frac{A}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} + B, \quad (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla u)(x, y, z) \\ &= A \left( \frac{-x}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}, \frac{-y}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}, \frac{-z}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{A}{|\vec{r}|^2} \left( \frac{-\vec{r}}{|\vec{r}|} \text{ (this is unit vector)} \right), \quad \text{where } \vec{r} = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

For suitable constant  $A$ , (46) describes **the force field of the earth gravity** with point mass at the origin. Note that each component function of  $(\nabla u)(x, y, z)$  is also harmonic on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ .

## 1.4 Laplace equation in polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ ; radial and angular harmonic functions in $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Remark 1.18** A major purpose of expressing Laplace operator in polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$  is to find some important special solutions, in particular, the **radial** solution  $U(r)$  and the **angular** solution  $U(\theta)$ . In particular, we can use it to solve the Dirichlet problem of the Laplace equation on the **disc** in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or on the **ball** in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

The polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and the Euclidean coordinates  $(x, y)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are related by

$$x = r \cos \theta, \quad y = r \sin \theta, \quad r > 0, \quad 0 < \theta < 2\pi, \quad (47)$$

where the change of variables is a **diffeomorphism** between the following two **open sets**:

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(x, 0) : x \geq 0\} \subset xy\text{-plane} \longleftrightarrow (r, \theta) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, 2\pi) \subset r\theta\text{-plane}. \quad (48)$$

For convenience, we denote the above open set in  $xy$ -plane as  $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^2$  and denote the above open set in  $r\theta$ -plane as  $\Sigma$  in this section. Let  $u(x, y) : \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^2$  function. Under the above change of variables  $u(x, y)$  becomes a  $C^2$  function  $U(r, \theta) : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.,  $u(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) = U(r, \theta)$ . What is the expression  $u_{xx}(x, y) + u_{yy}(x, y)$  under polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ ? The answer is:

$$\Delta u(x, y) = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) u(x, y) = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \right) U(r, \theta). \quad (49)$$

**Example 1.19** Let  $u(x, y) = x^2 y$ . Then  $U(r, \theta) = r^3 \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta$ . We have

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) u(x, y) = 2y.$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \right) U(r, \theta) \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \right) (r^3 \cos^2 \theta \sin \theta) = 2r \sin \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $y = r \sin \theta$ , both sides of (49) are equal.

To derive (49), for the first derivatives, we have the relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [u(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)] = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} \\ &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \cos \theta + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \sin \theta = \frac{1}{r} \left( x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right), \quad r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [u(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)] = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} \\ &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} (-r \sin \theta) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} (r \cos \theta) = -y \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

We can rewrite the above as the system:

$$\underbrace{r \frac{\partial U}{\partial r}} = x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, \quad \underbrace{\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta}} = -y \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \quad (52)$$

or equivalently, the **operator relation**:

$$r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = -y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}. \quad (53)$$

**It says that the operator  $r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$  is comparable to  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ .** In the matrix form, we have the operator identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \end{pmatrix} \text{ (acting on } U(r, \theta)) = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ -y & x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} \text{ (acting on } u(x, y)), \quad (54)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} \text{ (acting on } u(x, y)) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \end{pmatrix} \text{ (acting on } U(r, \theta)). \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

More precisely, the above gives

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \cos \theta \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} - \frac{\sin \theta}{r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \sin \theta \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\cos \theta}{r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta}. \quad (56)$$

In particular, we have two different ways to express the **gradient vector** of  $u$  (for clarity, we look at the vector  $\nabla u$  at a particular point  $(x_0, y_0)$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u(x_0, y_0) &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial r}(r_0, \theta_0) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_0 \\ \sin \theta_0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{r_0} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta}(r_0, \theta_0) \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \theta_0 \\ \cos \theta_0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

where  $(r_0, \theta_0)$  is the polar coordinates corresponding to  $(x_0, y_0)$ . The above says that we can also express the gradient vector  $\nabla u(x_0, y_0)$  in terms of the **orthonormal basis**  $(\cos \theta_0, \sin \theta_0)$ ,  $(-\sin \theta_0, \cos \theta_0)$ , with the coefficients given by  $\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}(r_0, \theta_0)$  and  $\frac{1}{r_0} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta}(r_0, \theta_0)$ .

**Remark 1.20** Draw a picture for the vector  $\nabla u(x_0, y_0)$  and the two orthonormal frames

$$\{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}, \quad \{(\cos \theta_0, \sin \theta_0), (-\sin \theta_0, \cos \theta_0)\},$$

where we note that the vector  $(\cos \theta_0, \sin \theta_0)$  is pointing in the **radial direction** and the vector  $(-\sin \theta_0, \cos \theta_0)$  is pointing in the **angular direction**.

Keep going and use (53) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{\left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)^2 U}_{(53)} &:= \left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) \left[\left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) U\right] = \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \left[x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right] \\ &= x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right] + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right] \\ &= \underbrace{x^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + y^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + 2xy \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}}_{(58)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 U}_{(54)} &:= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta}\right) = -y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[-y \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right] + x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[-y \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right] \\ &= \underbrace{y^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + x^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - 2xy \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} - x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}}_{(59)}. \end{aligned}$$

Add (58) and (59) to get the beautiful identity:

$$\underbrace{\left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)^2 U + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 U}_{(58)+(59)} = (x^2 + y^2) \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right). \quad (60)$$

Finally, one can simplify  $\left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)^2 u$  as

$$\left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)^2 U = \left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) \left(r \frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\right) = r^2 \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial r^2} + r \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \quad (61)$$

and conclude the identity:

**Lemma 1.21** (*Laplace operator in polar coordinates*  $(r, \theta)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .) For any  $C^2$  function  $u(x, y) = u(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) = U(r, \theta)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , then on the two open sets (48), we have the identity

$$(x^2 + y^2) \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) = \left( r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^2 U + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 U, \quad (62)$$

which is the same as

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \theta^2}}_{}, \quad r > 0, \quad 0 < \theta < 2\pi. \quad (63)$$

**Remark 1.22** In particular, if  $u(x, y) = U(r)$  is a radial function, (63) becomes

$$U''(r) + \frac{1}{r} U'(r) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}, \quad r > 0, \quad (64)$$

which matches with (41).

**Example 1.23** (*Radial harmonic function on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$* .) If a function  $u(x, y) = U(r)$ ,  $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ , is radial, then by (62) we have

$$\left( r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^2 U = (x^2 + y^2) \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right). \quad (65)$$

Thus a **radial harmonic function**  $u(r)$  (defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ ) satisfies

$$\left( r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^2 U = r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right) = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$r \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} = \text{const.}$$

Hence

$$u(x, y) = U(r) = a \ln r + b = a \log \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + b, \quad (x, y) \neq (0, 0). \quad (66)$$

for some constants  $a, b$ . Note that  $u(x, y)$  is defined only on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  and

$$\lim_{(x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0)} u(x, y) = \infty \quad (\text{if } a > 0).$$

Its **gradient vector is pointing in the radial direction**, given by

$$\nabla u(x, y) = \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y), \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, y) \right) = a \left( \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}, \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} \right), \quad (x, y) \neq (0, 0). \quad (67)$$

**Example 1.24** (*Angular harmonic function on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(x, y) : x \geq 0\}$* .) If a function  $u(x, y) = U(\theta)$  depends only on angle  $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ , then by (62) we have

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 U = (x^2 + y^2) \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right). \quad (68)$$

Thus an **angular harmonic function**  $U(\theta)$  (defined on  $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(x, y) : x \geq 0\}$ ) satisfies  $U''(\theta) = 0$ , i.e.

$$u(x, y) = U(\theta) = c\theta + d = c \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x} + d \quad (\text{if } x \neq 0 \text{ and } (x, y) \text{ is in the first quadrant}) \quad (69)$$

for some constants  $c, d$ . Its **gradient vector is perpendicular to the radial direction**, given by

$$\nabla u(x, y) = \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) = c \left( \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2}, \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \right), \quad (x, y) \neq (0, 0). \quad (70)$$

Since the derivative of a harmonic function is still harmonic, the functions

$$\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}, \quad \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}$$

are both harmonic in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ .

**Example 1.25 (Two important harmonic functions.)** By (63), one can check that **for any**  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  the two functions  $r^n \cos n\theta$ ,  $r^n \sin n\theta$  are both **harmonic functions** defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  (not just on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(x, 0) : x \geq 0\}$ ). For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the functions are actually defined on the whole plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The corresponding functions  $u(x, y)$  are polynomials in the variables  $x$  and  $y$  with degree  $n$ , and are defined on the whole  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . They are called **harmonic polynomials** on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For example, when  $n = 1$ , we get  $r \cos \theta = x$ ,  $r \sin \theta = y$  and for  $n = 2$ , we get

$$r^2 \cos 2\theta = x^2 - y^2, \quad r^2 \sin 2\theta = 2xy,$$

, etc. For  $n = -1$ , we get the familiar ones:

$$r^{-1} \cos(-\theta) = \frac{r \cos \theta}{r^2} = \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}, \quad r^{-1} \sin(-\theta) = -\frac{r \sin \theta}{r^2} = \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2}.$$

#### 1.4.1 Using a different method to derive Laplace equation in polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ .

This method is based on the following important observation (which is a problem in HW 9) and can be easily generalized to the case  $u(x, y, z) : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma 1.26** Let  $u(x, y) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^2$  function and let  $\{v, w\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be **any** orthonormal basis. Then at any fixed point  $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$  we have the identity

$$(\Delta u)(p) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(p) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}(p) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} u(p + tv) + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} u(p + tw), \quad t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon). \quad (71)$$

**Remark 1.27** The same result holds for a  $C^2$  function  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .

We can use Lemma 1.26 to express the Laplace operator  $\Delta$  in terms of the polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ . Let  $p = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  be a fixed point with polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ . We can take the orthonormal basis  $\{v, w\}$  at  $p$  as

$$v = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta), \quad w = (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta),$$

where we see that the vector  $v$  is pointing in the radial direction and the vector  $w$  is pointing in the perpendicular direction. Recall that we denote  $u(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$  by  $U(r, \theta)$ . Now we have

$$u(p + tv) = u(r \cos \theta + t \cos \theta, r \sin \theta + t \sin \theta) = u((r + t) \cos \theta, (r + t) \sin \theta) = U(r + t, \theta), \quad (72)$$

which gives

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} u(p + tv) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} U(r + t, \theta) = \underbrace{\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial r^2}}(r, \theta). \quad (73)$$

Next, we have

$$u(p + tw) = u(r \cos \theta - t \sin \theta, r \sin \theta + t \cos \theta),$$

where the vector  $p + tw$  has length  $\sqrt{r^2 + t^2}$  with

$$\begin{aligned} & (r \cos \theta - t \sin \theta, r \sin \theta + t \cos \theta) \\ &= \sqrt{r^2 + t^2} \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 + t^2}} \cos \theta - \frac{t}{\sqrt{r^2 + t^2}} \sin \theta, \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 + t^2}} \sin \theta + \frac{t}{\sqrt{r^2 + t^2}} \cos \theta \right) \\ &= \sqrt{r^2 + t^2} (\cos(\theta + \theta(t)), \sin(\theta + \theta(t))), \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

for some angle  $\theta(t)$  satisfying

$$\cos \theta(t) = \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 + t^2}}, \quad \sin \theta(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{r^2 + t^2}}, \quad \theta(0) = 0. \quad (75)$$

In particular, we get

$$\theta'(t) = \frac{r}{r^2 + t^2}, \quad \theta''(t) = -\frac{2rt}{(r^2 + t^2)^2}, \quad \theta'(0) = \frac{1}{r}, \quad \theta''(0) = 0. \quad (76)$$

Now by (74), we have

$$u(r \cos \theta - t \sin \theta, r \sin \theta + t \cos \theta) = U(\sqrt{r^2 + t^2}, \theta + \theta(t))$$

and then by (76) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} u(p + tw) = \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} U(\sqrt{r^2 + t^2}, \theta + \theta(t)) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{\partial U}{\partial r}(\sqrt{r^2 + t^2}, \theta + \theta(t)) \cdot \frac{t}{\sqrt{r^2 + t^2}} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta}(\sqrt{r^2 + t^2}, \theta + \theta(t)) \cdot \theta'(t) \right] \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial r}(r, \theta) \cdot \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \theta^2}(r, \theta) \cdot (\theta'(0))^2 = \underbrace{\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r}(r, \theta) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \theta^2}(r, \theta)} \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

The identity (63) follows due to (73) and (77).

**Remark 1.28 (Important.)** In summary, we have (here  $p = (x, y)$  has polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ )

$$\begin{cases} u(p + tv) = U(r + t, \theta), & v = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \text{ (radial direction)}, \\ u(p + tw) = U(\sqrt{r^2 + t^2}, \theta + \theta(t)), & \theta(0) = 0, \quad w = (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta) \text{ (angular direction)}, \end{cases}$$

and then differentiate each with respect to  $t$  twice and apply the chain rule.

## 1.5 Laplace equation is invariant under radial inversion in $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Lemma 1.29 (Laplace equation is invariant under radial inversion on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .)** Assume  $u(x, y)$  is harmonic on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $U(r, \theta) = u(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$ ,  $(r, \theta) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, 2\pi)$ . Then the **radial inversion** function (i.e.  $r \rightarrow \frac{1}{r}$ )

$$\tilde{U}(r, \theta) = U\left(\frac{1}{r}, \theta\right), \quad (r, \theta) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, 2\pi) \quad (78)$$

also satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{U}}{\partial r^2}(r, \theta) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial r}(r, \theta) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{U}}{\partial \theta^2}(r, \theta) = 0 \quad (79)$$

on  $(r, \theta) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, 2\pi)$ . Therefore, if  $u(x, y)$  is **harmonic** on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , then the function

$$\tilde{u}(x, y) = u\left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}, \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}\right) \quad (80)$$

is also harmonic on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Note that the length of the vector  $(x/(x^2 + y^2), y/(x^2 + y^2))$  is equal to  $1/\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ .

**Remark 1.30** Lemma 1.29 is a special case of the **Kelvin transformation** in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $(x, y)$  is **harmonic** on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $U(r, \theta) = u(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$ . It satisfies

$$\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial r^2}(r, \theta) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r}(r, \theta) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \theta^2}(r, \theta) = 0 \quad (81)$$

on the domain  $(r, \theta) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, 2\pi)$ . We compute (write  $U(r, \theta)$  as  $U(s, \theta)$  to avoid confusion)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}(r, \theta) &= U(s, \theta), \quad s = \frac{1}{r} \\ \tilde{U}_r(r, \theta) &= U_s(s, \theta) \left(\frac{-1}{r^2}\right) = -s^2 U_s(s, \theta) \\ \tilde{U}_{rr}(r, \theta) &= U_{ss}(s, \theta) \left(\frac{1}{r^4}\right) + U_s(s, \theta) \left(\frac{2}{r^3}\right) = s^4 U_{ss}(s, \theta) + 2s^3 U_s(s, \theta) \\ \tilde{U}_{\theta\theta}(r, \theta) &= U_{\theta\theta}(s, \theta) \end{aligned}$$

and get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{U}}{\partial r^2}(r, \theta) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial r}(r, \theta) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{U}}{\partial \theta^2}(r, \theta) \\ &= [s^4 U_{ss}(s, \theta) + 2s^3 U_s(s, \theta)] + s(-s^2 U_s(s, \theta)) + s^2 U_{\theta\theta}(s, \theta) \\ &= s^4 \left\{ \underbrace{U_{ss}(s, \theta) + \frac{1}{s} U_s(s, \theta) + \frac{1}{s^2} U_{\theta\theta}(s, \theta)} \right\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

The proof is done. □

**Example 1.31** We know  $x^2 - y^2 = r^2 \cos 2\theta$  and  $2xy = r^2 \sin 2\theta$  are harmonic on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . By (80) in Lemma 1.29, the functions

$$\frac{x^2 - y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} = \frac{1}{r^2} \cos 2\theta, \quad \frac{2xy}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} = \frac{1}{r^2} \sin 2\theta$$

are also harmonic on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Similarly for the harmonic functions  $r^n \cos n\theta$ ,  $r^n \sin n\theta$ , for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## 1.6 Laplace operator in spherical coordinates $(r, \theta, \varphi)$ of $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Remark 1.32** There are several different methods to derive the Laplace operator in spherical coordinates  $(r, \theta, \varphi)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Here we only provide the most straightforward method. For more discussions and details, see the file "**Laplace-equation-in-polar-and-spherical-coordinates-2019-4-28.tex**".

The sphere coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is given by  $(r, \theta, \varphi)$  and its relation with respect to the Euclidean coordinates is

$$x = r \sin \varphi \cos \theta, \quad y = r \sin \varphi \sin \theta, \quad z = r \cos \varphi, \quad x^2 + y^2 = r^2 \sin^2 \varphi, \quad (83)$$

where  $r > 0$ ,  $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ ,  $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$ . By (83), we get the inverse identities

$$r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}, \quad \theta = \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x}, \quad \varphi = \cos^{-1} \frac{z}{r} = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{z}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} \right). \quad (84)$$

We have

$$U(r, \theta, \varphi) = u(r \sin \varphi \cos \theta, r \sin \varphi \sin \theta, r \cos \varphi) \quad (85)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}, \\ \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{x}{r} = \sin \varphi \cos \theta, \\ \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} = \frac{y}{r} = \sin \varphi \sin \theta \\ \frac{\partial r}{\partial z} = \frac{z}{r} = \cos \varphi, \end{cases} \quad (86)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \theta = \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x}, \\ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} = \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2} = -\frac{r \sin \varphi \sin \theta}{r^2 \sin^2 \varphi} = -\frac{\sin \theta}{r \sin \varphi}, \\ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} = \frac{r \sin \varphi \cos \theta}{r^2 \sin^2 \varphi} = \frac{\cos \theta}{r \sin \varphi}, \\ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (87)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \varphi = \cos^{-1} \frac{z}{r}, \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1 - (\frac{z}{r})^2}} \frac{-z}{r^2} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{z}{r \sqrt{r^2 - z^2}} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{r \cos \varphi}{r \sqrt{r^2 \sin^2 \varphi}} \sin \varphi \cos \theta = \frac{\cos \varphi \cos \theta}{r} \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} = \frac{r \cos \varphi}{r \sqrt{r^2 \sin^2 \varphi}} \sin \varphi \sin \theta = \frac{\cos \varphi \sin \theta}{r} \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1 - (\frac{z}{r})^2}} \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{z}{r^2} \frac{\partial r}{\partial z} \right) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{r^2 - z^2}} (1 - \cos^2 \varphi) = -\frac{\sin^2 \varphi}{\sqrt{r^2 \sin^2 \varphi}} = -\frac{\sin \varphi}{r}. \end{cases} \quad (88)$$

With the above, we conclude the **first order operator relation**:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = (\sin \varphi \cos \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\sin \theta}{r \sin \varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\cos \varphi \cos \theta}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = (\sin \varphi \sin \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\cos \theta}{r \sin \varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\cos \varphi \sin \theta}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = (\cos \varphi) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\sin \varphi}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}. \end{cases} \quad (89)$$

By (89), we have the following gradient vector relation similar to (57):

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u(x, y, z) &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y, z) \mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, y, z) \mathbf{e}_2 + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}(x, y, z) \mathbf{e}_3 \\ &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \varphi \cos \theta \\ \sin \varphi \sin \theta \\ \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{r \sin \varphi} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \varphi} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi \cos \theta \\ \cos \varphi \sin \theta \\ -\sin \varphi \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \mathbf{e}_r + \frac{1}{r \sin \varphi} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{e}_\theta + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \varphi} \mathbf{e}_\varphi, \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

where  $\{\mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{e}_\theta, \mathbf{e}_\varphi\}$  is an **orthonormal basis** given by

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_r = (\sin \varphi \cos \theta, \sin \varphi \sin \theta, \cos \varphi) \\ \mathbf{e}_\theta = (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta, 0) \\ \mathbf{e}_\varphi = (\cos \varphi \cos \theta, \cos \varphi \sin \theta, -\sin \varphi). \end{cases} \quad (91)$$

**Theorem 1.33** (*Laplace operator in spherical coordinates*  $(r, \theta, \varphi)$  **of**  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .) Under the **spherical coordinates**  $(r, \theta, \varphi)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we have the identity:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \left( r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^2 U + \left( r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) U \right] + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \varphi} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 U + \left( \sin \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right)^2 U \right] \\ &= (x^2 + y^2 + z^2) \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} \right) = r^2 \Delta u(x, y, z), \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

which is the same as

$$\Delta u(x, y, z) = \left( \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \varphi} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{\cos \varphi}{\sin \varphi} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \varphi} \right). \quad (93)$$

**Proof.** We omit its proof. It is a tedious computation.  $\square$

If  $u(x, y, z) = U(r)$  is a radial function only, then a **radial harmonic function**  $U(r)$  (defined on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ ) satisfies

$$U''(r) + \frac{2}{r} U'(r) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad (0, \infty). \quad (94)$$

Its solution is given by

$$U(r) = \frac{a}{r} + b, \quad r \in (0, \infty), \quad a, b \text{ are constants} \quad (95)$$

or

$$u(x, y, z) = \frac{a}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} + b, \quad (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}, \quad (96)$$

which has a singularity at the origin  $O = (0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  with

$$\lim_{(x, y, z) \rightarrow (0, 0, 0)} u(x, y, z) = \infty \quad (\text{if } a > 0). \quad (97)$$

On the other hand, if  $u(x, y, z) = U(\theta)$ , i.e. it depends only on the angle  $\theta$ , we have  $U''(\theta) = 0$ ,  $U(\theta) = c\theta + d$  for some constants  $c, d$ . This gives the  **$\theta$ -angular harmonic function**: (here we use the representation  $\theta = \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x}$ )

$$u(x, y, z) = c \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x} + d \quad (\text{if } (x, y, z) \text{ lies in the first octant of } \mathbb{R}^3).$$

The domain of  $u(x, y, z) = U(\theta)$  is  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(x, 0, z) : x \geq 0, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$  (same as  $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ ). Note that the set  $\{(x, 0, z) : x \geq 0, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$  has **measure zero** in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Any point  $p$  on this measure zero set has angle  $\theta = 0$  or  $\theta = 2\pi$ .

Finally, if  $u(x, y, z) = U(\varphi)$ , i.e. it depends only on the angle  $\varphi$ , by solving the ODE

$$\left( \sin \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right)^2 U(\varphi) = 0,$$

we have  $(\sin \varphi) U'(\varphi) = c$  for some constant  $c$  and then

$$U(\varphi) = c \int \frac{1}{\sin \varphi} d\varphi + d = c \int \csc \varphi d\varphi + d = c \log |\csc \varphi - \cot \varphi| + d, \quad (98)$$

which gives the  **$\varphi$ -angular harmonic function**: (here we use the representation  $\varphi = \cos^{-1} \frac{z}{r}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y, z) &= c \log |\csc \varphi - \cot \varphi| + d \\ &= c \log \left| \csc \left( \cos^{-1} \frac{z}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} \right) - \cot \left( \cos^{-1} \frac{z}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} \right) \right| + d, \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

where  $c, d$  are constants. The domain of  $u(x, y, z) = U(\varphi)$  is  $\mathbb{R}^3$  minus the  $z$ -axis (same as  $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$ ). Any point  $p = (0, 0, z)$  on  $z$ -axis has angle  $\varphi = 0$  or  $\varphi = \pi$  and  $\log |\csc \varphi - \cot \varphi|$  is undefined at  $\varphi = 0$  and  $\varphi = \pi$ .

## 1.7 The application of Green identities to Dirichlet problem for Poisson equation on bounded domains.

When  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded domain, the most important question related to the Laplace operator is the Dirichlet problem for Poisson equation on  $\Omega$ . Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  ( $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is also OK ..) be a bounded  $C^1$  domain and let  $f(x)$ ,  $h(x)$  be continuous functions on  $\Omega$  and  $\partial\Omega$  respectively. The **Dirichlet problem for Poisson equation** has the form

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u(x) = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \\ u(x) = h(x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (100)$$

One can use (35) to show that (100) has a **unique** solution (we will not discuss the **existence** of a solution here). In PDE theory, the boundary condition  $u(x) = h(x)$  on  $\partial\Omega$  is also called **Dirichlet condition**.

**Lemma 1.34** (*Uniqueness of solution for Dirichlet problem of Poisson equation.*) Let  $u, v \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  be two solutions of (100) on  $\Omega$ , where  $f \in C^0(\Omega)$  and  $h \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$  are given. Then we must have  $u \equiv v$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

**Proof.** Set  $w = u - v \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ . It satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w(x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \\ w(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (101)$$

By (35), we have the identity

$$\int_{\Omega} w \Delta w dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} w \frac{\partial w}{\partial \mathbf{N}} d\sigma \quad (d\sigma = ds \text{ here since we are in } \mathbb{R}^2), \quad (102)$$

which, together with (101), gives the identity

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx = 0, \quad (103)$$

where we also know that  $|\nabla w|^2$  is a continuous function on  $\Omega$  with  $|\nabla w|^2 \geq 0$  everywhere. Hence we conclude  $|\nabla w|^2 \equiv 0$  on  $\Omega$  and  $w(x)$  must be a constant function on  $\Omega$ . As  $w(x) = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , we must have  $w(x) \equiv 0$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . The proof is done.  $\square$

**Remark 1.35** (*Important.*) The above uniqueness result does not hold on **unbounded domains**. This is because the divergence theorem and the Green identities **are valid only on bounded domains**  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . See Remark 1.6. For example, the two functions

$$w(x, y) \equiv 0, \quad v(x, y) = y, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{y^+}^2 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y > 0\}$$

all satisfy the equation  $\Delta u = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}_{y^+}^2$  with  $u \equiv 0$  on  $\partial\mathbb{R}_{y^+}^2$ .

## 1.8 The weak maximum/minimum principle for harmonic, subharmonic, and superharmonic functions on bounded domains.

From now on,  $\Omega$  will denote a **bounded domain** in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . However, the maximum/minimum principle for harmonic functions on any bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is also valid.

**Lemma 1.36** (*Weak maximum/minimum principle for harmonic, subharmonic, and superharmonic functions on bounded domains.*) Let  $\Omega$  be a **bounded domain** in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Assume  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ . If  $\Delta u \geq 0$  ( $\leq 0$ ) everywhere in  $\Omega$ , then

$$\max_{\bar{\Omega}} u = \max_{\partial\Omega} u \quad \left( \min_{\bar{\Omega}} u = \min_{\partial\Omega} u \right). \quad (104)$$

Consequently for a **harmonic** function  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ , we have

$$\min_{\partial\Omega} u \leq u(x) \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} u, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}. \quad (105)$$

In particular, for a **harmonic** function  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ , we have

$$\max_{\bar{\Omega}} |u| = \max_{\partial\Omega} |u|. \quad (106)$$

**Remark 1.37** The condition that  $\Omega$  is a **bounded domain** is **essential** in the above lemma. Lemma 1.36 is **false** on unbounded domains. For example, take  $u(x, y) = y$  on  $\mathbb{R}_{y^+}^2$ . It does not satisfy the maximum principle. Another interesting example is the **nonzero harmonic function**  $u(x, y) = \sinh x \cos y$  on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $u \equiv 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is the **unbounded semi-infinite strip**

$$\Omega = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x > 0, y \in \left( -\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right\}. \quad (107)$$

**Remark 1.38** By the above lemma, we conclude that, if  $\Delta u \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$ , it satisfies the **maximum principle**; and if  $\Delta u \leq 0$  in  $\Omega$ , it satisfies the **minimum principle**. One can also write the maximum principle as

$$\max_{\bar{\Omega}} u \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} u \quad (108)$$

and write the minimum principle as

$$\min_{\bar{\Omega}} u \geq \min_{\partial\Omega} u. \quad (109)$$

**Remark 1.39** (*An interesting simple example.*) Here is a simple example in the 1-dimensional case. Let  $f(x) = x^2$ ,  $x \in (-1, 1)$ . It satisfies  $f''(x) = 2 > 0$  everywhere in  $\Omega = (-1, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$ . The maximum value of  $f(x)$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$  is 1, attained at  $x = \pm 1 \in \partial\Omega$ . Similarly, the function  $g(x) = -x^2$ ,  $x \in (-1, 1)$  satisfies  $g''(x) = -2 < 0$  everywhere in  $\Omega = (-1, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$ . The minimum value of  $g(x)$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$  is  $-1$ , attained at  $x = \pm 1 \in \partial\Omega$ . Finally, the function  $h(x) = ax + b$  ( $a, b$  are any two numbers) is **harmonic** on  $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ . Its maximum value and minimum value attained at  $x = \pm 1 \in \partial\Omega$  respectively. Unless  $h(x)$  is a **constant** function, otherwise, it is impossible for the harmonic function  $h(x)$  to attain its maximum value (or minimum value) at some  $x_0 \in \Omega = (-1, 1)$  (this is the **strong maximum/minimum principle**, to be proved later on).

**Proof.** Assume first that  $\Delta u > 0$  everywhere in  $\Omega$ . Then since  $u$  is continuous on  $\bar{\Omega}$  (compact set), there is some point  $p \in \bar{\Omega}$  such that  $u(p) = \max_{\bar{\Omega}} u$ . If  $p \in \partial\Omega$ , the result follows. If  $p \in \Omega$  (interior point), we get

$$\Delta u(p) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(p) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}(p) \leq 0, \quad (110)$$

a contradiction. Hence  $p$  must lie on the boundary of  $\Omega$  and so  $\max_{\bar{\Omega}} u \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} u$  (note that we always have  $\max_{\bar{\Omega}} u \geq \max_{\partial\Omega} u$ ).

Next, assume that  $\Delta u \geq 0$  everywhere in  $\Omega$ . We can use a small perturbation argument. Let

$$v(x, y) = u(x, y) + \varepsilon(x^2 + y^2), \quad (x, y) \in \bar{\Omega},$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a constant. We have

$$\Delta v(x, y) = \Delta u(x, y) + 4\varepsilon \geq 0 + 4\varepsilon > 0 \quad \text{everywhere in } \Omega.$$

Hence we have

$$\max_{\bar{\Omega}} u \leq \max_{\bar{\Omega}} v = \max_{\partial\Omega} v \leq \left( \max_{\partial\Omega} u \right) + \varepsilon \max_{\partial\Omega} (x^2 + y^2). \quad (111)$$

As  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary and  $\Omega$  is a **bounded** domain (hence  $\max_{\partial\Omega} (x^2 + y^2)$  is finite), letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$  in (111), we conclude

$$\max_{\bar{\Omega}} u \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} u.$$

On the other hand, we also have  $\max_{\bar{\Omega}} u \geq \max_{\partial\Omega} u$  and so (104) is verified. The proof of the minimum case is similar.

Finally, it is easy to see that for a **harmonic** function  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ , we have (106). Note that we have the inequality

$$-|u(x)| \leq u(x) \leq |u(x)|, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$$

and so

$$\begin{cases} \max_{\bar{\Omega}} u = \max_{\partial\Omega} u \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} |u| \\ \min_{\bar{\Omega}} u = \min_{\partial\Omega} u \geq \min_{\partial\Omega} (-|u|) = -\max_{\partial\Omega} |u|. \end{cases}$$

The above implies

$$-\underbrace{\max_{\partial\Omega} |u|}_{\leq \max_{\bar{\Omega}} |u|} \leq \min_{\bar{\Omega}} u \leq u(x) \leq \max_{\bar{\Omega}} u \leq \underbrace{\max_{\partial\Omega} |u|}_{\leq \max_{\bar{\Omega}} |u|}, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega},$$

which implies

$$|u(x)| \leq \underbrace{\max_{\partial\Omega} |u|}_{\leq \max_{\bar{\Omega}} |u|}, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}. \quad (112)$$

By (112), we clearly have (106).

### 1.8.1 Application of the weak maximum/minimum principle.

Let  $f \in C^0(\Omega)$  and  $h \in C^0(\partial\Omega)$ . We can consider the following problem on bounded domain  $\Omega$  :

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u(x) = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u(x) = h(x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (113)$$

This problem is **well-posed** and we have the following **uniqueness** property due to the maximum principle:

**Lemma 1.40** (*Uniqueness of solution for Dirichlet problem of Poisson equation.*) *The problem (113) has at most one solution  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ .*

**Remark 1.41** *Recall that we have used Green identity to prove Lemma 1.40 before for the case  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ . See Lemma 1.34.*

**Proof.** Assume there are two solutions  $u, v \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ . Then the function  $w = u - v \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w(x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ w(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (114)$$

By the weak maximum/minimum principle, we have  $w \equiv 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Hence  $u \equiv v$  in  $\Omega$  (and so on  $\bar{\Omega}$ ).  $\square$

## 1.9 Poisson integral formula in the plane; Dirichlet problem on a disc.

Let  $B_a(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be the open disc centered at the origin  $(0, 0)$  with radius  $a > 0$ . We want to solve the **Dirichlet problem** (this is a **well-posed problem**):

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u(x, y) = 0 & \text{in } (x, y) \in B_a(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \\ u(x, y) = h(x, y) & \text{on } (x, y) \in \partial B_a(0) \text{ (the boundary of } B_a(0)), \end{cases} \quad (115)$$

where  $h(x, y)$  is a given **continuous** function defined on  $\partial B_a(0)$ .

Due to the **symmetry** of the domain and the **symmetry** of the Laplace operator, there is a **solution formula** for this problem. The solution lies in the space  $C^2(B_a(0)) \cap C^0(\overline{B_a(0)})$ . Moreover, by the maximum principle, the solution in the function space  $C^2(B_a(0)) \cap C^0(\overline{B_a(0)})$  is **unique**.

As the domain  $B_a(0)$  is a disc, to solve the problem (115), it is natural to use **polar coordinates**  $(r, \theta)$  instead of the Euclidean coordinates  $(x, y)$ . The Laplace equation under polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$  is given by

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} = 0, \quad u = u(r, \theta), \quad 0 < r < a, \quad 0 < \theta < 2\pi. \quad (116)$$

and we we want to solve it. Since in the problem (115) the solution  $u(x, y)$  is to be defined at  $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ , we hope equation (116) can also be defined at  $r = 0$  and the solution  $u(r, \theta)$  **will not have a singularity at  $r = 0$**  (i.e.  $u(r, \theta)$  is **well-defined at  $r = 0$** ). In view of this, we multiply the equation by  $r^2$  to get

$$r^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} = 0, \quad u = u(r, \theta), \quad 0 < r < a, \quad 0 < \theta < 2\pi \quad (117)$$

and focus on equation (117). Moreover, we also want the solution  $u(r, \theta)$  to be  **$2\pi$ -periodic in  $\theta \in (-\infty, \infty)$**  so that the corresponding solution  $u(x, y)$  can be defined on the whole open disc  $B_a(0)$ . Using polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , the problem (115) can be expressed as (note that since the radius of  $B_a(0)$  is fixed, the continuous boundary function  $h(x, y)$  on  $\partial B_a(0)$  is a  **$2\pi$ -periodic** continuous function depending only on  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ )

$$\begin{cases} (1) \cdot r^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2}(r, \theta) + r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(r, \theta) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2}(r, \theta) = 0, & (r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi] \\ (2) \cdot u(a, \theta) = h(\theta), & \forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi]. \end{cases} \quad (118)$$

Recall that there is a family of **separable solutions**  $\{u_n(r, \theta)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  for equation (1) in (118), which are defined on  $(r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]$ , given by

$$u_n(r, \theta) = \begin{cases} r^n (A_n \cos n\theta + B_n \sin n\theta), & n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi] \\ A_0, & n = 0, \end{cases} \quad (119)$$

where  $A_0, A_n, B_n$  are arbitrary constants. They satisfy the boundary condition

$$u_n(a, \theta) = a^n (A_n \cos n\theta + B_n \sin n\theta), \quad \forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi]. \quad (120)$$

Therefore, if  $h(\theta)$  is a finite linear combination of  $\cos n\theta$  and  $\sin n\theta$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then (118) can be easily solved.

**Remark 1.42** Note that each corresponding function  $u_n(x, y)$  is a **harmonic polynomial** on the whole disc  $B_a(0)$  (or on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ).

**Example 1.43** Solve the problem on  $B_a(0)$  :

$$\begin{cases} (1). r^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2}(r, \theta) + r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(r, \theta) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2}(r, \theta) = 0, & (r, \theta) \in [0, a] \times [0, 2\pi] \\ (2). u(a, \theta) = 3 \sin \theta - 5 \cos \theta + 7 \cos(2\theta), & \forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi]. \end{cases} \quad (121)$$

**Solution:**

The function

$$u(r, \theta) = 3 \left(\frac{r}{a}\right) \sin \theta - 5 \left(\frac{r}{a}\right) \cos \theta + 7 \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2 \cos(2\theta), \quad (r, \theta) \in [0, a] \times [0, 2\pi]$$

is clearly a solution of (121). In terms of  $(x, y)$ -coordinates, the corresponding  $u(x, y)$  is

$$u(x, y) = 3 \left(\frac{y}{a}\right) - 5 \left(\frac{x}{a}\right) + 7 \left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{a^2}\right), \quad (x, y) \in B_a(0)$$

and the corresponding function  $h(x, y)$  on  $\partial B_a(0)$  is given by (note that  $\theta = \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x}$ )

$$h(x, y) = 3 \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} - 5 \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} + 7 \left(\frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2}\right), \quad (x, y) \in \partial B_a(0).$$

□

We can use the above observation as a **motivation** to solve the general Dirichlet problem (118) for **arbitrary continuous function**  $h(\theta)$  "if one can express  $h(\theta)$  as a linear combination of all possible  $\cos n\theta$  and  $\sin n\theta$  for all possible  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ". This is indeed possible if we assume  $h(\theta)$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic  $C^1$  function on  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . More precisely, we have the following result from **Fourier series theory**:

**Lemma 1.44 (Fourier series result.)** Assume  $h(\theta)$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic  $C^1$  function defined on  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$  (or on  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ). Then the following series

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n \cos(n\theta) + b_n \sin(n\theta)], \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \quad (122)$$

converges **absolutely** and **uniformly** to  $h(\theta)$  on  $[0, 2\pi]$ . That is

$$h(\theta) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n \cos(n\theta) + b_n \sin(n\theta)], \quad \forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi], \quad (123)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\varphi) \cos(n\varphi) d\varphi, & n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ b_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\varphi) \sin(n\varphi) d\varphi, & n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{cases} \quad (124)$$

The series (122) is called the **Fourier series** of the function  $h$  on  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . We can also rewrite (123) as

$$h(\theta) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \underbrace{h(\varphi) \cos(n\varphi - n\theta)}_{\text{}} d\varphi, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi]. \quad (125)$$

**Remark 1.45** The above lemma **fails** if we only assume  $h(\theta)$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic **continuous** function.

**Proof.** Omit. □

We now assume that  $h(\theta)$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic  $C^1$  **function** and see what we can do (in the problem (118) we only assume  $h$  to be a continuous function). Motivated by the Fourier series, we now consider the **sum** of  $u_n(r, \theta)$  from (119) for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , and get a series of the form

$$\frac{A_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n (A_n \cos n\theta + B_n \sin n\theta), \quad (r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi], \quad (126)$$

where the constants  $A_0, A_n, B_n$  will be chosen so that when  $r = a$  it reduces to the Fourier series of  $h(\theta)$  on  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ .

**Remark 1.46** Since  $A_0/2$  and each  $r^n (A_n \cos n\theta + B_n \sin n\theta)$  are harmonic on  $(r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]$ , we "**expect**" that the series (126) to converge to a **harmonic function** on  $[0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]$ . Moreover, if we choose the coefficients  $A_0, A_n$  and  $B_n$  suitably, then the sum of the series (i.e. the function  $u(r, \theta)$  in (126)) will tend to  $h(\theta)$  as  $r \rightarrow a$  and the Dirichlet problem (118) can be solved. See below for details.

To satisfy the boundary condition at  $r = a$ , we need to require

$$\frac{A_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^n (A_n \cos n\theta + B_n \sin n\theta) = h(\theta), \quad \forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi]. \quad (127)$$

Hence, by (124), we must require

$$\begin{cases} A_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\varphi) d\varphi \\ A_n = \frac{1}{\pi a^n} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\varphi) \cos(n\varphi) d\varphi, \quad B_n = \frac{1}{\pi a^n} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\varphi) \sin(n\varphi) d\varphi, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \quad (128)$$

**Remark 1.47** It is **impossible** to have  $u(a, \theta) = h(\theta)$  for **arbitrary**  $h(\theta)$  if we only consider **finite sum** in (127). This is because if we want to use  $\cos n\theta$  and  $\sin n\theta$  to express  $h(\theta)$ , we need **infinitely many** trigonometric functions.

By the above, we arrive at a series (at least formally for now) of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\varphi) d\varphi \\ + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\pi a^n} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\varphi) \cos(n\varphi) d\varphi \right) \cos n\theta + \left( \frac{1}{\pi a^n} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\varphi) \sin(n\varphi) d\varphi \right) \sin n\theta \right] \end{cases} \quad (129) \\ & = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\varphi) d\varphi + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \underbrace{\left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^n h(\varphi) [\cos n\varphi \cos n\theta + \sin n\varphi \sin n\theta]}_{\cos(n(\theta - \varphi))} d\varphi \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\varphi) d\varphi + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \underbrace{\left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^n \cos(n(\theta - \varphi))}_{\cos(n(\theta - \varphi))} \cdot h(\varphi) d\varphi \right). \end{aligned} \quad (130)$$

Now we note the following:

**Lemma 1.48** The series in (130) does converge on  $(r, \theta) \in [0, a] \times [0, 2\pi]$  and when  $r = a$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ , its sum in (130) is equal to  $h(\theta)$  (here we assume  $h(\theta)$  is a  $2\pi$ -**periodic**  $C^1$  **function** on  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ ).

**Proof.** We have the estimate

$$\left| \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos(n(\theta - \varphi)) \cdot h(\varphi) d\varphi \right| \leq \left( 2\pi \max_{\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]} |h(\varphi)| \right) \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n, \quad \forall \theta, \varphi \in [0, 2\pi].$$

By comparison theory, if  $r \in [0, a)$ , the series in (130) will converge absolutely (since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n$  converges on  $r \in [0, a)$ ). Finally, at  $r = a$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ , the sum in (130) is equal to the **Fourier series** of  $h(\theta)$  on  $[0, 2\pi]$  (see (129)) and so equal to  $h(\theta)$ . The proof is done.  $\square$

By Lemma 1.48, we can denote the sum of the series as  $u(r, \theta)$ , where  $(r, \theta) \in [0, a] \times [0, 2\pi]$  and we still need to know what  $u(r, \theta)$  is when  $(r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]$  and also need to know if  $u(r, \theta)$  is a **harmonic function** or not on the domain  $(r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]$  (same as on the domain  $(x, y) \in B_a(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ).

To answer the above question, we note that the identity (130) gives us a **motivation** to look at the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos(n(\theta - \varphi)), \quad (131)$$

which is **convergent** when  $(r, \theta, \varphi) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$  and we can find its sum explicitly. Before we go on, we note the following comparison:

1. If we assume  $h(\theta)$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic  $C^1$  **function**, then the series (130) (which has integral sign in it) **converges** at  $r = a$  and it is equal to the Fourier series of  $h(\theta)$ .
2. On the other hand, the series (131) (which does not have integral sign in it) **diverges** at  $r = a$  for any  $\theta, \varphi$ . At  $r = a$ , it has the form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos n(\theta - \varphi)$$

and note that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cos n(\theta - \varphi)$  **does not converge to zero for any values of  $\theta, \varphi$**  (recall that in Calculus, if a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges, we must have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ ).

To go on, motivated by (130), we study the following series properties:

**Lemma 1.49** *The series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos(n(\theta - \varphi)) \quad (132)$$

*converges **absolutely** on  $(r, \theta, \varphi) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$  and **uniformly** on  $(r, \theta, \varphi) \in [0, a - \varepsilon] \times [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$  for any small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We also have*

$$\frac{\partial^k}{\partial r^k} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos(n(\theta - \varphi)) \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial r^k} \left[ \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos(n(\theta - \varphi)) \right] \quad (133)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos(n(\theta - \varphi)) \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} \left[ \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos(n(\theta - \varphi)) \right] \quad (134)$$

*on  $(r, \theta, \varphi) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , which is due to the property that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the series on the **right hand side** of (133) and (134) also converge **absolutely** on  $[0, a) \times [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$  and **uniformly** on  $[0, a - \varepsilon] \times [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$ .*

**Proof.** The convergence result is a consequence of standard Series Theory. We omit its proof.  $\square$

By Lemma 1.49, we can **move the summation  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  into the integral sign** and also **differentiate under the integral sign**, as long as we confine  $(r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]$ , i.e.

**Corollary 1.50** (*Commute the summation and the integral; differentiate under the integral; differentiate under the summation.*) We have

$$\begin{aligned} u(r, \theta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} h(\varphi) d\varphi + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \underbrace{\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos(n(\theta - \varphi))}_{\cdot h(\varphi)} d\varphi \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \underbrace{1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos(n(\theta - \varphi))}_{\cdot h(\varphi)} d\varphi, \quad \forall (r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]. \end{aligned} \quad (135)$$

Moreover, on the domain  $(r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]$  and for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have the identities:

$$\frac{\partial^k}{\partial r^k} u(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \underbrace{\frac{\partial^k}{\partial r^k} \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos(n(\theta - \varphi)) \right)}_{\cdot h(\varphi)} d\varphi \quad (136)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \underbrace{2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial r^k} \left[ \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos(n(\theta - \varphi)) \right]}_{\cdot h(\varphi)} d\varphi \quad (137)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} u(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \underbrace{\frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos(n(\theta - \varphi)) \right)}_{\cdot h(\varphi)} d\varphi \quad (138)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \underbrace{2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} \left[ \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos(n(\theta - \varphi)) \right]}_{\cdot h(\varphi)} d\varphi. \quad (139)$$

The lemma below says that we can simplify the series (132) and **find its sum explicitly**.

**Lemma 1.51** (*Evaluating the series.*) We have the identity

$$1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos n(\theta - \varphi) = \frac{a^2 - r^2}{a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2}, \quad \forall (r, \theta, \varphi) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi]. \quad (140)$$

In particular, by Lemma 1.49 (note that each  $\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos n(\theta - \varphi)$  is **harmonic** on  $(r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]$  and one can **differentiate under the summation sign**), we know that for any fixed  $a > 0$  and  $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$ , the function

$$\frac{a^2 - r^2}{a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2} \quad (141)$$

is **harmonic** on the domain  $(r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]$ .

**Proof.** To prove (140), you may have to use **Euler's formula for complex number**  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $r \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ , which is

$$z^n = [r(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)]^n = r^n (\cos n\theta + i \sin n\theta), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then look at the series

$$1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n [\cos n(\theta - \varphi) + i \sin n(\theta - \varphi)]$$

and use the identity

$$1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n = \frac{2}{1-z} - 1, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } |z| < 1$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos n(\theta - \varphi) &= \operatorname{Re} \left[ 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \right], \quad z = \frac{r}{a} e^{i(\theta - \varphi)}, \quad r \in [0, a), \quad |z| < 1 \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{2}{1-z} - 1 \right] = \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{2}{1 - \frac{r}{a} e^{i(\theta - \varphi)}} - 1 \right] \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{2a [a - r \cos(\theta - \varphi) + ir \sin(\theta - \varphi)]}{[a - r \cos(\theta - \varphi) - ir \sin(\theta - \varphi)] [a - r \cos(\theta - \varphi) + ir \sin(\theta - \varphi)]} - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{2a [a - r \cos(\theta - \varphi)] - [a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2]}{a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2} = \frac{a^2 - r^2}{a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (140) is done. □

By Lemma 1.51 we conclude the important formula:

$$\begin{aligned} u(r, \theta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} h(\varphi) d\varphi + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \underbrace{\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos n(\theta - \varphi)} h(\varphi) d\varphi \right] \quad (\text{def. on } (r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]) \end{aligned} \quad (142)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \underbrace{\left(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos n(\theta - \varphi)\right)} h(\varphi) d\varphi \quad (\text{def. on } (r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]) \quad (143)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \underbrace{\frac{a^2 - r^2}{a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2}} \cdot h(\varphi) d\varphi \quad (\text{def. on } (r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]). \quad (144)$$

**Definition 1.52** The identity in (144) is called the **Poisson Integral Formula on the disc**  $B_a(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Remark 1.53 (Important.)** Since the function  $\underbrace{\cdot}$  in (144) is **harmonic** on  $(r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]$ , the function  $u(r, \theta)$  in (144) will also be **harmonic** on  $(r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]$  (one can differentiate under the integral sign).

Note that the interchange of the summation  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and the integral  $\int_0^{2\pi}$  in (143) is valid only for  $r \in [0, a)$ , **not** including  $r = a$ . In case  $h(\theta)$  is a  $C^1$  **function** on  $\partial B_a(0)$ , then the series in (142) **converges** at  $r = a$  and the total sum in (142) is equal to  $h(\theta)$  for all  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$  (see Lemma 1.48). Also, we cannot let  $r = a$  in the identity (143) since the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos n(\theta - \varphi)$  diverges for any  $\theta, \varphi$ .

Finally, we note that the integral in (144) is defined only for  $(r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]$ , and as  $(r, \theta) \rightarrow (a, \theta_0)$  we have the limit

$$\lim_{(r, \theta) \rightarrow (a, \theta_0)} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{a^2 - r^2}{a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2} \cdot h(\varphi) d\varphi \right) \quad (145)$$

$$= \lim_{(r, \theta) \rightarrow (a, \theta_0)} \left( \frac{a^2 - r^2}{2\pi} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{h(\varphi)}{a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2} d\varphi \right) \quad (0 \cdot \infty \text{ form}) = h(\theta_0) \quad (146)$$

as long as  $h(\theta)$  is a **continuous function** on  $\partial B_a(0)$ . We will prove the limit in (146) in Theorem 1.56 below.

**Lemma 1.54** (*Geometric way to express the function in (140).*) *We have*

$$\frac{a^2 - r^2}{a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2} = \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2}, \quad (r, \theta, \varphi) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi]. \quad (147)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) \in B_a(0)$ ,  $\mathbf{z} = (a \cos \varphi, a \sin \varphi) \in \partial B_a(0)$ . For each fixed  $\mathbf{z} \in \partial B_a(0)$ , the function

$$\frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in B_a(0) \quad (148)$$

is **harmonic** in  $\mathbf{x} \in B_a(0)$ .

**Remark 1.55** For each fixed  $\mathbf{z} = (x_0, y_0) \in \partial B_a(0)$ , one can give a direct proof that the function in (148), given by

$$\frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} = \frac{a^2 - (x^2 + y^2)}{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x, y) \in B_a(0), \quad (149)$$

is **harmonic** in  $\mathbf{x} \in B_a(0)$ . This will be a homework problem for you.

**Proof.** This is a simple verification. We have  $|\mathbf{x}|^2 = r^2$  and

$$|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2 = (r \cos \theta - a \cos \varphi)^2 + (r \sin \theta - a \sin \varphi)^2 = a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2.$$

The proof is done. □

By (147), one can also write  $u(r, \theta)$  in a more **geometric way** as

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\pi a} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{h(\varphi)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} a d\varphi = \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z}|=a} \frac{h(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds, \quad \mathbf{x} \in B_a(0), \quad (150)$$

where

$$\mathbf{x} = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) \in B_a(0), \quad \mathbf{z} = (a \cos \varphi, a \sin \varphi) \in \partial B_a(0), \quad (151)$$

and the integral on the right hand side of (150) is the **line integral with respect to arc length parameter  $s$  on  $\partial B_a(0)$** , where we know that  $ds = a d\varphi$ .

The Poisson Integral Formula (144) is motivated by the fact that  $h \in C^1$  (so that we can apply the Fourier series theory). However, to solve the Dirichlet problem (115), it suffices to assume that  $h \in C^0$  (i.e.  $h$  is a continuous function).

Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 1.56** Let  $h$  be a **continuous** function on  $\partial B_a(0)$  and let

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z}|=a} \frac{h(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x, y) \in B_a(0), \quad |\mathbf{x}| < a. \quad (152)$$

Then  $u(\mathbf{x}) = u(x, y)$  is **harmonic** in  $B_a(0)$  and for each fixed  $\mathbf{p} \in \partial B_a(0)$  we have the limit

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x} \in B_a(0)} u(\mathbf{x}) = h(\mathbf{p}). \quad (153)$$

## To Be Continued

**Remark 1.57 (Important.)** In terms of  $(r, \theta)$ , the limit (153) is the same as (assume  $\mathbf{p} \in \partial B_a(0)$  has angle  $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi]$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{(r, \theta) \rightarrow (a, \theta_0)} u(r, \theta) \\ &= \lim_{(r, \theta) \rightarrow (a, \theta_0)} \left( \frac{a^2 - r^2}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{h(\varphi)}{a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2} d\varphi \right) = h(\theta_0). \end{aligned} \quad (154)$$

**Remark 1.58 (Important.)** Note that the integral

$$\int_{|\mathbf{z}|=a} \frac{h(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds$$

diverges if  $\mathbf{x} = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) \in \partial B_a(0)$  (i.e. at  $r = a$ ). In such a case we have

$$\int_{|\mathbf{z}|=a} \frac{h(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{h(\varphi)}{2a^2 - 2a^2 \cos(\theta - \varphi)} ad\varphi = \frac{1}{2a} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{h(\varphi)}{1 - \cos(\theta - \varphi)} d\varphi$$

and we know that the improper integral  $\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{1 - \cos(\theta - \varphi)} d\varphi$  diverges (when  $\varphi$  is near  $\theta$ ,  $1 - \cos(\theta - \varphi)$  is like  $(\varphi - \theta)^2/2$ ). However, as  $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{p} \in \partial B_a(0)$ , the term  $(a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2)/2\pi a$  will tend to zero. As a result of balance, we will get the limit (153).

**Proof.** In the integral (152), we have  $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}| \neq 0$  for each  $\mathbf{x} \in B_a(0)$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in \partial B_a(0)$ . Thus the integral is a **regular** integral (not an improper integral) and one can differentiate (with respect to  $x$  or  $y$ ) under the integral sign. Thus  $u(\mathbf{x}) = u(x, y)$  is **harmonic** in  $B_a(0)$ .

Next we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z}|=a} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds \\ &= \frac{a^2 - r^2}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2} d\varphi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos n(\theta - \varphi) \right) d\varphi = 1, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in B_a(0), \end{aligned} \quad (155)$$

where in the above we have used the identity

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left( 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos n(\theta - \varphi) \right) d\varphi = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \int_0^{2\pi} \cos n(\theta - \varphi) d\varphi \right) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 0 = 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & |u(\mathbf{x}) - h(\mathbf{p})| \\ &= \left| \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z}|=a} \frac{h(\mathbf{z}) - h(\mathbf{p})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds \right| \leq \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z}|=a} \frac{|h(\mathbf{z}) - h(\mathbf{p})|}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h$  is continuous at  $\mathbf{p}$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a small arc  $C(\mathbf{p}) \subset \partial B_a(0)$  centered at  $\mathbf{p}$  with length  $2\delta$  such that

$$|h(\mathbf{z}) - h(\mathbf{p})| < \varepsilon \quad \text{if} \quad \mathbf{z} \in C(\mathbf{p}).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |u(\mathbf{x}) - h(\mathbf{p})| &\leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{\mathbf{z} \in C(\mathbf{p})} \frac{|h(\mathbf{z}) - h(\mathbf{p})|}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds \\ &+ \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{\mathbf{z} \in \partial B_a(0) \setminus C(\mathbf{p})} \frac{|h(\mathbf{z}) - h(\mathbf{p})|}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds \end{aligned} \right. \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \underbrace{\frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{\mathbf{z} \in \partial B_a(0) \setminus C(\mathbf{p})} \frac{|h(\mathbf{z}) - h(\mathbf{p})|}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds}_{\text{}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if  $\mathbf{x} \in B_a(0)$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  is close to  $\mathbf{p} \in \partial B_a(0)$  with  $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}| < \delta/2$ , then by the triangle inequality

$$|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{p}| \leq |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}| + |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}|,$$

we will have for  $\mathbf{z} \in \partial B_a(0) \setminus C(\mathbf{p})$  the estimate

$$|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}| \geq |\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{p}| - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}| \geq \delta - \frac{\delta}{2} = \frac{\delta}{2}. \quad (156)$$

Hence for  $\mathbf{x}$  close to  $\mathbf{p} \in \partial B_a(0)$  with  $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}| < \delta/2$ , we have the estimate

$$\int_{\mathbf{z} \in \partial B_a(0) \setminus C(\mathbf{p})} \frac{|h(\mathbf{z}) - h(\mathbf{p})|}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds \leq \int_{\mathbf{z} \in \partial B_a(0) \setminus C(\mathbf{p})} \frac{|h(\mathbf{x}) - h(\mathbf{p})|}{\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)^2} ds \leq \frac{2M}{\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)^2} \cdot 2\pi a$$

where  $M = \sup_{\mathbf{z} \in \partial B_a(0)} |h(\mathbf{z})|$ . Hence

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x} \in B_a(0)} |u(\mathbf{x}) - h(\mathbf{p})| \leq \varepsilon + \lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x} \in B_a(0)} \left( \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\pi a} \cdot \frac{2M}{\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)^2} \cdot 2\pi a \right) = \varepsilon$$

and since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we obtain  $\lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x} \in B_a(0)} |u(\mathbf{x}) - h(\mathbf{p})| = 0$ . The proof is done.  $\square$

We can summarize the following:

**Theorem 1.59** (*Solution of the Dirichlet problem (115) when  $h$  is continuous on  $\partial B_a(0)$ .)*  
Consider the Dirichlet problem for the Laplace equation on  $B_a(0)$ :

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u(x, y) = 0 & \text{in } (x, y) \in B_a(0) \\ u(x, y) = h(x, y) & \text{on } (x, y) \in \partial B_a(0) \text{ (the boundary of } B_a(0) \text{),} \end{cases} \quad (157)$$

where  $h(x, y)$  is a given **continuous** function defined on  $\partial B_a(0)$ . The solution in the space

$$C^2(B_a(0)) \cap C^0(\bar{B}_a(0))$$

is **unique** and is given by

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z}|=a} \frac{h(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds, & \mathbf{x} \in B_a(0) \\ h(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \partial B_a(0). \end{cases} \quad (158)$$

**Remark 1.60** (*Important observation.*) The representation formula (158) says that the values of  $u$  at interior points  $\mathbf{x} \in B_a(0)$  is completely determined by its boundary data  $h$ . This matches with the maximum/minimum principle.

**Remark 1.61** In terms of the polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ , the function  $u(\mathbf{x})$  in (158) can be written as (note that  $ds = ad\varphi$ )

$$u(r, \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{a^2 - r^2}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{h(\varphi)}{a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2} d\varphi, & (r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi] \\ h(\theta), & r = a, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi]. \end{cases} \quad (159)$$

### 1.10 The case when $h$ is a $C^1$ function on $\partial B_a(0)$ .

In case  $h$  is a  $C^1$  function on  $\partial B_a(0)$  in the Dirichlet problem (115), then  $h(\theta)$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic  $C^1$  function defined on  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$  with Fourier series expansion

$$h(\theta) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos n\theta + b_n \sin n\theta), \quad \forall \theta \in [0, 2\pi], \quad (160)$$

where the coefficients  $a_n, b_n$  are given by (124). In this situation, we have:

**Theorem 1.62** (*Solution of the Dirichlet problem (115) when  $h$  is  $C^1$  on  $\partial B_a(0)$ .)* Assume  $h(\theta)$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic  $C^1$  function defined on  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . The solution of the Dirichlet problem (115) in the function space  $C^2(B_a(0)) \cap C^0(\bar{B}_a(0))$  can also be expressed as

$$u(r, \theta) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ a_n \cdot \underbrace{\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos n\theta}_{\cos n\theta} + b_n \cdot \underbrace{\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \sin n\theta}_{\sin n\theta} \right], \quad (r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi] \quad (161)$$

where  $a_n, b_n$  are the **Fourier series coefficients** of  $h(\theta)$  on  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ .

**Remark 1.63** (*Important.*) Note that the series in (161) is defined at  $r = a$  with sum equal to  $h(\theta)$  for all  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Since we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0,$$

which, together with the **uniform convergence** of the series (132) on  $(r, \theta, \varphi) \in [0, a - \varepsilon] \times \dots$  for any small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we see that the function  $u(r, \theta)$  defined by the series (161) is continuous on  $(r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]$ , and  $u(a, \theta) = h(\theta)$  for all  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . However, **it is not clear whether  $u(r, \theta)$  is continuous up to  $r = a$  or not.** Therefore, we know that  $u(r, \theta)$  is harmonic on  $[0, a) \times [0, 2\pi]$  and  $u(a, \theta) = h(\theta)$  for all  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ , but **we do not know** if we have

$$\lim_{(r, \theta) \rightarrow (a, \theta_0)} u(r, \theta) = u(a, \theta_0).$$

**Proof.** We already know that the solution  $u(r, \theta)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u(r, \theta) &= \frac{a^2 - r^2}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{h(\varphi)}{a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2} d\varphi, \quad (r, \theta) \in [0, a) \times [0, 2\pi] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n \cos n(\theta - \varphi) \right) h(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n (\cos n\theta \cos n\varphi + \sin n\theta \sin n\varphi) \right) h(\varphi) d\varphi \end{aligned} \quad (162)$$

and we can change the order of  $\int_0^{2\pi} (*) d\varphi$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (*)$  on  $(r, \theta) \in [0, a] \times [0, 2\pi]$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} u(r, \theta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\varphi) d\varphi + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\varphi) (\cos n\varphi) d\varphi \right) \underbrace{\left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^n \cos n\theta}_{\text{}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\varphi) (\sin n\varphi) d\varphi \right) \underbrace{\left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^n \sin n\theta}_{\text{}} \right] \\ &= \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ a_n \cdot \underbrace{\left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^n \cos n\theta}_{\text{}} + b_n \cdot \underbrace{\left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^n \sin n\theta}_{\text{}} \right], \quad (r, \theta) \in [0, a] \times [0, 2\pi]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function

$$\frac{a^2 - r^2}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{h(\varphi)}{a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2} d\varphi$$

is continuous up to  $\partial B_a(0)$  with  $\lim_{(r, \theta) \rightarrow (a, \theta_0)} (*) = h(\theta_0)$  for all  $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi]$ , the series (161) must be also continuous up to  $\partial B_a(0)$ , i.e. it lies in the function space  $C^2(B_a(0)) \cap C^0(\bar{B}_a(0))$ . The proof is done.  $\square$

## 1.11 Representation formula for harmonic functions on a disc.

An important consequence of Theorem 1.59 is the following:

**Theorem 1.64** (*Representation formula for harmonic functions on the disc  $B_a(0)$ .*) *Assume*

$$u(\mathbf{x}) \in C^2(B_a(0)) \cap C^0(\bar{B}_a(0))$$

*is a harmonic function on  $B_a(0)$  and is continuous up to the boundary  $\partial B_a(0)$ . Then on  $B_a(0)$  we have the identity*

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z}|=a} \frac{u(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in B_a(0), \quad (163)$$

*which is the same as*

$$u(r, \theta) = \frac{a^2 - r^2}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{u(\varphi)}{a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \varphi) + r^2} d\varphi, \quad (r, \theta) \in [0, a] \times [0, 2\pi], \quad (164)$$

*where  $u(\varphi) = u(a \cos \varphi, a \sin \varphi)$ ,  $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$ .*

**Remark 1.65** *See Theorem 1.72 below for a similar result when  $u(\mathbf{x})$  satisfying  $\Delta u(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  on  $B_a(0)$  (or  $\Delta u(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$  on  $B_a(0)$ ).*

**Remark 1.66** (*Important.*) *On the disc  $B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)$  centered at some  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , the identity (163) becomes*

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_0|=a} \frac{u(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in B_a(\mathbf{x}_0). \quad (165)$$

*To see this, let  $v(\mathbf{x}) = u(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}_0)$ , then  $v$  is harmonic on  $B_a(0)$  if and only if  $u$  is harmonic on  $B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)$ . For  $v$ , we have*

$$v(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z}|=a} \frac{v(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in B_a(0), \quad (166)$$

and back to  $u$  we have

$$u(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{a^2 - |(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}_0) - \mathbf{x}_0|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|z|=a} \frac{u(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{x}_0)}{|(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}_0) - (\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{x}_0)|^2} ds, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in B_a(0). \quad (167)$$

If we let  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}_0 \in B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{x}_0 \in \partial B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)$ , then the above is the same as

$$u(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_0|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\tilde{z}-\mathbf{x}_0|=a} \frac{u(\tilde{\mathbf{z}})}{|\mathbf{y} - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}|^2} ds, \quad \forall \mathbf{y} \in B_a(\mathbf{x}_0), \quad (168)$$

which gives (165).

## 1.12 Mean value formula for harmonic functions.

**Theorem 1.67 (Mean value formula; line integral version.)** Assume  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  is **harmonic** on some open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , then for any open disc  $B_a(\mathbf{x}_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ ,  $a > 0$ , we have the identity

$$u(\mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi a} \int_{|z-\mathbf{x}_0|=a} u(\mathbf{z}) ds \quad (\text{line integral on the circle } \partial B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)), \quad (169)$$

i.e. the value of  $u$  at the **center**  $\mathbf{x}_0$  of the disc  $B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)$  is equal to its average on the circumference  $|z - \mathbf{x}_0| = a$ . The integral in (169) is the line integral with respect to arc length parameter  $s$  on  $\partial B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)$ ,  $ds = a d\varphi$ ,  $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$ .

**Remark 1.68** For harmonic functions  $u \in C^2(B_a(0)) \cap C^0(\bar{B}_a(0))$  defined on an open disc  $B_a(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  for arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is also a mean value formula.

**Proof.** On the disc  $B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)$ , by the representation formula (165), we have

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|z-\mathbf{x}_0|=a} \frac{u(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} u(\mathbf{x}_0) &= \frac{a^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|z-\mathbf{x}_0|=a} \frac{u(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds \\ &= \frac{a^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|z-\mathbf{x}_0|=a} \frac{u(\mathbf{z})}{a^2} ds = \frac{1}{2\pi a} \int_{|z-\mathbf{x}_0|=a} u(\mathbf{z}) ds. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is done. □

**Theorem 1.69 (Mean value formula; double integral version.)** Assume  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  is harmonic on some open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , then for any open disc  $B_a(\mathbf{x}_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ ,  $a > 0$ , we have the identity

$$u(\mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{1}{\pi a^2} \iint_{B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)} u(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \quad (\text{double integral on the disc } B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)). \quad (170)$$

**Proof.** By (169), we have

$$ru(\mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z-\mathbf{x}_0|=r} u(\mathbf{z}) ds = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(\mathbf{x}_0 + r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)) \cdot r d\theta$$

for any  $B_r(\mathbf{x}_0) \subset B_a(\mathbf{x}_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ ,  $r \in [0, a]$ , and if we integrate with respect to the radius  $r$  from 0 to  $a$ , we get

$$\int_0^a ru(\mathbf{x}_0) dr = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^a \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} u(\mathbf{x}_0 + r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)) \cdot r d\theta \right] dr$$

and so

$$\frac{a^2}{2}u(\mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \underbrace{\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^a u(\mathbf{x}_0 + r(\cos\theta, \sin\theta)) \cdot r dr d\theta}_{\text{double integral in the plane}},$$

which, by the change of variables formula for double integral in the plane, we have

$$u(\mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{1}{\pi a^2} \underbrace{\iint_{B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)} u(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}}_{\text{double integral in the plane}}.$$

The proof is done. □

### 1.13 Mean value inequality for subharmonic and superharmonic functions.

We first note that the "name" for subharmonic and superharmonic functions are due to the following properties:

**Lemma 1.70** *Assume  $\Omega$  is a **bounded domain** and assume  $u, v \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$  with*

$$(1). \Delta u \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (2). \Delta v = 0 \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (3). u \leq v \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (171)$$

*then  $u \leq v$  in  $\Omega$  (this is why we call  $u$  a **subharmonic** function). Similarly, if we have*

$$(1). \Delta u \leq 0 \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (2). \Delta v = 0 \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (3). u \geq v \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (172)$$

*then  $u \geq v$  in  $\Omega$  (this is why we call  $u$  a **superharmonic** function).*

**Remark 1.71** *Draw an one-dimensional picture for this.*

**Proof.** This is a consequence of the weak maximum/minimum principle. We prove the first case. Let  $w = u - v$ . It satisfies

$$\Delta w \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad w \leq 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Therefore, by the weak maximum principle, we have  $\max_{\bar{\Omega}} w = \max_{\partial\Omega} w \leq 0$ , which implies that  $w = u - v \leq 0$  in  $\Omega$ . For the second case, let  $w = u - v$  and apply the weak minimum principle. □

**Theorem 1.72 (Poisson integral inequality for subharmonic and superharmonic functions.)** *Let  $B_a(0)$  be the open disc in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  centered at the point  $0 = (0, 0)$  with radius  $a > 0$ . Assume  $u \in C^2(B_a(0)) \cap C^0(\bar{B}_a(0))$  and satisfies  $\Delta u(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  on  $B_a(0)$  (i.e. it is subharmonic on  $B_a(0)$ ). Then we have*

$$u(\mathbf{x}) \leq \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z}|=a} \frac{u(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in B_a(0). \quad (173)$$

*Similarly, if  $u(\mathbf{x})$  satisfies  $\Delta u(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$  on  $B_a(0)$ , then we have*

$$u(\mathbf{x}) \geq \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z}|=a} \frac{u(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in B_a(0). \quad (174)$$

**Remark 1.73** *In case the disc is  $B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)$ , then (173) and (174) will become*

$$u(\mathbf{x}) \leq \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_0|=a} \frac{u(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in B_a(\mathbf{x}_0) \quad (175)$$

and

$$u(\mathbf{x}) \geq \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_0|=a} \frac{u(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in B_a(\mathbf{x}_0). \quad (176)$$

**Proof.** This is a consequence of Lemma 1.70. Let

$$v(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z}|=a} \frac{u(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds, & \mathbf{x} \in B_a(0) \\ u(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \partial B_a(0). \end{cases}$$

We know that  $v(\mathbf{x}) \in C^2(B_a(0)) \cap C^0(\bar{B}_a(0))$  is **harmonic** in  $B_a(0)$  with  $v(\mathbf{x}) = u(\mathbf{x})$  on  $\partial B_a(0)$ . If we have  $\Delta u(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  on  $B_a(0)$ , then Lemma 1.70 implies  $u(\mathbf{x}) \leq v(\mathbf{x})$  in  $\Omega$ , which gives (173). The proof of (174) is similar.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.74 (Mean value inequality for subharmonic and superharmonic functions.)**  
For any open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , if  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  is **subharmonic** on  $\Omega$  (i.e.  $\Delta u \geq 0$  on  $\Omega$ ), then for any open disc  $B_a(\mathbf{x}_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ ,  $a > 0$ , we have the following **mean value inequality**

$$u(\mathbf{x}_0) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{x}_0|=a} u(\mathbf{z}) ds \quad (\text{line integral on the circle } \partial B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)) \quad (177)$$

and

$$u(\mathbf{x}_0) \leq \frac{1}{\pi a^2} \iint_{B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)} u(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \quad (\text{double integral on the disc } B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)). \quad (178)$$

Similarly, if  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  is **superharmonic** on  $\Omega$  (i.e.  $\Delta u \leq 0$  on  $\Omega$ ), then we have (177) and (178) with " $\leq$ " replaced by " $\geq$ ".

**Proof.** Assume  $u$  is **subharmonic**. For any open disc  $B_a(\mathbf{x}_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ , by (175) (evaluated at  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0$ ), we have

$$u(\mathbf{x}_0) \leq \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}_0|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{x}_0|=a} \frac{u(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds = \frac{1}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{x}_0|=a} u(\mathbf{z}) ds,$$

which proves (177).

For (178), we note that the inequality (177) is valid for any radius  $r > 0$  as long as  $B_r(\mathbf{x}_0) \subset B_a(\mathbf{x}_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ . Hence we have

$$ru(\mathbf{x}_0) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{x}_0|=r} u(\mathbf{z}) ds = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(\mathbf{x}_0 + r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)) \cdot r d\theta, \quad \forall r \in [0, a]$$

and if we integrate with respect to the radius  $r$  from 0 to  $a$ , we get

$$\int_0^a ru(\mathbf{x}_0) dr \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^a \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} u(\mathbf{x}_0 + r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)) \cdot r d\theta \right] dr$$

and so

$$\frac{a^2}{2} u(\mathbf{x}_0) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^a u(\mathbf{x}_0 + r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)) \cdot r dr d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)} u(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x},$$

where the last identity in the above is due to **the change of variables formula for double integrals in the plane**. The proof is done for the subharmonic case. The proof for the superharmonic case is similar.  $\square$

## 1.14 The strong maximum/minimum principle for harmonic functions on the disc $B_a(0)$ .

**Theorem 1.75** (*Strong maximum/minimum principle for harmonic functions on the disc  $B_a(0)$ .*) Assume that  $u(\mathbf{x}) \in C^2(B_a(0)) \cap C^0(\bar{B}_a(0))$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , is harmonic on  $B_a(0)$ . Let  $M = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \bar{B}_a(0)} u(\mathbf{x})$  and  $m = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \bar{B}_a(0)} u(\mathbf{x})$ . If there exists  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in B_a(0)$  ( $\mathbf{x}_0$  is an interior point of  $\bar{B}_a(0)$ ) such that  $u(\mathbf{x}_0) = M$  (or  $u(\mathbf{x}_0) = m$ ), then  $u$  must be a **constant function** on  $\bar{B}_a(0)$ .

**Remark 1.76** Note that the strong maximum/minimum principle will imply the weak maximum/minimum principle.

**Proof.** By the **Poisson Integral Formula**, we have

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z}|=a} \frac{u(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} = (x, y) \in B_a(0)$$

where  $u(\mathbf{z}) \leq M$  for all  $\mathbf{z} \in \partial B_a(0)$ . By the identity (see (155) also)

$$0 = u(\mathbf{x}_0) - M = \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x}_0|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z}|=a} \frac{u(\mathbf{z}) - M}{|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds, \quad \text{where } a^2 - |\mathbf{x}_0|^2 > 0, \quad (179)$$

we must have

$$\int_{|\mathbf{z}|=a} \frac{u(\mathbf{z}) - M}{|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds = 0, \quad (180)$$

where we also note that  $u(\mathbf{z}) - M \leq 0$  for all  $\mathbf{z} \in \partial B_a(0)$  due to  $M = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \bar{B}_a(0)} u(\mathbf{x})$ . Therefore we must have  $u(\mathbf{z}) \equiv M$  on  $\partial B_a(0)$ . Hence  $u(\mathbf{x})$  is harmonic in  $B_a(0)$  and has constant value on  $\partial B_a(0)$ . By the **weak maximum principle**,  $u(\mathbf{x})$  must be a constant function on  $\bar{B}_a(0)$  with  $u(\mathbf{x}) \equiv M$ . Similar result holds for the case  $u(\mathbf{x}_0) = m$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.77** Draw a one-dimensional picture for the above theorem.

## 1.15 Gradient estimate and Liouville theorem for harmonic functions.

**Theorem 1.78** (*Derivatives estimate of harmonic functions.*) Assume  $u(x, y) \in C^2(\Omega)$  is harmonic on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \right| \left( \text{or } \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right| \right) \leq \frac{2}{a} \max_{\partial B_a(x_0, y_0)} |u| \quad (181)$$

as long as  $B_a(x_0, y_0) \subset \subset \Omega$ .

**Proof.** First note that  $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$  (note that a  $C^2$  harmonic function is automatically a  $C^\infty$  function; we can see this from Theorem 1.85 below). In particular, the function  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$  (or  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$ ) is also a **harmonic function** on  $\Omega$ . Hence by the mean value formula we have

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{1}{\pi a^2} \underbrace{\iint_{B_a(x_0, y_0)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y) dx dy}_{(182)}$$

where by the **divergence theorem**, we know

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{\iint_{B_a(x_0, y_0)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y) dx dy}_{(183)} &= \iint_{B_a(x_0, y_0)} \operatorname{div}(u(x, y), 0) dx dy \\ &= \int_{\partial B_a(x_0, y_0)} \langle (u(x, y), 0), \mathbf{N}_{out}(x, y) \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \right| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{\pi a^2} \int_{\partial B_a(x_0, y_0)} \langle (u(x, y), 0), \mathbf{N}_{out}(x, y) \rangle ds \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi a^2} \int_{\partial B_a(x_0, y_0)} \underbrace{|\langle (u(x, y), 0), \mathbf{N}_{out}(x, y) \rangle|}_{\leq |u(x, y)|} ds \\
&\leq \frac{2\pi a}{\pi a^2} \underbrace{\max_{\partial B_a(x_0, y_0)} |u(x, y)|}_{\leq \frac{2}{a} \max_{\partial B_a(x_0, y_0)} |u|} = \frac{2}{a} \max_{\partial B_a(x_0, y_0)} |u|. \tag{184}
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is done.  $\square$

We also have:

**Corollary 1.79** (*Derivatives estimate of nonnegative harmonic functions.*) Assume  $u(x, y) \in C^2(\Omega)$  is harmonic on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $u \geq 0$  everywhere in  $\Omega$ . Then we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \right| \left( \text{or } \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right| \right) \leq \frac{2}{a} u(x_0, y_0) \tag{185}$$

as long as  $B_a(x_0, y_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ .

**Remark 1.80** Note that estimate (185) is better than (181) when  $u \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

**Proof.** By (182) and (183), we have

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{1}{\pi a^2} \int_{\partial B_a(x_0, y_0)} \langle (u(x, y), 0), \mathbf{N}_{out}(x, y) \rangle ds$$

and so

$$\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi a^2} \int_{\partial B_a(x_0, y_0)} |\langle (u(x, y), 0), \mathbf{N}_{out}(x, y) \rangle| ds.$$

Since  $u \geq 0$  everywhere in  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\underbrace{|\langle (u(x, y), 0), \mathbf{N}_{out}(x, y) \rangle|}_{\leq |u(x, y)|} \leq |u(x, y)| = u(x, y), \quad \forall (x, y) \in \partial B_a(x_0, y_0).$$

By this, we get (we use mean value property again)

$$\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi a^2} \int_{\partial B_a(x_0, y_0)} u(x, y) ds = \frac{2\pi a}{\pi a^2} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi a} \int_{\partial B_a(x_0, y_0)} u(x, y) ds \right) = \frac{2}{a} u(x_0, y_0).$$

The proof is done.  $\square$

**Example 1.81** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a domain. Assume  $u(x, y) \in C^2(\Omega)$  is harmonic on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $u \geq -13$  everywhere in  $\Omega$ . Assume  $B_a(x_0, y_0) \subset\subset \Omega$  and  $u(x_0, y_0) = -5$  (here  $B_a(x_0, y_0)$  is an open disc in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  centered at  $(x_0, y_0)$  with radius  $a > 0$ ). Then we have the following derivatives estimate:

$$\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \right| \left( \text{or } \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right| \right) \leq \underline{\hspace{2cm}}.$$

**Solution:**

The function  $v(x, y) = u(x, y) + 13$  is harmonic on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $v \geq 0$  everywhere in  $\Omega$ . Hence we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \right| = \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \right| \leq \frac{2}{a} v(x_0, y_0) = \frac{2}{a} (u(x_0, y_0) + 13) = \frac{2}{a} (-5 + 13) = \frac{16}{a}.$$

The proof is done.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.82** (*Liouville theorem of harmonic functions on entire space.*) If  $u$  is harmonic on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and is **bounded either above or below** on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , then  $u$  must be a constant function.

**Remark 1.83** Note that here  $u(x, y)$  is defined on the **whole space**  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . This is essential.

**Remark 1.84** The same property holds if  $u$  is harmonic on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , and is **bounded either above or below** on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, assume  $u$  is bounded below (if  $u$  is bounded above, we can look at  $-u$ ). By adding a large constant if necessary, we may assume  $u \geq 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Apply (185) to  $u(x, y)$  with  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $a \rightarrow \infty$ , to get

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \equiv 0, \quad \forall (x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

As the point  $(x_0, y_0)$  is arbitrary, we are done.  $\square$

## 1.16 $C^2$ harmonic functions are automatically $C^\infty$ functions.

**Theorem 1.85** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be any open set (may not be bounded) and  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  is harmonic on  $\Omega$ . Then we must have  $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ .

**Proof.** For any  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \Omega$  one can find a small open disc  $B_a(\mathbf{x}_0) \subset\subset \Omega$  and we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned} u(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0|^2}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_0| = a} \frac{u(\mathbf{z})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_0| = a} \underbrace{\frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0|^2}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2}} u(\mathbf{z}) ds, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in B_a(\mathbf{x}_0) \end{aligned} \quad (186)$$

Note that, for  $\mathbf{z} \in \partial B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)$ , the function  $(a^2 - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0|^2) / |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2$  is a  $C^\infty$  function of  $\mathbf{x} \in B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)$  and at any fixed  $\mathbf{x}_* \in B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)$  the line integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_0| = a} \left( \underbrace{\frac{\partial^{m+n}}{\partial x^m \partial y^n} \bigg|_{\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_*} \left( \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0|^2}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} \right)} \right) u(\mathbf{z}) ds, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

still converges, by standard theorem in Advanced Calculus (see any textbook), the function  $u(\mathbf{x})$  is a  $C^\infty$  function of  $\mathbf{x} \in B_a(\mathbf{x}_0)$  and one can differentiate under the integral sign, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial^{m+n}}{\partial x^m \partial y^n} \bigg|_{\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_*} u(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi a} \int_{|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}_0| = a} \left( \underbrace{\frac{\partial^{m+n}}{\partial x^m \partial y^n} \bigg|_{\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_*} \left( \frac{a^2 - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0|^2}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|^2} \right)} \right) u(\mathbf{z}) ds, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is done.  $\square$

## 1.17 The strong maximum/minimum principle for harmonic, subharmonic, and superharmonic functions on bounded domains.

Recall that we already have the weak maximum/minimum principle for harmonic, subharmonic, and superharmonic functions on bounded domains; see Lemma 1.36. Now we can use **mean value inequality** to prove the following **strong** maximum/minimum principle :

**Theorem 1.86** (*Strong maximum/minimum principle for harmonic, subharmonic, and superharmonic functions on bounded domains.*) Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a **bounded domain** (open and connected) in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ . Assume  $u$  is **subharmonic** on  $\Omega$  (i.e.  $\Delta u \geq 0$  on  $\Omega$ ) and there exists a point  $\mathbf{p} \in \Omega$  such that

$$u(\mathbf{p}) = \max_{\bar{\Omega}} u, \quad (187)$$

then  $u$  must be a **constant function** on  $\Omega$ . Similarly, if  $u$  is **superharmonic** on  $\Omega$  (i.e.  $\Delta u \leq 0$  on  $\Omega$ ) and there exists a point  $\mathbf{p} \in \Omega$  such that

$$u(\mathbf{p}) = \min_{\bar{\Omega}} u, \quad (188)$$

then  $u$  must be a **constant function** on  $\Omega$ . In particular, if  $u$  is **harmonic** on  $\Omega$  and there exists a point  $\mathbf{p} \in \Omega$  such that  $u(\mathbf{p}) = \max_{\bar{\Omega}} u$  or  $u(\mathbf{p}) = \min_{\bar{\Omega}} u$ , then  $u$  must be a **constant function** on  $\Omega$ .

**Proof.** Assume  $u$  is **subharmonic** on  $\Omega$  and we have  $u(\mathbf{p}) = \max_{\bar{\Omega}} u$  (call this value  $M$ ) for some  $\mathbf{p} \in \Omega$ . Since  $\Omega$  is an open set, one can find an open disc  $B_a(\mathbf{p}) \subset \subset \Omega$  for some  $a > 0$ . By the mean value inequality, we have

$$0 = u(\mathbf{p}) - M \leq \frac{1}{\pi a^2} \iint_{B_a(\mathbf{p})} (u(\mathbf{x}) - M) d\mathbf{x} \leq 0, \quad \text{where } u(\mathbf{x}) - M \leq 0 \text{ on } B_a(\mathbf{p}), \quad (189)$$

which implies  $u(\mathbf{x}) \equiv M$  on  $B_a(\mathbf{p})$ . Therefore, the nonempty set

$$D = \{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega : u(\mathbf{x}) = M\} \subset \Omega, \quad \mathbf{p} \in D$$

is **open** in  $\Omega$ . We claim that  $D \subset \Omega$  is also **closed** in  $\Omega$  (in topology, this means that any limit point of the set  $D$  in  $\Omega$  must lie in  $D$ ). Let  $\mathbf{q}_n \in D$  be a sequence in  $D$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{q}_n = \mathbf{q}_* \in \Omega$  (i.e. the sequence  $q_n \in D$  has a limit point  $\mathbf{q}_* \in \Omega$ ). We claim that  $\mathbf{q}_* \in D$ . To see this, since  $u \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ , we have

$$M = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(\mathbf{q}_n) = u\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{q}_n\right) = u(\mathbf{q}_*), \quad \mathbf{q}_* \in \Omega,$$

which implies that  $\mathbf{q}_* \in D$ . Therefore, by definition, this means that  $D \subset \Omega$  is also **closed** in  $\Omega$ . As  $\Omega$  is **connected**, the only set which is **both open and closed** in  $\Omega$  is either the empty set  $\emptyset$  or the whole set  $\Omega$ . Since  $D$  is not empty (because  $\mathbf{p} \in D$ ), we must have  $D = \Omega$ . That is,  $u \equiv M$  on all of  $\Omega$ .

The proof for the **superharmonic** case is similar since one can apply the above argument to the subharmonic function  $-u$ . The proof is done.  $\square$

**Remark 1.87** (*Important.*) (*can put this as homework problem.*) In some cases, Theorem 1.86 is also valid on unbounded domains. For example, assume  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is a **subharmonic function** on the whole plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (unbounded domain) and there exists  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$u(\mathbf{x}_0) \geq u(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Then if we look at the function  $u(\mathbf{x})$  on the open disc  $B_R(\mathbf{x}_0)$  and let  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , we will have  $u(\mathbf{x}) \equiv u(\mathbf{x}_0)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

## 1.18 Application of the weak maximum/minimum principle.

The following result is important and easy to prove using the weak maximum/minimum principle.

**Lemma 1.88** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a **bounded domain** and  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$  satisfy*

$$\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (190)$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous function on  $\Omega$  and  $\partial\Omega$  respectively. Let  $B_R(x_0)$  be a ball centered at **some**  $x_0 \in \Omega$  such that  $\Omega \subset B_R(x_0)$ . Then we have the estimate

$$\max_{\bar{\Omega}} |u| \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} |g| + \frac{R^2}{2n} \sup_{\Omega} |f|. \quad (191)$$

**Remark 1.89** *We will use the weak maximum/minimum principle to prove the above lemma. The weak maximum/minimum principle is actually valid on a **bounded domain**  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for **any**  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Remark 1.90** *Since we assume  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ , the function  $f \in C^0(\Omega)$  is defined only on  $\Omega$  and, in general, may not be continuous up to  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Therefore,  $\max_{\bar{\Omega}} |f|$  may not exist in general. In case  $f$  is continuous on  $\bar{\Omega}$ , we replace  $\sup_{\Omega} |f|$  in (191) by  $\max_{\bar{\Omega}} |f|$ .*

**Remark 1.91** *When  $f \equiv 0$  in (190), then  $u$  is harmonic on  $\Omega$  and we get  $\max_{\bar{\Omega}} |u| \leq \max_{\partial\Omega} |g|$  (same as  $\max_{\bar{\Omega}} |u| = \max_{\partial\Omega} |g|$ ), which coincides with previous result.*

**Remark 1.92** *To make the estimate (191) as best as possible, one may choose  $x_0 \in \Omega$  with  $R > 0$  as smallest as possible.*

**Remark 1.93 (A good example.)** *From (191) we see that the diameter  $R$  of  $\Omega$  comes into play. For example, take  $\Omega = B_a(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  to be an open disc, and solve*

$$\Delta u(x, y) = 4 \quad \text{in } B_a(0), \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B_a(0).$$

The unique solution is  $u(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - a^2$ ,  $(x, y) \in B_a(0)$ , with

$$\max_{\bar{\Omega}} |u| = |u(0, 0)| = a^2, \quad \max_{\partial\Omega} |g| + \frac{a^2}{2n} \sup_{\Omega} |f| = \frac{a^2}{4} \cdot 4 = a^2, \quad n = 2.$$

We see that **the diameter comes into play**. In this example, we actually have **equality** in (191). This is because we choose  $x_0 = 0$  to be the center of the disc  $B_a(0)$  and the radius for the ball  $B_R(x_0)$  is the smallest, i.e.  $R = a$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A = \max_{\partial\Omega} |g| \geq 0$ ,  $B = \sup_{\Omega} |f| \geq 0$ . We may assume both  $A, B < \infty$ , otherwise estimate (191) is valid automatically. Define

$$w(x) = \left[ A + \frac{R^2 - |x - x_0|^2}{2n} \cdot B \right] \pm u(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \partial\Omega, \quad (192)$$

then (note that  $R^2 - |x - x_0|^2 \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$  and  $\Delta |x - x_0|^2 = 2n$ )

$$\begin{aligned} (1). \quad & w \geq 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ (2). \quad & \Delta w = -B \pm \Delta u \leq 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega \\ (3). \quad & w \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega}). \end{aligned} \quad (193)$$

Minimum principle implies that  $w \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)| &\leq A + \frac{B}{2n} (R^2 - |x - x_0|^2) \\ &\leq A + \frac{B}{2n} R^2 = \max_{\partial\Omega} |g| + \frac{R^2}{2n} \sup_{\Omega} |f|, \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is done. □

## 1.19 Singularity of harmonic functions.

**Definition 1.94** Assume  $n \geq 2$ . We say  $u$  is a harmonic function with a **point singularity** at  $x = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  if it is defined and **harmonic** in  $B_R(x_0) \setminus \{x_0\}$  for some  $R > 0$  and there exists a sequence  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  such that  $u(x_n) \rightarrow \infty$  (or  $-\infty$ ) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Example 1.95** The function

$$u(x, y) = \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \quad (\text{or } \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}), \quad (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (194)$$

is harmonic on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  and has a **point singularity** at  $(0, 0)$ . Note that  $u(x, y) \equiv 0$  along the  $y$ -axis, but it satisfies the above definition.

Another application of the weak maximum/minimum principle is the following:

**Theorem 1.96 (Removable singularity of harmonic functions.)** Assume  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $B_R = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be the open ball centered at  $x = 0$  with radius  $R > 0$ . Suppose  $u$  is **harmonic** in  $B_R \setminus \{0\}$  and satisfies

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} o(\log|x|), & n = 2 \\ o(|x|^{2-n}), & n \geq 3 \end{cases} \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow 0, \quad (195)$$

which means that

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\max_{\partial B_r} |u|}{\log r} \right) = 0, & n = 2 \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\max_{\partial B_r} |u|}{r^{2-n}} \right) = 0, & n \geq 3, \end{cases} \quad (196)$$

then  $u$  can be defined at  $x = 0$  such that it is  $C^2$  and harmonic on  $B_R$ . That is: there exists a  $C^2$  harmonic function  $v(x)$  defined on  $B_R$  such that

$$u(x) \equiv v(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in B_R \setminus \{0\}. \quad (197)$$

**Remark 1.97 (Important.)** The above says that if a harmonic function has a "**point singularity**" at  $x = 0$  (or at any point  $x = p$ ), then the limit in (196) cannot be satisfied. In most cases, the limits in (196) will be  $\pm\infty$ . See Example 1.103 below.

### Solution:

We first look at the case  $n \geq 3$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $u$  is continuous on  $0 < |x| \leq R$ , i.e. continuous up to  $\partial B_R$  (otherwise we can look at  $u$  on  $0 < |x| \leq R - \varepsilon$  for some small  $\varepsilon > 0$ ).

Let  $v \in C^2(B_R) \cap C^0(\bar{B}_R)$  be the solution of the Dirichlet problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v = 0 & \text{in } B_R \\ v = u & \text{on } \partial B_R. \end{cases}$$

We will prove  $u = v$  on  $B_R \setminus \{0\}$ . With this,  $u$  can be defined at  $x = 0$  (just define its value at  $x = 0$  as  $v(0)$ ) such that  $u \equiv v$  on  $B_R$  and it is harmonic on the whole  $B_R$ .

Set  $w = v - u$  in  $B_R \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $M_r = \max_{\partial B_r} |w|$ , where  $0 < r < R$  is fixed. Clearly we have  $w$  is harmonic in the region  $\Omega_r = B_R \setminus \{0\} - B_r \setminus \{0\}$ . **We shall compare  $w$  with**

**the harmonic function**  $|x|^{2-n}/r^{2-n}$ . Note that  $|x|^{2-n}/r^{2-n}$  is also **harmonic** in  $\Omega_r$ . By the **maximum principle**, we have (note that  $w \equiv 0$  on  $\partial B_R$ )

$$|w(x)| \leq M_r \frac{|x|^{2-n}}{r^{2-n}} \quad \text{on } \Omega_r. \quad (198)$$

On the other hand, we have (note that  $v$  is harmonic in  $B_R$ , continuous up to  $\partial B_R$  and  $\max_{\bar{B}_R} |v| \leq \max_{\partial B_R} |v|$ )

$$M_r = \max_{\partial B_r} |v - u| \leq \underbrace{\max_{\partial B_r} |v| + \max_{\partial B_r} |u|}_{\max_{\partial B_R} |v| + \max_{\partial B_r} |u|} \leq \underbrace{\max_{\partial B_R} |v| + \max_{\partial B_r} |u|}_{\max_{\partial B_R} |u| + \max_{\partial B_r} |u|}.$$

From (198) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |w(x)| &\leq \left( \max_{\partial B_R} |u| + \max_{\partial B_r} |u| \right) \frac{|x|^{2-n}}{r^{2-n}} \\ &= \left( \frac{\max_{\partial B_R} |u|}{r^{2-n}} + \frac{\max_{\partial B_r} |u|}{r^{2-n}} \right) |x|^{2-n}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega_r = B_R \setminus \{0\} - B_r \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (199)$$

By the assumption, we know that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} (\max_{\partial B_r} |u|) / r^{2-n} = 0$ ; hence if we let  $r \rightarrow 0$  in (199) (here  $x \in \Omega_r$  is fixed), we get  $w(x) = 0$ . Since for any  $x \in B_R \setminus \{0\}$  we can find a small  $r > 0$  such that  $x \in \Omega_r$  and can apply the above argument with  $r \rightarrow 0$ , we can conclude

$$w(x) \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } B_R \setminus \{0\}.$$

The proof is done.

For  $n = 2$ , we may assume  $R < 1$  and  $u$  is continuous on  $0 < |x| \leq R$ . Now we replace (198) by

$$|w(x)| \leq M_r \frac{\log |x|}{\log r} \quad \text{on } \Omega_r, \quad (200)$$

where the harmonic function  $\log |x| / \log r$  has value 1 on  $\partial B_r$  and has **positive** value  $\log R / \log r$  on  $\partial B_R$  (note that  $w \equiv 0$  on  $\partial B_R$ ). Therefore, we conclude

$$|w(x)| \leq \left( \max_{\partial B_R} |u| + \max_{\partial B_r} |u| \right) \frac{\log |x|}{\log r}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega_r \quad (201)$$

and if we let  $r \rightarrow 0$  in the above, we get

$$w(x) \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } B_R \setminus \{0\}.$$

The proof is done. □

An interesting consequence of Theorem 1.96 is the following:

**Corollary 1.98** *Assume  $n \geq 2$  and  $u(x)$  is **harmonic** in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  and is also a **bounded function** (**bounded above and bounded below**) on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $u(x)$  must be a constant function.*

**Proof.** By (196) in Theorem 1.96,  $u(x)$  can be defined at  $x = 0$  and is **harmonic** on the whole  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Therefore it must be a constant function due to Liouville theorem. □

**Remark 1.99** *Note that in the above corollary, we **cannot** replace the condition by **either** bounded above **or** bounded below on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . For example, the function  $r^{2-n}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , is **harmonic** in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  and is **bounded below** since  $r^{2-n} > 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , however, it is not a constant function.*

**Question 1.100** *An interesting question for me is: can we find a **harmonic** function on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  which is **either** bounded above **or** bounded below on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ , but it is not a constant function ?*

**Corollary 1.101** *Assume  $n \geq 2$  and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open set and  $p_1, \dots, p_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , are finite distinct points in  $\Omega$ . If  $u \in C^2(\Omega \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}) \cap C^0(\Omega)$  and is **harmonic** on  $\Omega \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ , then  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  and is **harmonic** on  $\Omega$ . The same result holds if  $u \in C^2(\Omega \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\})$ , **harmonic** on  $\Omega \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ , and is a bounded function on  $\Omega$ .*

**Remark 1.102 (Important.)** *The above result is not correct in the case  $n = 1$ . For example, take  $u(x) = |x|$ ,  $x \in \Omega = (-\infty, \infty)$ . Note that for  $n = 1$ , the fundamental solution of the Laplace equation  $u''(x) = 0$  is given by the radial function*

$$u(x) = A|x| + B, \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

where  $A, B$  are two arbitrary constants .

**Example 1.103** *The function*

$$u(x, y) = \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \quad (\text{or } \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}), \quad (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (202)$$

is harmonic on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  and has a **point singularity** at  $(0, 0)$  (note that  $u(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\log r)$ ). Along each line  $y = mx$ ,  $m \in (-\infty, \infty)$ , we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u(x, mx) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x}{(1 + m^2)x^2} = \frac{1}{1 + m^2} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x} = \pm\infty.$$

It is **impossible** to define  $u(x, y)$  at  $(0, 0)$  so that it becomes harmonic on the whole plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . One can also see that the condition (196) cannot be satisfied, i.e.

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\max_{\partial B_r} |u|}{\log r} \right) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\max_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \left| \frac{r \cos \theta}{r^2} \right|}{\log r} \right) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{1}{r \cdot \log r} \right) = -\infty \neq 0. \quad (203)$$

Therefore, the harmonic function  $u(x, y)$  has a singularity at  $(0, 0)$  which blows up faster than the fundamental solution  $\log r$  at  $(0, 0)$ .

As a consequence of Theorem 1.96, we have the following result on the **singularity behavior of harmonic functions**:

**Theorem 1.104 (Singularity behavior of harmonic functions.)** *Assume  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose  $u$  is **harmonic** in  $B_R(0) \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and it has a **point singularity** at  $x = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, for  $n = 2$ , the limit*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\max_{\partial B_r} |u|}{\log r} \right) \quad (204)$$

either exists with value  $L \neq 0$  (for example,  $u(x) = 5 \log |x|$ ,  $L = 5$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ) or does not exist (usually, it is either  $+\infty$  or  $-\infty$ ). Similarly, for  $n \geq 3$ , the limit

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\max_{\partial B_r} |u|}{r^{2-n}} \right) \quad (205)$$

either exists with value  $L \neq 0$  or does not exist (usually, it is either  $+\infty$  or  $-\infty$ ).

## 1.20 Growth behavior of harmonic functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

As an application of Theorem 1.96, we have the following:

**Theorem 1.105** *Assume  $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a harmonic function on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and satisfies*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{\max_{\partial B_r} |u|}{\log r} \right) = 0, \quad (206)$$

where  $B_r$  is the open ball centered at  $x = 0$  with radius  $r > 0$ . Then it must be a **constant function**.

**Remark 1.106** (*Be caregul.*) Note that the function  $u(\mathbf{x})$  in the above must be defined on all  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , otherwise it is not correct. For example, the function  $u(r, \theta) = r^{-n} \cos n\theta$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is harmonic and defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  satisfying (206), but it is **not** a constant function.

**Remark 1.107** For  $n \geq 3$ , the condition

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{\max_{\partial B_r} |u|}{r^{2-n}} \right) = 0, \quad n > 2,$$

is **trivial** because it will imply that  $u$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and so it must be a **constant function**.

**Proof.** Let  $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| < 1\} \setminus \{0\}$  and let

$$v(x) = u\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \left|\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right| = \frac{1}{|x|} > 1, \quad v(r) = u\left(\frac{1}{r}\right).$$

By Lemma 1.29, the function  $v(x)$  is also harmonic on  $\Omega$ . It satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\max_{\partial B_r} |v|}{\log r} \right) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\max_{\partial B_{1/r}} |u|}{\log r} \right) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\max_{\partial B_{1/r}} |u|}{-\log 1/r} \right) \left( \text{let } s = \frac{1}{r} \right) = \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \left( -\frac{\max_{\partial B_s} |u|}{\log s} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.96,  $v(x)$  can be defined at  $x = 0$  such that it is  $C^2$  and harmonic in the whole open ball  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| < 1\}$ . In particular,  $v(x)$  is bounded near  $x = 0$  and so  $u(x)$  is **bounded** near  $|x| = +\infty$ . Hence  $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded harmonic function on the entire space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and it must be a constant function.  $\square$

This is the end of elliptic equations.