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#### Abstract

In 1960, T.J. Rivlin proved a well-known inequality, also known as Rivlin's inequality. This inequality states that if $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having no zero in $|z|<1$, then for $0 \leq r \leq 1$ $$
\max _{|z|=r}|P(z)| \geq\left(\frac{1+r}{2}\right)^{n} \max _{|z|=1}|P(z)| .
$$

In this paper, we prove some extensions and generalizations of the above inequality which also sharpen Rivlin's inequality as a special case. Some related results are also obtained and some important consequences of the results are discussed as well.


## 1 Introduction

If $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, then for $R \geq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=1}\left|P^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq n \max _{|z|=1}|P(z)| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=R}|P(z)| \leq R^{n} \max _{|z|=1}|P(z)| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above inequalities are the famous Bernstein inequalities [1] for polynomials. Inequality (1) is a direct consequence of Bernstein's theorem on the derivative of a trigonometric polynomial [2], and inequality (2) follows from the maximum modulus theorem (see [3, Problem 269]).

The reverse analogue of inequality (2) whenever $R \leq 1$ is given by Varga [4] by proving that if $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=r}|P(z)| \geq r^{n} \max _{|z|=1}|P(z)| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $0 \leq r \leq 1$. Inequality (3) attains equality whenever $P(z)=a z^{n}$.
For the class of polynomials having no zero inside the unit circle, Rivlin [5] proved that if $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having no zero in $|z|<1$, then for $0 \leq r \leq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=r}|P(z)| \geq\left(\frac{1+r}{2}\right)^{n} \max _{|z|=1}|P(z)| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds in inequality (4) if $P(z)=(z+a)^{n}$ whenever $|a|=1$.
Aziz [6] generalized Rivlin's inequality (4) by proving that if $P(z)$ has no zero in $|z|<K, K \geq 1$, then for $0 \leq r \leq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=r}|P(z)| \geq\left(\frac{K+r}{K+1}\right)^{n} \max _{|z|=1}|P(z)| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]The above inequality is best possible and equality holds if $P(z)=(z+a)^{n}$ and $|a|=K$. In inequality (5), the bound does not address the issue of how far the zeros lie outside the disc $|z|=K$. Now there arises a question naturally; is there any way to refine inequality (5) by capturing some informations on the moduli of zeros? Can we obtain a bound via two extreme coefficients of $P(z)$ which are informative about the distance of zeros from the origin? In view of the example for the equality case in inequality (5) which holds with the property $\left|a_{0}\right| /\left|a_{n}\right|=K^{n}$, it should be possible to improve upon the bound for polynomials $P(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}$ having no zero in $|z|<K, K \geq 1$, satisfying $\left|a_{0}\right| /\left|a_{n}\right| \neq K^{n}$.

As a way to this approach, Kumar and Milovanović [7] sharpened inequalities (4) and (5) significantly by proving that if $P(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}$ has no zero in $|z|<K, K \geq 1$, then for $0 \leq r \leq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=r}|P(z)| \geq\left\{\left(\frac{K+r}{K+1}\right)^{n}+\frac{1}{K^{n-1}}\left(\frac{\left|a_{0}\right|-\left|a_{n}\right| K^{n}}{\left|a_{0}\right|+\left|a_{n}\right|}\right)\left(\frac{1-r}{K+1}\right)\right\} \max _{|z|=1}|P(z)| \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above result is sharp and equality holds if $P(z)=(z+K)^{n}$ and also for $P(z)=z+a$ for any $a$ with $|a| \geq K$.

In this paper, we prove some extensions and generalizations of inequality (6) which are sharpened forms of Rivlin's inequality.

## 2 Lemmas

We need the following lemmas to prove the theorems. The first lemma is due to Kumar and Milovanović [7].
Lemma 1 For any $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and $R_{k} \geq K \geq 1,1 \leq k \leq n$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r+R_{k}}{1+R_{k}} \geq\left(\frac{K+r}{K+1}\right)^{n}+\frac{1}{K^{n-1}}\left(\frac{R_{1} R_{2} \ldots R_{n}-K^{n}}{R_{1} R_{2} \ldots R_{n}+1}\right)\left(\frac{1-r}{K+1}\right)^{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2 The function

$$
f(x)=\frac{x-\left|a_{n}\right| K^{n}}{x+\left|a_{n}\right|}, x \neq-\left|a_{n}\right|
$$

is a non-decreasing function for $K \geq 1, a_{n} \in C$ and $n$ is a positive integer.
Proof. The result follows by the first derivative test.
Lemma 3 If $P(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ such that $P(z) \neq 0$ in $|z|<K, K>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|P(z)| \geq m \quad \text { for } \quad|z| \leq K \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\min _{|z|=K}|P(z)|$.
The above lemma is due to Gardner et al. [9, see Lemma 2.6].
Lemma 4 If $P(z)=\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having no zero in $|z|<K, K \geq 1$, then for any complex number $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|<1$ and $m=\min _{|z|=K}|P(z)|$

$$
K^{n}\left|a_{n}\right| \leq\left|a_{0}\right|-|\lambda| m
$$

Proof. By hypothesis, $P(z)$ has no zero in $|z|<K$. So, $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z| \geq K$. Then, the polynomial $S(z)=e^{-i \arg a_{0}} P(z)$ has the same zeros as $P(z)$. Here,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(z) & =e^{-i \arg a_{0}}\left\{\left|a_{0}\right| e^{i \arg a_{0}}+a_{1} z+\cdots+a_{n-1} z^{n-1}+a_{n} z^{n}\right\} \\
& =\left|a_{0}\right|+e^{-i \arg a_{0}}\left\{a_{1} z+\cdots+a_{n-1} z^{n-1}+a_{n} z^{n}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, on $|z|=K$ for any complex number $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|<1$ and $m=\min _{|z|=K}|P(z)| \neq 0$, we have

$$
|\lambda| m<m \leq|S(z)| .
$$

Then by Rouche's theorem, $T(z)=S(z)-|\lambda| m$ has all its zeros in $|z| \geq K$ and in case $m=0, T(z)=S(z)$. Thus, in any case, $T(z)$ has all its zeros in $|z| \geq K$. Now, applying Vieta's formula to $T(z)$, we get

$$
\frac{\left|a_{0}\right|-|\lambda| m}{\left|a_{n}\right|} \geq K^{n}
$$

i.e.

$$
K^{n}\left|a_{n}\right| \leq\left|a_{0}\right|-|\lambda| m
$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 4.

## 3 Main Results

Our first result extends and generalizes inequality (6) which in turn sharpens and generalizes inequality (4) due to Rivlin [5]. In fact, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1 If $P(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having no zero in $|z|<K, K \geq 1$ and $R_{k}$, $k=1,2, \ldots, n$, are the moduli of the zeros of $P(z)+\lambda m$, where $\lambda$ is some fixed complex number with $|\lambda|<1$, then for $0 \leq r \leq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{|z|=r}|P(z)| \geq & \left\{\left(\frac{K+r}{K+1}\right)^{n}+\frac{1}{K^{n-1}}\left(\frac{\left|a_{0}\right|-|\lambda| m-\left|a_{n}\right| K^{n}}{\left|a_{0}\right|-|\lambda| m+\left|a_{n}\right|}\right)\left(\frac{1-r}{K+1}\right)^{n}\right\} \max _{|z|=1}|P(z)| \\
& +\left\{1-\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r+R_{k}}{1+R_{k}}\right)\right\}|\lambda| m,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m=\min _{|z|=K}|P(z)|$.
Proof. Here, $m=\min _{|z|=K}|P(z)|$ and if $P(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}$ has a zero on $|z|=K, K \geq 1$, then $m=0$. Henceforth, we assume that $P(z)$ has no zero on $|z|=K$. Therefore, for $|z|=K$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \leq|P(z)| \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda$ is any real or complex number with $|\lambda|<1$, we have on $|z|=K$

$$
|\lambda| m<m \leq|P(z)| .
$$

By Rouche's theorem, it follows that the polynomial $F(z)=P(z)+\lambda m$ does not vanish in $|z|<K$ for every real or complex number $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|<1$. If $R_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$, are the moduli of the zeros of $F(z)$, then $R_{k} \geq K, K \geq 1$. Now, for any $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \phi<2 \pi$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{F\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)}{F\left(e^{i \phi}\right)}\right| & =\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left|\frac{r e^{i \phi}-R_{k} e^{i \phi_{k}}}{e^{i \phi}-R_{k} e^{i \phi_{k}}}\right| \\
& =\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left|\frac{r e^{i\left(\phi-\phi_{k}\right)}-R_{k}}{e^{i\left(\phi-\phi_{k}\right)}-R_{k}}\right| \\
& =\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left\{\frac{r^{2}+R_{k}^{2}-2 r R_{k} \cos \left(\phi-\phi_{k}\right)}{1+R_{k}^{2}-2 R_{k} \cos \left(\phi-\phi_{k}\right)}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \geq \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r+R_{k}}{1+R_{k}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\left|F\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)\right| \geq \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r+R_{k}}{1+R_{k}}\left|F\left(e^{i \phi}\right)\right|
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)+\lambda m\right| \geq \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r+R_{k}}{1+R_{k}}\left|P\left(e^{i \phi}\right)+\lambda m\right| \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P\left(e^{i \phi}\right)+\lambda m\right| \geq\left|P\left(e^{i \phi}\right)\right|-|\lambda| m \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using inequality (11) on the right hand side of inequality (10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)+\lambda m\right| \geq \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r+R_{k}}{1+R_{k}}\left\{\left|P\left(e^{i \phi}\right)\right|-|\lambda| m\right\} \geq 0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\phi_{0}$ be such that $\max _{0 \leq \phi<2 \pi}\left|P\left(e^{i \phi}\right)\right|=\left|P\left(e^{i \phi_{0}}\right)\right|$. Then, in particular, inequality (12) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P\left(r e^{i \phi_{0}}\right)+\lambda m\right| \geq \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r+R_{k}}{1+R_{k}}\left\{\left|P\left(e^{i \phi_{0}}\right)\right|-|\lambda| m\right\} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose the argument of $\lambda$ suitably on the left hand side of inequality (13) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P\left(r e^{i \phi_{0}}\right)+\lambda m\right|=\left|P\left(r e^{i \phi_{0}}\right)\right|-|\lambda| m . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (14), inequality (13) becomes

$$
\left|P\left(r e^{i \phi_{0}}\right)\right|-|\lambda| m \geq \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r+R_{k}}{1+R_{k}}\left\{\left|P\left(e^{i \phi_{0}}\right)\right|-|\lambda| m\right\}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P\left(r e^{i \phi_{0}}\right)\right| \geq\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r+R_{k}}{1+R_{k}}\right)\left|P\left(e^{i \phi_{0}}\right)\right|+\left(1-\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r+R_{k}}{1+R_{k}}\right)|\lambda| m \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using inequality (7) to the first term in the right hand side of inequality (15), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|P\left(r e^{i \phi_{0}}\right)\right| \geq & \left\{\left(\frac{K+r}{K+1}\right)^{n}+\frac{1}{K^{n-1}}\left(\frac{R_{1} R_{2} \ldots R_{n}-K^{n}}{R_{1} R_{2} \ldots R_{n}+1}\right)\left(\frac{1-r}{K+1}\right)^{n}\right\}\left|P\left(e^{i \phi_{0}}\right)\right| \\
& +\left(1-\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r+R_{k}}{1+R_{k}}\right)|\lambda| m
\end{aligned}
$$

which is also equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|P\left(r e^{i \phi_{0}}\right)\right| \geq & \left\{\left(\frac{K+r}{K+1}\right)^{n}+\frac{1}{K^{n-1}}\left(\frac{\left|a_{0}+\lambda m\right|-\left|a_{n}\right| K^{n}}{\left|a_{0}+\lambda m\right|+\left|a_{n}\right|}\right)\left(\frac{1-r}{K+1}\right)^{n}\right\}\left|P\left(e^{i \phi_{0}}\right)\right| \\
& +\left(1-\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r+R_{k}}{1+R_{k}}\right)|\lambda| m \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 3, we have for $|z| \leq K, K \geq 1$ and $|\lambda|<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|P(z)| \geq m>|\lambda| m \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put $z=0$ in inequality (17), then

$$
|P(0)|>|\lambda| m
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{0}\right|>|\lambda| m \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By inequality (18), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{0}+\lambda m\right| \geq\left|a_{0}\right|-|\lambda| m \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore by Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|a_{0}+\lambda m\right|-\left|a_{n}\right| K^{n}}{\left|a_{0}+\lambda m\right|+\left|a_{n}\right|} \geq \frac{\left|a_{0}\right|-|\lambda| m-\left|a_{n}\right| K^{n}}{\left|a_{0}\right|-|\lambda| m+\left|a_{n}\right|} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is worth to note from Lemma 4 that the right hand side of inequality (20) is always non-negative.
Using inequality (20), inequality (16) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|P\left(r e^{i \phi_{0}}\right)\right| \geq & \left\{\left(\frac{K+r}{K+1}\right)^{n}+\frac{1}{K^{n-1}}\left(\frac{\left|a_{0}\right|-|\lambda| m-\left|a_{n}\right| K^{n}}{\left|a_{0}\right|-|\lambda| m+\left|a_{n}\right|}\right)\left(\frac{1-r}{K+1}\right)^{n}\right\}\left|P\left(e^{i \phi_{0}}\right)\right| \\
& +\left(1-\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r+R_{k}}{1+R_{k}}\right)|\lambda| m \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\max _{|z|=r}\left|P\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)\right| \geq\left|P\left(r e^{i \phi_{0}}\right)\right| \text { and } \max _{|z|=1}\left|P\left(e^{i \phi}\right)\right|=\left|P\left(e^{i \phi_{0}}\right)\right|
$$

we get the desired result from inequality (21).
Remark 1 When $\lambda=0$, Theorem 1 reduces to inequality (6).
Remark 2 When $\lambda=0$ and $K=1$, Theorem 1 reduces to the following improvement of Rivlin's inequality due to Kumar [8].

Corollary 1 If $P(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having no zero in $|z|<1$, then for $0 \leq r \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=r}|P(z)| \geq\left\{\left(\frac{1+r}{2}\right)^{n}+\left(\frac{\left|a_{0}\right|-\left|a_{n}\right|}{\left|a_{0}\right|+\left|a_{n}\right|}\right)\left(\frac{1-r}{2}\right)^{n}\right\} \max _{|z|=1}|P(z)| . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds in inequality (22) if $P(z)=(z+a)^{n}$ whenever $|a|=1$ and also for $P(z)=z+a$ for any $a$ with $|a| \geq 1$. As an interesting consequence of Theorem 1, we get an inequality for the class of polynomials having all its zeros in $|z| \leq K, K \leq 1$. To elaborate it, if $P(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having all its zeros in $|z| \leq K, K \leq 1$, then its reciprocal polynomial $Q(z)=z^{n} P(1 / z)$ has no zero in $|z|<1 / K, 1 / K \geq 1$. If $R_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$, are the moduli of the zeros of $P(z)+z^{n} \lambda m / K^{n}$, then $1 / R_{k}$, $k=1,2, \ldots, n$, are the moduli of the zeros of $Q(z)+\lambda m_{0}$, where $m_{0}=\min _{|z|=1 / K}|Q(z)|$. Applying Theorem 1 to the polynomial $Q(z)$ with $r=1 / R, R \geq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{|z|=1 / R}|Q(z)| \geq & \left\{\left(\frac{1 / K+1 / R}{1 / K+1}\right)^{n}+K^{n-1}\left(\frac{\left|a_{n}\right|-|\lambda| m_{0}-\left|a_{0}\right| / K^{n}}{\left|a_{n}\right|-|\lambda| m_{0}+\left|a_{0}\right|}\right)\left(\frac{1-1 / R}{1 / K+1}\right)^{n}\right\} \\
& \times \max _{|z|=1}|Q(z)|+\left(1-\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r+1 / R_{k}}{1+1 / R_{k}}\right)|\lambda| m_{0} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}=\min _{|z|=1 / K}|Q(z)|=\frac{1}{K^{n}} \max _{|z|=K}|P(z)|=\frac{m}{K^{n}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equality (24) in inequality (23) and simplifying, the following corollary is obtained.

Corollary 2 If $P(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having all its zeros in $|z| \leq K, K \leq 1$ and $R_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$, are the moduli of the zeros of $P(z)+z^{n} \lambda m / K^{n}$, where $\lambda$ is some fixed complex number with $|\lambda|<1$, then for $r=1 / R, R \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{|z|=R}|P(z)| \geq & \left\{\left(\frac{K+R}{K+1}\right)^{n}+K^{n-1}\left(\frac{\left|a_{n}\right| K^{n}-|\lambda| m-\left|a_{0}\right|}{\left|a_{n}\right|-|\lambda| m / K^{n}+\left|a_{0}\right|}\right)\left(\frac{R-1}{K+1}\right)^{n}\right\} \max _{|z|=1}|P(z)| \\
& +\left(\frac{R}{K}\right)^{n}\left(1-\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r R_{k}+1}{R_{k}+1}\right)|\lambda| m
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m=\min _{|z|=K}|P(z)|$.
Govil [10] generalized inequality (4) by studying the relative growth of a polynomial $P(z)$ having no zero in the open disk, with respect to two circles $|z|=r$ and $|z|=R$ whenever $0 \leq r<R \leq 1$. In particular, he proved that if $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having no zero in $|z|<1$, then for $0 \leq r<R \leq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=r}|P(z)| \geq\left(\frac{1+r}{1+R}\right)^{n} \max _{|z|=R}|P(z)| \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our next result sharpens inequality (25) and it also extends and generalizes some results as special cases.
Theorem 2 If $P(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}$ has no zero in $|z|<K, K>0$ and $R_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$, are the moduli of the zeros of $P(z)+\lambda m$, where $\lambda$ is some fixed complex number with $|\lambda|<1$, then for $0 \leq r<R \leq K$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{|z|=r}|P(z)| \geq & \left\{\left(\frac{K+r}{K+R}\right)^{n}+\left(\frac{R}{K}\right)^{n-1}\left(\frac{\left|a_{0}\right|-|\lambda| m-\left|a_{n}\right| K^{n}}{\left|a_{0}\right|-|\lambda| m+\left|a_{n}\right| R^{n}}\right)\left(\frac{R-r}{K+R}\right)^{n}\right\} \max _{|z|=R}|P(z)| \\
& +\left(1-\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r+R_{k}}{R+R_{k}}\right)|\lambda| m \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m=\min _{|z|=K}|P(z)|$.
Proof. If $P(z)$ has no zero in $|z|<K$, then the polynomial $P(R z)$ has no zero in $|z|<K / R$, where $K / R \geq 1$. Then the polynomial $P(R z)$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1 and applying Theorem 1 to $P(R z)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{|z|=r / R}|P(R z)| \geq & \left\{\left(\frac{K / R+r / R}{K / R+1}\right)^{n}+\left(\frac{R}{K}\right)^{n-1}\left(\frac{\left|a_{0}\right|-|\lambda| m^{\prime}-\left|a_{n} R^{n}\right|(K / R)^{n}}{\left|a_{0}\right|-|\lambda| m^{\prime}+\left|a_{n} R^{n}\right|}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\frac{1-r / R}{K / R+1}\right)^{n}\right\} \max _{|z|=1}|P(R z)|+\left(1-\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r / R+R_{k} / R}{1+R_{k} / R}\right)|\lambda| m^{\prime} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m^{\prime}=\min _{|z|=K / R}|P(R z)|$. Now,

$$
m^{\prime}=\min _{|z|=K / R}|P(R z)|=\min _{|z|=K}|P(z)|=m
$$

Using this equality in inequality (27) and simplifying, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{|z|=r}|P(z)| \geq & \left\{\left(\frac{K+r}{K+R}\right)^{n}+\left(\frac{R}{K}\right)^{n-1}\left(\frac{\left|a_{0}\right|-|\lambda| m-\left|a_{n}\right| K^{n}}{\left|a_{0}\right|-|\lambda| m+\left|a_{n}\right| R^{n}}\right)\left(\frac{R-r}{K+R}\right)^{n}\right\} \max _{|z|=R}|P(z)| \\
& +\left(1-\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r+R_{k}}{R+R_{k}}\right)|\lambda| m .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 3 When $\lambda=0$ and $K=1$, Theorem 2 reduces to the following result due to Kumar and Milovanović [7] which is an improvement and generalization of inequality (25).

Corollary 3 If $P(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}$ has no zero in $|z|<1$, then for $0 \leq r<R \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{|z|=r}|P(z)| \geq\left\{\left(\frac{1+r}{1+R}\right)^{n}+R^{n-1}\left(\frac{\left|a_{0}\right|-\left|a_{n}\right|}{\left|a_{0}\right|+\left|a_{n}\right| R^{n}}\right)\left(\frac{R-r}{1+R}\right)^{n}\right\} \max _{|z|=R}|P(z)| . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is best possible and equality holds in inequality (28) if $P(z)=(z+a)^{n}$ where $|a|=1$ and also for $P(z)=z+a$ for any $a$ with $|a| \geq 1$.

Remark 4 When $\lambda=0, K=1$ and $R=1$, Theorem 2 reduces to Corollary 1, which is an improved version of Rivlin's inequality.

If $P(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having all its zeros in $|z| \leq K, K \geq 1$, then the reciprocal polynomial $Q(z)=z^{n} P(1 / z)$ has all its zeros in $|z| \geq 1 / K$. Now if $R_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$, are the moduli of the zeros of $P(z)+z^{n} \lambda m / K^{n}$, then $1 / R_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$, are the moduli of the zeros of $Q(z)+\lambda m_{0}$ where $m_{0}=\min _{|z|=1 / K}|Q(z)|$. Also if $1 \leq K \leq R<r$, then $0 \leq 1 / r<1 / R \leq 1 / K$. Applying Theorem 2 to the polynomial $Q(z)$, we get for some fixed complex number $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|<1$

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{|z|=1 / r}|Q(z)| \geq & \left\{\left(\frac{1 / K+1 / r}{1 / K+1 / R}\right)^{n}+\left(\frac{K}{R}\right)^{n-1}\left(\frac{\left|a_{n}\right|-|\lambda| m_{0}-\left|a_{0}\right| / K^{n}}{\left|a_{n}\right|-|\lambda| m_{0}+\left|a_{0}\right| / R^{n}}\right)\left(\frac{1 / R-1 / r}{1 / R+1 / K}\right)^{n}\right\} \\
& \times \max _{|z|=1 / R}|Q(z)|+\left(1-\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1 / r+1 / R_{k}}{1 / R+1 / R_{k}}\right)|\lambda| m_{0} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Using equality (24) in inequality (29) and simplifying, the following corollary is obtained.

Corollary 4 If $P(z)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{v} z^{v}$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having all its zeros in $|z| \leq K, K \geq 1$ and $R_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$, are the moduli of the zeros of $P(z)+z^{n} \lambda m / K^{n}$, where $\lambda$ is some fixed complex number with $|\lambda|<1$, then for $1 \leq K \leq R<r$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{|z|=r}|P(z)| \geq & \left\{\left(\frac{K+r}{K+R}\right)^{n}+\left(\frac{K}{R}\right)^{n-1}\left(\frac{\left|a_{n}\right| K^{n}-|\lambda| m-\left|a_{0}\right|}{\left|a_{n}\right| R^{n}-|\lambda| m(R / K)^{n}+\left|a_{0}\right|}\right)\left(\frac{r-R}{K+R}\right)^{n}\right\} \\
& \times \max _{|z|=R}|P(z)|+\frac{1}{K^{n}}\left(r^{n}-R^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{r+R_{k}}{R+R_{k}}\right)|\lambda| m \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m=\min _{|z|=K}|P(z)|$.
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