

# On A New Application Of Quasi-Power Increasing Sequences\*

Hüseyin Bor<sup>†</sup>, Ravi Prakash Agarwal<sup>‡</sup>

Received 23 December 2020

## Abstract

In this paper, we proved a basic theorem under weaker conditions dealing with the absolute Cesàro summability factors of infinite series by using a quasi- $\beta$ -power increasing sequence instead of an almost increasing sequence. This new theorem also includes several known and new results on the absolute Cesàro summability factors of infinite series.

## 1 Introduction

A positive sequence  $(b_n)$  is said to be almost increasing sequence if there exists a positive increasing sequence  $(c_n)$  and two positive constants  $M$  and  $N$  such that  $Mc_n \leq b_n \leq Nc_n$  (see [2]). A positive sequence  $(X_n)$  is said to be quasi- $\beta$ -power increasing sequence if there exists a constant  $K = K(\beta, X) \geq 1$  such that  $Kn^\beta X_n \geq m^\beta X_m$  for all  $n \geq m \geq 1$ . Every almost increasing sequence is a quasi- $\beta$ -power increasing sequence for any non-negative  $\beta$ , but the converse need not be true as can be seen by taking  $X_n = n^{-\beta}$  (see [9]). For any sequence  $(\lambda_n)$  we write that  $\Delta^2 \lambda_n = \Delta \lambda_n - \Delta \lambda_{n+1}$  and  $\Delta \lambda_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}$ . Let  $\sum a_n$  be a given infinite series. By  $t_n^\alpha$  we denote the  $n$ th Cesàro mean of order  $\alpha$ , with  $\alpha > -1$ , of the sequence  $(na_n)$ , that is (see [6])

$$t_n^\alpha = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v, \quad (t_n^1 = t_n) \quad (1)$$

where

$$A_n^\alpha = \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\dots(\alpha+n)}{n!} \simeq \frac{n^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}, \quad A_{-n}^\alpha = 0 \quad \text{for } n > 0.$$

Let  $(\varphi_n)$  be a sequence of complex numbers. The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $\varphi - |C, \alpha|_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , if (see [1])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^k} |\varphi_n t_n^\alpha|^k < \infty.$$

In the special case when  $\varphi_n = n^{1-\frac{1}{k}}$ ,  $\varphi - |C, \alpha|_k$  summability is the same as  $|C, \alpha|_k$  summability (see [7]). If we take  $\varphi_n = n^{\delta+1-\frac{1}{k}}$ , then we obtain  $|C, \alpha; \delta|_k$  summability (see [8]).

## 2 Known Results

The following theorems are known dealing with an application of almost increasing sequences to factored infinite series.

**Theorem 1 ([3])** Let  $(w_n^\alpha)$  be a sequence defined by (see [10])

$$w_n^\alpha = \begin{cases} |t_n^\alpha|, & \alpha = 1 \\ \max_{1 \leq v \leq n} |t_v^\alpha|, & 0 < \alpha < 1. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

\*Mathematics Subject Classifications: 26D15, 40D15, 40F05, 40G05.

<sup>†</sup>P. O. Box 121, TR-06502 Bahçelievler, Ankara, Turkey

<sup>‡</sup>Dept. Math., Texas A& M University-Kingsville, Texas 78363, USA

Let  $(\sigma_n)$  be a positive sequence and let  $(X_n)$  be an almost increasing sequence. If the conditions

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\Delta^2 \lambda_n| X_n < \infty, \tag{3}$$

$$|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{4}$$

$$\sigma_n = O(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{5}$$

$$n \Delta \sigma_n = O(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^n \frac{(w_v^\alpha)^k}{v X_v^{k-1}} = O(X_n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{7}$$

hold, then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n \sigma_n$  is summable  $|C, \alpha|_k$ , where  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $k \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 2 ([4])** Let  $(\varphi_n)$  be a sequence of complex numbers and let  $(w_n^\alpha)$  be a sequence defined as in (2). Let  $(\sigma_n)$  be a positive sequence and let  $(X_n)$  be an almost increasing sequence. Suppose also that there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that the sequence  $(n^{\epsilon-k} |\varphi_n|^k)$  is non-increasing. If the conditions (3)–(6) and

$$\sum_{v=1}^n \frac{(|\varphi_v| w_v^\alpha)^k}{v^k X_v^{k-1}} = O(X_n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{8}$$

hold, then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n \sigma_n$  is summable  $\varphi - |C, \alpha|_k$ , where  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\epsilon + (\alpha - 1)k > 0$ , and  $k \geq 1$ .

It should be noted that if we take  $\epsilon = 1$  and  $\varphi_n = n^{1-\frac{1}{k}}$ , then we obtain Theorem 1. In fact, in this case the condition (8) reduces to condition (7).

### 3 Main Result

The aim of this paper is to prove Theorem 2 under weaker conditions by using a quasi- $\beta$ -power increasing sequence instead of an almost increasing sequence. Now, we shall prove the following main theorem.

**Theorem 3** Let  $(\varphi_n)$  be a sequence of complex numbers and let  $(w_n^\alpha)$  be a sequence defined as in (2). Let  $(\sigma_n)$  be a positive sequence and let  $(X_n)$  be a quasi- $\beta$ -power increasing sequence. Suppose also that there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that the sequence  $(n^{\epsilon-k} |\varphi_n|^k)$  is non-increasing. If the conditions (3)–(6) and (8) hold, then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n \sigma_n$  is summable  $\varphi - |C, \alpha|_k$ , where  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\epsilon + (\alpha - 1)k > 0$ , and  $k \geq 1$ .

We require the following known lemmas for the proof of our new theorem.

**Lemma 4 ([5])** If  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $1 \leq v \leq n$ , then

$$\left| \sum_{p=0}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} a_p \right| \leq \max_{1 \leq m \leq v} \left| \sum_{p=0}^m A_{m-p}^{\alpha-1} a_p \right|.$$

**Lemma 5 ([9])** Under the conditions on  $(X_n)$  and  $(\lambda_n)$  as taken in the statement of the theorem, the following conditions hold

$$n X_n |\Delta \lambda_n| = O(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n |\Delta \lambda_n| < \infty.$$

### 4 Proof of Theorem 3

Let  $(T_n^\alpha)$  be the  $n$ th  $(C, \alpha)$  mean, with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , of the sequence  $(na_n \lambda_n \sigma_n)$ . Then by (1), we have that

$$T_n^\alpha = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v \lambda_v \sigma_v.$$

Now, applying Abel's transformation first and then using Lemma 4, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} T_n^\alpha &= \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta(\lambda_v \sigma_v) \sum_{p=1}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} p a_p + \frac{\lambda_n \sigma_n}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{p=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v \\ &= \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_v \Delta \sigma_v + \sigma_{v+1} \Delta \lambda_v) \sum_{p=1}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} p a_p + \frac{\lambda_n \sigma_n}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{p=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |T_n^\alpha| &\leq \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_v \Delta \sigma_v| \sum_{p=1}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} p |a_p| + \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\sigma_{v+1} \Delta \lambda_v| \sum_{p=1}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} p |a_p| \\ &\quad + \frac{|\lambda_n \sigma_n|}{A_n^\alpha} \left| \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v |a_v| \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_v^\alpha w_v^\alpha |\lambda_v| |\Delta \sigma_v| + \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_v^\alpha w_v^\alpha |\sigma_{v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| + |\lambda_n| |\sigma_n| w_n^\alpha \\ &= T_{n,1}^\alpha + T_{n,2}^\alpha + T_{n,3}^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof, by Minkowski's inequality, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^k} |\varphi_n T_{n,r}^\alpha|^k < \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3.$$

Now, when  $k > 1$ , applying Hölder's inequality with indices  $k$  and  $k'$ , where  $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^k} |\varphi_n T_{n,1}^\alpha|^k &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{-k} (A_n^\alpha)^{-k} |\varphi_n|^k \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_v^\alpha w_v^\alpha |\Delta \sigma_v| |\lambda_v| \right\}^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{|\varphi_n|^k}{n^{k+\alpha k}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (v^\alpha)^k (w_v^\alpha)^k |\Delta \sigma_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} 1 \right\}^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{|\varphi_n|^k}{n^{1+\alpha k}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v^{\alpha k} (w_v^\alpha)^k |\lambda_v|^k \frac{1}{v^k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\alpha k} (w_v^\alpha)^k v^{-k} |\lambda_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{n^{\epsilon-k} |\varphi_n|^k}{n^{1+\epsilon+(\alpha-1)k}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\alpha k} (w_v^\alpha)^k v^{-k} |\lambda_v|^k v^{\epsilon-k} |\varphi_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{1+\epsilon+(\alpha-1)k}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\alpha k} (w_v^\alpha)^k v^{-k} |\lambda_v|^k v^{\epsilon-k} |\varphi_v|^k \int_v^\infty \frac{dx}{x^{1+\epsilon+(\alpha-1)k}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (w_v^\alpha)^k |\lambda_v| |\lambda_v|^{k-1} \frac{|\varphi_v|^k}{v^k} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v| \frac{(w_v^\alpha |\varphi_v|)^k}{v^k X_v^{k-1}} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_v| \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{(w_r^\alpha |\varphi_r|)^k}{r^k X_r^{k-1}} + O(1) |\lambda_m| \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{(w_v^\alpha |\varphi_v|)^k}{v^k X_v^{k-1}} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\Delta \lambda_v| X_v + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\
&= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by the hypotheses of Theorem 3 and Lemma 5.

Again, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^k} |\varphi_n T_{n,2}^\alpha|^k &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{-k} (A_n^\alpha)^{-k} |\varphi_n|^k \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_v^\alpha w_v^\alpha |\sigma_{v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| \right\}^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{|\varphi_n|^k}{n^{k+\alpha k}} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^n v^\alpha (w_v^\alpha) |\Delta \lambda_v| \right\}^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{|\varphi_n|^k}{n^{k+\alpha k}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v^{\alpha k} (w_v^\alpha)^k |\Delta \lambda_v|^k \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} 1 \right\}^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{|\varphi_n|^k}{n^{1+\alpha k}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v^{\alpha k} (w_v^\alpha)^k |\Delta \lambda_v|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\alpha k} (w_v^\alpha)^k |\Delta \lambda_v| |\Delta \lambda_v|^{k-1} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{|\varphi_n|^k}{n^{1+\alpha k}} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\alpha k} (w_v^\alpha)^k |\Delta \lambda_v| |\Delta \lambda_v|^{k-1} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{n^{\epsilon-k} |\varphi_n|^k}{n^{1+\epsilon+(\alpha-1)k}} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{v^{\alpha k} (w_v^\alpha)^k |\Delta \lambda_v|}{v^{k-1} X_v^{k-1}} v^{\epsilon-k} |\varphi_v|^k \int_v^\infty \frac{dx}{x^{1+\epsilon+(\alpha-1)k}} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v |\Delta \lambda_v| \frac{(w_v^\alpha |\varphi_v|)^k}{v^k X_v^{k-1}} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta (v |\Delta \lambda_v|) \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{(w_r^\alpha |\varphi_r|)^k}{r^k X_r^{k-1}} + O(1) m |\Delta \lambda_m| \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{(w_v^\alpha |\varphi_v|)^k}{v^k X_v^{k-1}} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v |\Delta^2 \lambda_v| X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} X_v |\Delta \lambda_v| + O(1) m |\Delta \lambda_m| X_m \\
&= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by hypotheses of Theorem 3 and Lemma 5.

Finally, as in  $T_{n,1}^\alpha$ , we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n^k} |T_{n,3}^\alpha \varphi_n|^k = \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n^k} |\lambda_n \sigma_n w_n^\alpha \varphi_n|^k$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m |\lambda_n| \frac{(w_n^\alpha |\varphi_n|)^k}{n^k X_n^{k-1}} \\
&= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by the hypotheses of Theorem 3 and Lemma 5. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

## 5 Conclusions

If we set  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\varphi_n = n^{1-\frac{1}{k}}$ , and  $(X_n)$  as an almost increasing sequence, then we have a known result of Sulaiman dealing with  $|C, 1|_k$  summability factors of infinite series (see [11]). Also, if we take  $(X_n)$  as an almost increasing sequence, then we obtain Theorem 2. Furthermore, if we set  $\varphi_n = n^{1-\frac{1}{k}}$ , and  $(X_n)$  as an almost increasing sequence, then we have Theorem 1. Finally, if we take  $\varphi_n = n^{\delta+1-\frac{1}{k}}$ , then we obtain a new result dealing with the  $|C, \alpha; \delta|_k$  summability factors of infinite series.

## References

- [1] M. Balcı, Absolute  $\varphi$ -summability factors, *Comm. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ankara Sér. A<sub>1</sub> Math.*, 29(1980), 63–68.
- [2] N. K. Bari and S. B. Stečkin, Best approximation and differential properties of two conjugate functions, *Trudy. Moskov. Mat. Obšč.*, 5(1956), 483–522.
- [3] H. Bor, Almost increasing sequences and their new applications, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2013, 2013:207, 5 pp.
- [4] H. Bor and R. P. Agarwal, A new application of almost increasing sequences to factored infinite series, *Anal. Math. Phys.*, 10(2020), Paper No. 26.
- [5] L. S. Bosanquet, A mean value theorem, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 16(1941), 146–148.
- [6] E. Cesàro, Sur la multiplication des séries, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 14(1890), 114–120.
- [7] T. M. Flett, On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 7(1957), 113–141.
- [8] T. M. Flett, Some more theorems concerning the absolute summability of Fourier series, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 8(1958), 357–387.
- [9] L. Leindler, A new application of quasi power increasing sequences, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 58(2001), 791–796.
- [10] T. Pati, The summability factors of infinite series, *Duke Math. J.*, 21(1954), 271–284.
- [11] W. T. Sulaiman, On a new application of almost increasing sequences, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 4(2012), 29–33.