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#### Abstract

In this article, we establish the existence of three weak solutions for a nonlinear Kirchhoff type elliptic equation involving polyharmonic operator by using variational methods. We assume that the nonlinearity satisfies subcritical exponential growth condition. We use a critical point theorem by B. Ricceri to prove our result.


## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we establish the existence of solutions to the problem:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m} u\right|^{2} d x\right)(-\Delta)^{m} u=\lambda f(x, u)+\mu g(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=\nabla u=\ldots=\nabla^{m-1} u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega, \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2 m}, m \geq 1$ is a smooth and bounded domain, $f, g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Carathéodory functions having subcritical exponential growth, $\mu, \lambda$ are parameters. We assume that $M:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous, non-decreasing function satisfying the following hypothesis:
(M1) There exist $m_{0}>0, \alpha>1$ and $M(t) \geq m_{0} t^{\alpha-1}$ for all $t \in[0, \infty)$.
Moser-Trudinger inequality is an important tool for the study of second order elliptic equations with exponential nonlinearity. The classical Moser-Trudinger inequality [16, 18] reads as follows:

Theorem 1 Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded domain, $u \in W_{0}^{1, n}(\Omega), n \geq 2$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{n} d x \leq 1
$$

Then there exists a constant $C$, which depends on $n$ only such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\alpha u^{p}\right) d x \leq C|\Omega|,
$$

where

$$
p=\frac{n}{n-1}, \alpha \leq \alpha_{n}=n \omega_{n}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}
$$

and $\omega_{n-1}$ is the $(n-1)$-dimensional surface area of the unit sphere.
The integral on the left actually is finite for any positive $\alpha$, but if $\alpha>\alpha_{n}$ it can be made arbitrarily large by an appropriate choice of $u$.

[^0]Moser-Trudinger inequality was extended to higher order Sobolev spaces by D. R. Adams [1]. The Adams' inequality is as follows:

Theorem 2 Let $\Omega$ be a bounded and open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If $m$ is a positive integer less than $n$, then there exists a constant $C(n, m)$ such that for all $u \in C^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with support contained in $\Omega$ and $\left\|\nabla^{m} u\right\|_{p} \leq 1, p=\frac{n}{m}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\beta|u(x)|^{\frac{n}{n-m}}\right) d x \leq C(n, m) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\beta \leq \beta(n, m)$ where

$$
\beta(n, m)= \begin{cases}\frac{n}{w_{n-1}}\left[\frac{\pi^{n / 2} 2^{m} \Gamma\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-m+1}{2}\right)}\right]^{p^{\prime}} & \text { when } m \text { is odd } \\ \frac{n}{w_{n-1}}\left[\frac{\pi^{n / 2} 2^{m} \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-m}{2}\right)}\right]^{p^{\prime}} & \text { when } m \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

and $p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}$. Furthermore, for any $\beta>\beta(n, m)$, the integral can be made as large as desired, where

$$
\nabla^{m} u= \begin{cases}\triangle^{\frac{m}{2}} u & \text { when } m \text { is even } \\ \nabla \triangle^{\frac{m-1}{2}} u & \text { when } m \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

In case of $n=2 m, \beta(2 m, m)=2^{2 m} \pi^{m} \Gamma(m+1)$ for all $m$. Throughout this paper, we denote the constant $C(2 m, m)$ by $C_{0}$.

For some applications of the Adams' inequality to polyharmonic equations with exponential nonlinearities, we refer to [11, 4]. N. Lam and G. Lu [12] established the existence of a nontrivial solution to the following polyharmonic problem:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(-\Delta)^{m} u=f(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega \\
u=\nabla u=\ldots=\nabla^{m-1} u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}
$$

They assume that $f$ satisfies subcritical and critical growth condition and employed mountain pass theorem to establish their result. S. Goyal and K. Sreenadh [8] used Nehari manifold and fibering maps to obtain existence of multiple solutions to the problem:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta_{\frac{n}{m}}^{m} u=\lambda h(x)|u|^{q-1} u+u|u|^{p} e^{|u|^{\beta}} & \text { in } \Omega \\
u=\nabla u=\ldots=\nabla^{m-1} u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}
$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2 m, 0<q<\frac{n}{m}-1<p+1$ and $\beta \in\left(1, \frac{n}{n-m}\right]$.
Problem (1) is related to the higher order analogue of Kirchoff equation [10],

$$
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-\left(\frac{\rho_{0}}{h}+\frac{E}{2 L} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^{2} d x\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=0
$$

Mishra et al. [15] used mountain pass theorem to establish the existence of a nontrivial solution to the following Kirchhoff type problem:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
-M\left(\left|\nabla^{m} u\right|^{\frac{n}{m}}\right) \Delta_{\frac{n}{m}}^{m} u=\frac{f(x, u)}{|x|^{\alpha}} & \text { in } \Omega \\
u=\nabla u=\ldots=\nabla^{m-1} u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}
$$

They assumed that $f$ grows like $e^{\frac{n}{n-m}}$ and $0<\alpha<n \geq 2 m$. Mishra et al. [15] also established the existence result for the following Kirchhoff type problem:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
-M\left(\left\lvert\, \nabla^{m} u \frac{n}{m}\right.\right) \Delta_{\frac{n}{m}}^{m} u=\lambda h(x)|u|^{q-1} u+u|u|^{p} e^{|u|^{\beta}} & \text { in } \Omega \\
u=\nabla u=\ldots=\nabla^{m-1} u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}
$$

We also refer to $[3,7,9,20]$ and references cited therein for some more existence results for higher order Kirchoff type equations.

Several authors have used Ricceri's critical point theorem [17] to establish the existence and multiplicity results for elliptic boundary value problems. For instance, see $[2,5,6,13,14]$ and references therein. In this article, we use Ricceri's critical point theorem [17] to prove the existence of three weak solutions to (1). The main result of the paper is as follows;

Theorem 3 Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ be such that
(F1) $\sup _{u \in H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x>0 ;$
(F2) $\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x, t)}{|t|^{2 \alpha}} \leq 0 ;$
(F3) $\lim \sup _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, t)}{|t|^{2 \alpha}} \leq 0$.
Set

$$
a=\frac{1}{2} \inf \left\{\frac{\hat{M}\left(\|u\|^{2}\right)}{\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x}: u \in H_{0}^{m}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x>0\right\}
$$

Then for each compact interval $K \subseteq(a,+\infty)$, there exists a number $\eta>0$ with the following property: for every $\lambda \in K$ and $g \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists $\mu^{*}>0$ such that for each $\mu \in\left[0, \mu^{*}\right]$, (1) has at least three weak solutions having norms less than $\eta$.

The plan of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we state some definitions and preliminary results which would be used to prove the main theorem. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 3.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we describe some notations, state some definitions and preliminary results. We say that a function $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has subcritical exponential growth if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(x, u)|}{\exp \left(\alpha u^{2}\right)}=0, \forall \alpha>0 \text { and a.e. in } \Omega \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The growth is called critical if there exists $\alpha^{*}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(x, u)|}{\exp \left(\alpha u^{2}\right)}=0 \text { for all } \alpha>\alpha^{*} \text { and a.e. in } \Omega \\
& \lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(x, u)|}{\exp \left(\alpha u^{2}\right)}=\infty \text { for all } \alpha<\alpha^{*} \text { and a.e. in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 1 We denote by $\mathcal{F}$ a class of functions $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ each of which satisfies the following properties:

1. $f$ is Carathéodory function.
2. $f$ has subcritical exponential growth, i.e., (3) is satisfied.
3. For every $B>0, \sup _{|t| \leq B}|f(x, t)| \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Definition 2 Suppose $X$ is a Banach space. We denote by $\mathcal{L}_{X}$ the class of functionals $L: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the property: If $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $X$ and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} L\left(u_{n}\right) \leq L(u)$, then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a convergent subsequence converging to $u$.

Next, we recall the statement of Ricerri critical point theorem [17]:
Theorem 4 Let $X$ be a separable and reflexive real Banach space. Suppose $\Phi, I: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are $C^{1}$ functionals satisfying the following conditions:

1. $\Phi$ is coercive, sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and is of class $\mathcal{L}_{X}$.
2. $\Phi$ is bounded on each bounded subset of $X$.
3. $\Phi^{\prime}$ admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*}$.
4. $\Phi$ has a strict local minimum at $u_{0}$ with $\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)=I\left(u_{0}\right)=0$.
5. $I^{\prime}$ is compact.
6. $\max \left\{\limsup _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I(u)}{\Phi(u)}, \lim \sup _{u \rightarrow u_{0}} \frac{I(u)}{\Phi(u)}\right\} \leq 0$ and $\sup _{u \in X} \min \{\Phi(u), I(u)\}>0$.

Set

$$
a:=\inf \left\{\frac{\Phi(u)}{I(u)}: u \in X, \min \{\Phi(u), I(u)\}>0\right\}
$$

Then for each compact interval $K \subseteq(a,+\infty)$, there exists a number $\eta>0$ with the following property: for every $\lambda \in K$ and every $C^{1}$ functional $J: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact derivative, there exists $\mu^{*}>0$ such that for each $\mu \in\left[0, \mu^{*}\right]$,

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(u)=\lambda I^{\prime}(u)+\mu J^{\prime}(u)
$$

has at least three solutions having norm less than $\eta$.
Throughout this paper, we consider the Sobolev space $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m} u\right|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

By Sobolev embedding theorem, $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded into $L^{q}(\Omega)$ for every $q \geq 1$. Let $S_{q}$ be the optimal constant of this embedding, then we have

$$
\|u\|_{q} \leq S_{q}\|u\|
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{q}$ is the standard norm in $L^{q}$ space. Next, we define weak solution of (1).
Definition 3 We say that $u \in H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution to (1) if

$$
M\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m} u\right|^{2} d x\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla^{m} u \nabla^{m} v d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v d x-\mu \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) v d x=0
$$

for every $v \in H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$.
For a given $f \in \mathcal{F}$, define $F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s$. We also define the functionals $\gamma, \Phi, I: H_{0}^{m}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m} u\right|^{2} d x \\
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2} \hat{M}(\gamma(u)), \text { where } \hat{M}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} M(s) d s
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
I(u)=\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x
$$

It is easy to see that $\Phi$ and $I$ are of the class $C^{1}$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v d x \\
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=M\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m} u\right|^{2} d x\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla^{m} u \nabla^{m} v d x
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $u, v \in H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 3

To prove Theorem 3, we first prove some lemmas.
Lemma 1 If $f \in \mathcal{F}$, then the functional $H: H_{0}^{m}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $H(u)=\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) d x$, where $F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s$ is $C^{1}$ and $H^{\prime}: H_{0}^{m}(\Omega) \rightarrow\left(H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$ is compact. Here $\left(H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$ is the dual of $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$.
Proof. Since $f$ satisfies subcritical growth condition (3), we have

$$
|f(x, t)| \leq C \exp \left(\kappa t^{2}\right)
$$

Then for every $u \in H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$, and almost every $x \in \Omega$,

$$
|F(x, u)| \leq C|u| \exp \left(\kappa u^{2}\right)
$$

By Adams inequality and Holder's inequality, $H$ is well defined on $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$. Next, we show that $H$ is Gateaux differentiable with derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle H^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v d x, \quad \forall u, v \in H_{0}^{m}(\Omega) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $u, v \in H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$ and $t \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\frac{H(u+t v)-H(u)}{t}=\int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, u+t v)-F(x, u)}{t} d x=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u+t \tau(x) v(x)) v(x) d x
$$

where $\tau$ is a measurable function taking values in $[0,1]$. This gives

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{H(u+t v)-H(u)}{t}=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v d x
$$

This proves (4). Next, we show that if $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$, then

$$
\sup _{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right|^{q} d x<\infty \text { for all } q>0
$$

Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, there exists $L>0$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq L, \forall n \geq 1$. Since $f$ satisfies (3),

$$
f\left(x, u_{n}\right) \leq C \exp \left(\kappa|u|^{2}\right)
$$

for some constant $C>0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}|f(x, u)|^{q} d x & \leq \int_{\Omega} C^{q} \exp \left(\kappa q\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x \\
& =C^{q} \int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\kappa q\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\left(\frac{\left|u_{n}\right|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}\right)^{2}\right) d x \\
& \leq C^{q} \int_{\Omega} \exp \left(\kappa q L^{2}\left(\frac{\left|u_{n}\right|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}\right)^{2}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 2 if $0<\kappa<\frac{\beta(2 m, m)}{q L^{2}}$, then

$$
\sup _{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right|^{q} d x<\infty
$$

Now, suppose $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$, then there exists $u \in H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$ such that, upto a subsequence, $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. in $\Omega$. We show that, for every $q>0, f\left(\cdot, u_{n}(\cdot)\right) \rightarrow f(\cdot, u(\cdot))$ in $L^{q}(\Omega)$. Indeed, since $f\left(\cdot, u_{n}(\cdot)\right) \rightarrow f(\cdot, u(\cdot))$ a.e. in $\Omega$, for a fixed $p>1$ there exists a constant $C_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right)\right|^{p q} d x \leq C_{1}
$$

Let $\epsilon>0$ be arbitrary and $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega$ be a measurable subset. By Hölder's inequality

$$
\int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right|^{q} d x \leq|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right|^{p q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}}|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}<\epsilon
$$

provided $|\Omega|$ is small. Here $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. By Vitali convergence theorem, $f\left(\cdot, u_{n}(\cdot)\right) \rightarrow f(\cdot, u(\cdot))$ in $L^{q}(\Omega)$.
Now, we show that $H^{\prime}: H_{0}^{m}(\Omega) \rightarrow\left(H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$ is continuous and compact. Let $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$. Then, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. in $\Omega$. For some $v \in H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$ with $\|v\| \leq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle H^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-H^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle\right| & \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f(x, u)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|v|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C\|v\|\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)-f(x, u)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $H^{\prime}$ is continuous. Similarly, we can show that $H^{\prime}$ is compact.
Lemma 2 1. The functional $\Phi$ is sequentially weak lower semicontinuous.
2. $\Phi$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{L}_{X}$.

Proof. (i). Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m} u\right|^{2} d x \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the function $t \mapsto \hat{M}(t)$ is continuous and non-decreasing,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi\left(u_{n}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m} u_{n}\right|^{2} d x\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \hat{M}\left(\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m} u_{n}\right|^{2} d x\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \hat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m} u\right|^{2} d x\right)=\Phi(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\Phi$ is sequentially weak lower semicontinuous.
(ii). It is easy to see that $\gamma(u) \in L_{X}$. Since $\hat{M}$ is continuous and non-decreasing, we deduce that $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_{X}$.

Proof of Theorem 3. By Lemma 1, $I$ is well defined and continuously Gateaux differentiable function with compact derivative $\left\langle I^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v d x, \forall u, v \in H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$. By Lemma 2, $\Phi$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional which belongs to the class $\mathcal{L}_{X}$. Next, we show that $\Phi$ is coercive.

$$
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2} \hat{M}\left(\|u\|^{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2 \alpha}
$$

Thus $\Phi$ is coercive. It is easy to see that $u_{0}=0$ is only global minimum of $\Phi$ and $\Phi(0)=0=I(0)$. Moreover, if $\|u\| \leq r$ then $\Phi(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \hat{M}\left(r^{n}\right)$ and hence $\Phi$ is bounded on each bounded subset of $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$.

Next, we show that the operator $\Phi^{\prime}: H_{0}^{m}(\Omega) \rightarrow\left(H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$ is invertible on $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$. In view of MintyBrowder theorem [19, Theorem 26 A ], it is enough to show that $\Phi$ is strictly convex, hemicontinuous and coercive. Let $u, v \in H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$ with $u \neq v$ and $t \in[0,1]$. Since the operator $\gamma^{\prime}: H_{0}^{m}(\Omega) \rightarrow\left(H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$ given by

$$
\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} \nabla^{m} u \nabla^{m} v d x
$$

is strictly monotone, $\gamma$ is strictly convex, see [19, Proposition 25.10]. Furthermore, as $M$ is non-decreasing, the function $\hat{M}$ is convex in $[0,+\infty)$. Thus

$$
\hat{M}(\gamma(t u+(1-t) v)<\hat{M}(t \gamma(u)+(1-t) \gamma(v)) \leq t \hat{M}(\gamma(u))+(1-t) \hat{M}(\gamma(v))
$$

This shows that $\Phi^{\prime}$ is strictly monotone. For any $u \in H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$, by (M1), we see that

$$
\frac{\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle}{\|u\|}=\frac{M(\gamma(u))\|u\|^{2}}{\|u\|} \geq m_{0}\|u\|^{2 \alpha-1}
$$

Thus $\Phi^{\prime}$ is coercive. By using standard arguments, we can conclude that $\Phi^{\prime}$ is hemicontinuous. By Theorem [19, Theorem 26-A] there exists $\Phi^{\prime-1}:\left(H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)\right)^{*} \rightarrow H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$ and $\Phi^{\prime-1}$ is bounded. Now, we show that $\Phi^{\prime-1}$ is continuous. Let $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subseteq\left(H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$ be a sequence converging to $v \in\left(H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)\right)^{*}, u_{n}=\Phi^{\prime-1}\left(v_{n}\right)$ and $u=\Phi^{\prime-1}(v)$. Then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$ and upto a subsequence $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0}$ weakly in $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$. Since $v_{n} \rightarrow v$, it is easy to see that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u_{0}\right\rangle=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle v_{n}, u_{n}-u_{0}\right\rangle=0
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} M\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m} u_{n}\right|^{2} d x\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla^{m} u_{n} \nabla^{m}\left(u_{n}-u_{0}\right) d x=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m} u_{n}\right|^{2} d x \rightarrow b \geq 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

If $b=0$, then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges to $u_{0}=0$ in $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$ and the proof is complete. If $b>0$,

$$
M\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m} u_{n}\right|^{2} d x\right) \rightarrow M(b) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

By (M1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla^{m} u_{n}\right|^{2} d x\right) \geq C>0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6) and (7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \nabla^{m} u_{n} \nabla^{m}\left(u_{n}-u_{0}\right) d x=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0}$ weakly in $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \nabla^{m} u_{0} \nabla^{m}\left(u_{n}-u_{0}\right) d x=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (8) and (9), $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$. Since $\Phi^{\prime}$ is continuous and injective, $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$ and hence $\Phi^{\prime-1}$ is continuous. In the following, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{I(u)}{\Phi(u)} \leq 0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the hypothesis (H2), for every $\epsilon>0$, there exists $\delta_{1}>0$ such that for all $x \in \Omega$ and $|t| \leq \eta_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t) \leq \epsilon|t|^{2 \alpha} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{F}$, for a fixed $\alpha>0$ and $q>2 \alpha$ there exists $C>0$ such that for every $x \in \Omega$ and $|t| \geq \delta_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t) \leq C|t|^{q} \exp \left(\alpha t^{2}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On combining (11) and (12), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t) \leq \epsilon|t|^{2 \alpha}+C|t|^{q} \exp \left(\alpha t^{2}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On using (13), (2) and Hölder's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u) & =\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\epsilon|u|^{2 \alpha}+C|u|^{q} \exp \left(\alpha|u|^{2}\right)\right) d x \\
& \leq \epsilon \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2 \alpha} d x+C\left(\int_{\Omega} \exp \left(p \alpha\|u\|^{2}\left(\frac{|u|^{2}}{\|u\|^{2}}\right)\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{\prime} q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \leq \epsilon S_{2 \alpha}^{2 \alpha}\|u\|^{2 \alpha}+C\left(S_{p^{\prime} q}\right)^{q} C_{0}^{\frac{1}{p}}\|u\|^{q} \\
& \leq \frac{2 \epsilon \alpha}{m_{0}} S_{2 \alpha}^{2 \alpha} \Phi(u)+C\left(S_{p^{\prime} q}\right)^{q} C_{0}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{2 \alpha}{m_{0}} \Phi(u)\right)^{\frac{q}{2 \alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\frac{I(u)}{\Phi(u)} \leq \frac{2 \epsilon \alpha}{m_{0}} S_{2 \alpha}^{2 \alpha}+C\left(S_{p^{\prime} q}\right)^{q} C_{0}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{2 \alpha}{m_{0}}\right)^{\frac{q}{2 \alpha}} \Phi(u)^{\frac{q-2 \alpha}{2 \alpha}}
$$

where $C_{0}=C(2 m, m)$ is defined in (2). Since $q>2 \alpha$ and $\Phi(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0$, we see that

$$
\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{I(u)}{\Phi(u)} \leq 0
$$

Next, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I(u)}{\Phi(u)} \leq 0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the assumptions (F3), for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\delta_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t) \leq \epsilon|t|^{2 \alpha} \text { for every } x \in \Omega \text { and }|t|>\delta_{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists $K>0$ such that for every $x \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|t| \leq \delta_{2}}|f(x, t)| \leq K \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

On combining (15) and (16), we get

$$
F(x, t) \leq K \delta_{2}+\epsilon|t|^{2 \alpha} \text { for every } x \in \Omega \text { and } t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Thus

$$
I(u) \leq K \delta_{2}|\Omega|+\epsilon \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2 \alpha} d x
$$

Since $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2 \alpha}(\Omega)$,

$$
\frac{I(u)}{\Phi(u)} \leq \frac{2 \alpha}{m_{0}\|u\|^{2 \alpha}}\left(K \delta_{2}|\Omega|+\epsilon \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2 \alpha} d x\right) \leq \frac{2 \alpha K \delta_{2}|\Omega|}{m_{0}\|u\|^{2 \alpha}}+\frac{2 \alpha \epsilon S_{2 \alpha}^{2 \alpha}}{m_{0}}
$$

This proves (14). From (10) and (14), we obtain

$$
\max \left\{\limsup _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I(u)}{\Phi(u)}, \limsup _{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{I(u)}{\Phi(u)}\right\} \leq 0
$$

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied. Moreover, the functional $\Lambda(u)=\int_{\Omega} G(x, u) d x$, where $G(x, t)=\int_{\Omega} g(x, s) d x$, is continuously Gateaux differentiable in $H_{0}^{m}(\Omega)$. It is easy to see that $\Lambda$ has compact derivative given by

$$
\left\langle\Lambda^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} g(x, u) v d x
$$

By Theorem 4 there exists $\eta>0$ such that for every $\lambda \in K$ there exists $\mu^{*}>0$ such that for each $\mu \in\left[0, \mu^{*}\right]$, the functional $\Phi-\lambda I-\mu \Lambda$ has at least three critical points whose norm is less than $\eta$. Hence, (1) has three weak solutions. This completes the proof.
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