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#### Abstract

We prove the nonexistence of circulant Hadamard matrices $H$ of order $n>4$ under the truth of some congruences $(\bmod 2)$ extending a result of Brualdi. The new idea consists of exploiting modular properties of a related circulant weighing matrix of order $n / 2$.


## 1 Introduction

A matrix of order $n$ is a square matrix with $n$ rows. A circulant matrix $A=\operatorname{circ}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ of order $n$ is a matrix of order $n$ of first row $\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ in which each row after the first is obtained by a cyclic shift of its predecessor by one position. For example, the second row of $A$ is $\left[a_{n}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right]$. As usual, $J$ is the matrix of order $n$ with all its entries equal to 1 (i.e., $J=\operatorname{circ}(1, \ldots, 1)$ ). A Hadamard matrix $H$ of order $n$ is a matrix of order $n$ with entries in $\{-1,1\}$ such that $\frac{H}{\sqrt{n}}$ is an orthogonal matrix. A circulant Hadamard matrix of order $n$ is a circulant matrix that is Hadamard. The 10 known circulant Hadamard matrices are $H_{1}=\operatorname{circ}(1), H_{2}=-H_{1}, H_{3}=\operatorname{circ}(1,-1,-1,-1), H_{4}=-H_{3}, H_{5}=\operatorname{circ}(-1,1,-1,-1), H_{6}=-H_{5}$, $H_{7}=\operatorname{circ}(-1,-1,1,-1), H_{8}=-H_{7}, H_{9}=\operatorname{circ}(-1,-1,-1,1), H_{10}=-H_{9}$.

If $H=\operatorname{circ}\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$, is a circulant Hadamard matrix of order $n$ then its representer polynomial is the polynomial $R(x)=h_{1}+h_{2} x+\cdots+h_{n} x^{n-1}$.

No one has been able, despite several deep computations (see [9]), to discover any other circulant Hadamard matrix. Ryser [2, p. 97], [15] proposed in 1963 the conjecture of the non-existence of these matrices when $n>4$. Preceding work on the conjecture includes $[3,4,6,7,8,11,13,14,16]$.

Ryser's conjecture (there is no circulant Hadamard matrices of order $>4$ ) has been studied by several different methods. Brualdi [1] proved in 1965 the first special, and important, case of the conjecture, in which all eigenvalues of a circulant Hadamard matrix $H=\operatorname{circ}\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$ of order $n>4$, are real; i.e., we assume that $H$ is symmetric. We relax in this paper the symmetry condition, by asking just a condition of symmetry modulo 2 of a related matrix.

Assume the existence of a circulant Hadamard matrix $H$ of order $n>4$. The present paper proves that this is impossible when the matrix $H_{2}=(H+J) / 2$ reduced modulo 2 is a symmetric matrix. It is also impossible when an $n / 4 \times n / 4$ related matrix reduced (mod 2$)$ is symmetric. The result follows, essentially, from a result of MacWilliams [10, Corollary 1.8] (see Lemma 5).

In order to be more precise, we define some sub-matrices of a given circulant matrix of even order. Let $M$ be a circulant matrix of even order $2 k$. Observe that $M$, having even order $2 k$, can be partitioned in four blocks $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}, M_{4}$, each of size $k \times k$, as follows

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
M_{1} & M_{2} \\
M_{3} & M_{4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $M$ is circulant, we have $M_{4}=M_{1}$, and $M_{3}=M_{2}$. We are thus associating to the $2 k \times 2 k$ circulant matrix $M$ the square $k \times k$ matrices $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ (see exact details in Lemma 4), in order to have

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
M_{1} & M_{2} \\
M_{2} & M_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

[^0]Our main result is as follows:

Theorem 1 There is no circulant Hadamard matrix $H$ of order $n>4$ provided
(a) the matrix $S_{2}=(H+J) / 2(\bmod 2)$ is symmetric, or
(b) both matrices $C$ and $D$, defined below, are symmetric.

Write $H$ as

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
H_{1} & H_{2} \\
H_{2} & H_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where the $n / 2 \times n / 2$ matrices $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are defined in Lemma \& applied to $H$. Put $T=\left(H_{1}+H_{2}\right) / 2$. Observe that $T$ is circulant, and define $n / 4 \times n / 4$ matrices $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ as above, by using again Lemma 4, this time applied to $T$. Namely, write $T$ as

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1} & T_{2} \\
T_{2} & T_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Finally, we define $C=T_{1}(\bmod 2)$, and $D=T_{2}(\bmod 2)$.
Section 2 contains the main tools necessary for the proof of the theorem. Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem 1. Throughout the paper, we let $A^{*}$ denote the transpose conjugate of a matrix $A$, and the identity matrix of order $k$ is denoted by $I_{k}$. We let $\mathbb{F}_{2}=\{0,1\}$ denote, as usual, the binary finite field. A binary matrix is a matrix with all its entries in $\mathbb{F}_{2}$.

## 2 Tools

The following is well known. See, e.g., [5, p. 1193], [12, p. 234], [16, pp. 329-330] for the first lemma and [2, p. 73] for the second.

First of all, we recall the notion of regular Hadamard matrix.
Definition 1 An r-regular Hadamard matrix is a Hadamard matrix whose row and column sums are all equal to $r$. A regular Hadamard matrix is an r-regular Hadamard matrix for some integer $r$.

Lemma 1 Let $H$ be a regular Hadamard matrix of order $n \geq 4$. Then $n=4 h^{2}$ for some positive integer $h$. Moreover, if $H$ is circulant then $h$ is odd. Furthermore, either $H$ or $-H$ is $2 h$-regular (the other is $(-2 h)$-regular) and each row has $2 h^{2}+h$ positive entries and $2 h^{2}-h$ negative entries, when $H$ is $2 h$-regular; respectively, has $2 h^{2}-h$ positive entries and $2 h^{2}+h$ negative entries, when $H$ is $(-2 h)$-regular.

Lemma 2 Let $H$ be a circulant Hadamard matrix of order $n \geq 1$, let $w=\exp (2 \pi i / n)$, and let $R(x)$ be its representer polynomial. Then, the set of all eigenvalues of $H$, consists of the set of all $R(v)$ where $v \in\left\{1, w, w^{2}, \ldots, w^{n-1}\right\}$. Moreover, one has

$$
|R(v)|=\sqrt{n}
$$

More generally, and in more detail (see [2]), one has
Lemma 3 Let $C=\operatorname{circ}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$ be a circulant matrix of order $n>0$ with representer polynomial $P(t)=$ $c_{1}+c_{2} t+\ldots+c_{n} t^{n-1}$. Let $\omega$ be the primitive complex $n$-th root of unity with smaller positive argument. The matrix $C$ is diagonalizable and $C=F^{*} \Delta F$ where $\Delta=\operatorname{diag}\left(P(1), P(w), \ldots, P\left(w^{n-1}\right)\right.$ is a diagonal matrix containing the eigenvalues of $C$, and $F^{*}=\left(\frac{\omega^{(i-1)(j-1)}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ is the conjugate of the Fourier matrix. Moreover, $F$ is unitary.

The following is well known, useful, and easy to check:
Lemma 4 Let $M$ be a circulant matrix of even order $n$ and with first row $R_{1}=\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right]$. Then
(a)

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
M_{1} & M_{2} \\
M_{2} & M_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $M_{1}, M_{2}$ are the matrices of order $\frac{n}{2}$ defined by $M_{1}=\left(a_{i, j}\right), M_{2}=\left(b_{k, \ell}\right)$, where $i, j, k, \ell=$ $1, \ldots, n / 2$, and $a_{i, j}=m_{j-i+1}, b_{k, \ell}=m_{\ell+n / 2-k+1}$, subscripts $(\bmod n)$.
(b) The matrix $M_{1}+M_{2}$ is circulant.

The following result of MacWilliams [10] is crucial.
Lemma 5 The only circulant, symmetric, and orthogonal matrix, over the binary field $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, of given order $n$, is the identity matrix $I_{n}$.

The following "counting" lemma is important for the proof of the second part of the theorem.
Lemma 6 Let $H$ be $a \sqrt{n}$-regular circulant Hadamard matrix of order $n>1$. Let $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ be the $n / 2$ square matrices defined in Lemma 4 applied to $H$. Let $M=\frac{H_{1}+H_{2}}{2}$. Let $a=$ number of 0 's in the first row of the circulant matrix $M$. Let $b=$ number of 1 's in the first row of $M$, and let $c=$ number of -1 's in the first row of $M$. Then
(i) $a=\frac{n}{4}$,
(ii) $b=\frac{n+2 \sqrt{n}}{8}$,
(iii) $c=\frac{n-2 \sqrt{n}}{8}$.

Proof. Since $H / \sqrt{n}$ is orthogonal, by Lemma 4, we have $H_{1} H_{1}{ }^{*}+H_{2} H_{2}{ }^{*}=n I_{n / 2}$, and $H_{1} H_{2}{ }^{*}+H_{2} H_{1}{ }^{*}=0$. Then, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M M^{*}=(n / 4) I_{n / 2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

One has

$$
M=\operatorname{circ}\left(\frac{h_{1}+h_{n / 2+1}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{h_{n / 2}+h_{n}}{2}\right)
$$

Observe, from (1), that $n / 4$ equals the sum of squares of all entries in row 1 of $M$, and that an entry $\frac{h_{i}+h_{n / 2+i}}{2}=0$ does not contribute to the sum of squares, while the other entries, i.e., the nonzero ones, each contribute by 1 to the same sum. In other words one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
n / 4=b+c \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H$ is $\sqrt{n}$-regular, and $2 \sqrt{n}>0$, we have that $M$ is $S$-regular, with $S>0$. Compute now $S$, i.e., compute the sum of all entries in row 1 of $M$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\sum_{i=1}^{n / 2} \frac{h_{i}+h_{n / 2+i}}{2}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}=\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $S=b-c$, since zeros do not contribute to the sum, thus it follows from (3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b-c=\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2) and (4) we get (ii) and (iii). Since the total number of entries in the first row of $M$ is equal to $n / 2$, we have

$$
n / 2=a+b+c
$$

thereby obtaining also (i). This finishes the proof of the lemma.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. Assume, on the contrary, the existence of a circulant Hadamard matrix $H=\operatorname{circ}\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$ where $n>4$, such that
(a) for $C_{1}=(H+J) / 2$, the matrix $S_{2}=C_{1}(\bmod 2)$ is symmetric. Put $I=I_{n}$. By Lemma $1, n=4 h^{2}$ with odd $h>1$, and we can assume that all the row sums of $H$ equal $2 h$ (i.e, $H$ is $2 h$-regular). Observe that $H H^{*}=4 h^{2} I, H J=J H^{*}=2 h J$, and $J^{2}=n J$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} C_{1}^{*}=H H^{*} / 4+\left(H J+J H^{*}\right) / 4+J^{2} / 4=h^{2} I+\left(h+h^{2}\right) J \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h$ is odd, it follows then from (5) that $S_{2} S_{2}^{*}=I$, as a matrix over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. In other words, $S_{2}$ is an orthogonal matrix of order $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. Thus, since we assumed that $S_{2}$ is symmetric, Lemma 5 implies that $S_{2}=I$. In particular, the number of 1's in the first row of $S_{2}$ is equal to 1 . But, by definition of $S_{2}$, this says that $C_{1}$ (a $\{0,1\}$ matrix), and thus $H$, has also only a single 1 in its first row. By Lemma 1 , and since $H$ is $2 h$-regular, we know that the number of these 1 's is equal to $2 h^{2}+h$. We conclude that $2 h^{2}+h=1$. This is impossible since $h>1$. This contradiction proves the result.
(b) Put $E=C+D$. Thus $E$ is symmetric. Apply Lemma 4 to $M=H$ to get matrices $A_{1}=H_{1}, B_{1}=H_{2}$ of order $2 h^{2}$ for which $T=\left(H_{1}+H_{2}\right) / 2$ is a circulant $\{-1,0,1\}$ matrix. Apply again Lemma 4, this time to $M=T$, to get matrices $A_{2}=T_{1}, B_{2}=T_{2}$ of order $h^{2}$ for which $L=\left(A_{2}+B_{2}\right)$ is a circulant matrix with entries in $\{-2,-1,0,1,2\}$. Thus $C=A_{2}(\bmod 2)$, and $D=B_{2}(\bmod 2)$. Since $H H^{*}=n I_{n}$, we get by block multiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1} A_{1}^{*}+B_{1} B_{1}^{*}=4 h^{2} I_{2 h^{2}}, A_{1} B_{1}^{*}+B_{1} A_{1}^{*}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that, by adding both equations in (6) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T T^{*}=h^{2} I_{2 h^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remember that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=D^{*}, C=C^{*} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $U=T(\bmod 2)$. Reducing (7) $(\bmod 2)$ one sees that $U$ is orthogonal. Thus, it follows from the definition of $T$, and from (8), that $U$ is also symmetric. Therefore, a new application of Lemma 5 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=I_{2 h^{2}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

But (9) contradicts Lemma 6 since the number of entries equal to -1 or to 1 in the first row of $T$ (and thus, the number of 1 's in the first row of $U$ ) is (with the notation of the lemma) equal to $b+c=h^{2} \geq 9$, and not equal to 1 , as is in the matrix $I_{2 h^{2}}$. This contradiction proves the result.

Remark 1 Concerning the proof of part (b) of the theorem. Asking that $E=C+D$ be symmetric, instead of asking that both $C$ and $D$ be symmetric, (in the hypothesis of the theorem), seems too weak, in order to get the same result. Moreover, when both $C$ and $D$ are assumed to be symmetric, it is possible to prove, using again MacWilliams result, that one has $E=I_{h^{2}}$ (i.e., that we have $C=I_{h^{2}}+D$ ). However, this alone do not seems to give a contradiction. Thus, we obtained the contradiction (that proved part (b) of the Theorem) by focusing on the $2 h^{2} \times 2 h^{2}$ matrix $T$, instead.
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