$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Common Fixed Point For Multivalued} \\ (\psi, \theta, G)\mbox{-Contraction Type Maps In Metric Spaces} \\ \mbox{With A Graph Structure}^* \end{array}$

Saadia Benchabane[†], Smail Djebali[‡]

Received 08 October 2018

Abstract

In this work, we introduce the notion of a common (ψ, θ, G) -contraction multivalued mapping in order to establish some new common fixed point theorems for these classes of mappings in complete metric spaces endowed with a graph. An example of application illustrates the main existence theorem. Our results generalize some recent known results.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Since the proof of Banach's fixed contraction principle [2] in 1922, many research works have considered different kinds of generalizations. Among them, the classical multi-valued version was established by Covitz and Nadler [12] in 1969 using the Hausdorff-Pompeiu metric in a complete metric space.

In 2008, Jachymski [8] provided a new approach in metric fixed point theory by replacing the order structure with a graph structure on a metric space. He introduced the concept of *G*-contraction, where the contraction condition is only verified on the edge of the graph. Subsequently, many authors have extended the Banach *G*-contraction in different ways (we refer to [1], [3], [13], [15], [16], and references therein).

Recently, Jleli and Samet [10] introduced another definition called θ -contraction and proved a fixed point result as a generalization of the Banach contraction principle. Their result has then been extended by many authors (see, e.g., [6], [7], [9], [11], [17]). Given a metric space (X, d), a mapping $T : X \longrightarrow X$ is a θ -contraction if there exist $\theta \in \Theta$ and $k \in (0, 1)$ such that:

$$\theta(d(Tx, Ty)) \le \left[\theta(d(x, y))\right]^k,$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with d(Tx, Ty) > 0. Here Θ refers to the set of all functions θ : $(0, \infty) \to (1, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

^{*}Mathematics Subject Classifications: 47H10, 54E50, 54H25.

[†]Laboratoire "Théorie du Point Fixe et Applications", ENS, BP 92 Kouba. Algiers, 16006. Algeria [‡]Department of Mathematics & Statistics, College of Sciences, Al Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU). PB 90950. Riyadh 11623, Saudi Arabia

 $(\Theta_1) \ \theta$ is non-decreasing,

 (Θ_2) for each sequence $(t_n)_n \subset (0,\infty)$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \theta(t_n) = 1$ if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = 0^+$

 (Θ_3) there exist $r \in (0,1)$ and $l \in (0,\infty]$ such that $\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\theta(t)-1}{t^r} = l$.

The aim of this paper is to prove some common fixed point results for a new class of multi-valued mappings called (ψ, θ, G) -contractions in a metric space endowed with a graph G.

Let us collect some basic notions and primary results we need to develop our existence results. Let (X, d) be a metric space. We denote by CB(X) the family of nonempty closed bounded subsets of X and by C(X) the family of nonempty closed subsets of X. For $A, B \in C(X)$, let

$$H(A,B) = \max\{\sup_{x\in A} d(x,B), \sup_{y\in B} d(y,A)\},\$$

where $d(x, B) = \inf\{d(x, y) : y \in B\}$. *H* is called the Hausdorff-Pompeiu distance on C(X). This is a metric on CB(X).

A graph G is an ordered pair (V, E), where V is a set and $E \subset V \times V$ is a binary relation on V. Elements of E are called edges and are denoted by E(G) while elements of V, denoted V(G), are called vertices. If a direction is imposed in E, that is the edges are directed, then we get a digraph (directed graph). Hereafter, we assume that G has no parallel edges, i.e., two vertices cannot be connected by more than one edge. Thus, G can be identified with the pair (V(G), E(G)). If x and y are vertices of G, then a path in G from x to y of length $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is a finite sequence $(x_n)_n$, $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ of vertices such that $x = x_0, \ldots, x_k = y$ and $(x_{n-1}, x_n) \in E(G)$ for $n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. A graph G is connected if there is a path between any two vertices and it is weakly connected if \tilde{G} is connected, where \tilde{G} denotes the undirected graph obtained from G by ignoring the direction of edges. Let G^{-1} be the graph obtained from G by reversing the direction of edges (the conversion of the graph G). We have

$$E(G^{-1}) = \{ (x, y) \in X \times X : (y, x) \in E(G) \}$$

It is more convenient to treat \widetilde{G} as a directed graph for which the set of edges is symmetric. Then

$$E(G) = E(G) \cup E(G^{-1}).$$

Let G_x be the component of G consisting of all edges and vertices which are contained in some path in G beginning at x. If G is such that E(G) is symmetric, then for $x \in V(G)$, we may define the equivalence class $[x]_G$ on V(G) by the relation xRy if there is a path in G from x to y. Then $V(G_x) = [x]_G$.

Throughout this paper, (X, d) denotes a metric space, G = (V(G), E(G)) is a directed graph without parallel edges with V(G) = X and $(x, x) \notin E(G)$ (the graph does not contain loops). The following condition first appeared in [8]:

PROPERTY (A). For any sequence $(x_n)_n$ in X, if $x_n \to x$ and $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

With this condition, Jachymski showed that in a complete metric space, a Gcontraction mapping f has a fixed point if and only if

$$X_f = \{x \in X : (x, f(x)) \in E(G)\} \neq \emptyset, \tag{1}$$

that is the graph of f intersects the edge of the space graph.

Further to the set Φ , we consider the following classes of functions:

DEFINITION 1. We denote by Ψ the set of functions $\psi : (1, \infty) \to (1, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) ψ is non-decreasing;
- (ii) For each sequence $(t_n)_n \subset (1,\infty)$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi(t_n) = 1$ if and only if $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = 1$.

Now we give the following definition, extending the definitions of G-contraction [8], θ -contraction [10], and $(G - \psi)$ -contraction [4].

DEFINITION 2. Let (X, d) be a metric space endowed with a graph G. Two mappings $T_1, T_2 : X \to C(X)$ are said to be a common (ψ, θ, G) -contraction if for all $x, y \in X$ such that $(x, y) \in E(G)$ and $a \in T_i(x)$, there exists $b \in T_j(y)$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ with $i \neq j$ such that $(a, b) \in E(G)$ and

$$\psi(\theta(d^{p}(a,b))) \leq \psi([\theta((M_{p}(T_{i}x,T_{j}y))]^{k(d(x,y))}) + LN_{p}(T_{i}x,T_{j}y),$$

where

$$N_p(T_ix, T_jy) = \min\{d^p(x, T_i(x)), d^p(y, T_j(y)), d^p(y, T_i(x)), d^p(x, T_j(y))\}, d^p(x, T_j(y))\}, d^p(x, T_j(y)) = \min\{d^p(x, T_i(x)), d^p(y, T_j(y)), d^p$$

$$M_{p}(T_{i}x, T_{j}y) = \max \left\{ d^{p}(x, y), d^{p}(x, T_{i}(x)), d^{p}(y, T_{j}(y)), \\ \frac{d^{p}(y, T_{i}(x)) + d^{p}(x, T_{j}(y))}{2^{p}}, \\ \frac{d^{p}(x, T_{i}(x))d^{p}(y, T_{j}(y))}{1 + d^{p}(x, y)}, \frac{d^{p}(y, T_{i}(x))d^{p}(x, T_{j}(y))}{1 + d^{p}(x, y)} \right\},$$

 $\begin{array}{l} k:(0,+\infty)\to [0,1) \text{ satisfies } \limsup_{s\to t^+} k(s)<1, \text{ for all } t\in [0,+\infty), \ L\geq 0, \ \theta\in \Theta, \ \psi\in \Psi, \\ \psi\circ\theta \text{ is lower semi continuity, and } 1\leq p<\frac{1}{r}. \end{array}$

2 Main Result

Our existence results for common fixed points are collected in the following:

THEOREM 1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space endowed with a directed graph G and suppose that the triple (X, d, G) has the property (A). Let $T_1, T_2 : X \to C(X)$ be a common (ψ, θ, G) -contraction. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) For every $x \in X_{T_i}$, i = 1 or i = 2, the mappings $T_1, T_2 |_{[x]_{\widetilde{G}}}$ have a common fixed point, where X_{T_i} is as defined in (1).
- (ii) If $X_{T_i} \neq \emptyset$, i = 1 or i = 2, and G is weakly connected, then T_1 and T_2 have a common fixed point in X.
- (iii) If $X' = \bigcup \{ [x]_{\widetilde{G}} : x \in X_{T_i} \}$, i = 1 or i = 2, then $T_1, T_2 \mid_{X'}$ have a common fixed point.
- (iv) If $Graph(T_i) \subseteq E(G)$, i = 1 or i = 2, then T_1 and T_2 have a common fixed point.

PROOF.

Claim 1. (a) Construction of a Cauchy sequence $(x_n)_n$. Given $x_0 \in X_{T_i}$ (i = 1 or 2), there is an $x_1 \in T_i(x_0)$ such that $(x_0, x_1) \in E(G)$. Since T_1 and T_2 are a common (ψ, θ, G) -contraction, then there exists $x_2 \in T_j(x_1)$ (j = 2 or 1) such that $(x_1, x_2) \in E(G)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\theta(d^{p}(x_{1}, x_{2}))) &\leq \psi([\theta(M_{p}(T_{i}x_{0}, T_{j}x_{1}))]^{k(d(x_{0}, x_{1}))} + LN_{p}(T_{i}x_{0}, T_{j}x_{1})) \\ &\leq \psi([\theta(M_{p}(T_{i}x_{0}, T_{j}x_{1}))]^{k(d(x_{0}, x_{1}))}) + Ld^{p}(x_{1}, T_{i}(x_{0}))) \\ &= \psi([\theta(M_{p}(T_{i}x_{0}, T_{j}x_{1}))]^{k(d(x_{0}, x_{1}))}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(x_1, x_2) \in E(G)$ and T_1, T_2 are common (ψ, θ, G) -contraction, there exists $x_3 \in T_i(x_2)$ such that $(x_2, x_3) \in E(G)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\theta(d^p(x_2, x_3))) &\leq \psi([\theta(M_p(T_j x_1, T_i x_2))]^{k(d(x_1, x_2))}) + LN_p(T_j x_1, T_i x_2) \\ &\leq \psi([\theta(M_p(T_j x_1, T_i x_2))]^{k(d(x_1, x_2))}) + Ld^p(x_2, T_j(x_1))) \\ &= \psi([\theta(M_p(T_j x_1, T_i x_2))]^{k(d(x_1, x_2))}). \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we construct a sequence $(x_n)_n$ such that $x_{2n+1} \in T_i(x_{2n}), x_{2n+2} \in T_j(x_{2n+1}), (x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$, and

$$\psi(\theta(d^p(x_n, x_{n+1}))) \le \begin{cases} \psi([\theta(M_p(T_i x_{n-1}, T_j x_n))]^{k(d(x_{n-1}, x_n))}) & \text{for odd } n, \\ \psi([\theta(M_p(T_j x_{n-1}, T_i x_n))]^{k(d(x_{n-1}, x_n))}) & \text{for even } n. \end{cases}$$

Let us distinguish between two cases:

• Case 1: n is odd.

$$\begin{split} &M_p(T_i x_{2k}, T_j x_{2k+1}) \\ &= \max \left\{ d^p(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}), d^p(x_{2k}, T_i(x_{2k})), d^p(x_{2k+1}, T_j(x_{2k+1})), \\ & \frac{d^p(x_{2k+1}, T_i(x_{2k})) + d^p(x_{2k}, T_j(x_{2k+1}))}{2^p}, \frac{d^p(x_{2k}, T_i(x_{2k})) d^p(x_{2k+1}, T_j(x_{2k+1}))}{1 + d^p(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})} \\ & \frac{d^p(x_{2k+1}, T_i(x_{2k})) d^p(x_{2k}, T_j(x_{2k+1}))}{1 + d^p(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ d^p(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}), d^p(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}), d^p(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}), \right. \end{split}$$

$$\frac{d^{p}(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}) + d^{p}(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})}{2^{p}}, \frac{d^{p}(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})d^{p}(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})}{1 + d^{p}(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})} \\
\frac{d^{p}(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1})d^{p}(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})}{1 + d^{p}(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})} \bigg\} \\
= \max\bigg\{d^{p}(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}), d^{p}(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}), \frac{d^{p}(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})}{2^{p}}\bigg\}.$$

Since for all $a, b \ge 0$ and $p \ge 1$, we have

$$(a+b)^p \le 2^{p-1}(a^p+b^p).$$

Then

$$\frac{d^p(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})}{2^p} \leq \frac{(d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}))^p}{2^p} \\ \leq \frac{d^p(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + d^p(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})}{2}.$$

We deduce that

$$M_p(T_i x_{2k}, T_j x_{2k+1}) = \max\left\{d^p(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}), d^p(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})\right\}$$

If $M_p(T_i x_{2k}, T_j x_{2k+1}) = d^p(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})$, then

$$\psi(\theta(d^p(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}))) \leq \psi([\theta(d^p(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}))]^{k(d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}))}) \\ < \psi(\theta(d^p(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}))),$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $M_p(T_i x_{2k}, T_j x_{2k+1}) = d^p(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})$.

• Case 2: n is even. In an analogous manner, we can show that

$$M_p(T_j x_{2k+2}, T_i x_{2k+1}) = d^p(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}).$$

Hence for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\psi(\theta(d^p(x_n, x_{n+1}))) \le \psi([\theta(d^p(x_{n-1}, x_n))]^{k(d(x_{n-1}, x_n))}).$$
(2)

Since $0 < k(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) < 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\psi(\theta(d^p(x_n, x_{n+1}))) < \psi(\theta(d^p(x_{n-1}, x_n))),$$

that is $(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))_n$ is a decreasing sequence of positive numbers. Hence the sequence $(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))_n$ is convergent.

(b) $(x_n)_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d). Since $\limsup_{s \to t^+} k(s) < 1$ and the sequence $(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))$ is convergent, then there exists $a \in (0, 1)$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) < a$, for all $n \ge n_0$. From the inequality in (2), we obtain that for $n \ge n_0$

$$1 < \psi(\theta(d^p(x_n, x_{n+1}))) \le \psi([\theta(d^p(x_{n-1}, x_n))]^a) \le \dots \le \psi([\theta(d^p(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0+1}))]^{a^{n-n_0}}).$$
(3)

Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$, we get $\psi(\theta(d^p(x_n, x_{n+1}))) \to 1$. By definition of θ and ψ , $d^p(x_n, x_{n+1}) \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$. By (Θ_3) , there exist $r \in (0, 1)$ and $l \in (0, +\infty]$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\theta(d^p(x_n, x_{n+1})) - 1}{[d^p(x_n, x_{n+1})]^r} = l.$$

• If $l < \infty$, let $B = \frac{l}{2}$. By the definition of the limit, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left|\frac{\theta(d^p(x_n, x_{n+1})) - 1}{[d^p(x_n, x_{n+1})]^r} - l\right| \le B.$$

This implies that, for all $n \ge n_0$

$$\frac{\theta(d^p(x_n, x_{n+1})) - 1}{[d^p(x_n, x_{n+1})]^r} \ge B.$$

Then, for all $n \ge n_0$

$$n[d^{p}(x_{n}, x_{n+1})]^{r} \le An[\theta(d^{p}(x_{n}, x_{n+1})) - 1],$$

where $A = \frac{1}{B}$.

• If $l = \infty$. Let B > 0 be an arbitrary positive number. From the definition of the limit, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \ge n_0$

$$\frac{\theta(d^p(x_n, x_{n+1})) - 1}{[d^p(x_n, x_{n+1})]^r} \ge B.$$

Then, for all $n \ge n_0$

$$n[d^{p}(x_{n}, x_{n+1})]^{r} \le An[\theta(d^{p}(x_{n}, x_{n+1})) - 1],$$

where $A = \frac{1}{B}$. Therefore, in all cases, there exist A > 0 and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n[d^p(x_n, x_{n+1})]^r \le An[\theta(d^p(x_n, x_{n+1})) - 1].$$

By (3) and since ψ is non-decreasing, we obtain

$$n[d^{p}(x_{n}, x_{n+1})]^{r} \leq An\Big[\left[\theta(d^{p}(x_{n_{0}}, x_{n_{0}+1}))\right]^{a^{n-n_{0}}} - 1\Big],$$

for all $n \ge n_0$. Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} n[d^p(x_n, x_{n+1})]^r = 0.$$

From the definition of the limit, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \geq n_1$

$$n[d^p(x_n, x_{n+1})]^r \le 1.$$

S. Benchabane, S. Djebali

Therefore, for all $n \ge n_1$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{p_r}}}.$$

Hence for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n \ge n_1$, we have

$$d(x_n, x_m) \le \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \le \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{pr}}}.$$

As $n, m \to \infty$, we get $d(x_n, x_m) \to 0$ (since $\frac{1}{pr} > 1$), showing that $(x_n)_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d). Since (X, d) is complete, there exists $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_n = x$.

(c) x is a common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . By the property (A), $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Again two cases are discussed separately.

• Case 1: n = 2k is even. Suppose that $d(x, T_j(x)) > 0$. Since T_i and T_j are common (ψ, θ, G) -contraction, then there exists $y_k \in T_j(x)$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\theta(d^{p}(x_{2k+1}, y_{k}))) &\leq \psi([\theta(M_{p}(T_{i}x_{2k}, T_{j}x))]^{k(d(x_{2k}, x))}) + LN_{p}(T_{i}x_{2k}, T_{j}x) \\ &\leq \psi([\theta(M_{p}(T_{i}x_{2k}, T_{j}x))]^{k(d(x_{2k}, x))}) + Ld^{p}(x, T_{i}(x_{2k})) \\ &\leq \psi([\theta(M_{p}(T_{i}x_{2k}, T_{j}x))]^{k(d(x_{2k}, x))}) + Ld^{p}(x, x_{2k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{split} M_p(T_i x_{2k}, T_j x) &= \max \left\{ d^p(x_{2k}, x), d^p(x_{2k}, T_i(x_{2k})), d^p(x, T_j(x)), \\ &\qquad \frac{d^p(x, T_i(x_{2k})) + d^p(x_{2k}, T_j(x))}{2^p}, \frac{d^p(x_{2k}, T_i(x_{2k})) d^p(x, T_j(x))}{1 + d^p(x_{2k}, x)}, \\ &\qquad \frac{d^p(x, T_i(x_{2k})) d^p(x_{2k}, T_j(x))}{1 + d^p(x_{2k}, x)} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ d^p(x_{2k}, x), d^p(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}), d^p(x, T_j(x)), \\ &\qquad \frac{d^p(x, x_{2k+1}) + d^p(x_{2k}, T_j(x))}{2^p}, \frac{d^p(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) d^p(x, T_j(x))}{1 + d^p(x_{2k}, x)}, \\ &\qquad \frac{d^p(x, x_{2k+1}) d^p(x_{2k}, T_j(x))}{1 + d^p(x_{2k}, x)} \right\}. \end{split}$$

Then we can choose $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M_p(T_i x_{2k}, T_j x) = d^p(x, T_j(x))$ for each $k \ge k_0$. Since $y_k \in T_j(x)$, we have for each $k \ge k_0$

$$\psi(\theta(d^p(x_{2k+1}, y_k))) \le \psi([\theta(d^p(x, T_j(x)))]^{k(d(x_{2k}, x))}) + Ld^p(x, x_{2k+1}).$$

Taking into account the property of the function k, there exist $a \in (0, 1)$ and $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \ge \max\{k_0, k_0\}$

$$\psi(\theta(d^p(x_{2k+1}, T_j(x)))) \leq \psi(\theta(d^p(x_{2k+1}, y_k)))$$

$$\leq \psi([\theta(d^p(x, T_j(x)))]^a) + Ld^p(x, x_{2k+1}).$$

Taking the lower limit as $k \to \infty$, we deduce that

$$\limsup \inf_{k \to \infty} \psi(\theta(d^p(x_{2k+1}, T_j(x)))) \le \psi([\theta(d^p(x, T_j(x)))]^a).$$

Since $\psi \circ \theta$ is lower semi-continuity and $a \in (0, 1)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\theta(d^p(x,T_j(x)))) &\leq \limsup \inf_{k \to \infty} \psi(\theta(d^p(x_{2k+1},T_j(x)))) \\ &\leq \psi([\theta(d^p(x,T_j(x)))]^a) \\ &< \psi(\theta(d^p(x,T_j(x)))), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we have $d^p(x, T_j(x)) = 0$ which implies that $x \in T_j(x)$.

• Case 2: n = 2k + 1 is odd. Arguing as in Case 1, we obtain $x \in T_i(x)$. Since $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ and $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we conclude that

$$(x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, x)$$

is a path in \widetilde{G} and so $x \in [x_0]_{\widetilde{G}}$.

Claim 2. Since $X_{T_i} \neq \emptyset$, then there exists some $x_0 \in X_{T_i}$. In addition, since G is weakly connected, then $[x_0]_{\widetilde{G}} = X$ and by Claim 1, T_1 and T_2 have a common fixed point in X.

Claim 3. The result follows from Claim 1 and Claim 2.

Claim 4. $Graph(T_i) \subseteq E(G)$ implies that all $x \in X$ are such that there exists some $u \in T_i(x)$ with $(x, u) \in E(G)$, so $X_{T_i} = X$ which imply that T_1 and T_2 have a common fixed point.

3 Example

Let $X = \{\frac{1}{2^n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0, 1\}$ and d(x, y) = |x - y| for all $x, y \in X$. Let $E(G) = \{(\frac{1}{2^n}, 0), (\frac{1}{2^n}, \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}), n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{(1, 0)\}, \theta(t) = e^{(te^t)^{\frac{1}{4}}}, L = 0, \psi(t) = \ln(t) + 1, 1 \le p < 4,$ and

$$k(t) = \begin{cases} (e^{\frac{1}{2^{n_p+p}} - \frac{1}{2^{n_p}}})^{\frac{1}{4}}, & \text{if } t = \frac{1}{2^n}, n \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\},\\ 0, & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let T_1 and $T_2: X \to C(X)$ be two mappings defined by

$$T_1(x) = \begin{cases} \{0\}, & \text{if } x = 0, \\ \{\frac{1}{2}\}, & \text{if } x = 1, \\ \{\frac{1}{2^{n+3}}, \frac{1}{2^{n+4}}, \dots\}, & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{2^n}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$
$$T_2(x) = \begin{cases} \{0\}, & \text{if } x = 0, \\ \{\frac{1}{2^3}\}, & \text{if } x = 1, \\ \{\frac{1}{2^{n+3}}, \frac{1}{2^{n+4}}, \dots\}, & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{2^n}, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

S. Benchabane, S. Djebali

Then T_1 and T_2 are a common $(\psi, \theta \cdot G)$ contraction and $0 \in T_1(0) \cap T_2(0)$. To check the contraction type condition, we have to show that

$$\frac{d^p(x,y)}{M_p(T_ix,T_jy)}e^{d^p(x,y)-M_p(T_ix,T_jy)} \le k^4(d(x,y)).$$

For this, let $x, y \in X$ be such that $(x, y) \in E(G)$ and consider three cases:

• Case 1. If $(x, y) = (\frac{1}{2^n}, 0)$, then (i) $T_1(\frac{1}{2^n}) = \{\frac{1}{2^{n+3}}, \frac{1}{2^{n+4}}, \ldots\}$ and $T_2(0) = \{0\}$. For $a = \frac{1}{2^{n+s}}$ where $s \in \{3, 4, \ldots\}$, let b = 0 and

$$\frac{d^{p}(x,y)}{M_{p}(T_{1}x,T_{2}y)}e^{d^{p}(x,y)-M_{p}(T_{1}x,T_{2}y)} = \frac{2^{np}}{2^{np+sp}}e^{\frac{1}{2^{np+sp}}-\frac{1}{2^{np}}} < e^{\frac{1}{2^{np+sp}}-\frac{1}{2^{np}}} \leq e^{\frac{1}{2^{np+p}}-\frac{1}{2^{np}}} = k^{4}(d(x,y)).$$

(ii) $T_2\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right) = \left\{\frac{1}{2^{n+3}}, \frac{1}{2^{n+4}}, \ldots\right\}$ and $T_1(0) = \{0\}$. For $a = \frac{1}{2^{n+s}}$ where $s \in \{3, 4, \ldots\}$, let b = 0 and

$$\frac{d^{p}(x,y)}{M_{p}(T_{2}x,T_{1}y)}e^{d^{p}(x,y)-M_{p}(T_{2}x,T_{1}y)} = \frac{2^{np}}{2^{np+sp}}e^{\frac{1}{2^{np+sp}}-\frac{1}{2^{np}}}$$

$$< e^{\frac{1}{2^{np+sp}}-\frac{1}{2^{np}}}$$

$$\leq e^{\frac{1}{2^{np+sp}}-\frac{1}{2^{np}}}$$

$$= k^{4}(d(x,y)).$$

• Case 2. If $(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{2^n}, \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right)$, then (i) $T_1\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right) = \left\{\frac{1}{2^{n+3}}, \frac{1}{2^{n+4}}, \ldots\right\}$ and $T_2\left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) = \left\{\frac{1}{2^{n+4}}, \frac{1}{2^{n+5}}, \ldots\right\}$. For $a = \frac{1}{2^{n+s}}$ where $s \in \{3, 4, \ldots\}$, let $b = \frac{1}{2^{n+s+1}}$ where $s \in \{3, 4, \ldots\}$ and

$$\frac{d^{p}(x,y)}{M_{p}(T_{1}x,T_{2}y)}e^{d^{p}(x,y)-M_{p}(T_{1}x,T_{2}y)} = \frac{2^{np+p}}{2^{np+sp+p}}e^{\frac{1}{2^{np+sp+p}}-\frac{1}{2^{np+p}}}$$

$$< e^{\frac{1}{2^{np+sp+p}}-\frac{1}{2^{np+p}}}$$

$$\leq e^{\frac{1}{2^{p(n+2)}}-\frac{1}{2^{p(n+1)}}}$$

$$= k^{4}(d(x,y)).$$

(ii) $T_2\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\right) = \left\{\frac{1}{2^{n+3}}, \frac{1}{2^{n+4}}, \ldots\right\}$ and $T_1\left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) = \left\{\frac{1}{2^{n+4}}, \frac{1}{2^{n+5}}, \ldots\right\}$. For $a = \frac{1}{2^{n+s}}$ where $s \in \{3, 4, \ldots\}$, let $b = \frac{1}{2^{n+s+1}}$ where $s \in \{3, 4, \ldots\}$ and

$$\frac{d^{p}(x,y)}{M_{p}(T_{2}x,T_{1}y)}e^{d^{p}(x,y)-M_{p}(T_{2}x,T_{1}y)} = \frac{2^{np+p}}{2^{np+sp+p}}e^{\frac{1}{2^{np+sp+p}}-\frac{1}{2^{np+p}}}$$
$$\leq e^{\frac{1}{2^{p}(n+2)}-\frac{1}{2^{p}(n+1)}}$$
$$= k^{4}(d(x,y)).$$

• Case 3. If (x, y) = (1, 0), then (i) $T_1(1) = \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ and $T_2(0) = \{0\}$. For $a = \frac{1}{2}$, let b = 0 and $\frac{d^p(x, y)}{M_p(T_1 x, T_2 y)} e^{d^p(x, y) - M_p(T_1 x, T_2 y)} = \frac{1}{2^p} e^{\frac{1}{2^p} - 1}$ $< e^{\frac{1}{2^p} - 1}$ $= k^4(d(x, y)).$

(ii) $T_2(1) = \{\frac{1}{2^3}\}$ and $T_1(0) = \{0\}$. For $a = \frac{1}{2^3}$, let b = 0 and

$$\frac{d^p(x,y)}{M_p(T_1x,T_2y)}e^{d^p(x,y)-M_p(T_1x,T_2y)} = \frac{1}{2^{3p}}e^{\frac{1}{2^{3p}}-1}$$

$$< e^{\frac{1}{2^p}-1}$$

$$= k^4(d(x,y))$$

4 Consequences

If we let p = 1 in Theorem 1, we obtain

COROLLARY 1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space endowed with a directed graph G and suppose that the triple (X, d, G) has the property (A). Suppose that the mappings $T_1, T_2 : X \to C(X)$ satisfy the following conditions:

(i) For all $x, y \in X$ such that $(x, y) \in E(G)$ and $a \in T_i(x)$, there exists $b \in T_j(y)$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ with $i \neq j$ such that $(a, b) \in E(G)$ and

$$\psi(\theta(d(a,b))) \le \psi([\theta((M(T_ix,T_jy))]^{k(d(x,y))}) + LN(T_ix,T_jy))$$

where

$$N(T_i x, T_j y) = \min\{d(x, T_i(x)), d(y, T_j(y)), d(y, T_i(x)), d(x, T_j(y))\},\$$

$$M(T_ix, T_jy) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, T_i(x)), d(y, T_j(y)), \frac{d(y, T_i(x)) + d(x, T_j(y))}{2} , \frac{d(x, T_i(x))d(y, T_j(y))}{1 + d(x, y)}, \frac{d(y, T_i(x))d(x, T_j(y))}{1 + d(x, y)} \right\}.$$

 $k: (0, +\infty) \to [0, 1)$ satisfies $\limsup_{s \to t^+} k(s) < 1$, for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$, $L \ge 0$, $\theta \in \Theta$, $\psi \in \Psi$ and $\psi \circ \theta$ is lower semi-continuity.

(ii) There is $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, y) \in E(G)$ for some $y \in T_i(x_0)$, i = 1 or i = 2.

If G is weakly connected, then T_1 and T_2 have a common fixed point.

If
$$\theta(t) = e^{\sqrt{t}}$$
, $\psi(t) = (\ln(t))^2 + 1$ and $k(t) = \sqrt{\alpha(t)}$ in Corollary 1, then we obtain

524

COROLLARY 2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space endowed with a directed graph G and suppose that the triple (X, d, G) has the property (A). Suppose that the mappings $T_1, T_2 : X \to C(X)$ satisfy the following conditions:

(i) For all $x, y \in X$ such that $(x, y) \in E(G)$ and $a \in T_i(x)$, there exists $b \in T_j(y)$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ with $i \neq j$ such that $(a, b) \in E(G)$ and

 $d(a,b) \le \alpha(d(x,y))M(T_ix,T_jy) + LN(T_ix,T_jy),$

where $\alpha : (0, +\infty) \to [0, 1)$ satisfies $\limsup_{s \to t^+} \alpha(s) < 1$, for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$.

(ii) There is $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, y) \in E(G)$ for some $y \in T_i(x_0)$, i = 1 or i = 2.

If G is weakly connected, then T_1 and T_2 have a common fixed point.

The following result is also an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.

COROLLARY 3. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Assume that the mappings $T_1, T_2: X \to CB(X)$ satisfy

$$H(T_1(x), T_2(y)) \le \alpha(d(x, y))M(T_1x, T_2y) + LN(T_1x, T_2y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$, where $\alpha : (0, +\infty) \to [0, 1)$ satisfies $\limsup_{s \to t^+} \alpha(s) < 1$, for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$. Then T_1 and T_2 have a common fixed point.

5 Remark

- (1) Taking $T_1 = T_2$ in Theorem 1, we obtain fixed point results for (ψ, θ, G) -contraction maps.
- (2) If in Corollary 1, we let $T_1 = T_2$, $\psi(t) = t$, and $E(G) = X \times X \Delta$, then G is connected and Corollary 1 improves Theorem 4 by Durmaz [5] and Theorem 2.1 by Jleli *et al.* [9].
- (3) Corollary 3 extends Theorem 3.1 by Rouhani et al. [14].

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her careful reading of the original manuscript.

References

- M. R. Alfuraidan, M. Bachar and M. A. Khamsi, A graphical version of Reich's fixed point theorem, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 9(2016), 3931–3938.
- [2] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux équations intégrales, Fundam. Math., 3(1922), 133–181.

- [3] F. Bojor, Fixed point theorems for Reich type contractions on metric spaces with a graph, Nonlinear Anal., 75(2012), 3895–3901.
- [4] M. H. Dehkordi and M. Ghods, Common fixed point theorem of multivalued graph contractions in metric spaces, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl., 7(2016), 225–230.
- [5] G. Durmaz, Some theorems for a new type of multivalued contractive maps on metric space, Turkish J. Math., 41(2017), 1092–1100.
- [6] H. A. Hançer, G. Minak and I. Altun, On a broad category of multivalued weakly Picard operators, Fixed Point Theory, 18(2017), 229–236.
- [7] N. Hussain, V. Parvaneh, B. Samet and C. Vetro, Some fixed point theorems for generalized contractive mappings in complete metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2015, 2015:185, 17 pp.
- [8] J. Jachymski, The contraction principle for mappings on a metric with a graph, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 136(2008), 1359–1373.
- [9] M. Jleli, E. Karapinar and B. Samet, Further generalizations of the Banach contraction principle, J. Inequal. Appl., 2014, 2014:439, 9 pp.
- [10] M. Jleli and B. Samet, A new generalization of the Banach contraction principle, J. Inequal. Appl., 2014, 2014:38, 8 pp.
- [11] G. Minak and I. Altun, Overall approach to Mizoguchi-Takahashi type fixed point results, Turk. J. Math., 40(2016), 895–904.
- [12] S. B. Nadler, Multi-valued contration mappings, Pacific J. Math., 30(1969), 475– 488.
- [13] A. Nicolae, D. O'Regan and A. Petrusel, Fixed point theorems for single-valued and multivalued generalized contractions in metric spaces endowed with a graph, Georgian Math. J., 18(2011), 307–327.
- [14] B. D. Rouhani and S. Moradi, Common fixed point of multivalued generalized φ -weak contractive mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2010, Art. ID 708984, 13 pp.
- [15] M. Samreen and T. Kamran, Fixed point theorems for integral G-contractions, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2013, 2013:149, 11 pp.
- [16] J. Tiammee and S. Suantai, Coincidence point theorems for graph-preserving multi-valued mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2014, 2014:70, 11 pp.
- [17] D. W. Zheng, Z. Y. Cai and P. Wang, New fixed point theorems for θ-φ contraction in complete metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 10(2017), 2662–2670.