

Some Results In Partial Metric Space Using Auxiliary Functions*

Sumit Chandok[†], Deepak Kumar[‡], Mohammad Saeed Khan[§]

Received 28 February 2015

Abstract

In this paper, we establish some results for the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point for a certain type operators on partial metric spaces. Our results generalize well-known results in metric spaces. Also, we provide an example to illustrate our result.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

In the past few years, the extension of the theory of fixed point to generalized structures as cone metric spaces, partial metric spaces and ordered metric spaces has received much attention (see, for instance, [1]–[19] and references cited therein).

In 1992, Matthews [11] introduced the notion of a partial metric space, which is a generalization of usual metric spaces in which the self-distance for any point need not be equal to zero. The partial metric space has wide applications in many branches of mathematics as well as in the field of computer domain and semantics. After this remarkable contribution, many authors focused on partial metric spaces and its topological properties.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let X be a non-empty set and $p : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies

- (i) $x = y \Leftrightarrow p(x, x) = p(y, y) = p(x, y)$,
- (ii) $p(x, x) \leq p(x, y)$,
- (iii) $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$,
- (iv) $p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z)$,

*Mathematics Subject Classifications: 47H10, 54H25.

[†]School of Mathematics, Thapar University, Patiala-147004, Punjab, India, email address: sumit.chandok@thapar.edu

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara-144411, Punjab, India, email address: deepakanand@live.in

[§]Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Sultan Qaboos University, PoBox 36, Al-Khod, Postal Code 123, Muscat, Sultanate of Oman, email address: mohammad@squ.edu.om

for all x, y and $z \in X$. Then the pair (X, p) is called a partial metric space and p is called a partial metric on X .

It is clear that, if $p(x, y) = 0$, then $x = y$. But if $x = y$, $p(x, y)$ may not be 0. Each partial metric p on X generates a T_0 topology τ_p on X which has as a base the family of open p -balls $\{B_p(x, \epsilon) : x \in X, \epsilon > 0\}$ where

$$B_p(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X : p(x, y) < p(x, x) + \epsilon\}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Similarly, closed p -ball is defined as

$$B_p[x, \epsilon] = \{y \in X : p(x, y) \leq p(x, x) + \epsilon\}.$$

If p is a partial metric on X , then the function $d_p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ given by

$$d_p(x, y) = 2p(x, y) - p(x, x) - p(y, y) \quad (1)$$

is a (usual) metric on X .

EXAMPLE 1.1. Let I denote the set of all intervals $[a, b]$ for any real numbers $a \leq b$. Let $p : I \times I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$p([a, b], [c, d]) = \max\{b, d\} - \min\{a, c\}.$$

Then (I, p) is a partial metric space.

EXAMPLE 1.2. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $p(x, y) = e^{\max\{x, y\}}$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, p) is a partial metric space.

Some basic concepts on partial metric spaces are defined as follows:

DEFINITION 1.2 (See [11, 12]).

- (i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a partial metric space (X, p) converges to $x \in X$ if and only if $p(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n)$.
- (ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a partial metric space (X, p) is called a *Cauchy sequence* if and only if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$ exists and is finite.
- (iii) A partial metric space (X, p) is said to be *complete* if every cauchy sequence $\{x_n\} \in X$ converges, with respect to τ_p , to a point $x \in X$ such that

$$p(x, x) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m).$$

- (iv) A mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be *continuous* at $x_0 \in X$, if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f(B(x_0, \delta)) \subset B(f(x_0), \epsilon)$.

In this paper, we obtained some results for the existence and uniqueness of a fixed point for a certain type operators on partial metric spaces. Our results generalize well-known results in (usual) metric spaces. Also, we introduce an example to illustrate the usability of our result.

2 Main Results

To begin with we have the following lemmas of [12] and [13] which will be used in the sequel.

LEMMA 2.1 (see [12]). (i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in a partial metric space (X, p) if and only if $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in a metric space (X, d_p) . (ii) A partial metric space (X, p) is complete if a metric space (X, d_p) is complete. i.e

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_p(x, x_n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m).$$

LEMMA 2.2 (see [13]). Let (X, p) be a partial metric space.

- (i) If $p(x, y) = 0$, then $x = y$.
- (ii) If $x \neq y$, then $p(x, y) > 0$.

LEMMA 2.3 (see [13]). Let $x_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in a partial metric space (X, p) where $p(z, z) = 0$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, y) = p(z, y)$ for every $y \in X$.

Denote by Ψ the family of continuous and monotone nondecreasing functions $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$ and by Φ the family of lower semi-continuous functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

THEOREM 2.4. Let (X, d) be a complete partial metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfy

$$\psi(p(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(N(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \tag{2}$$

where $\varphi \in \Phi, \psi \in \Psi$,

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ \frac{p(y, Ty)[1 + p(x, Tx)]}{1 + p(x, y)}, \frac{p(x, Tx)[1 + p(x, Tx)]}{1 + p(x, y)}, p(x, y) \right\}$$

and

$$N(x, y) = \max \left\{ \frac{p(y, Ty)[1 + p(x, Tx)]}{1 + p(x, y)}, p(x, y) \right\}.$$

Then T has a unique fixed point.

PROOF. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . We construct the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X as follows: $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$, for $n \geq 0$. If there exit n such that $x_n = x_{n+1}$ then x_n is a fixed point of T . Now suppose that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$, for all $n \geq 0$. Letting $x = x_{n-1}$, $y = x_n$ in the equation (2) respectively we have

$$\psi(p(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)) \leq \psi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) - \varphi(N(x_{n-1}, x_n)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M(x_{n-1}, x_n) &= \max \left\{ p(x_{n-1}, x_n), \frac{p(x_n, Tx_n)[1 + p(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})]}{1 + p(x_{n-1}, x_n)}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{p(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})[1 + p(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})]}{1 + p(x_{n-1}, x_n)} \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ p(x_{n-1}, x_n), \frac{p(x_n, x_{n+1})[1 + p(x_{n-1}, x_n)]}{1 + p(x_{n-1}, x_n)}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{p(x_{n-1}, x_n)[1 + p(x_{n-1}, x_n)]}{1 + p(x_{n-1}, x_n)} \right\} \\
&= \max \{ p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n-1}, x_n), p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \} \\
&= \max \{ p(x_{n+1}, x_n), p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
N(x_{n-1}, x_n) &= \max \left\{ \frac{p(x_n, Tx_n)[1 + p(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})]}{1 + p(x_{n-1}, x_n)}, p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ \frac{p(x_n, x_{n+1})[1 + p(x_{n-1}, x_n)]}{1 + p(x_{n-1}, x_n)}, p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \right\} \\
&= \max \{ p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(p(x_n, x_{n+1})) &\leq \psi(\max \{ p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \}) \\
&\quad - \varphi(\max \{ p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

If $p(x_n, x_{n+1}) > p(x_{n-1}, x_n)$, then from equation (3), we have

$$\psi(p(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq \psi(p(x_n, x_{n+1})) - \varphi(p(x_n, x_{n+1})) < \psi(p(x_n, x_{n+1})),$$

which is contradiction since $p(x_n, x_{n+1}) > 0$ by Lemma 2.2. So, we have $p(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq p(x_{n-1}, x_n)$, that is, $\{p(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$ is a non-increasing sequence of positive real numbers. Thus, there exists $L \geq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p(x_n, x_{n+1})) = L. \tag{4}$$

Suppose that $L > 0$. Taking the lower limit in equation (3) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (4) and the properties of ψ, φ , we have

$$\psi(L) \leq \psi(L) - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(p(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \leq \psi(L) - \varphi(L) < \psi(L),$$

which is contradiction. Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0. \tag{5}$$

Using inequality (1), we have $d_p(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq 2p(x_n, x_{n+1})$ and hence

$$d_p(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0. \tag{6}$$

Now, we will prove that $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0$. Suppose to the contrary that $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) \neq 0$. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ for which we can find two subsequences $\{x_{m(k)}\}$, $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $n(k)$ is the smallest index for which

$$n(k) > m(k) > k, p(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) \geq \epsilon. \quad (7)$$

This implies

$$p(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) < \epsilon. \quad (8)$$

From (7) and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\leq p(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) \leq p(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + p(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) - p(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) \\ &\leq p(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + p(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) < \epsilon + p(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (5), we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) = \epsilon. \quad (9)$$

By triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) &\leq p(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + p(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) - p(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) \\ &\leq p(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + p(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) \\ &\leq p(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + p(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) + p(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) \\ &\quad - p(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) \\ &\leq p(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + p(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) + p(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) &\leq p(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) + p(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) - p(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \\ &\leq p(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) + p(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) \\ &\leq p(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) + p(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) + p(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) \\ &\quad - p(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)}) \\ &\leq p(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) + p(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) + p(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above two inequalities and using (5) and (9), we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) = \epsilon. \quad (10)$$

Now from (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)})) &= \psi(p(Tx_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)-1})) \\ &\leq \psi(M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})) - \varphi(N(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where

$$M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) = \max \left\{ \frac{p(x_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)-1})[1 + p(x_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1})]}{1 + p(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})}, \right.$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \frac{p(x_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1})[1 + p(x_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1})]}{1 + p(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})} \\ & , p(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Taking limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (5),(9) and (10), we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) = \epsilon \quad (12)$$

and

$$N(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) = \max \left\{ \frac{p(x_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)-1})[1 + p(x_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1})]}{1 + p(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})}, \right. \\ \left. p(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) \right\}.$$

Taking limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (5),(9) and (10), we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) = \epsilon. \quad (13)$$

Now taking the lower limit when $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (11) and using (9) and (12), we have

$$\psi(\epsilon) \leq \psi(\epsilon) - \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(N(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})) \leq \psi(\epsilon) - \varphi(\epsilon) < \psi(\epsilon),$$

which is contradiction. So, we have

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0.$$

Since $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$ exists and is finite, we conclude that $\{x_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in (X, p) . Using (1), we have $d_p(x_n, x_m) \leq 2p(x_n, x_m)$, therefore,

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d_p(x_n, x_m) = 0. \quad (14)$$

Thus by Lemma 2.1, $\{x_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in both (X, d_p) and (X, p) . Since (X, p) is a complete partial metric space then there exist $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x) = p(x, x)$. Since $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0$, then again by using Lemma 2.1, we have $p(x, x) = 0$. Now, we will prove that x is a fixed point of T . Suppose that $Tx \neq x$.

From the inequality (2) and using Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(x_n, Tx)) &= \psi(p(Tx_{n-1}, Tx)) \\ &\leq \psi \left(\max \left\{ p(x_{n-1}, x), \frac{p(x, Tx)[1 + p(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})]}{1 + p(x_{n-1}, x)}, \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \frac{p(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})[1 + p(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})]}{1 + p(x_{n-1}, x)} \right\} \right) \\ &\quad - \varphi \left(\max \left\{ \frac{p(x, Tx)[1 + p(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})]}{1 + p(x_{n-1}, x)}, p(x_{n-1}, x) \right\} \right). \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality and regarding the property of φ, ψ , we obtain

$$\psi(p(x, Tx)) \leq \psi(p(x, Tx)) - \varphi(p(x, Tx)) < \psi(p(x, Tx)). \tag{16}$$

Then

$$\psi(p(x, Tx)) < \psi(p(x, Tx)),$$

which is contradiction. Thus $Tx = x$.

Finally, we shall prove the uniqueness of fixed point. Suppose that y is another fixed point of T such that $x \neq y$. From (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p(x, y)) &= \psi(p(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(N(x, y)) \\ &\leq \psi(p(x, y)) - \varphi(p(x, y)) \\ &< \psi(p(x, y)), \end{aligned}$$

which is contradiction since $p(x, y) > 0$. Hence $x = y$.

COROLLARY 2.5 (see [13]). Let (X, d) be a complete partial metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies

$$\psi(p(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(N(x, y)) - \varphi(N(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \tag{17}$$

where $\varphi \in \Phi, \psi \in \Psi$, and

$$N(x, y) = \max \left\{ \frac{p(y, Ty)[1 + p(x, Tx)]}{1 + p(x, y)}, p(x, y) \right\}.$$

Then T has a unique fixed point.

Taking ψ to be the identity mapping and $\varphi(t) = (1 - k)t$ for all $t \geq 0$, where $k \in (0, 1)$, we have the following result.

COROLLARY 2.6. Let (X, d) be a complete partial metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfy

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq k \max \left\{ \frac{p(y, Ty)[1 + p(x, Tx)]}{1 + p(x, y)}, p(x, y) \right\} \tag{18}$$

for each $x, y \in X$ and $k \in (0, 1)$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

EXAMPLE 2.7 Consider $X = [0, 1]$ and $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$, then (X, p) is a partial metric space. Suppose $T : X \rightarrow X$ such that $Tx = \frac{x^2}{k+x}$ for all $x \in X$ and $\varphi(t), \psi(t) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty), \varphi(t) = \frac{t}{k+t}$ and $\psi(t) = rt$, where $k, r \in \mathbb{N}$ without loss of generality assume that $x \geq y$. Then we have

$$p(Tx, Ty) = \max \left\{ \frac{x^2}{k+x}, \frac{y^2}{k+y} \right\} = \frac{x^2}{k+x},$$

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ \frac{p(y, Ty)[1 + p(x, Tx)]}{1 + p(x, y)}, \frac{p(x, Tx)[1 + p(x, Tx)]}{1 + p(x, y)}, p(x, y) \right\}$$

$$= \max \left\{ \frac{y(1+x)}{1+x}, \frac{x(1+x)}{1+x}, x \right\} = \max\{y, x, x\} = x,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N(x, y) &= \max \left\{ \frac{p(y, Ty)[1+p(x, Tx)]}{1+p(x, y)}, p(x, y) \right\} = \max \left\{ \frac{y(1+x)}{1+x}, x \right\} \\ &= \max\{y, x\} = x. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\psi(p(Tx, Ty)) = \frac{rx^2}{k+x}$$

and

$$\psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(N(x, y)) = \frac{rx^2}{k+x} + \frac{(rk-1)x}{k+x}.$$

Following cases arise:

Case 1. If $r = k = 1$ then $\psi(p(Tx, Ty)) = \psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(N(x, y))$.

Case 2. If $r, k > 1$ then $\psi(p(Tx, Ty)) < \psi(M(x, y)) - \varphi(N(x, y))$.

Thus it satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.4. Hence, T has a unique fixed point, indeed $x = 0$ is the required point.

3 Remarks

Das et al. [10] proved a fixed point theorem for rational type mappings in complete metric spaces. Cabrera et al. [3] extend the result of Das et al. [10] in the context of metric spaces endowed with a partial order. Using the auxiliary functions, Chandok et al. [5] generalize some of the results of [3] in the framework of metric spaces endowed with a partial order. Theorem 2.4. generalize and extend the result of Chandok et al. [5] in a space having non-zero self distance, that is, partial metric space.

References

- [1] O. Acar, V. Berinde and I. Altun, Fixed point theorems for Ciric-type strong almost contractions on partial metric spaces, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 12(2012), 247–259.
- [2] I. Altun, F. Sola and H. Simsek, Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces, *Topol. Appl.*, 157(2010), 2778–2785.
- [3] I. Cabrera, J. Harjani and K. Sadarangani, A fixed point theorem for contractions of rational type in partially ordered metric spaces, *Ann. Univ. Ferrara.*, 59(2013), 251–258.
- [4] S. Chandok, Some common fixed point results for rational type contraction mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Math. Bohemica*, 138(2013), 403–413.

- [5] S. Chandok, B. S. Choudhury and N. Metiya, Some fixed point results in ordered metric spaces for rational type expressions with auxiliary functions, *J. Egyptian Math Soc.*, 23(2015), 95–101.
- [6] S. Chandok and S. Dinu, Common fixed points for weak ψ -contractive mappings in ordered metric spaces with applications, *Abs. Appl. Anal.*, 2013(2013), Article ID 879084.
- [7] S. Chandok and J.K. Kim, Fixed point theorem in ordered metric spaces for generalized contractions mappings satisfying rational type expressions, *J. Nonlinear Functional Anal. Appl.*, 17(2012), 301–306.
- [8] S. Chandok and D. Kumar, Some common fixed point results for rational type contraction mappings in complex valued metric spaces, *J. Operator Vol.* 2013 Article ID 813707.
- [9] S. Chandok, T.D. Narang and M.A. Taoudi, Some common fixed point results in partially ordered metric spaces for generalized rational type contraction mappings, *Vietnam J. Math.*, 41(2013), 323–331.
- [10] B. K. Dass and S. Gupta, An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expressions, *Indan J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 6(1975), 1455–1458.
- [11] S. G. Matthews, *Partial Metric Topology*, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Warwick, Research Report 212, 1992.
- [12] S. G. Matthews, *Partial Metric Topology*, in *Papers on general topology and applications*, ser. *Papers from the 8th summer conference at Queens College, New York, NY, USA, June 18–20,1992*, S. Andima, Ed. New York: The New York Academy of Sciences, 1994, vol. 728, pp. 183–197.
- [13] E. Karapinar, W. Shatanawi and K. Tas, Fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces involving rational expressions, *Miskolc Math. Notes*, 14(2013), 135–142.
- [14] E. Karapinar and I. M. Erhan, Fixed point theorems for operators on partial metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 24(2011), 1894–1899.
- [15] E. Karapinar, I. M. Erhan and A. Yildiz Ulus, Fixed point theorem for cyclic maps on partial metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.*, 6(2012), 239–244.
- [16] E. Karapinar, Generalizations of Caristi Kirk’s Theorem on partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2011, 2011:4, 7 pp.
- [17] S. Romaguera, Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions on partial metric spaces. *Topol. Appl.*, 159(2012), 194–199.
- [18] B. Samet, M. Rajovi’c, R. Lazovi’c and R. Stojiljkovi’c, Common fixed point results for nonlinear contractions in ordered partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2011 (2011), Article No. 71, 14 pp.

- [19] W. Shatanawi, B. Samet and M. Abbas, Coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in ordered partial metric spaces. *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 55(2012), 680–687.