

# Coincidence Points And Common Fixed Points For Expansive Type Mappings In Cone $b$ -Metric Spaces\*

Sushanta Kumar Mohanta<sup>†</sup>, Rima Maitra<sup>‡</sup>

Received 22 January 2014

## Abstract

In this paper we prove coincidence point and common fixed point results for mappings satisfying some expansive type contractions in the setting of a cone  $b$ -metric space. Our results improve and supplement some recent results in the literature. Some examples are also provided to illustrate our results.

## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Metric fixed point theory is playing an increasing role in mathematics because of its wide range of applications in applied mathematics and sciences. There has been a number of generalizations of the usual notion of a metric space. One such generalization is a  $b$ -metric space introduced and studied by Bakhtin [3] and Czerwik [4]. In [6], Huang and Zhang introduced the concept of cone metric spaces as a generalization of metric spaces and proved some fixed point theorems for contractive mappings that extend certain results of fixed points in metric spaces. Recently, Hussain and Shah [7] introduced the concept of cone  $b$ -metric spaces as a generalization of  $b$ -metric spaces and cone metric spaces. There are many related works about the fixed point of contractive mappings (see, for example [1, 5, 10]). The aim of this work is to obtain sufficient conditions for existence of points of coincidence and common fixed points for a pair of self mappings satisfying some expansive type conditions in cone  $b$ -metric spaces.

We need to recall some basic notations, definitions, and necessary results from existing literature. Let  $E$  be a real Banach space and  $\theta$  denote the zero vector of  $E$ . A cone  $P$  is a subset of  $E$  such that

- (i)  $P$  is closed, nonempty and  $P \neq \{\theta\}$ ,
- (ii)  $ax + by \in P$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a, b \geq 0$ ,  $x, y \in P$ ,
- (iii)  $P \cap (-P) = \{\theta\}$ .

---

\*Mathematics Subject Classifications: 54H25, 47H10.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, West Bengal State University, Barasat, 24 Parganas (North), West Bengal, Kolkata 700126, India

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, West Bengal State University, Barasat, 24 Parganas (North), West Bengal, Kolkata 700126, India

For any cone  $P \subseteq E$ , we can define a partial ordering  $\preceq$  on  $E$  with respect to  $P$  by  $x \preceq y$  (equivalently,  $y \succeq x$ ) if and only if  $y - x \in P$ . We shall write  $x \prec y$  (equivalently,  $y \succ x$ ) if  $x \preceq y$  and  $x \neq y$ , while  $x \ll y$  will stand for  $y - x \in \text{int}(P)$ , where  $\text{int}(P)$  denotes the interior of  $P$ . The cone  $P$  is called normal if there is a number  $k > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in E$ ,

$$\theta \preceq x \preceq y \text{ implies } \|x\| \leq k \|y\|.$$

The least positive number satisfying the above inequality is called the normal constant of  $P$ . Throughout this paper, we suppose that  $E$  is a real Banach space,  $P$  is a cone in  $E$  with  $\text{int}(P) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\preceq$  is a partial ordering on  $E$  with respect to  $P$ .

DEFINITION 1.1 ([6]). Let  $E$  be a real Banach space with cone  $P$  and let  $X$  be a nonempty set. Suppose the mapping  $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$  satisfies

- (i)  $\theta \preceq d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $d(x, y) = \theta$  if and only if  $x = y$ ,
- (ii)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ,
- (iii)  $d(x, y) \preceq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Then  $d$  is called a cone metric on  $X$ , and  $(X, d)$  is called a cone metric space.

DEFINITION 1.2 ([7]). Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $E$  a real Banach space with cone  $P$ . A vector valued function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$  is said to be a cone  $b$ -metric function on  $X$  with the constant  $s \geq 1$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\theta \preceq d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $d(x, y) = \theta$  if and only if  $x = y$ ,
- (ii)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ,
- (iii)  $d(x, y) \preceq s(d(x, z) + d(z, y))$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

The pair  $(X, d)$  is called a cone  $b$ -metric space.

Observe that if  $s = 1$ , then the ordinary triangle inequality in a cone metric space is satisfied, however it does not hold true when  $s > 1$ . Thus the class of cone  $b$ -metric spaces is effectively larger than that of the ordinary cone metric spaces. That is, every cone metric space is a cone  $b$ -metric space, but its converse need not be true. The following examples illustrate these facts.

EXAMPLE 1.3 ([7]). Let  $X = \{-1, 0, 1\}$ ,  $E = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $P = \{(x, y) : x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}$ . Define  $d : X \times X \rightarrow P$  by  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $d(x, x) = \theta$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $d(-1, 0) = (3, 3)$ ,  $d(-1, 1) = d(0, 1) = (1, 1)$ . Then  $(X, d)$  is a cone  $b$ -metric space, but not a cone metric space since the triangle inequality is not satisfied. Indeed, we have

$$d(-1, 1) + d(1, 0) = (1, 1) + (1, 1) = (2, 2) \prec (3, 3) = d(-1, 0).$$

It is easy to verify that  $s = \frac{3}{2}$ .

EXAMPLE 1.4 ([8]). Let  $E = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $P = \{(x, y) : x \geq 0, y \geq 0\} \subseteq E$ ,  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$  such that  $d(x, y) = (|x - y|^p, \alpha |x - y|^p)$  where  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $p > 1$  are two constants. Then  $(X, d)$  is a cone  $b$ -metric space with  $s = 2^{p-1}$ , but not a cone metric space.

DEFINITION 1.5 ([7]). Let  $(X, d)$  be a cone  $b$ -metric space,  $x \in X$  and  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $X$ . Then

- (i)  $(x_n)$  converges to  $x$  whenever, for every  $c \in E$  with  $\theta \ll c$ , there is a natural number  $n_0$  such that for all  $n > n_0$ ,  $d(x_n, x) \ll c$ . We denote this by  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  or  $x_n \rightarrow x$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ );
- (ii)  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence whenever, for every  $c \in E$  with  $\theta \ll c$ , there is a natural number  $n_0$  such that  $d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$  for all  $n, m > n_0$ ;
- (iii)  $(X, d)$  is a complete cone  $b$ -metric space if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

REMARK 1.6 ([7]). Let  $(X, d)$  be a cone  $b$ -metric space over the ordered real Banach space  $E$  with a cone  $P$ . Then the following properties are often used:

- (i) If  $a \preceq b$  and  $b \ll c$ , then  $a \ll c$ .
- (ii) If  $a \ll b$  and  $b \ll c$ , then  $a \ll c$ .
- (iii) If  $\theta \preceq u \ll c$  for each  $c \in \text{int}(P)$ , then  $u = \theta$ .
- (iv) If  $c \in \text{int}(P)$ ,  $\theta \preceq a_n$  and  $a_n \rightarrow \theta$ , then there exists  $n_0$  such that for all  $n > n_0$  we have  $a_n \ll c$ .
- (v) Let  $\theta \ll c$ . If  $\theta \preceq d(x_n, x) \preceq b_n$  and  $b_n \rightarrow \theta$ , then eventually  $d(x_n, x) \ll c$ , where  $(x_n)$ ,  $x$  are a sequence and a given point in  $X$ .
- (vi) If  $\theta \preceq a_n \preceq b_n$  and  $a_n \rightarrow a$ ,  $b_n \rightarrow b$ , then  $a \preceq b$ , for each cone  $P$ .
- (vii) If  $E$  is a real Banach space with cone  $P$  and if  $a \preceq \lambda a$  where  $a \in P$  and  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ , then  $a = \theta$ .
- (viii)  $\alpha \text{int}(P) \subseteq \text{int}(P)$  for  $\alpha > 0$ .
- (ix) For each  $\delta > 0$  and  $x \in \text{int}(P)$  there is  $0 < \gamma < 1$  such that  $\|\gamma x\| < \delta$ .
- (x) For each  $\theta \ll c_1$  and  $c_2 \in P$ , there is an element  $\theta \ll d$  such that  $c_1 \ll d$  and  $c_2 \ll d$ .
- (xi) For each  $\theta \ll c_1$  and  $\theta \ll c_2$ , there is an element  $\theta \ll e$  such that  $e \ll c_1$  and  $e \ll c_2$ .

DEFINITION 1.7. Let  $(X, d)$  be a cone  $b$ -metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a given mapping. We say that  $T$  is continuous at  $x_0 \in X$  if  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for every sequences  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  satisfying  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $T$  is continuous at each point  $x_0 \in X$ , then we say that  $T$  is continuous on  $X$ .

DEFINITION 1.8. Let  $(X, d)$  be a cone  $b$ -metric space with the constant  $s \geq 1$ . A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called expansive if there exists a real constant  $k > s$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \succeq k d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

DEFINITION 1.9 ([2]). Let  $T$  and  $S$  be self mappings of a set  $X$ . If  $y = Tx = Sx$  for some  $x$  in  $X$ , then  $x$  is called a coincidence point of  $T$  and  $S$  and  $y$  is called a point of coincidence of  $T$  and  $S$ .

DEFINITION 1.10 ([9]). The mappings  $T, S : X \rightarrow X$  are weakly compatible, if for every  $x \in X$ , the following holds:

$$T(Sx) = S(Tx) \text{ whenever } Sx = Tx.$$

PROPOSITION 1.11 ([2]). Let  $S$  and  $T$  be weakly compatible selfmaps of a non-empty set  $X$ . If  $S$  and  $T$  have a unique point of coincidence  $y = Sx = Tx$ , then  $y$  is the unique common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ .

## 2 Main Results

In this section, we prove point of coincidence and common fixed point results in cone  $b$ -metric spaces.

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $(X, d)$  be a cone  $b$ -metric space with the constant  $s \geq 1$ . Suppose the mappings  $f, g : X \rightarrow X$  satisfy  $g(X) \subseteq f(X)$ , either  $f(X)$  or  $g(X)$  is complete, and

$$d(fx, fy) \succeq \alpha d(gx, gy) + \beta d(fx, gx) + \gamma d(fy, gy) \text{ for all } x, y \in X, \quad (1)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are nonnegative real numbers with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma > s$ ,  $\beta < 1$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a point of coincidence in  $X$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha > 1$ , then the point of coincidence is unique. If  $f$  and  $g$  are weakly compatible and  $\alpha > 1$ , then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

PROOF. Let  $x_0 \in X$  and choose  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $gx_0 = fx_1$ . This is possible since  $g(X) \subseteq f(X)$ . Continuing this process, we can construct a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  such that  $fx_n = gx_{n-1}$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ . By (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) &= d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \\ &\succeq \alpha d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + \beta d(fx_n, gx_n) + \gamma d(fx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}) \\ &= \alpha d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + \beta d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + \gamma d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \preceq \lambda d(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{1-\beta}{\alpha+\gamma}$ . It is easy to see that  $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{s})$ . By induction, we get that

$$d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \preceq \lambda^n d(gx_0, gx_1) \quad (2)$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n$ . Then, by using condition (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_n, gx_m) &\preceq s [d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_m)] \\ &\preceq sd(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + s^2 d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) + \cdots \\ &\quad + s^{m-n-1} [d(gx_{m-2}, gx_{m-1}) + d(gx_{m-1}, gx_m)] \\ &\preceq [s\lambda^n + s^2\lambda^{n+1} + \cdots + s^{m-n-1}\lambda^{m-2} + s^{m-n-1}\lambda^{m-1}] d(gx_0, gx_1) \\ &\preceq [s\lambda^n + s^2\lambda^{n+1} + \cdots + s^{m-n-1}\lambda^{m-2} + s^{m-n}\lambda^{m-1}] d(gx_0, gx_1) \\ &= s\lambda^n [1 + s\lambda + (s\lambda)^2 + \cdots + (s\lambda)^{m-n-2} + (s\lambda)^{m-n-1}] d(gx_0, gx_1) \\ &\preceq \frac{s\lambda^n}{1-s\lambda} d(gx_0, gx_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

It is to be noted that  $\frac{s\lambda^n}{1-s\lambda} d(gx_0, gx_1) \rightarrow \theta$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\theta \ll c$  be given. Then we can find  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{s\lambda^n}{1-s\lambda} d(gx_0, gx_1) \ll c \text{ for each } n > m_0.$$

Therefore, it follows from (3) that

$$d(gx_n, gx_m) \preceq \frac{s\lambda^n}{1-s\lambda} d(gx_0, gx_1) \ll c \text{ for all } m > n > m_0.$$

So  $(gx_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $g(X)$ . Suppose that  $g(X)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$ . Then there exists  $y \in g(X) \subseteq f(X)$  such that  $gx_n \rightarrow y$  and also  $fx_n \rightarrow y$ . In case,  $f(X)$  is complete, this holds also with  $y \in f(X)$ . Let  $u \in X$  be such that  $fu = y$ . For  $\theta \ll c$ , one can choose a natural number  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(y, gx_n) \ll \frac{c}{2s}$  and  $d(fx_n, fu) \ll \frac{c}{2s}$  for all  $n > n_0$ . By (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx_{n-1}, fu) &= d(fx_n, fu) \\ &\succeq \alpha d(gx_n, gu) + \beta d(fx_n, gx_n) + \gamma d(fu, gu) \\ &\succeq \alpha d(gx_n, gu). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then

$$d(gx_n, gu) \preceq \frac{1}{\alpha} d(gx_{n-1}, fu).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d(y, gu) &\preceq s [d(y, gx_n) + d(gx_n, gu)] \\ &\preceq s [d(y, gx_n) + \frac{1}{\alpha} d(gx_{n-1}, fu)] \\ &= s [d(y, gx_n) + \frac{1}{\alpha} d(fx_n, fu)] \\ &\ll c, \text{ for all } n > n_0. \end{aligned}$$

This gives that  $d(y, gu) = \theta$ , i.e.,  $gu = y$  and hence  $fu = gu = y$ . Therefore,  $y$  is a point of coincidence of  $f$  and  $g$ .

Now we suppose that  $\alpha > 1$ . Let  $v$  be another point of coincidence of  $f$  and  $g$ . So  $fx = gx = v$  for some  $x \in X$ . Then

$$d(y, v) = d(fu, fx) \succeq \alpha d(gu, gx) + \beta d(fu, gu) + \gamma d(fx, gx) = \alpha d(y, v),$$

which implies that

$$d(y, v) \preceq \frac{1}{\alpha} d(y, v).$$

By Remark 1.6(vii), we have  $d(v, y) = \theta$  i.e.,  $v = y$ . Therefore,  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique point of coincidence in  $X$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  are weakly compatible, then by Proposition 1.11,  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ . The proof is complete.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a cone  $b$ -metric space with the constant  $s \geq 1$ . Suppose the mappings  $f, g : X \rightarrow X$  satisfy the condition

$$d(fx, fy) \succeq \alpha d(gx, gy) \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

where  $\alpha > s$  is a constant. If  $g(X) \subseteq f(X)$  and  $f(X)$  or  $g(X)$  is complete, then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique point of coincidence in  $X$ . Moreover, if  $f$  and  $g$  are weakly compatible, then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**PROOF.** It follows by taking  $\beta = \gamma = 0$  in Theorem 2.1.

The following corollary is the Theorem 2.1 [8].

**COROLLARY 2.3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete cone  $b$ -metric space with the constant  $s \geq 1$ . Suppose the mapping  $g : X \rightarrow X$  satisfies the contractive condition

$$d(gx, gy) \preceq \lambda d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

where  $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{s})$  is a constant. Then  $g$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ . Furthermore, the iterative sequence  $(g^n x)$  converges to the fixed point.

**PROOF.** It follows by taking  $\beta = \gamma = 0$  and  $f = I$ , the identity mapping on  $X$ , in Theorem 2.1.

**COROLLARY 2.4.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete cone  $b$ -metric space with the constant  $s \geq 1$ . Suppose the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is onto and satisfies

$$d(fx, fy) \succeq \alpha d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

where  $\alpha > s$  is a constant. Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

**PROOF.** Taking  $g = I$  and  $\beta = \gamma = 0$  in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the desired result.

**REMARK 2.5.** Corollary 2.4 gives a sufficient condition for the existence of unique fixed point of an expansive mapping in cone  $b$ -metric spaces.

COROLLARY 2.6. Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete cone  $b$ -metric space with the constant  $s \geq 1$ . Suppose the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is onto and satisfies the condition

$$d(fx, fy) \succeq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta d(fx, x) + \gamma d(fy, y) \text{ for } x, y \in X,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are nonnegative real numbers with  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $\beta < 1$ ,  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma > s$ . Then  $f$  has a fixed point in  $X$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha > 1$ , then the fixed point of  $f$  is unique.

PROOF. It follows by taking  $g = I$  in Theorem 2.1.

THEOREM 2.7. Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete cone  $b$ -metric space with the constant  $s \geq 1$ . Suppose the mappings  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$d(T(Sx), Sx) + \frac{k}{s}d(T(Sx), x) \succeq \alpha d(Sx, x) \quad (4)$$

and

$$d(S(Tx), Tx) + \frac{k}{s}d(S(Tx), x) \succeq \beta d(Tx, x) \quad (5)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, k$  are nonnegative real numbers with  $\alpha > s + (1 + s)k$  and  $\beta > s + (1 + s)k$ . If  $S$  and  $T$  are continuous and surjective, then  $S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point in  $X$ .

PROOF. Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary and choose  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $x_0 = Tx_1$ . This is possible since  $T$  is surjective. Since  $S$  is also surjective, there exists  $x_2 \in X$  such that  $x_1 = Sx_2$ . Continuing this process, we can construct a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  such that  $x_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$  and  $x_{2n-1} = Sx_{2n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using (4), we have for  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$

$$d(T(Sx_{2n+2}), Sx_{2n+2}) + \frac{k}{s}d(T(Sx_{2n+2}), x_{2n+2}) \succeq \alpha d(Sx_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2})$$

which implies that

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \frac{k}{s}d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) \succeq \alpha d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}).$$

Hence, we have

$$\alpha d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \preceq d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + kd(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + kd(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}).$$

Therefore,

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \preceq \frac{1+k}{\alpha-k}d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}). \quad (6)$$

Using (5) and by an argument similar to that used above, we obtain that

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \preceq \frac{1+k}{\beta-k}d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}). \quad (7)$$

Let  $\lambda = \max\left(\frac{1+k}{\alpha-k}, \frac{1+k}{\beta-k}\right)$ . It is easy to see that  $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{s})$ . Then, by combining (6) and (7), we get

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \preceq \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \quad (8)$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . By repeated application of (8), we obtain

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \preceq \lambda^n d(x_0, x_1).$$

By an argument similar to that used in Theorem 2.1, it follows that  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is complete, there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow u$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now,  $x_{2n+1} \rightarrow u$  and  $x_{2n} \rightarrow u$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The continuity of  $S$  and  $T$  imply that  $Tx_{2n+1} \rightarrow Tu$  and  $Sx_{2n} \rightarrow Su$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  i.e.,  $x_{2n} \rightarrow Tu$  and  $x_{2n-1} \rightarrow Su$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The uniqueness of limit yields that  $u = Su = Tu$ . Hence,  $u$  is a common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ . The proof is complete.

**COROLLARY 2.8.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete cone  $b$ -metric space with the constant  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous surjective mapping such that

$$d(T^2x, Tx) + \frac{k}{s}d(T^2x, x) \succeq \alpha d(Tx, x) \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

where  $\alpha, k$  are nonnegative real numbers with  $\alpha > s + (1 + s)k$ . Then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $X$ .

**PROOF.** It follows from Theorem 2.7 by taking  $S = T$  and  $\beta = \alpha$ .

We conclude this paper with the following two examples.

**EXAMPLE 2.9.** Let  $E = \mathbb{R}^2$ , the Euclidean plane and  $P = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x, y \geq 0\}$  a cone in  $E$ . Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $p > 1$  be a constant. We define  $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$  as

$$d(x, y) = (|x - y|^p, |x - y|^p) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Then  $(X, d)$  is a cone  $b$ -metric space with the constant  $s = 2^{p-1}$ . Let us define  $f, g : X \rightarrow X$  as  $fx = \frac{x}{3}$  and  $gx = \frac{x}{9} - \frac{x^2}{27}$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then, for every  $x, y \in X$  one has  $d(fx, fy) \succeq 3^p d(gx, gy)$  i.e., the condition (1) holds for  $\alpha = 3^p, \beta = \gamma = 0$ . Thus, we have all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 and  $0 \in X$  is the unique common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.10.** Let  $E = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $P = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x, y \geq 0\}$  a cone in  $E$ . Let  $X = [0, \infty)$ . We define  $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$  as

$$d(x, y) = (|x - y|^2, |x - y|^2) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Then  $(X, d)$  is a complete cone  $b$ -metric space with the constant  $s = 2$ . Let us define  $S, T : X \rightarrow X$  as  $Sx = 3x$  and  $Tx = 4x$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then, the conditions (4) and (5) hold for  $\alpha = \beta = 3 + 3k > s + (1 + s)k$ , where  $k$  is a nonnegative real number. We see that all hypotheses of Theorem 2.7 are satisfied and  $0 \in X$  is a common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ .

**Acknowledgment.** The authors would like to express their thanks to the referees for their valuable comments and useful suggestions.

## References

- [1] M. A. Alghamdi, N. Hussain and P. Salimi, Fixed point and coupled fixed point theorems on  $b$ -metric-like spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2013, 2013:402, 25 pp.
- [2] M. Abbas and G. Jungck, Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 341(2008), 416–420.
- [3] I. A. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces, *Funct. Anal., Gos. Ped. Inst. Unianowsk*, 30(1989), 26–37.
- [4] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces, *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav*, 1(1993), 5–11.
- [5] Z. M. Fadail and A. G. B. Ahmad, Coupled coincidence point and common coupled fixed point results in cone  $b$ -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2013, 2013:177, 14 pp.
- [6] L.-G. Huang and X. Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 332(2007), 1468–1476.
- [7] N. Hussain and M. H. Shah, KKM mappings in cone  $b$ -metric spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 62(2011), 1677–1684.
- [8] H. Huang and S. Xu, Fixed point theorems of contractive mappings in cone  $b$ -metric spaces and applications, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013, 2013:112, 10 pp.
- [9] G. Jungck, Common fixed points for noncontinuous nonself maps on non-metric spaces, *Far East J. Math. Sci.*, 4(1996), 199–215.
- [10] J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, S. Sedghi, N. Shobkolaei and W. Shatanawi, Common fixed points of almost generalized  $(\psi, \varphi)_s$ -contractive mappings in ordered  $b$ -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013, 2013:159, 23 pp.