

Value-Sharing Of Meromorphic Functions And Their Derivatives*

Junling Wang, Weiran Lü and Yuansheng Chen[†]

Received 1 April 2010

Abstract

In this paper, we study the uniqueness problems on meromorphic functions concerning differential polynomials, and obtain two theorems which generalize and improve some known results.

1 Introduction

In this paper, a meromorphic function means meromorphic in the open complex plane. We shall adopt the standard notations in Nevanlinna's value distribution theory of meromorphic functions (see [1, 2]).

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. We say that f and g share the value a IM if $f - a$ and $g - a$ have the same zeros. Moreover, if $f - a$ and $g - a$ have the same zeros with the same multiplicities, we say that they share the value a CM. Let z_0 be the zero of $f - 1$ with multiplicity p and the zero of $g - 1$ with multiplicity q . We denote by $N_E^{(1)}(r, 1/(f - 1))$ the counting function of the zeros of $f - 1$ where $p = q = 1$, and by $\bar{N}_L(r, 1/(f - 1))$ the counting function of the zeros of $f - 1$ where $p > q \geq 1$; each point in these counting functions is counted only once. In the same way, we can define $N_E^{(1)}(r, 1/(g - 1))$ and $\bar{N}_L(r, 1/(g - 1))$. We use $N_{(p)}(r, 1/(f - a))$ to denote the counting function of the zeros of $f - a$ whose multiplicities are not greater than p , and $N_{(p)}(r, 1/(f - a))$ to denote the counting function of the zeros of $f - a$ whose multiplicities are not less than p . Respectively, $\bar{N}_{(p)}(r, 1/(f - a))$ and $\bar{N}_{(p)}(r, 1/(f - a))$ are their reduced functions. Set

$$N_p \left(r, \frac{1}{f - a} \right) = \bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{f - a} \right) + \bar{N}_{(2)} \left(r, \frac{1}{f - a} \right) + \cdots + \bar{N}_{(p)} \left(r, \frac{1}{f - a} \right).$$

Further, we define

$$\delta_p(a, f) = 1 - \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_p(r, 1/(f - a))}{T(r, f)}.$$

*Mathematics Subject Classifications: 30D35

[†]Department of Mathematics, China University of Petroleum, Dongying, Shandong 257061, P. R. China

For the sake of simplicity, we also use the notations $C_j^k = \binom{k}{j}$, and $m^* := \chi_\mu m$, where $\chi_\mu = \begin{cases} 0, & \mu = 0, \\ 1, & \mu \neq 0. \end{cases}$

Fang [4] proved the following results.

THEOREM A. Let f, g be nonconstant entire functions, and n, k be positive integers with $n > 2k + 4$. If $[f^n]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then either $f = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are constants satisfying $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^n (nc)^{2k} = 1$ or $f = tg$ for a constant t such that $t^n = 1$.

THEOREM B. Let f, g be nonconstant entire functions, and n, k be positive integers with $n > 2k + 8$. If $[f^n(f-1)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n(g-1)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.

Recently, the authors in [5] and [6] extended Theorem A and Theorem B to meromorphic functions. In this paper, we generalize and improve the theorems above and obtain the following two theorems.

THEOREM 1. Let f, g be transcendental meromorphic functions, and n, k, m be positive integers with $n > 9k + 6m^* + 13$. If $[f^n(\mu f^m + \lambda)]^{(k)}$, $[g^n(\mu g^m + \lambda)]^{(k)}$ share 1 IM, where λ, μ are constants such that $|\lambda| + |\mu| \neq 0$, and f, g share ∞ IM,

(1) if $\lambda\mu \neq 0$, $m > 1$ and $(n, n+m) = 1$, or while $m = 1$ and $\Theta(\infty, f) > 2/n$, then $f \equiv g$;

(2) if $\lambda\mu = 0$, then either $f = tg$, where t is a constant satisfying $t^{n+m^*} = 1$ or $f = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are constants such that

$$(-1)^k \lambda^2 (c_1 c_2)^{n+m^*} [(n+m^*)c]^{2k} = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad (-1)^k \mu^2 (c_1 c_2)^{n+m^*} [(n+m^*)c]^{2k} = 1.$$

We add an example here to point out the condition $\Theta(\infty, f) > 2/n$ cannot be deleted.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $\mu = m = k = 1, \lambda = -1$, and

$$f = \frac{(n+1)(h^n - 1)h}{n(h^{n+1} - 1)}, \quad g = \frac{(n+1)(h^n - 1)}{n(h^{n+1} - 1)},$$

where $h = e^z$. Obviously, $[f^n(f-1)]'$, $[g^n(g-1)]'$ share 1 IM, and f, g share ∞ IM, $\Theta(\infty, f) = 0$, $f \not\equiv g$.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $\lambda = k = 1, \mu = m^* = 0$, and we can obtain two representations of f and g : $f = tg$ for a constant such that $t^n = 1$; $f = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are constants satisfying $(c_1 c_2)^n (nc)^2 = -1$.

THEOREM 2. Let f, g be transcendental meromorphic functions, and n, k, m be positive integers $n > 9k + 4m + 15$. If $[f^n(f-1)^m]^{(k)}$, $[g^n(g-1)^m]^{(k)}$ share 1 IM and f, g share ∞ IM, then either $f \equiv g$ or $f^n(f-1)^m \equiv g^n(g-1)^m$.

EXAMPLE 3. Let $m = k = 1$, and

$$f = \frac{(h^n - 1)h}{h^{n+1} - 1}, \quad g = \frac{h^n - 1}{h^{n+1} - 1},$$

where $h = e^z$. Obviously, $[f^n(f-1)]'$, $[g^n(g-1)]'$ share 1 IM, and f, g share ∞ IM, $f^n(f-1) = g^n(g-1)$.

2 Some Lemmas

In order to prove our results, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1 (See [2],[7]). Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function and n be a positive integer. then $T(r, a_n f^n + a_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 f) = nT(r, f) + S(r, f)$, where a_i are meromorphic functions such that $a_n \not\equiv 0$, $T(r, a_i) = S(r, f)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$).

LEMMA 2 (See [1]). Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function and k be a positive integer, and c be a nonzero finite complex number, then

$$T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + N_{k+1} \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + \bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)} - c} \right) - N_0 \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}} \right) + S(r, f),$$

where $N_0(r, 1/f^{(k+1)})$ is the counting function which only counts those points such that $f^{(k+1)} = 0$ but $f(f^{(k)} - c) \neq 0$.

LEMMA 3 (See [1]). Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function and $\alpha_1(z)$, $\alpha_2(z)$ be meromorphic functions such that $T(r, \alpha_i) = S(r, f)$ ($i = 1, 2$), then

$$T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N} \left(\frac{1}{f - \alpha_1} \right) + \bar{N} \left(\frac{1}{f - \alpha_2} \right) + S(r, f).$$

LEMMA 4 (See [8]). Let f be a nonconstant entire function and $k \geq 2$ be a positive integer. If $f \cdot f^{(k)} \neq 0$, then $f = e^{az+b}$, where $a(\neq 0)$ and b are constants.

LEMMA 5 (See [9,10]). Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function and k be a positive integer, then

$$N_p \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}} \right) \leq N_{p+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f) \leq (p+k)\bar{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

LEMMA 6. Let f, g be transcendental meromorphic functions, and k be a positive integer. If $f^{(k)}, g^{(k)}$ share 1 IM, f, g share ∞ IM, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= (2k+3)\Theta(\infty, f) + (2k+3)\Theta(\infty, g) + \Theta(0, f) + \Theta(0, g) \\ &\quad + 2\delta_{k+1}(0, f) + 3\delta_{k+1}(0, g) > 4k + 12, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

then either $f^{(k)}g^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $f \equiv g$.

Lemma 6 plays an important role in this paper, we add an example to show that the condition (1) cannot be deleted.

EXAMPLE 4. Let $f = -\frac{1}{2}e^{2z} - \frac{1}{2}e^z$, $g = \frac{1}{2}e^{-2z} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-z}$. Obviously, f', g' share 1 IM, and f, g share ∞ IM. Since $T(r, f) = 2T(r, e^z) + S(r, e^z)$, and $N(r, \frac{1}{f}) = N(r, \frac{1}{e^z+1})$. The second main theorem gives $T(r, e^z) \leq \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{e^z}) + \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{e^z+1}) + S(r, e^z)$, so $T(r, e^z) = N(r, \frac{1}{e^z+1}) + S(r, e^z)$, and $\delta(0, f) = 1/2$, but $f \not\equiv g$, $f'g' \not\equiv 1$.

PROOF of Lemma 6. Let

$$h(z) = \left(\frac{f^{(k+2)}}{f^{(k+1)}} - 2 \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)} - 1} \right) - \left(\frac{g^{(k+2)}}{g^{(k+1)}} - 2 \frac{g^{(k+1)}}{g^{(k)} - 1} \right). \tag{2}$$

If $h(z) \not\equiv 0$, and suppose that z_0 is a common simple 1-point of $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$, then by (2), we can get $h(z_0) = 0$, and

$$N_E^{(1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) = N_E^{(1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{h}\right) \leq N(r, h) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (3)$$

By assumptions, we deduce from (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, h) &\leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + \bar{N}_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $N_0(r, 1/f^{(k+1)})$ has the same meaning as in Lemma 2, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) + T(r, g) &\leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, g) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) \\ &\quad - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Since $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share 1 IM, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) \\ &\leq N_E^{(1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) \\ &\leq N_E^{(1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) + \bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) + T(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

By Lemma 5, we get

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f), \quad (7)$$

and

$$\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) \leq (k+1)\bar{N}(r, f) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f). \quad (8)$$

In the same way, we have

$$\bar{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) \leq (k+1)\bar{N}(r, g) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, g). \quad (9)$$

From (3)-(9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, g) &\leq (2k+3)\bar{N}(r, f) + (2k+3)\bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + 2N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 3N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose that there exists a set I with infinite linear measure such that $T(r, f) \leq T(r, g)$ for $r \in I$, then we deduce

$$T(r, g) \leq [(2k+3)(1-\Theta(\infty, f)) + (2k+3)(1-\Theta(\infty, g)) + (1-\Theta(0, f)) \\ + (1-\Theta(0, g)) + 2(1-\delta_{k+1}(0, f)) + 3(1-\delta_{k+1}(0, g)) + \varepsilon]T(r, g) + S(r, g)$$

for $r \in I$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \Delta - (4k+12)$, that is

$$[\Delta - (4k+12) - \varepsilon]T(r, g) \leq S(r, g),$$

this together with (1) may lead to a contradiction. Hence $h(z) \equiv 0$, that is

$$\frac{f^{(k+2)}}{f^{(k+1)}} - 2\frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)}-1} = \frac{g^{(k+2)}}{g^{(k+1)}} - 2\frac{g^{(k+1)}}{g^{(k)}-1}.$$

Integration yields

$$\frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1} = \frac{bg^{(k)}+a-b}{g^{(k)}-1}, \quad (10)$$

where a ($a \neq 0$) and b are constants. Next, we consider three cases.

Case 1. If $b = 0$. Then from (10), we obtain

$$f = g/a + p(z), \quad (11)$$

where $p(z)$ is a polynomial.

If $p(z) \not\equiv 0$, since f is transcendental, then by Lemma 3, we have

$$T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, f). \quad (12)$$

It follows from (11) and (12) that

$$T(r, f) \leq \{3 - [\Theta(\infty, f) + \Theta(0, f) + \Theta(0, g)] + \varepsilon\}T(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

where $0 < \varepsilon < (2k+2)(1-\Theta(\infty, f)) + (2k+3)(1-\Theta(\infty, g)) + 2(1-\delta_{k+1}(0, f)) + 3(1-\delta_{k+1}(0, g))$. Therefore $T(r, f) \leq \{4k+13-\Delta\}T(r, f) + S(r, f)$, which and (1) lead to $T(r, f) \leq S(r, f)$ for $r \in I$, a contradiction. So $p(z) \equiv 0$, this yields $a = 1$, and $f \equiv g$.

Case 2. Suppose that $b \neq 0$ and $a \neq b$.

If $b = -1$, then from (10), we have $\bar{N}(r, 1/(g^{(k)} - a - 1)) = \bar{N}(r, f^{(k)}) = \bar{N}(r, f)$. Lemma 2 gives

$$T(r, g) \leq \bar{N}(r, g) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)} - (a+1)}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r, g) \\ \leq (2k+3)\bar{N}(r, f) + (2k+3)\bar{N}(r, g) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\ + 2N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 3N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

which implies $T(r, g) \leq \{4k + 13 - \Delta\}T(r, g) + S(r, g)$, and $T(r, g) \leq S(r, g)$ for $r \in I$, a contradiction, so $b \neq -1$, it follows from (10) that

$$f^{(k)} - (1 + 1/b) = \frac{-a}{b^2[g^{(k)} + (a-b)/b]},$$

and

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)} + (a-b)/b}\right) = \overline{N}(r, f^{(k)} - (1 + 1/b)) = \overline{N}(r, f).$$

Similarly by Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, g) &\leq \overline{N}(r, g) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)} + (a-b)/b}\right) \\ &\quad - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq (2k + 3)\{\overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}(r, g)\} + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\ &\quad + 2N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 3N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Using the argument as in Case 2, we can also get a contradiction.

Case 3. Suppose that $b \neq 0$ and $a = b$.

If $b \neq -1$, from (10), we have

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)} - 1/(1+b)}\right) = \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right).$$

From (7) we get

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)} - 1/(1+b)}\right) \leq \overline{N}_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k\overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2 means that

$$T(r, g) \leq \overline{N}(r, g) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, g).$$

Using the argument as in Case 2, a contradiction can also be obtained. Therefore $b = -1$, and (10) implies $f^{(k)}g^{(k)} \equiv 1$. Thus we get the conclusion of Lemma 6.

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Set $F(z) = f^n(\mu f^m + \lambda)$ and $G(z) = g^n(\mu g^m + \lambda)$, Lemma 1 gives

$$\Theta(0, F) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}(r, 1/f^n) + \overline{N}(r, 1/(\mu f^m + \lambda))}{(n + m^*)T(r, f)} \geq 1 - \frac{1 + m^*}{n + m^*}. \quad (13)$$

Similarly,

$$\Theta(0, G) \geq 1 - \frac{1 + m^*}{n + m^*}, \quad (14)$$

$$\Theta(\infty, F) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}(r, f)}{(n + m^*)T(r, f)} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n + m^*}. \quad (15)$$

In the same manner as above, we obtain

$$\Theta(\infty, G) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n + m^*}. \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{k+1}(0, F) &\geq 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{k+1}(r, 1/f^n) + N_{k+1}(r, 1/(\mu f^m + \lambda))}{(n + m^*)T(r, f)} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{k + 1 + m^*}{n + m^*}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

And

$$\delta_{k+1}(0, G) \geq 1 - \frac{k + 1 + m^*}{n + m^*}. \quad (18)$$

From (13)-(18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= (2k + 3)\Theta(\infty, F) + (2k + 3)\Theta(\infty, G) + \Theta(0, F) \\ &\quad + \Theta(0, G) + 2\delta_{k+1}(0, F) + 3\delta_{k+1}(0, G) \\ &\geq 4k + 13 - [(9k + 13 + 7m^*)/(n + m^*)]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $n > 9k + 13 + 6m^*$, we deduce that $\Delta > 4k + 12$.

By Lemma 6, we deduce that either $F^{(k)}G^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $F \equiv G$. Next, we consider two cases.

Case 1. $F^{(k)}G^{(k)} \equiv 1$. That is

$$[f^n(\mu f^m + \lambda)]^{(k)}[g^n(\mu g^m + \lambda)]^{(k)} \equiv 1. \quad (19)$$

If $\lambda\mu = 0$. Lemma 4 and (19) give $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are constants satisfying $(-1)^k \lambda^2 (c_1 c_2)^{n+m^*} [(n + m^*)c]^{2k} = 1$ or $(-1)^k \mu^2 (c_1 c_2)^{n+m^*} [(n + m^*)c]^{2k} = 1$, for all positive integers k .

If $\lambda\mu \neq 0$. Since f, g share ∞ IM and (19), we see that f is an entire function and

$$[f^n(\mu f^m + \lambda)]^{(k)} \neq 0, \infty, \quad [g^n(\mu g^m + \lambda)]^{(k)} \neq 0, \infty. \quad (20)$$

Let $f = e^{\alpha(z)}$, where $\alpha(z)$ is a nonconstant entire function. By induction, we have

$$[\mu f^{n+m}(z)]^{(k)} = q_1(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)})e^{(m+n)\alpha(z)}, \quad [\lambda f^n(z)]^{(k)} = q_2(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)})e^{n\alpha(z)},$$

where $q_i(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)})$ ($i = 1, 2$) are differential polynomials.

Note that (20) and $\lambda \neq 0, \mu \neq 0$, we find

$$q_1(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)})e^{m\alpha(z)} + q_2(\alpha', \alpha'', \dots, \alpha^{(k)}) \neq 0, \quad (21)$$

and

$$T(r, \alpha') = m(r, \alpha') = m\left(r, \frac{(e^\alpha)'}{e^\alpha}\right) = m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) = S(r, f).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \alpha^{(j)}) &\leq T(r, \alpha') + S(r, f) = S(r, f) \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, k. \\ T(r, q_1) &= S(r, f), \quad T(r, q_2) = S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1, Lemma 3 and (21), we get $T(r, f) \leq T(r, q_1 e^{m\alpha(z)}) + S(r, f) = S(r, f)$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. $F \equiv G$. That is

$$f^n(\mu f^m + \lambda) \equiv g^n(\mu g^m + \lambda). \quad (22)$$

If $\lambda\mu = 0$, it follows from $|\lambda| + |\mu| \neq 0$ and (22) that $f = tg$, where t is a constant such that $t^{n+m} = 1$.

If $\lambda\mu \neq 0$, let $f/g = H$ be not a constant, substituting $f = gH$ into (22), we have

$$mT(r, f) = T(r, f^m) + S(r, f) = (n + m - 1)T(r, H) + S(r, f).$$

The second main theorem gives

$$\overline{N}(r, f) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+m-1} \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{H - a_j}\right) \geq (n + m - 3)T(r, H) + S(r, f),$$

where $(a_j \neq 1)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n + m - 1$) are distinct roots of $H^{n+m} = 1$, and we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(\infty, f) &= 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n + m - 3)T(r, H)}{T(r, f)} \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{m(n + m - 3)}{n + m - 1} = (1 - m) + \frac{2m}{n + m - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $m = 1$, then $\Theta(\infty, f) \leq 2/n$, a contradiction.

If $m > 1$, note that $n > 9k + 13 + 6m$, then $\Theta(\infty, f) < 0$, this is impossible. So H is a constant. If $H \neq 1$, we deduce g is a constant, which is a contradiction, thus $f \equiv g$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4 Proof of Theorem 2

Set $F(z) = f^n(f - 1)^m$ and $G(z) = g^n(g - 1)^m$, we obtain

$$\Theta(0, F) \geq 1 - \frac{2}{n + m}, \quad \Theta(0, G) \geq 1 - \frac{2}{n + m}. \quad (23)$$

$$\Theta(\infty, F) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}(r, f)}{(n + m)T(r, f)} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n + m}. \quad (24)$$

Likewise,

$$\Theta(\infty, G) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n + m}. \quad (25)$$

Next, we have

$$\delta_{k+1}(0, F) \geq 1 - \frac{k+1+m}{n+m}, \quad \delta_{k+1}(0, G) \geq 1 - \frac{k+1+m}{n+m}. \quad (26)$$

From (23)-(26), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= (2k+3)\Theta(\infty, F) + (2k+3)\Theta(\infty, G) + \Theta(0, F) \\ &\quad + \Theta(0, G) + 2\delta_{k+1}(0, F) + 3\delta_{k+1}(0, G) \\ &\geq 4k+13 - (9k+15+5m)/(n+m). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $n > 9k+15+4m$, we deduce that $\Delta > 4k+12$. Lemma 6 shows that either $F^{(k)}G^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $F \equiv G$. Next, we consider two cases.

Case 1. $F^{(k)}G^{(k)} \equiv 1$. That is

$$[f^n(f-1)^m]^{(k)}[g^n(g-1)^m]^{(k)} \equiv 1. \quad (27)$$

By the same argument as proof in Theorem 1, we see that (27) does not hold.

Case 2. $F \equiv G$. That is

$$f^n(f^m + \dots + (-1)^i C_m^{m-i} f^{m-i} + \dots + (-1)^m) \equiv g^n(g^m + \dots + (-1)^i C_m^{m-i} g^{m-i} + \dots + (-1)^m).$$

Let $H = f/g$, if H is a constant, substituting $f = gH$ into the equality above, we deduce $H \equiv 1$, and then $f \equiv g$.

If H is not a constant, it follows from $F \equiv G$ that $f^n(f-1)^m \equiv g^n(g-1)^m$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to the referee for several valuable suggestions and comments. This work was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No.10CX04038A).

References

- [1] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic functions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [2] H. X. Yi and C. C. Yang, Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions, Science Press, Beijing, 1995.
- [3] X. Y. Zhang and W. C. Lin, Uniqueness and value-sharing of entire functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 343(2008), 938–950.
- [4] M. L. Fang, Uniqueness and value-sharing of entire functions, Comput. Math. Appl., 44(2002), 823–831.
- [5] S. S. Bhoosnurmath and R. S. Dyavanal, Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic function, Comput. Math. Appl., 53(2007), 1191–1205.
- [6] T. D. Zhang and W. R. Lü, Uniqueness theorems on meromorphic functions sharing one value, Comput. Math. Appl., 55(2008), 2981–2992.

- [7] C. C. Yang and X. H. Hua, Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 22(2)(1997), 395–406.
- [8] G. Frank, Eine Vermutung von Hayman über nullstellen meromorpher funktion, *Math. Z.*, 149(1976), 29–36.
- [9] H. H. Chen, Yosida functions and Picard values of integral function and their derivatives, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 54(3)(1996), 373–381.
- [10] Q. C. Zhang, Meromorphic function that shares one small function with its derivative, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 6(4)(2005), Art., 116.