# Sensitivity Analysis Of Extended General Variational Inequalities[*]

Muhammad Aslam Noor[†]

**Abstract**

It is well known that the Wiener-Hopf equations are equivalent to the general variational inequalities. We use this alternative equivalent formulation to study the sensitivity of the general variational inequalities without assuming the differentiability of the given data. Since the general variational inequalities include classical variational inequalities, quasi (mixed) variational inequalities and complementarity problems as special cases, results obtained in this paper continue to hold for these problems. In fact, our results can be considered as a significant extension of previously known results.

## 1 Introduction

Variational inequalities are being used as mathematical programming models to study a large number of equilibrium problems arising in finance, economics, transportation, optimization , operations research and engineering sciences, see, for example [1-23] and the references therein. The behavior of such equilibrium solutions as a result of changes in the problem data is always of concern. In this paper, we study the sensitivity analysis of a class of variational inequalities, that is, examining how solutions of such problems change when the data of the problems are changed. We remark that sensitivity analysis is important for several reasons. First, since estimating problem data often introduces measurement errors, sensitivity analysis helps in identifying sensitive parameters that should be obtained with relatively high accuracy. Second, sensitivity analysis may help to predict the future changes of the equilibrium as a result of changes in the governing systems. Third, sensitivity analysis provides useful information for designing or planning various equilibrium systems. Furthermore, from mathematical and engineering points of view, sensitivity analysis can provide new insight regarding problems being studied and can stimulate new ideas for problem solving. Over the last decade, there has been increasing interest in studying the sensitivity analysis of variational inequalities and variational inclusions. Sensitivity analysis for variational inclusions and inequalities has been studied by many authors including Tobin [21], Kyparisis [6,7],

---

[†]Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan, E-mail: noormaslam@hotmail.com

Dafermos [3], Qiu and Magnanti [18], Yen [22], Noor [11-14], Moudafi and Noor [9], Noor and Noor [16] and Liu [8] using quite different techniques. The techniques suggested so far vary with the problem being studied. Dafermos [3] used the fixed-point formulation to consider the sensitivity analysis of the classical variational inequalities. This technique has been modified and extended by many authors for studying the sensitivity analysis of other classes of variational inequalities and variational inclusions, see [1,9,11,12, 18,21-23] and the references therein.

Variational inequalities have been extended and generalized in various directions using the novel and innovative techniques, which proved to be productive and useful. Noor [11,12] has introduced a new class of variational inequalities involving three operators and is known as the extended general variational inequality. It has been shown in [11,12] that the minimum of a class of differentiable nonconvex functions on a nonconvex set can be characterized via the extended general variational inequalities. Furthermore, this class is quite general and includes the general variational inequalities introduced by Noor [10] in 1988, the classical variational inequalities introduced by Stampacchia [20] in 1964 and several other optimization problems as special cases. This clearly shows that the extended general variational inequalities are unifying one and has significant applications in different fields of pure and applied sciences.

In this paper, we study the sensitivity analysis of the extended general variational inequalities. We first establish the equivalence between the general variational inequalities and the Wiener-Hopf equations by using the projection operator method. This fixed-point formulation is obtained by a suitable and appropriate rearrangement of the Wiener-Hopf equations. We would like to point out that the Wiener-Hopf equations technique is quite general, unified, flexible and provides us with a new approach to study the sensitivity analysis of variational inclusions and related optimization problems. We use this equivalence to develop sensitivity analysis for the extended general variational inequalities without assuming the differentiability of the given data. Our results can be considered as significant extensions of the results of Dafermos [3], Moudafi and Noor [9], Noor [13] and others in this area.

## 2   Preliminaries

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space whose inner product and norm are denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\|.\|$ respectively. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex set in $H$.

For given nonlinear operators $T, g, h : H \to H$, consider the problem of finding $u \in H, h(u) \in K$ such that

$$\langle \rho T u + h(u) - g(u), g(v) - h(u) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in H : g(v) \in K, \tag{1}$$

where $\rho > 0$ is a constant. Inequality of type (1) is introduced and studied by Noor [11,12, 15] . It has been shown [15] that the minimum of a class of differentiable nonconvex function on a nonconvex set can be characterized by the the extended general variational inequalities (1).

If $h = I$, the identity operator, then problem (1) is equivalent to finding $u \in K$ such that

$$\langle \rho T u + u - g(u), g(v) - u \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in H : g(v) \in K, \tag{2}$$

which is called the general variational inequality, introduced and studied by Noor [15]. It can be shown that a wide class of problems arising in pure and applied sciences can be studied via the variational inequality (2).

We now list some special cases of the extended general variational inequalities.

**I.** I f $g = h$, then Problem(1) is equivalent to finding $u \in H : g(u) \in K$ such that

$$\langle Tu, g(v) - g(u) \rangle \geq 0, \qquad \forall v \in H : g(v) \in K, \tag{3}$$

which is known as general variational inequality, introduced and studied by Noor [10] in 1988. It turned out that odd order and nonsymmetric obstacle, free, moving, unilateral and equilibrium problems arising in various branches of pure and applied sciences can be studied via general variational inequalities, see [9-15].

**II.** For $g \equiv I$, the identity operator, the extended general variational inequality (2.1) collapses to: find $u \in H : h(u) \in K$ such that

$$\langle Tu, v - h(u) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in K, \tag{4}$$

which is also called the general variational inequality, see Noor [14].

**III.** For $g = h = I$, the identity operator, the extended general variational inequality (1) is equivalent to finding $u \in K$ such that

$$\langle Tu, v - u \rangle \geq 0, \qquad \forall v \in K, \tag{5}$$

which is known as the classical variational inequality and was introduced in 1964 by Stampacchia [20]. For the recent applications, numerical methods, sensitivity analysis, dynamical systems and formulations of variational inequalities, see [1-23] and the references therein.

**IV.** If $K^* = \{u \in H; \langle u, v \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in K\}$ is a polar(dual) convex cone of a closed convex cone $K$ in $H$, then problem (1) is equivalent to finding $u \in H$ such that

$$g(u) \in K, \quad Tu \in K^*, \quad \langle g(u), Tu \rangle = 0, \tag{6}$$

which is known as the general complementarity problem. If $g = I$, the identity operator, then problem (6) is called the generalized complementarity problem. For $g(u) = u - m(u)$, where $m$ is a point-to-point mapping, then problem (3) is called the quasi(implicit) complementarity problem, see [14,17] and the references therein.

From the above discussion, it is clear that the extended general variational inequalities (1) is most general and includes several previously known classes of variational inequalities and related optimization problems as special cases. These variational inequalities have important applications in mathematical programming and engineering sciences.

We also need the following concepts and results.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $K$ be a closed convex set in $H$. Then, for a given $z \in H$, $u \in K$ satisfies the inequality

$$\langle u - z, v - u \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in k,$$

if and only if $\quad u = P_K z, \quad$ where $P_K$ is the projection of $H$ onto the closed convex set $K$ in $H$.

It is well known that the projection operator $P_K$ is a nonexpansive operator.

Related to the extended general variational inequalities (1), we consider the problem of solving the Wiener-Hopf equations. Let the inverse of the operator $h$ exist. To be more precise, let $Q_K = I - gh^{-1}P_K$, where $I$ is the identity operator. For given nonlinear operators $T, g, h$, we consider the problem of finding $z \in H$ such that

$$Th^{-1}P_k z + \rho^{-1}Q_K z = 0, \tag{7}$$

which is called the extended general Wiener-Hopf equation. We note that if $gh^{-1} = I$, that is, $g = h$, then the Extended general Wiener-Hopf equation (7) is exactly the general Wiener-Hopf equation introduced and studied by Noor [14]. In addition if $g = h = I$, then one can obtain the original Wiener-Hopf equations, which are mainly due to Shi [19]. It has been shown that the Wiener-Hopf equations have played an important and significant role in developing several numerical techniques for solving variational inequalities and related optimization problems, see [13, 14, 17, 19] and the references therein.

We now consider the parametric versions of the problem (1) and (7). To formulate the problem, let $M$ be an open subset of $H$ in which the parameter $\lambda$ takes values. Let $T(u, \lambda)$ be given operator defined on $H \times M$ and take value in $H$.

From now onward, we denote $T_\lambda(.) \equiv T(., \lambda)$, unless otherwise specified.

The parametric general variational inequality problem is to find $(u, \lambda) \in H \times M$ such that
$$\langle \rho T_\lambda u + h(u) - g(u), g(v) - h(u) \rangle \geq 0, \forall v \in H : g(v) \in K. \tag{8}$$

We also assume that for some $\overline{\lambda} \in M$, problem (8) has a unique solution $\overline{u}$.

Related to the parametric extended general variational inequality (8), we consider the parametric Wiener-Hopf equations. We consider the problem of finding $(z, \lambda), (u, \lambda) \in H \times M$, such that

$$T_\lambda h^{-1}P_K z + \rho^{-1}Q_K z = 0, \tag{9}$$

where $\rho > 0$ is a constant and $Q_K z$ is defined on the set of $(z, \lambda)$ with $\lambda \in M$ and takes values in $H$. The equations of the type (9) are called the parametric Wiener-Hopf equations.

One can establish the equivalence between the problems (8) and (9) by using the projection operator technique, see Noor [13,14].

LEMMA 2.2. The parametric general variational inequality (8) has a solution $(u, \lambda) \in H \times M$ if and only if the parametric Wiener-Hopf equations (9) have a solution $(z, \lambda), (u, \lambda) \in H \times M$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(u) &= P_K z \tag{10} \\
z &= g(u) - \rho T_\lambda(u). \tag{11}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 2.2, we see that the parametric general variational inequalities (8) and the parametric Wiener-Hopf equations (9) are equivalent. We use this equivalence to study the sensitivity analysis of the general variational inequalities. We assume

that for some $\overline{\lambda} \in M$, problem (9) has a solution $\overline{z}$ and $X$ is a closure of a ball in $H$ centered at $\overline{z}$. We want to investigate those conditions under which, for each $\lambda$ in a neighborhood of $\overline{\lambda}$, problem (9) has a unique solution $z(\lambda)$ near $\overline{z}$ and the function $z(\lambda)$ is (Lipschitz) continuous and differentiable.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $T_\lambda(.)$ be an operator on $X \times M$. Then, the operator $T_\lambda(.)$ is said to :

(a). Locally strongly monotone, if there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\langle T_\lambda(u) - T_\lambda(v), u - v \rangle \geq \alpha \|u - v\|^2, \quad \forall \lambda \in M, u, v \in X.$$

(b). Locally Lipschitz continuous, if there exists a constant $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\|T_\lambda(u) - T_\lambda(v)\| \leq \beta \|u - v\|, \quad \forall \lambda \in M, u, v \in X.$$

# 3    Main Results

We consider the case, when the solutions of the parametric Wiener-Hopf equations (11) lie in the interior of $X$. Following the ideas of Dafermos [3] and Noor [13,14], we consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} F_\lambda(z) &= P_K z - \rho T_\lambda(u), \quad \forall \quad (z, \lambda) \in X \times M \\ &= g(u) - \rho T_\lambda(u), \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where

$$h(u) = P_K z. \tag{13}$$

We have to show that the map $F_\lambda(z)$ has a fixed point, which is a solution of the Wiener-Hopf equations (9). First of all, we prove that the map $F_\lambda(z)$, defined by (12), is a contraction map with respect to $z$ uniformly in $\lambda \in M$.

LEMMA 3.1. Let $T_\lambda(.)$ be a locally strongly monotone with constant $\alpha > 0$ and locally Lipschitz continous with constant $\beta > 0$. If that the operators $g, h$ are strongly monotone with constants $\sigma > 0$, $\mu > 0$ and Lipschitz continuous with constants $\delta > 0$, $\eta > 0$ respectively, then, for all $z_1, z_2 \in X$ and $\lambda \in M$, we have

$$\|F_\lambda(z_1) - F_\lambda(z_2)\| \leq \theta \|z_1 - z_2\|,$$

where

$$\theta = \frac{\sqrt{1 - 2\sigma + \delta^2} + \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha\rho + \beta^2\rho^2}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - 2\mu + \eta^2}} \tag{14}$$

for

$$|\rho - \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}| < \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^2 - \beta^2 k(2 - k)}}{\beta^2}, \quad \alpha > \beta\sqrt{k(2 - k)}, \quad k < 1, \tag{15}$$

where

$$k = \sqrt{1 - 2\sigma + \delta^2} + \sqrt{1 - 2\mu + \eta^2}, \tag{16}$$

PROOF. For all $z_1, z_2 \in X$, $\lambda \in M$, we have, from (12),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|F_\lambda(z_1) - F_\lambda(z_2)\| &= \|g(u_1) - g(u_2) - \rho(T_\lambda(u_1) - T_\lambda(u_2))\| \\
&\leq \|u_1 - u_2 - (g(u_1) - g(u_2))\| \\
&\quad + \|u_1 - u_2 - \rho(T_\lambda(u_1) - T_\lambda(u_2))\|.
\end{aligned}
\tag{17}
$$

Using the strongly monotonicity and Lipschitz continuity of the operator $g$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u_1 - u_2 - (g(u_1) - g(u_2))\|^2 &\leq \|u_1 - u_2\|^2 - 2\langle u_1 - u_2, g(u_1) - g(u_2)\rangle \\
&\quad + \|g(u_1) - g(u_2)\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - 2\sigma + \delta^2)\|u_1 - u_2\|^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{18}
$$

In a similar way, we have

$$
\|u_1 - u_2 - \rho(T_\lambda(u_1) - T_\lambda(u_2))\|^2 \leq (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \beta^2\rho^2)\|u_1 - u_2\|^2,
\tag{19}
$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is the strongly monotonicity constant and $\beta > 0$ is the Lipschitz continuity constant of the operator $T_\lambda$ respectively.

From (17), (18) and (19), we have

$$
\|F_\lambda(z_1) - F_\lambda(z_2)\| \leq \{\sqrt{1 - 2\sigma + \delta^2} + \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha\rho + \beta^2\rho^2}\}\|u_1 - u_2\|.
\tag{20}
$$

From (13) and using the nonexpansivity of the operator $P_K$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u_1 - u_2\| &\leq \|u_1 - u_2 - (h(u_1) - h(u_2))\| + \|P_K z_1 - P_K z_2\| \\
&\leq \{\sqrt{1 - 2\mu + \eta^2}\|u_1 - u_2\| + \|z_1 - z_2\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we obtain

$$
\|u_1 - u_2\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{1 - 2\mu + \eta^2}}\|z_1 - z_2\|,
\tag{21}
$$

where $\mu > 0$ is the strongly monotonicity constant and $\eta > 0$ is the Lipschitz continuity constant of the operator $h$ respectively.

Combining (20) and (21), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|F_\lambda(z_1) - F_\lambda(z_2)\| &\leq \frac{\sqrt{1 - 2\sigma + \delta^2} + \sqrt{1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2\beta^2}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - 2\mu + \eta^2}}\|z_1 - z_2\| \\
&= \theta\|z_1 - z_2\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\quad \theta = \frac{\sqrt{1 - 2\sigma + \delta^2} + \sqrt{1 - 2\alpha\rho + \beta^2\rho^2}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - 2\mu + \eta^2}}.$

Now consider $\theta < 1$. Using (15), we have

$$
k + \sqrt{1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2\beta^2} < 1,
$$

which shows that (15) holds. Consequently, from (15), it follows that $\theta < 1$ and consequently the map $F_\lambda(z)$ defined by (12) is a contraction map and has a fixed point $z(\lambda)$, which is the solution of the Wiener-Hopf equation (9).

REMARK 3.1. From Lemma 3.1, we see that the map $F_\lambda(z)$ defined by (12) has a unique fixed point $z(\lambda)$, that is, $z(\lambda) = F_\lambda(z)$. Also, by assumption, the function $\overline{z}$, for $\lambda = \overline{\lambda}$ is a solution of the parametric Wiener-Hopf equations (9). Again using Lemma 3.1, we see that $\overline{z}$, for $\lambda = \overline{\lambda}$, is a fixed point of $F_\lambda(z)$ and it is also a fixed point of $F_{\overline{\lambda}}(z)$. Consequently, we conclude that

$$z(\overline{\lambda}) = \overline{z} = F_{\overline{\lambda}}(z(\overline{\lambda})).$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we can prove the continuity of the solution $z(\lambda)$ of the parametric Wiener-Hopf equations (9) using the technique of Noor [13,14]. However, for the sake of completeness and to convey an idea of the techniques involved, we give its proof.

LEMMA 3.2. Assume that the operator $T_\lambda(.)$ is locally Lipschitz continous with respect to the parameter $\lambda$. If the operator $T_\lambda(.)$ is Locally Lipschitz continuous and the map $\lambda \to P_{K_\lambda}z$ is continuous (or Lipschitz continuous), then the function $z(\lambda)$ satisfying (5) is (Lipschitz) continuous at $\lambda = \overline{\lambda}$.

PROOF. For all $\lambda \in M$, invoking Lemma 3.1 and the triangle inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|z(\lambda) - z(\overline{\lambda})\| &\leq \|F_\lambda(z(\lambda)) - F_{\overline{\lambda}}(z(\overline{\lambda})\| + \|F_\lambda(z(\overline{\lambda})) - F_{\overline{\lambda}}(z(\overline{\lambda}))\| \\
&\leq \theta\|z(\lambda) - z(\overline{\lambda})\| + \|F_\lambda(z(\overline{\lambda})) - F_{\overline{\lambda}}(z(\overline{\lambda}))\|.
\end{aligned}
\tag{22}
$$

From (12) and the fact that the operator $T_\lambda$ is a Lipschitz continuous with respect to the parameter $\lambda$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|F_\lambda(z(\overline{\lambda})) - F_{\overline{\lambda}}(z(\overline{\lambda}))\| &= \|u(\overline{\lambda}) - u(\overline{\lambda}) + \rho(T_\lambda(u(\overline{\lambda}), u(\overline{\lambda})) - T_{\overline{\lambda}}(u(\overline{\lambda}), u(\overline{\lambda})))\| \\
&\leq \rho\mu\|\lambda - \overline{\lambda}\|.
\end{aligned}
\tag{23}
$$

Combining (22) and (23), we obtain

$$\|z(\lambda) - z(\overline{\lambda})\| \leq \frac{\rho\mu}{1 - \theta}\|\lambda - \overline{\lambda}\|, \quad \text{for all } \lambda, \overline{\lambda} \in M,$$

from which the required result follows.

We now state and prove the main result of this paper and is the motivation our next result.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $\overline{u}$ be the solution of the parametric general variational inequality (8) and $\overline{z}$ be the solution of the parametric Wiener-Hopf equations (9) for $\lambda = \overline{\lambda}$. Let $T_\lambda(u)$ be the locally strongly monotone Lipschitz continuous operator $\forall u, v \in X$. If the the map $\lambda \to P_K$ is ( Lipschitz) continuous at $\lambda = \overline{\lambda}$, then there exists a neighborhood $N \subset M$ of $\overline{\lambda}$ such that for $\lambda \in N$, the parametric Wiener-Hopf equations (9) have a unique solution $z(\lambda)$ in the interior of $X$, $z(\overline{\lambda}) = \overline{z}$ and $z(\lambda)$ is (Lipschitz) continuous at $\lambda = \overline{\lambda}$.

PROOF. Its proof follows from Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and Remark 3.1.

APPLICATIONS. To convey an idea of the applications of the results established in this paper, we consider the third-order obstacle boundary value problem of finding $u$ such that

$$
\left.
\begin{aligned}
-u''' &\geq f(x) && \text{on } \Omega = [0,1] \\
u &\geq \psi(x) && \text{on } \Omega = [0,1] \\
[-u''' - f(x)][u - \psi(x)] &= 0 && \text{on } \Omega = [0,1] \\
u(0) = 0, \quad u'(0) &= 0, \quad u'(1) = 0.
\end{aligned}
\right\}
\tag{24}
$$

where $f(x)$ is a continuous function and $\psi(x)$ is the obstacle function. We study the problem (24) in the framework of variational inequality approach. To do so, we first define the set $K$ as

$$K = \{v : v \in H_0^2(\Omega) : v \geq \psi \quad \text{on } \Omega\},$$

which is a closed convex set in $H_0^2(\Omega)$, where $H_0^2(\Omega)$ is a Sobolev (Hilbert) space, see [2]. One can easily show that the energy functional associated with the problem (24) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
I[v] &= -\int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^3v}{dx^3}\right)\left(\frac{dv}{dx}\right) dx - 2\int_0^1 f(x)\left(\frac{dv}{dx}\right) dx, \quad \text{for all } \tfrac{dv}{dx} \in K \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2v}{dx^2}\right)^2 dx - 2\int_0^1 f(x)\left(\frac{dv}{dx}\right) dx \\
&= \langle Tv, g(v)\rangle - 2\langle f, g(v)\rangle
\end{aligned}
\tag{25}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle Tu, g(v)\rangle &= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d^2u}{dx^2}\right)\left(\frac{d^2v}{dx^2}\right) dx \tag{26} \\
\langle f, g(v)\rangle &= \int_0^1 f(x)\frac{dv}{dx} dx
\end{aligned}
$$

and $g = \frac{d}{dx}$ is the linear operator.

It is clear that the operator $T$ defined by (26) is linear, $g$-symmetric and $g$-positive. Using the technique of Noor [14], one can easily show that the minimum $u \in H$ of the functional $I[v]$ defined by (25) associated with the problem (24) on the closed convex set $K$ can be characterized by the inequality of type

$$\langle Tu, g(v) - g(u)\rangle \geq \langle f, g(v) - g(u)\rangle, \quad \forall g(v) \in K,$$

which is exactly the problem (1) with $h = g$ and $f = 0$.

Thus we conclude that all the sensitivity results obtained in this paper can be used to study the sensitivity properties of the third-order obstacle problems arising in mathematical and engineering sciences.

# References

[1] R. P. Agarwal, J. J. Cho and N. J. Huang, Sensitivity analysis for strongly non-linear quasi variational inclusions, Appl. Math. Letters, 13(2000), 19-24.

[2] C. Baiocchi and A. Capelo, Variational and Quasi Variational Inequalities, J. Wiley and Sons, London, 1984.

[3] S. Dafermos, Sensitivity analysis in variational inequalities, Math. Opers. Res. 13(1988),421-434.

[4] F. Giannessi and A. Maugeri, Variational Inequalities and Network equilibrium Problems, Plenum press, New York, 1995.

[5] D. Kinderlehrer and G. Stampacchia, An Introduction to Variational Inequalities and Their Applications, Academic Press, London, 1980.

[6] J. Kyparisis, Sensitivity analysis framework for variational inequalities, Math. Programming, 38(1987), 203-213.

[7] J. Kyparisis, Sensitivity analysis for variational inequalities and nonlinear complementarity problems, Annals. Opers. Res. 27(1990), 143-174.

[8] J. Liu, Sensitivity analysis in nonlinear programs and variational inequalities via continuous selections, SIAM J. Control and Optimization, 33(1995), 1040-1068.

[9] A. Moudafi and M. Aslam Noor, Sensitivity analysis for variational inclusions by Wiener-Hopf equations technique, J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal. 12(1999), 223-232.

[10] M. Aslam Noor, General variational inequalities, Appl. Math. Letters, 1(1988), 119-121.

[11] M. Aslam Noor, Extended general variational inequalities, Appl. Math. Letters, (2008).

[12] M. Aslam Noor, Auxiliary principle technique for extended general variational inequalities, Banach J. Math. Anal. 2(2008), 33-39.

[13] M. Aslam Noor, Sensitivity analysis for quasi variational inequalities, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 95(1997), 399-407.

[14] M. Aslam Noor, Some developments in general variational inequalities, Appl. Math. Computation, 152(2004), 199-277.

[15] M. Aslam Noor, Differentiable nonconvex functions and general variational inequalities, Appl. Math. Comput. 199(2008), 623-630.

[16] M. Aslam Noor and K. Inayat Noor, Sensitivity analysis for quasi variational inclusions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 236(1999), 290-299.

[17] M. Aslam Noor, K. Inayat Noor and Th. M. Rassias, Some aspects of variational inequalities, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 47(1993), 285-312.

[18] Y. Qiu and T. L. Magnanti, Sensitivity analysis for variational inequalities defined on polyhedral sets, Math. Opers. Res. 14(1989), 410-432.

[19] P. Shi, Equivalence of Wiener-Hopf equations with variational inequalities, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 111(1991), 339-346.

[20] G. Stampacchia, Formes bilineaires coercivities sur les ensembles convexes, C. R. Acad. Sci, Paris, 258(1966), 4413-4416.

[21] R. L. Tobin, Sensitivity analysis for variational inequalities, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 48(1986), 191-204.

[22] N. D. Yen, Holder continuity of solutions to a parametric variational inequality, Appl. Math. Optim. 31(1995), 245-255.

[23] N. D. Yen and G. M. Lee, Solution sensitivity of a class of variational inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 215(1997), 46-55.