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Abstract

We consider a totally positive generalized Vandermonde matrix and obtain by induction its unique LU factorization avoiding Schur functions. As by-products, we get a recursive formula for the determinant and the inverse of a totally positive generalized Vandermonde matrix and express any Schur function in an explicit form.

1 Introduction

Let

\[ G\{n; a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\} = \begin{bmatrix}
    x_1^{a_1} & x_1^{a_2} & \cdots & x_1^{a_n} \\
    x_2^{a_1} & x_2^{a_2} & \cdots & x_2^{a_n} \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    x_n^{a_1} & x_n^{a_2} & \cdots & x_n^{a_n}
\end{bmatrix} \]

be a totally positive (TP) generalized Vandermonde matrix [1, p.142], where \(0 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n\) are integers and \(0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n\). In connection with Schur functions we define the partition \(\lambda\) associated with \(G\) as the nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative integers

\[ \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n) = (a_n - (n - 1), a_{n-1} - (n - 2), \cdots, a_1), \]

and get

\[ G\{n; a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\} = [x_i^{j+\lambda_{n-j+1}}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \]
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and Schur function associated to \( \lambda \) is defined as
\[
    s_\lambda(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) = \frac{\det G\{n,a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\}}{\det G\{n,0,1, \cdots, n-1\}}.
\]

In a recent paper [1], J. Demmel and P. Koev performed accurate and efficient matrix computations of \( G_n := G\{n,a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_n\} \). Their results, among others, are an explicit bidiagonal decompositions of \( G_n^{-1} \) and an LDU decomposition of \( G_n \) which involves Schur functions.

In a previous paper [2], using only mathematical induction, we succeeded to provide the unique LU factorization of a special case of generalized Vandermonde matrices, and this prompts us to do the same thing with \( G_n \). Our main result presents an explicit LU factorization not involving Schur functions. As by-products, we calculate the determinant, the inverse of \( G_n \) and the Schur functions \( s_\lambda(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \).

\[\text{THEOREM 2.1.}\] \( G_n \) can be factorized as \( G_n = L_n U_n \), where \( L_n = [L_n(i,j)] \) is a lower triangular matrix with unit main diagonal and \( U_n = [U_n(i,j)] \) is an upper triangular matrix, whose entries are defined as follows:

\[
    L_n(i,j) = \begin{cases} 
    1, & i = j; \\
    0, & i < j; \\
    \left( \frac{x_i}{x_j} \right)^{a_1} \frac{s_{(x_{i-1}-x_j)}(A_j)}{A_j}, & j = 1, i \geq 2; \\
    \left( \frac{x_i}{x_j} \right)^{a_1} \frac{s_{(x_{i-1}-x_j)}(A_j)}{A_j}, & i \geq j + 1, j \geq 2.
    \end{cases}
\]

and

\[
    U_n(i,j) = \begin{cases} 
    x_i^{a_j}, & i = 1; \\
    0, & i > j; \\
    x_i^{a_1} B_i, & i = j \geq 2; \\
    x_i^{a_1} S^{(a_{i-1} - a_j)}(B_j), & j \geq i + 1, i \geq 2.
    \end{cases}
\]

where \( A_i, B_j \), and the notations \( S_{\{x_{k-1}-x_k\}}, S^{(a_{k-1} - a_k)} \) are defined recursively for \( i,j \geq 2 \):

\[
    A_2 = B_2 = x_1^{a_2 - a_1} - x_1^{a_2 - a_1};
\]

\[
    A_k = \{ S^{(a_{k-1} - a_k)}(A_{k-1}) \} A_{k-1} - S_{\{x_{k-1}-x_k\}}(A_{k-1}) S^{(a_{k-1} - a_k)}(A_{k-1}), k \geq 3;
\]
\[B_k = S_{\{x_{k-1} \rightarrow x_k\}}(B_{k-1}) - \{S_{\{a_{k-1} \rightarrow a_k\}}(B_{k-1})\} \left( \frac{S_{\{x_{k-1} \rightarrow x_k\}}(A_{k-1})}{A_{k-1}} \right), k \geq 3;\]

\[S_{\{x_{k-1} \rightarrow x_k\}}(A_{k-1}) := x_k \text{ substitutes } x_{k-1} \text{ in } A_{k-1};\]

\[S_{\{a_{k-1} \rightarrow a_k\}}(A_{k-1}) := a_k \text{ substitutes } a_{k-1} \text{ in } A_{k-1}.\]

**PROOF.** We use mathematical induction on \(n\), the size of \(G_n\). The case where \(n = 2\) follows from

\[L_2U_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \left( \frac{x_1}{x_2} \right)^{a_1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1^{a_1} & x_1^{a_2} \\ x_2^{a_1}(x_2^{a_2-a_1} - x_1^{a_2-a_1}) & x_2^{a_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^{a_1} & x_1^{a_2} \\ x_2^{a_1} & x_2^{a_2} \end{bmatrix} = G_2.\]

Assume \(G_k = L_kU_k\) holds, we want to prove \(G_{k+1} = L_{k+1}L_{k+1}\). By induction hypothesis, we know that

\[G_k(k, l) = \sum_{m=1}^{l} L_k(k, m)U_k(m, l), 1 \leq l \leq k, \quad (1)\]

\[G_k(l, k) = \sum_{m=1}^{l} L_k(l, m)U_k(m, k), 1 \leq l \leq k. \quad (2)\]

It is sufficient to show that

\[G_{k+1}(k+1, l) = \sum_{m=1}^{l} L_{k+1}(k+1, m)U_{k+1}(m, l), 1 \leq l \leq k,\]

and

\[G_{k+1}(l, k+1) = \sum_{m=1}^{l} L_{k+1}(l, m)U_{k+1}(m, k+1), 1 \leq l \leq k + 1.\]

Firstly, we find that

\[\sum_{m=1}^{k+1} L_{k+1}(k+1, m)U_{k+1}(m, 1) = L_{k+1}(k+1, 1)U_{k+1}(1, 1)\]

\[= \left( \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_1} \right)^{a_1} \times x_1^{a_1}\]

\[= x_{k+1}^{a_1}\]

\[= G_{k+1}(k+1, 1),\]
and by (1), we get

\[ \sum_{m=1}^{k} L_k(k, m)U_k(m, l) = \sum_{m=1}^{l} L_k(k, m)U_k(m, l)\]

\[ = \frac{x_k}{x_1} \times x_1^{a_1} \]

\[ + \sum_{m=2}^{l} \left( \frac{x_k}{x_m} \right)^{a_1} \frac{S_{(x_m \rightarrow x_k)}(A_m)}{A_m} \times x_m^{a_1} S_{(a_m \rightarrow a_l)}(B_m) \]

\[ = G_k(k, l) \]

\[ = x_k^{a_l}, \]

for \( 2 \leq l \leq k - 1 \), so

\[ \sum_{m=1}^{k+1} L_{k+1}(k + 1, m)U_{k+1}(m, l) \]

\[ = \sum_{m=1}^{l} L_{k+1}(k + 1, m)U_{k+1}(m, l) \]

\[ = \left( \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_1} \right)^{a_1} \times x_1^{a_1} + \sum_{m=2}^{l} \left( \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_m} \right)^{a_1} \frac{S_{(x_m \rightarrow x_{k+1})}(A_m)}{A_m} \times x_m^{a_1} S_{(a_m \rightarrow a_l)}(B_m) \]

\[ = x_k^{a_{l+1}} \]

\[ = G_{k+1}(k + 1, l), \]

for \( 2 \leq l \leq k - 1 \). Next, we have

\[ \sum_{m=1}^{k+1} L_{k+1}(1, m)U_{k+1}(m, k + 1) = L_{k+1}(1, 1)U_{k+1}(1, k + 1) \]

\[ = 1 \times x_1^{a_{k+1}} \]

\[ = x_1^{a_{k+1}} \]

\[ = G_{k+1}(1, k + 1), \]

and by (2), we can get

\[ \sum_{m=1}^{k} L_k(l, m)U_k(m, k) \]

\[ = \sum_{m=1}^{l} L_k(l, m)U_k(m, k) \]

\[ = \left( \frac{x_l}{x_1} \right)^{a_1} \times x_1^{a_1} + \sum_{m=2}^{l} \left( \frac{x_l}{x_m} \right)^{a_1} \frac{S_{(x_m \rightarrow x_l)}(A_m)}{A_m} \times x_m^{a_1} S_{(a_m \rightarrow a_k)}(B_m) \]

\[ = G_k(l, k) \]

\[ = x_l^{a_k}, \]
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for $2 \leq l \leq k - 1$, so

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{k+1} L_{k+1}(l, m) U_{k+1}(m, k + 1)
= \sum_{m=1}^{l} L_{k+1}(l, m) U_{k+1}(m, k + 1)
= \left( \frac{x_l}{x_1} \right)^{a_1} x_1^{a_{k+1}} + \sum_{m=2}^{l-1} \left( \frac{x_l}{x_m} \right)^{a_1} S_{(x_m-x_l)}(A_m) \times x_m^{a_1} S_{(a_m-a_{k+1})}(B_m)
= x_l^{a_{k+1}} = G_{k+1}(l, k + 1),
$$

for $2 \leq l \leq k - 1$; let $l = k$ in (2), we establish the following equation:

$$
x_k^{a_k} = \sum_{m=1}^{k} L_k(k, m) U_k(m, k)
= \left( \frac{x_k}{x_1} \right)^{a_1} x_1^{a_k} + \sum_{m=2}^{k-1} \left( \frac{x_k}{x_m} \right)^{a_1} S_{(x_m-x_k)}(A_m) \times x_m^{a_1} S_{(a_m-a_k)}(B_m)
+ 1 \times x_k^{a_1} B_k.
$$

(3)

Altogether, we conclude that

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{k+1} L_{k+1}(k, m) U_{k+1}(m, k + 1)
= \sum_{m=1}^{k} L_{k+1}(k, m) U_{k+1}(m, k + 1)
= \left( \frac{x_k}{x_1} \right)^{a_1} x_1^{a_{k+1}} + \sum_{m=2}^{k-1} \left( \frac{x_k}{x_m} \right)^{a_1} S_{(x_m-x_k)}(A_m) \times x_m^{a_1} S_{(a_m-a_{k+1})}(B_m)
+ 1 \times x_k^{a_1} S_{(a_k-a_{k+1})} B_k
= x_k^{a_{k+1}}
= G_{k+1}(k, k + 1).
$$

On the other hand, we will prove that

$$
x_{k+1}^{a_{k+1}} = \left( \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_1} \right)^{a_1} x_1^{a_k} + \sum_{m=2}^{k-1} \left( \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_m} \right)^{a_1} S_{(x_m-x_{k+1})}(A_m) \times x_m^{a_1} S_{(a_m-a_k)}(B_m)
+ x_{k+1}^{a_1} \left( \frac{S_{(x_l-x_{k+1})}(A_k)}{A_k} \right) \times B_k.
$$

In fact, application of $S_{(x_l-x_{k+1})}$ on both sides of (3) results in the latter equation except the last term being $1 \times S_{(x_k-x_{k+1})}(x_k^{a_1} B_k)$. Thus the validity of the latter
equation is based on the fact that
\[ A_k \times S_{(x_k \rightarrow x_{k+1})}(B_k) = B_k \times S_{(x_k \rightarrow x_{k+1})}(A_k) \]
which can be proved by induction on \( k \) as follows. The case \( k = 2 \) is trivial, since \( A_2 = B_2 \). Assume the induction hypothesis
\[ A_k \times S_{(x_k \rightarrow x_{k+1})}(B_k) = B_k \times S_{(x_k \rightarrow x_{k+1})}(A_k) \]
holds. Then by applying \( S_{(x_k \rightarrow x_{k+1})}(S_{(x_k \rightarrow x_{k+1})}S_{(x_k \rightarrow x_{k+2})}, S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})} \) and \( S_{(x_k \rightarrow x_{k+1})} \) to both sides of the above equation respectively, we obtain
\[
S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(A_k) \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(B_k) = S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(B_k) \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(A_k);
\]
\[
S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(A_k) \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(B_k) = S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(B_k) \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(A_k);
\]
\[
S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(A_k) \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(B_k) = S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(B_k) \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(A_k).
\]
Now we prove the induction step
\[ A_{k+1} \times S_{(x_{k+1} \rightarrow x_{k+2})}(B_{k+1}) = B_{k+1} \times S_{(x_{k+1} \rightarrow x_{k+2})}(A_{k+1}), \]
which, by using the definitions of \( A_{k+1} \) and \( B_{k+1} \), becomes
\[
\left( S_{(x_k \rightarrow x_{k+1})}(A_k) \right) A_k - S_{(x_k \rightarrow x_{k+1})}(A_k) S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(A_k) \times S_{(x_{k+1} \rightarrow x_{k+2})}(B_k) - \{ S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(B_k) \} \left( \frac{S_{(x_k \rightarrow x_{k+1})}(A_k)}{A_k} \right) \times S_{(x_{k+1} \rightarrow x_{k+2})}(A_k),
\]
and further calculations yield the following four-term equation
\[
A_k^2 \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(A_k) \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(B_k) \]
\[ -A_k \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(B_k) \times S_{(x_k \rightarrow x_{k+1})(A_k) \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(A_k)} \]
\[ -A_k \times S_{(x_k \rightarrow x_{k+1})}(A_k) \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(B_k) \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(A_k) \]
\[ +S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(A_k) \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(A_k) \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(B_k) \]
\[ = A_k^2 \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(B_k) \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(A_k) \]
\[ -A_k \times S_{(x_k \rightarrow x_{k+1})}(A_k) \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(A_k) \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(B_k) \]
\[ -A_k \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(B_k) \times S_{(x_k \rightarrow x_{k+1})}(A_k) \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(A_k) \]
\[ +S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(B_k) \times S_{(x_k \rightarrow x_{k+1})}(A_k) \times S_{(x_k \rightarrow x_{k+2})}(A_k) \times S^{(a_k \rightarrow a_{k+1})}(A_k).\]
Now the first terms on both sides of (7) are equal due to (4), the second terms to (5) and the third terms to (6), while the fourth terms are exactly equal. Thus we have completed the proof.

Thus, we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k+1} L_{k+1}(k+1,m)U_{k+1}(m,k)$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{k} L_{k+1}(k+1,m)U_{k+1}(m,k)$$

$$= \left( \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_1} \right)^{a_1} \times x_1^{a_k} + \sum_{m=2}^{k-1} \left( \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_m} \right)^{a_1} \frac{S(x_m-x_{k+1})(A_m)}{A_m} \times x_m^{a_1} S^{(a_m-a_k)}(B_m)$$

$$+ \left( \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_k} \right)^{a_1} \left( S\left(\frac{x_k-x_{k+1}}{A_k}\right) \right) \times x_k^{a_1} B_k$$

$$= x_k^{a_{k+1}}$$

$$= G_{k+1}(k+1,k),$$

and by (3),

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k+1} L_{k+1}(k,m)U_{k+1}(m,k+1)$$

$$= \left( \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_1} \right)^{a_1} \times x_1^{a_k} + \sum_{m=2}^{k-1} \left( \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_m} \right)^{a_1} \frac{S(x_m-x_{k+1})(A_m)}{A_m} \times x_m^{a_1} S^{(a_m-a_{k+1})}(B_m)$$

$$+ \left( \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_k} \right)^{a_1} \left( S\left(\frac{x_k-x_{k+1}}{A_k}\right) \right) \times x_k^{a_1} S^{(a_{k+1}-a_{k+1})}(B_k) + x_k^{a_{k+1}} B_{k+1}$$

$$= \left( \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_1} \right)^{a_1} \times x_1^{a_k} + \sum_{m=2}^{k-1} \left( \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_m} \right)^{a_1} \frac{S(x_m-x_{k+1})(A_m)}{A_m} \times x_m^{a_1} S^{(a_m-a_{k+1})}(B_m)$$

$$+ \frac{x_k^{a_1}}{A_k} S\left(\frac{x_k-x_{k+1}}{A_k}\right) \times S^{(a_k-a_{k+1})}(B_k)$$

$$+ x_k^{a_{k+1}} \left( S\left(\frac{x_k-x_{k+1}}{A_k}\right) - S\left(\frac{x_k-x_{k+1}}{B_k}\right) \left( \frac{S\left(\frac{x_k-x_{k+1}}{A_k}\right)}{A_k} \right) \right)$$

$$= \left( \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_1} \right)^{a_1} \times x_1^{a_k} + \sum_{m=2}^{k-1} \left( \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_m} \right)^{a_1} \frac{S(x_m-x_{k+1})(A_m)}{A_m} \times x_m^{a_1} S^{(a_m-a_{k+1})}(B_m)$$

$$+ \frac{x_k^{a_1}}{A_k} S\left(\frac{x_k-x_{k+1}}{A_k}\right) \times S^{(a_k-a_{k+1})}(B_k)$$

$$= x_k^{a_{k+1}} = G_{k+1}(k+1,k+1).$$
Thus we have completed the proof.

To illustrate our result, we first give an example of the explicit factorization of $G_4$, and then using Mathematica we provide a program to verify the correctness of our result in a special case.

**EXAMPLE 2.2.** Let $n = 4$. Then $G_4 = L_4 U_4$, where

$$
G_4 = \begin{bmatrix}
    x_1 & x_1 & x_1 & x_1 \\
    x_2 & x_2 & x_2 & x_2 \\
    x_3 & x_3 & x_3 & x_3 \\
    x_4 & x_4 & x_4 & x_4
\end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
L_4 = \begin{bmatrix}
    1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    \frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
    \frac{D_{x_2}}{x_2} & \frac{D_{x_2}}{x_2} & 1 & 0 \\
    \frac{D_{x_3}}{x_3} & \frac{D_{x_3}}{x_3} & \frac{D_{x_3}}{x_3} & 1
\end{bmatrix},
$$

and

$$
U_4 = \begin{bmatrix}
    x_1 & x_1 & x_1 & x_1 \\
    0 & x_1 & x_1 & x_1 \\
    0 & 0 & x_1 & x_1 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & x_1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    \frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1} & \frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1} & \frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1} & \frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1} \\
    \frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1} & \frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1} & \frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1} & \frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1} \\
    \frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1} & \frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1} & \frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1} & \frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1} \\
    \frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1} & \frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1} & \frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1} & \frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1}
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

and

$$
L_4(4,3) = \left(\frac{x_4}{x_3}\right)^{a_1} \left(\frac{D_{x_3}}{x_3}\right)^{a_1} \left(\frac{D_{x_2}}{x_2}\right)^{a_1} \left(\frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1}\right)^{a_1} \left[\left(\frac{D_{x_3}}{x_3}\right)^{a_1} - \left(\frac{D_{x_2}}{x_2}\right)^{a_1} \left(\frac{D_{x_1}}{x_1}\right)^{a_1} \right]
$$

Here and in the following Examples 2.4 and 2.6, to simplify our notation, we set $D_{x_m}^{a_i} x_n := x_m^{a_i} - x_n^{a_i}$.

An explicit calculation using Mathematica. Let $n = 4$, $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y$, $x_3 = z$, $x_4 = w$ and $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 = 2$, $a_3 = 4$, $a_4 = 6$, then the Mathematica program on $L_4 \times U_4$ produces the result

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
    1 & x^2 & x^4 & x^6 \\
    y^2 & y^4 & y^6 & x^6 \\
    z^2 & z^4 & z^6 & x^6 \\
    w^2 & w^4 & w^6 & x^6
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

Since the determinant of a triangular matrix is the product of the entries of the main diagonal, as a first by-product, we get the following immediate corollary which provides a recursive formula for the determinant of $G_n$.  

\begin{align*}
&1 \ x^2 \ x^4 \ x^6 \\
&y^2 \ y^4 \ y^6 \\
&z^2 \ z^4 \ z^6 \\
w^2 \ w^4 \ w^6
\end{align*}
COROLLARY 2.3. The determinant of $G_n$ is as follows:

$$\det G_n = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} U_n(i, i) = x_1^{a_1} \times \prod_{2 \leq i \leq n} x_i^{a_i} B_i.$$ 

EXAMPLE 2.4. Let $n = 4$. Then

$$\det G_4 = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 4} U_n(i, i) = x_1^{a_1} \times \prod_{2 \leq i \leq 4} x_i^{a_i} B_i$$

$$= x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_1} (D_{x_2, x_1})^{a_1} x_3^{a_1} \left( - \frac{(D_{x_3, x_1})^{a_1}}{(D_{x_2, x_1})} \right)$$

$$\times \left\{ x_4^{a_1} \left( (D_{x_4, x_1})^{a_1} (D_{x_4, x_2})^{a_1} (D_{x_4, x_3})^{a_1} \right) - \left( (D_{x_4, x_1})^{a_1} (D_{x_4, x_2})^{a_1} (D_{x_4, x_3})^{a_1} \right) \right\}.$$ 

For any $n \times n$ matrix $A$, let $\hat{A}_{ij}$ be the matrix obtained from $A$ by deleting the $i$-th row and the $j$-th column. Then

$$A^{-1} = \text{Transpose of } \frac{(-1)^{i+j} \det(\hat{A}_{ij})}{\det(A)}.$$ 

Because $(\tilde{G}_n)_{ij}$ is still a totally positive generalized Vandermonde matrix, $\det(\tilde{G}_n)_{ij}$ can be computed by Corollary 2.3. Basing on the fact and the above formula, we establish the second by-product:

COROLLARY 2.5. The entry of the inverse of $G_n$ is $G_n^{-1}(i, j) = \frac{(-1)^{i+j} \det((\tilde{G}_n)_{ij})}{\det((\tilde{T})_{ij})}.$

EXAMPLE 2.6. Let $n = 4$. Then $G_4^{-1}$ is given by

$$\frac{x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} x_3^{a_3} x_4^{a_4} \left( (D_{x_2, x_1})^{a_1} (D_{x_2, x_1})^{a_2} (D_{x_3, x_1})^{a_3} (D_{x_4, x_1})^{a_4} \right)}{\left( (D_{x_2, x_1})^{a_1} (D_{x_2, x_1})^{a_2} (D_{x_2, x_1})^{a_3} (D_{x_2, x_1})^{a_4} \right)}$$

$$\times \left\{ \frac{(D_{x_4, x_1})^{a_1} (D_{x_4, x_2})^{a_2} (D_{x_4, x_3})^{a_3} (D_{x_4, x_4})^{a_4}}{(D_{x_4, x_1})^{a_1} (D_{x_4, x_2})^{a_2} (D_{x_4, x_3})^{a_3} (D_{x_4, x_4})^{a_4}} \right\}.$$ 

As a last by-product, due to Corollary 2.3, we give the following recursive formula of the Schur function $s_A(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)$ and we illustrate the result by an example.
COROLLARY 2.7. The Schur function $s_\lambda(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ can be expressed as

$$s_\lambda(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = \frac{x_1^{a_1}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}(x_j - x_i)} \times \prod_{2 \leq i \leq n} x_i^{a_i} B_i,$$

EXAMPLE 2.8. Let $\lambda = (7, 5, 3, 1)$ and $n = 4$. Then $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (1, 4, 7, 10)$ and

$$\det G_{(4,1,4,7,10)} = x_1^{a_1} \times \prod_{2 \leq i \leq 4} x_i^{a_i} B_i$$

$$= x_1 x_2 (x_2^3 - x_1^3) x_3 \left( (x_3^3 - x_1^3) - \frac{(x_3^4 - x_1^4)(x_3^6 - x_1^6)}{(x_3^2 - x_1^2)} \right)$$

$$\times x_4 \left( (x_4^3 - x_1^3) - \frac{(x_4^4 - x_1^4)(x_4^6 - x_1^6)}{(x_4^2 - x_1^2)} \right)$$

$$- \left( \frac{(x_3^4 - x_1^4)(x_3^6 - x_1^6)}{(x_3^2 - x_1^2)} - \frac{(x_3^5 - x_1^5)(x_3^7 - x_1^7)}{(x_3^3 - x_1^3)(x_3^6 - x_1^6)} \right)$$

$$= x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 (x_2^3 + x_4 x_3 + x_3^2) (x_2^4 + x_4 x_2 + x_2^2)(x_2^5 + x_4 x_1 + x_1^2)$$

$$\times (x_2^2 + x_3 x_2 + x_2^3)(x_3^2 + x_3 x_1 + x_1^2)(x_2^3 + x_2 x_1 + x_1^3)$$

$$\times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} (x_j - x_i),$$

so by Corollary 2.7, we see that $s_{(7,5,3,1)}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ is equal to

$$x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 (x_2^3 + x_4 x_3 + x_3^2)(x_2^4 + x_4 x_2 + x_2^2)$$

$$\times (x_2^2 + x_4 x_2 + x_2^3)(x_3^2 + x_3 x_2 + x_2^3)(x_3^3 + x_3 x_1 + x_1^3)(x_2^3 + x_2 x_1 + x_1^3).$$

As we can see, there are 3⁶ = 729 semistandard $(7, 5, 3, 1)$ tableaux, it seems not easy to write out all of the semistandard $(7, 5, 3, 1)$ tableaux.
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