

Uniqueness Of A Solution Of The Cauchy Problem For One-Dimensional Compressible Viscous Micropolar Fluid Model*

Nermina Mujaković†

Received 4 March 2005

Abstract

The Cauchy problem for one-dimensional flow of a compressible viscous heat-conducting micropolar fluid is considered. It is assumed that the fluid is thermodynamically perfect and polytropic. This problem has a strong solution on $\mathbf{R} \times]0, T[$ for each $T > 0$. We prove that the solution of the Cauchy problem is unique.

1 Statement of the problem and the main result

In this paper we consider nonstationary 1-D flow of a compressible and heat-conducting micropolar fluid. The equations of motion for this fluid are derived from the integral form of conservation laws for polar fluids, under a number of supplementary assumptions such as politropy, Fourier's law, Boyle's law and selection of constitutive equations (see [7] and [6]). The corresponding Cauchy problem has a strong solution on $\Pi = \mathbf{R} \times]0, T[$, for each $T > 0$ ([8]). We prove the uniqueness of the solution of this problem.

Let ρ, v, ω and θ denote, respectively, the mass density, velocity, microrotation velocity and temperature of the fluid in the Lagrangean description. Then the problem which we consider has the formulation as follows ([7]):

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \rho^2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\rho \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) - K \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho \theta), \quad (2)$$

$$\rho \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} = A \left[\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\rho \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} \right) - \omega \right], \quad (3)$$

*Mathematics Subject Classifications: 35K55, 35Q40, 76N10, 46E35.

†Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Philosophy, University of Rijeka, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia,

$$\rho \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = -K\rho^2 \theta \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \rho^2 \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \rho^2 \left(\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \omega^2 + D\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\rho \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right) \quad (4)$$

in $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^+$, where K, A and D are positive constants. The equations (1)-(4) are, respectively, local forms of the conservation laws for the mass, momentum, momentum moment and energy. We take the following non-homogeneous initial conditions :

$$\rho(x, 0) = \rho_0(x), \quad (5)$$

$$v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \quad (6)$$

$$\omega(x, 0) = \omega_0(x), \quad (7)$$

$$\theta(x, 0) = \theta_0(x) \quad (8)$$

for $x \in \mathbf{R}$. We assume that there exist $m, M \in \mathbf{R}^+$, such that

$$0 < m \leq \rho_0(x) \leq M, \quad m \leq \theta_0(x) \leq M, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (9)$$

If the initial functions satisfy conditions (9) and

$$\rho_0 - 1, v_0, \omega_0, \theta_0 - 1 \in H^1(R) \quad (10)$$

then for each $T \in \mathbf{R}^+$ there exists a state function

$$S(x, t) = (\rho, v, \omega, \theta)(x, t) \quad (x, t) \in \Pi = \mathbf{R} \times]0, T[, \quad (11)$$

with the properties

$$\rho - 1 \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(R)) \cap H^1(\Pi), \quad \inf_{\Pi} \rho \geq c > 0, \quad c \in \mathbf{R}^+, \quad (12)$$

$$v, \omega, \theta - 1 \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(R)) \cap H^1(\Pi) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(R)) \quad (13)$$

which satisfies equations (1)-(4) in the sense of distributions in Π and conditions (5)-(8) in the sense of traces (see Theorem 1.1 in [8]).

We denote by $B^k(R)$, $k \in \mathbf{N}_0$, the Banach space

$$B^k(R) = \{u \in C^k(R) : \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |D^n u(x)| = 0, \quad 0 \leq n \leq k\}, \quad (14)$$

where D^n is n -th derivative; the norm is defined by

$$\|u\|_{B^k(R)} = \sup_{n \leq k} \{ \sup_{x \in R} |D^n u(x)| \}. \quad (15)$$

REMARK 1. From Sobolev's embedding theorem ([3, 5, 2]) and theory of vector-valued distributions ([4]) one can conclude that from (12) and (13) it follows:

$$\rho - 1 \in L^\infty(0, T; B^0(R)) \cap C([0, T]; L^2(R)), \quad (16)$$

$$v, \omega, \theta - 1 \in L^2(0, T; B^1(R)) \cap C([0, T]; H^1(R)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; B^0(R)) \quad (17)$$

and hence

$$v, \omega \in C([0, T]; B^0(R)), \quad \rho, \theta \in L^\infty(\Pi). \quad (18)$$

The state function S and its distributional derivatives that occur in (1)-(4) are locally integrable functions in Π and the system (1)-(4) is satisfied a. e. in Π . In other words, state function (11) is a strong solution of the system (1)-(4).

The aim of this paper is to prove the following result.

THEOREM 1. For each $T > 0$, the problem (1)-(10) has in Π at most one solution $S = (\rho, v, \omega, \theta)$ with properties (12)-(13).

2 Proof of Theorem 1.

The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 2.1 in [7] and in [1, Chapter 2].

Let $S_1 = (\rho_1, v_1, \omega_1, \theta_1)$ and $S_2 = (\rho_2, v_2, \omega_2, \theta_2)$ be two distinct solutions of (1)-(10) with properties (12)-(13). We can easily show that for all $\varphi \in L^2(R)$ the function $(\rho, v, \omega, \theta) = (\rho_1 - \rho_2, v_1 - v_2, \omega_1 - \omega_2, \theta_1 - \theta_2)$ satisfies the following system:

$$\int_R [\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \rho_1^2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \rho(\rho_1 + \rho_2) \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}] \varphi dx = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$\int_R [\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\rho_1 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \rho \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}) + K \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\rho_1 \theta + \rho \theta_2)] \varphi dx = 0, \quad (20)$$

$$\int_R [\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} - A(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\rho_1 \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} + \rho \frac{\partial \omega_2}{\partial x}) - \frac{\omega}{\rho_1} + \omega_2 \frac{\rho}{\rho_1 \rho_2})] \varphi dx = 0, \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_R & [\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - D \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\rho_1 \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} + \rho \frac{\partial \theta_2}{\partial x}) + K(\rho_1 \theta \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} + \theta_2 \rho \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} + \rho_2 \theta_2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}) \\ & - \rho_1 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} (\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}) - \rho (\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x})^2 - \frac{\omega}{\rho_1} (\omega_1 + \omega_2) + \omega_2^2 \frac{\rho}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \\ & - \rho_1 \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} (\frac{\partial \omega_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \omega_2}{\partial x}) - \rho (\frac{\partial \omega_2}{\partial x})^2] \varphi dx = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

in $]0, T[$. From (12)-(13) it follows

$$\rho \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(R)) \cap H^1(\Pi), \quad (23)$$

$$v, \omega, \theta \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(R)) \cap H^1(\Pi) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(R)). \quad (24)$$

Evidently, we have

$$\rho(x, 0) = v(x, 0) = \omega(x, 0) = \theta(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (25)$$

From (16) and (17) we conclude that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x, t) = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} v(x, t) = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \omega(x, t) = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \theta(x, t) = 0, \quad (26)$$

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x, t) = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}(x, t) = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(x, t) = 0, \quad t \in]0, T[. \quad (27)$$

By $C > 0$ we denote a generic constant, having possibly different value at different places. We also use the notation

$$\|f\| = \|f\|_{L^2(R)}.$$

First we replace φ by $\rho(t)$ in (19). Taking into account (18) and applying Young's inequality we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\rho(t)\|^2 \leq C [1 + \sup_R |\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}|^2(\tau)] \|\rho(t)\|^2 + \|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(t)\|^2. \quad (28)$$

Integrating over $]0, t[$ ($t \in]0, T[$), using (25) and (17), after application of the Gronwall inequality we obtain

$$\|\rho(t)\|^2 \leq C \int_0^t \|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau. \quad (29)$$

For $\varphi = v(t)$ from (20) it follows

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\|^2 + \int_R \rho_1 (\frac{\partial v}{\partial x})^2 dx = - \int_R \rho \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} dx + K \int_R (\rho_1 \theta + \rho \theta_2) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} dx. \quad (30)$$

Integrating again over $]0, t[$ and using (12), (18), (25) and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t)\|^2 + \int_R \|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau &\leq C \int_0^t [(1 + \sup_R |\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}|(\tau)) \|\rho(\tau)\| \|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(\tau)\| \\ &\quad + \|\theta(\tau)\| \|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(\tau)\|] d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

or applying (29) and Young's inequality with a parameter $\varepsilon_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2$), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau &\leq (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) \int_0^t \|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t [(1 + \sup_R |\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}|(\tau))^2 (\|v(\tau)\|^2 + \int_0^\tau \|\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(s)\|^2 ds) + \|\theta(\tau)\|^2] d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Taking into account $\varepsilon_i (i = 1, 2)$ sufficiently small and using Gronwall's inequality, from (32) we obtain

$$\|v(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(\tau) \right\|^2 d\tau \leq C \int_0^t \|\theta(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \quad (33)$$

Now we replace φ in (21) and (22), respectively, by $\omega(t)$ and $\theta(t)$ and integrate by parts over \mathbf{R} . With the help of (12), (18), (25) and the Young inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \left\| \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}(\tau) \right\|^2 d\tau &\leq C \int_0^t [\|\omega(\tau)\|^2 + \int_0^\tau \left\| \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}(s) \right\|^2 ds + \\ &(1 + (\sup_R |\frac{\partial \omega_2}{\partial x}|)^2(\tau)) \|\rho(\tau)\|^2] d\tau + \varepsilon_1 \int_0^t \left\| \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}(\tau) \right\|^2 d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \left\| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(\tau) \right\|^2 d\tau &\leq \int_0^t A(\tau) [\|\theta(\tau)\|^2 + \int_0^\tau \left\| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(s) \right\|^2 ds] d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t B(\tau) \|\rho(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + \varepsilon_2 \int_0^t \left\| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(\tau) \right\|^2 d\tau \\ &+ C \int_0^t [\left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(\tau) \right\|^2 + \|\omega(\tau)\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}(\tau) \right\|^2] d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(\tau) &= C[1 + (\sup_R |\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}|)^2 + (\sup_R |\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}|)^2 + (\sup_R |\frac{\partial \omega_2}{\partial x}|)^2 \\ &+ (\sup_R |\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}|)^2 + (\sup_R |\frac{\partial \omega_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \omega_2}{\partial x}|)^2], \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B(\tau) &= C[1 + (\sup_R |\frac{\partial \theta_2}{\partial x}|)^2 + (\sup_R |\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}|)^2 \\ &+ (\sup_R |\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}|)^2 + (\sup_R |\frac{\partial \omega_2}{\partial x}|)^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Taking into account (17), (29), (33) and $\varepsilon_i (i = 1, 2)$ sufficiently small, with the help of the Gronwall inequality from (34) and (35) we obtain

$$\|\omega(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \left\| \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}(\tau) \right\|^2 d\tau \leq C \int_0^t \|\theta(\tau)\|^2 d\tau, \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \left\| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(\tau) \right\|^2 d\tau &\leq C \int_0^t [\left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(\tau) \right\|^2 d\tau + \|\omega(\tau)\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}(\tau) \right\|^2] d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_0^t [\|\theta(\tau)\|^2 + \int_0^\tau \left\| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(s) \right\|^2 ds] d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Using again Gronwall's inequality from (39) we find that $\theta = 0$ and from (38), (33) and (29) we get immediately

$$\rho = v = \omega = 0. \quad (40)$$

References

- [1] S. N. Antontsev, A. V. Kazhikov and V. N. Monakhov, *Boundary Value Problems in Mechanics of Nonhomogeneous Fluids*, North-Holland, 1990.
- [2] H. Brezis, *Analyse fonctionnelle*, Masson, Paris, 1983.
- [3] R. Dautray and J. L. Lions, *Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Methods for Science and Technology*, Vol.2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [4] R. Dautray and J. L. Lions, *Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Methods for Science and Technology*, Vol.5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [5] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications*, Vol.1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [6] G. Lukaszewicz, *Micropolar Fluids: Theory and Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1999.
- [7] N. Mujaković, One-dimensional flow of a compressible viscous micropolar fluid: a local existence theorem, *Glasnik Matematički* 33(53) (1998), 71–91.
- [8] N. Mujaković, One-dimensional flow of a compressible viscous micropolar fluid: The Cauchy problem, *Mathematical Communications* 10(2005), 1–14.