

Inequalities Involving Khatri-Rao Products of Positive Semi-definite Matrices *

Xian Zhang[†], Zhong-peng Yang[‡], Chong-guang Cao[§]

Received 20 August 2001

Abstract

Several inequalities involving the Khatri-Rao products of two four-block positive definite real symmetric matrices are established by Liu in [1]. We extend these to two general positive semi-definite real symmetric block matrices and necessary and sufficient conditions under which these inequalities become equalities are presented.

Let $S(m)$ be the set of all real symmetric matrices of order m . Consider matrices $M \in S(m)$ and $N \in S(p)$ which are partitioned as follows

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \dots & M_{1t} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M'_{1t} & \dots & M_{tt} \end{pmatrix}, N = \begin{pmatrix} N_{11} & \dots & N_{1t} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ N'_{1t} & \dots & N_{tt} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where $M_{ii} \in S(m_i)$ and $N_{ii} \in S(p_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Obviously,

$$\sum_{i=1}^t m_i = m, \quad \sum_{i=1}^t p_i = p.$$

We denote by

$$M * N = (M_{ij} \otimes N_{ij})_{ij}$$

and

$$M \odot N = (M_{ij} \odot N)_{ij} = ((M_{ij} \otimes N_{kl})_{kl})_{ij}$$

the Khatri-Rao and Tracy-Singh products of M and N respectively, where \otimes represents the Kronecker product. Obviously,

$$M \odot N \in S(mp), \quad M * N \in S\left(\sum_{i=1}^t m_i p_i\right).$$

*Mathematics Subject Classifications: 15A45, 15A69.

[†]Department of Mathematics, Heilongjiang University, Harbin, Heilongjiang, 150080, P. R. China.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Putian College, Putian, Fujian, 351100, P. R. China.

[§]Department of Mathematics, Heilongjiang University, Harbin, Heilongjiang 150080, P. R. China

When M and N are positive definite real symmetric matrices and $t = 2$, the following inequalities are obtained by Liu in [1]:

$$(M * N)^{-1} \leq M^{-1} * N^{-1}; \quad (2)$$

$$M^{-1} * N^{-1} \leq \frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{mp})^2}{4\lambda_1\lambda_{mp}} (M * N)^{-1}; \quad (3)$$

$$M * N - (M^{-1} * N^{-1})^{-1} \leq (\sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_{mp}})^2 I; \quad (4)$$

$$M^2 * N^2 \leq \frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{mp})^2}{4\lambda_1\lambda_{mp}} (M * N)^2; \quad (5)$$

$$M^2 * N^2 - (M * N)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{mp})^2 I; \quad (6)$$

$$(M^2 * N^2)^{1/2} \leq \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_{mp}}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_{mp}}} (M * N); \quad (7)$$

$$(M^2 * N^2)^{1/2} - M * N \leq \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{mp})^2}{4(\lambda_1 + \lambda_{mp})} I, \quad (8)$$

where λ_1 and λ_{mp} are the largest and smallest eigenvalue of $M \odot N$ respectively, and $A \geq B$ (or $B \leq A$) means that $A - B$ is positive semi-definite. We remark that the inequality (6) is erroneously printed as $(M * N)^2 - M^2 * N^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{mp})^2 I$ in [1, Theorem 8]). We remark further that conditions for equalities in (2)-(8) are not known.

The purpose of this paper is to extend these inequalities for general block matrices. We also find necessary and sufficient conditions for equalities to hold. Liu [1, p.269] also shows that the Khatri-Rao product can be viewed as a generalization of the Hadamard product. Therefore, our results can also be viewed as a generalization of those corresponding inequalities involving the Hadamard product, see e.g., [3, (1.4), (1.5), (2.14), (2.15), (2.19), (2.20)].

For a matrix $A \in S(m)$, we denote by $\lambda(A)$ and $\tau(A)$ the largest and smallest nonzero eigenvalue of A respectively. Let $R(A)$ be the column space of matrix A . We denote the $n \times n$ identity matrix by I_n , or by I when the order of matrix is clear. Let $S^+(m)$ and $S_0^+(m)$ be the set of all positive definite and semi-definite real symmetric matrices of order m respectively.

LEMMA 1. ([1, Theorem 1 (a)(b)]) If A and B are compatibly partitioned, then

$$(A \odot B)(C \odot D) = (AC) \odot (BD) \quad (9)$$

and

$$(A \odot B)^+ = A^+ \odot B^+, \quad (10)$$

where A^+ is the Moore-Penrose inverse of A .

LEMMA 2. Let A and B be compatibly partitioned matrices, then $(A \odot B)' = A' \odot B'$.

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
(A \odot B)' &= \left((A_{ij} \odot B)_{ij} \right)' = \left(((A_{ij} \otimes B_{kl})_{kl})_{ij} \right)' \\
&= \left(((A_{ij} \otimes B_{kl})_{kl})'_{ji} \right) = \left(((A_{ij} \otimes B_{kl})'_{lk})_{ji} \right) \\
&= \left((A'_{ij} \otimes B'_{kl})_{lk} \right)_{ji} = (A'_{ij} \odot B')_{ji} \\
&= A' \odot B'.
\end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3. Suppose $A \in S(m)$ and $B \in S(p)$. Then

- i) $A \odot B \in S(mp)$, $\lambda(A \odot B) = \lambda(A)\lambda(B)$, $\tau(A \odot B) = \tau(A)\tau(B)$, and $(A \odot B)^n = A^n \odot B^n$ for any positive integer number n ;
- ii) $A \odot B \in S_0^+(mp)$ if $A \in S_0^+(m)$ and $B \in S_0^+(p)$;
- iii) $A \odot B \in S^+(mp)$ if $A \in S^+(m)$ and $B \in S^+(p)$.

PROOF. Let $A = U'_A D_A U_A$ and $B = U'_B D_B U_B$ be the spectral decompositions of A and B respectively. Then using (9) and Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned}
A \odot B &= (U'_A D_A U_A) \odot (U'_B D_B U_B) \\
&= (U'_A \odot U'_B)(D_A \odot D_B)(U_A \odot U_B) \\
&= (U_A \odot U_B)'(D_A \odot D_B)(U_A \odot U_B)
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(U_A \odot U_B)'(U_A \odot U_B) &= (U'_A \odot U'_B)(U_A \odot U_B) \\
&= (U'_A U_A) \odot (U'_B U_B).
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Substituting $U'_A U_A = I_m$ and $U'_B U_B = I_p$ into (12), we see that

$$(U_A \odot U_B)'(U_A \odot U_B) = I_{mp}. \tag{13}$$

Combining (13) and (11) completes the proof.

THEOREM 1. There exists a real matrix Z of order $mp \times \sum_{i=1}^t m_i p_i$ such that $Z'Z = I$ and

$$A * B = Z'(A \odot B)Z \tag{14}$$

for any $A \in S(m)$ and $B \in S(p)$ which are partitioned as in (1).

PROOF. Let

$$Z_i = \left(O_{i1} \quad \dots \quad Q_{i,i-1} \quad I_{m_i p_i} \quad O_{i,i+1} \quad \dots \quad Q_{it} \right)', \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, t, \tag{15}$$

where O_{ik} is the zero matrix of order $m_i p_i \times m_i p_k$ for any $k \neq i$. Then $Z'_i Z_i = I$ and

$$Z'_i (A_{ij} \odot B) Z_i = Z'_i (A_{ij} \otimes B_{kl})_{kl} Z_j = A_{ij} \otimes B_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, t.$$

Letting

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & Z_t \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

the result then follows by a direct computation.

THEOREM 2. Suppose Z is defined as in Theorem 1, $A \in S_0^+(mp)$, $W = \lambda(A)$, $w = \tau(A)$, and

$$R(Z) \subseteq R(A). \quad (17)$$

Then the following conclusions hold.

(i) $(Z'AZ)^+ \leq Z'A^+Z$, and the equality holds if, and only if, $R(Z) = R(AZ)$.

(ii) $Z'A^+Z \leq \frac{(W+w)^2}{4Ww}(Z'AZ)^+$, and the equality holds if, and only if, $Z'AZ = \frac{W+w}{2}I$ and $Z'A^+Z = \frac{W+w}{2Ww}I$.

(iii) $Z'AZ - (Z'A^+Z)^+ \leq (\sqrt{W} - \sqrt{w})^2I$, and the equality holds if, and only if, $W = w$ or

$$Z'AZ = (W + w - \sqrt{Ww})I, \quad Z'A^+Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Ww}}I. \quad (18)$$

(iv) $Z'A^2Z \leq \frac{(W+w)^2}{4Ww}(Z'AZ)^2$, and the equality holds if, and only if, $Z'AZ = \frac{2Ww}{W+w}I$ and $Z'A^2Z = WwI$.

(v) $Z'A^2Z - (Z'AZ)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}(W-w)^2I$, and the equality holds if, and only if, $W = w$ or

$$Z'AZ = \frac{W+w}{2}I, \quad Z'A^2Z = \frac{W^2+w^2}{2}I. \quad (19)$$

(vi) $(Z'A^2Z)^{1/2} - Z'AZ \leq \frac{(W-w)^2}{4(W+w)}I$, and the equality holds if, and only if, $W = w$ or

$$Z'A^2Z = \frac{(W+w)^2}{4}I, \quad Z'AZ = \frac{W^2+w^2+6Ww}{4(W+w)}I. \quad (20)$$

PROOF. It follows from (15) and (16) that $Z^+ = Z'$ and $ZZ' \leq I$. This, together with [2, (23), and Propositions 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4], yields the conclusions (i)–(v). Now we prove (vi). Combining [2, (4)] and $Z'Z = I$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & (Z'A^2Z)^{1/2} - Z'AZ \\ & \leq (Z'AZ)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{W+w}Z'A^2Z - \frac{Ww}{W+w}I \\ & = \frac{(W-w)^2}{4(W+w)}I - \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{W+w}}(Z'A^2Z)^{1/2} - \frac{\sqrt{W+w}}{2}I \right]^2 \\ & \leq \frac{(W-w)^2}{4(W+w)}I, \end{aligned}$$

and the above inequalities become equalities if and only if $W = w$ or (20).

THEOREM 3. Suppose $M \in S_0^+(m)$ and $N \in S_0^+(p)$ are partitioned as in (1), Z is defined as in Theorem 1, $W = \lambda(M)\lambda(N)$, $w = \tau(M)\tau(N)$, and

$$R(Z) \subseteq R(M \odot N). \quad (21)$$

Furthermore, we can easily show that matrices M , N and Z do not satisfy the condition (21) and the inequalities stated in Theorem 3. Indeed, $W = 21.7204$ and $w = 7.0747$. Furthermore,

$$M^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0.4000 & -1.8000 & 0.8000 \\ -1.8000 & 12.6000 & -5.6000 \\ 0.8000 & -5.6000 & 2.6000 \end{pmatrix}, N^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1.0000 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2500 & 0.2500 \\ 0 & 0.2500 & 0.2500 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^+ * N^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0.4000 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3.1500 & -1.4000 \\ 0 & -1.4000 & 0.6500 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(M * N)^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1429 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4.5000 & -2.0000 \\ 0 & -2.0000 & 1.0000 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 50 & 9 & 4 \\ 9 & 21 & 44 \\ 4 & 44 & 97 \end{pmatrix}, N^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^2 * N^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 50 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 42 & 88 \\ 0 & 88 & 194 \end{pmatrix}, (M^2 * N^2)^{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 7.0711 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3.7366 & 5.2951 \\ 0 & 5.2951 & 12.8826 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(M^+ * N^+)^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 2.5000 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 7.4286 & 16.0000 \\ 0 & 16.0000 & 36.0000 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^+ * N^+ - (M * N)^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0.2571 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1.3500 & 0.6000 \\ 0 & 0.6000 & -0.3500 \end{pmatrix} \not\geq O,$$

$$\frac{(W+w)^2}{4Ww}(M * N)^+ - M^+ * N^+ = \begin{pmatrix} -0.2073 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.9204 & -1.2979 \\ 0 & -1.2979 & 0.6990 \end{pmatrix} \not\geq O,$$

$$(\sqrt{W} - \sqrt{w})^2 I - M * N - (M^+ * N^+)^+ = \begin{pmatrix} -0.4973 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 9.4313 & 12.0000 \\ 0 & 12.0000 & 31.0027 \end{pmatrix} \not\geq O,$$

$$\frac{(W+w)^2}{4Ww}(M * N)^2 - M^2 * N^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 16.0994 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -15.0207 & -28.6455 \\ 0 & -28.6455 & -63.1502 \end{pmatrix} \not\geq O,$$

$$\frac{(W-w)^2}{4(W+w)} I - (M^2 * N^2)^{1/2} - M * N = \begin{pmatrix} 1.7912 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1257 & -1.2951 \\ 0 & -1.2951 & -2.0204 \end{pmatrix} \not\geq O,$$

$$\frac{1}{4}(W-w)^2 I - M^2 * N^2 - (M * N)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 52.6243 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 31.6243 & -44.0000 \\ 0 & -44.0000 & -43.3757 \end{pmatrix} \not\geq O,$$

and

$$\frac{W+w}{2\sqrt{Ww}}(M * N) - (M^2 * N^2)^{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} -3.0060 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2.5752 & -2.9722 \\ 0 & -2.9722 & -7.6561 \end{pmatrix} \not\geq O.$$

EXAMPLE 2. Consider matrices

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 0 & 9 \end{pmatrix}, N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (27)$$

It is easy to verify that $M, N \in S_0^+(3)$. According to (15) and (16), we can see that the matrix Z possesses the form (26). Furthermore, we can easily show that matrices M, N and Z do not satisfy the condition (21), but they satisfy the inequalities stated in Theorem 3. Indeed, $W = 23.6619$ and $w = 0.1690$. Furthermore,

$$M^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 4.5000 & 0 & -2.5000 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2.5000 & 0 & 1.5000 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } N^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1.0000 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2500 & 0.2500 \\ 0 & 0.2500 & 0.2500 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$M^+ * N^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 4.5000 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.3750 \end{pmatrix}, (M * N)^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3333 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.1111 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 34 & 0 & 60 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 60 & 0 & 106 \end{pmatrix}, N^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^2 * N^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 34 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 212 \end{pmatrix}, (M^2 * N^2)^{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 5.8310 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 14.5602 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(M^+ * N^+)^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0.2222 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2.6667 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^+ * N^+ - (M * N)^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 4.1667 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.2639 \end{pmatrix} \geq O,$$

$$\frac{(W+w)^2}{4Ww}(M * N)^+ - M^+ * N^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 7.3315 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3.5688 \end{pmatrix} \geq O,$$

$$(\sqrt{W} - \sqrt{w})^2 I - M * N - (M^+ * N^+)^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 17.0532 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 19.8310 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 13.4976 \end{pmatrix} > O,$$

$$\frac{(W+w)^2}{4Ww}(M * N)^2 - M^2 * N^2 = 10^{-3} \begin{pmatrix} 0.2855 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2.6631 \end{pmatrix} \geq O,$$

$$\frac{(W-w)^2}{4(W+w)}I - (M^2 * N^2)^{1/2} - M * N = \begin{pmatrix} 2.9589 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5.7899 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.2297 \end{pmatrix} > O,$$

$$\frac{1}{4}(W-w)^2I - M^2 * N^2 - (M * N)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 112.9786 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 137.9786 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6.9786 \end{pmatrix} > O,$$

and

$$\frac{W+w}{2\sqrt{Ww}} - (M^2 * N^2)^{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 3.1057 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 12.2496 \end{pmatrix} \geq O.$$

REMARK 3. Since Theorem 3 can be obtained by substituting A with $M \odot N$ in Theorem 2, the condition (17) is not necessary for the inequalities stated in Theorem 2 to hold by choosing $A = M \odot N$, where M and N are defined as in Examples 1 and 2 respectively. It is also an open problem to determine a sufficient and necessary condition under which these inequalities stated in Theorem 2 hold.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. We wish to thank the referee for his helpful comments. The first and third authors are partially supported by the NSF of Heilongjiang (No. A01-07) and the NSF of Heilongjiang Education Committee (No. 15011014). The second author is partially supported by the NSF of Fujian Education Committee.

References

- [1] S. Z. Liu, Matrix results on the Khatri-Rao and Tracy-Singh products, *Lin. Alg. Appl.*, 289(1999), 266-277.
- [2] S. Liu and W. Polasek, Equality conditions for matrix Kantorovich-Type inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 212(1997), 517-528.
- [3] B. Mond and J. E. Pecaric, Inequalities for the Hadamard product of matrix, *SIAM. J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 19(1)(1998), 66-70.