8.8 Southation of certain indeterminate forms

929

90 90 Evaluation of certain indeterminate forms

We are concerned here with the evaluation of the limits of certain expres-

The basic theorem in this section is the following:

Theorem 8.1. (L'Hôpitel). Let f(x) and g(x) be defined and be differentiable on the set $E = \{p\}$. E open, $p \in \mathcal{B}$. Let $g'(x) \neq 0$ for every x in $E = \{p\}$. Let

$$\lim_{x\to p} (f'(x))g'(x)) = \lambda, \quad -\infty \leqslant \lambda \leqslant +\infty$$

If either $\begin{aligned} & \text{(a) } \lim_{x\to p} f(x) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{x\to p} g(x) = 0 \text{ or} \\ & \text{(b) } \lim_{x\to p} g(x) = +\infty, \end{aligned}$

Proof. There are three cases:

(1) A is finite (i.e., it is a real number). Let $\epsilon>0$ be given. Assume that condition (a) holds. Since

$$\lim_{x \to x} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} = \lambda,$$

there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $0 < |x - p| < \delta$, then

$$\left| \frac{f'(\mathbf{x})}{g'(\mathbf{x})} - \lambda \right| < s \qquad \text{(Fig. 8.10)}$$

Let x_1 and x_2 be two distinct points in the neighborhood $N(p,\delta)$ with $x_2 < x_1 < p$ or $p < x_1 < x_2$ and $g(x_2) \neq 0$.

By the generalized law of the mean there exists a point i between x1 and

$$\frac{f(x_1) - f(x_2)}{g(x_1) - g(x_2)} = \frac{f'(t)}{g'(t)}.$$

We shall keep x_2 fixed and let x_1 approach p. Here, one sees that since

 $g'(x) \neq 0$ on $E = \{p\}$

8(x1) 7 8(x2)

$$\lim_{x_1\to y}f(x_1)=\lim_{x_1\to y}g(x_1)=0,$$

$$\frac{f(x_2)}{g(x_2)} = \lim_{x_1 \to x} \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_2)}{g(x_1) - g(x_1)} = \lim_{x_1 \to x} \frac{f'(t)}{g'(t)}$$

Here, since x_2 is fixed, t depends only on x_1 .

Since $i \in N(p, \delta)$,

$$\left|\lim_{x_1 \to p} \frac{f'(t)}{g'(t)} - \lambda\right| \leqslant a.$$

Thus

$$\frac{f(x_2)}{g(x_2)} \sim \lambda \leqslant g$$

We have shown that if $0 < |x_2 - p| < \delta$ and $g(x_2) \neq 0$, then

$$\frac{|S(y_2)|}{|S(y_2)|} = \sqrt{|S(y_2)|}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{x\to p}\frac{f(p)}{g(x)}\approx 1.$$

Assume now that condition (b) holds. Since

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}=1$$

$$\lim_{x\to p}g(x)=+\infty,$$

there exists $\delta>0$ such that if $0<|x-p|<\delta$, then

and g(x) > 0.

띯

Let x_1 and x_2 be in $N(p, \delta)$ with $x_2 < x_1 < p$ or $p < x_1 < x_2$. We will keep x_2 fixed and let x_1 approach p. There exists $\delta_1 < \delta$, $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$g(x_1) > g(x_2)$$
 and $g(x_1) > 0$.

$$c_1 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p}, \delta_1) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}.$$

By the generalized law of the mean, there exists a point i between x, and

$$\frac{g(x_1) - g(x_2)}{f(x_1) - g(x_2)} = \frac{g'(t)}{f'(t)}$$

$$\frac{f(x_1) - f(x_2)}{g(x_1) - g(x_2)} \cdot \frac{g(x_1) - g(x_2)}{g(x_1)} = \frac{f'(t)}{g'(t)} \left[1 - \frac{g(x_2)}{g(x_1)} \right],$$

$$\frac{f(x_1) - g(x_2)}{g(x_1)} \cdot \frac{f(x_2)}{g(x_1)} = \frac{f'(t)}{g'(t)} \left[1 - \frac{g(x_2)}{g(x_1)} \right],$$

$$\frac{f(x_1)}{g(x_1)} - \frac{f(x_2)}{g(x_1)} - \lambda = \frac{f'(t)}{g'(t)} - \lambda - \frac{f'(t)}{g'(t)} \frac{g(x_2)}{g(x_1)}$$

$$\left|\frac{f(x_1)}{g(x_1)} - \frac{f(x_1)}{g(x_1)} - \lambda\right| \leqslant \left|\frac{f'(t)}{g'(t)} - \lambda\right| + \left|\frac{f'(t)}{g'(t)}\right| \left|\frac{g(x_2)}{g(x_1)}\right|.$$

Now $f'(t)|g'(t)| = \lambda + \mu$, where $|\mu| < \epsilon/2$.

$$\left|\frac{f(\mathbf{x}_1)}{g(\mathbf{x}_1)} - \frac{f(\mathbf{x}_2)}{g(\mathbf{x}_1)} - \lambda\right| \leqslant \left|\frac{f'(\mathbf{p})}{g'(\mathbf{p})} - \lambda\right| + \left(|\lambda| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \left(\left|\frac{g(\mathbf{x}_2)}{g'(\mathbf{x}_1)}\right|\right)$$

There exists $\delta_2 < \delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ such that if x_1 is in $N(p, \delta_2) = \{p\}$,

$$\left| \frac{g(x_3)}{g(x_1)} \right| < \frac{g/2}{|A| + (g/2)}$$

Take x_i in $N(p, \delta_2) = \{p\}$. Then

$$\left|\frac{f(\mathbf{x}_1)}{g(\mathbf{x}_1)} - \frac{f(\mathbf{x}_2)}{g(\mathbf{x}_1)} - \lambda\right| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

$$\left|\frac{f(\mathbf{x}_1)}{g(\mathbf{x}_1)} - \frac{f(\mathbf{x}_2)}{g(\mathbf{x}_1)} - \lambda\right| < \varepsilon \qquad \left|\frac{f(\mathbf{x}_1)}{g(\mathbf{x}_1)} - \lambda\right| < \varepsilon + \left|\frac{f(\mathbf{x}_2)}{g(\mathbf{x}_1)}\right|$$

S.S. Evaluation of certain indeterminate forms

There exists $\delta_2 < \delta_2$, $\delta_3 > 0$ such that if $|x_1 - y| < \delta_3$, then

$$\frac{g(x_1)}{f(x_2)} < \varepsilon$$

$$\left|\frac{f(x_1)}{g(x_1)}-\lambda\right|<2\varepsilon,$$

(2) $\lambda = +\infty$. Assume condition (a) to hold. Let M > 0 be given. Since

$$\lim_{x\to p}\frac{f(x)}{g'(x)}=+\infty,$$

there exists $\delta>0$ such that if $0<|x-p|<\delta$, then

Let x_1 and x_2 be two distinct points in $N(p, \delta)$, with $g(x_2) \neq 0$ and $x_2 < x_1 < p$ or $p < x_1 < x_2$. By the generalized law of the mean, there exists a point t between x_t and x_t such that

$$\frac{f(x_1) - f(x_2)}{g(x_1) - g(x_2)} = \frac{f'(t)}{g'(t)}.$$

We will keep x_1 fixed and let x_1 approach p. Since

$$\lim_{x\to p} f(x) = \lim_{x\to p} g(x) = 0,$$

we have

$$\frac{g(x_2)}{g(x_2)} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_2)}{g(x_1) - g(x_2)} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f'(t)}{g'(t)}$$

Since $i \in N(p, d)$

Thus $f(x_2)/g(x_3) \ge M$. Thus

$$\lim_{x\to p}\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}=+c0.$$

Assume now that condition (b) holds. Let M > 0 be given. Since

$$\lim_{x\to p}\frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}=+\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x\to p}g(x)=+\infty,$$

there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $0 < |x - p| < \delta$, then

or $p < x_1 < x_2$. We will keep x_2 fixed and let x_1 approach p. There exists $\delta_1 < \delta_1 \delta_1 > 0$ such that if $|x_1 - p| < \delta_D$ and g(x) > 0. Let x_1 and x_2 be two points in $W(p, \delta) - \{p\}$ with $x_2 < x_1 < p$

$$g(x_1) \geq g(x_2).$$

Take $x_1 \in N(p, \delta_1) - \{p\}$. By the generalized law of the mean, there exists a point i between x_1 and x_2 such that

$$\frac{f(x_1) - f(x_2)}{f(x_1) - f(x_2)} = \frac{f'(t)}{f'(t)}$$

Since $l \in N(p, \delta) \rightarrow \{p\}, f'(t) \setminus g'(t) \geq 2M$

$$\frac{f(x_1) - f(x_2)}{g(x_1) - g(x_2)} \frac{g(x_1) - g(x_2)}{g(x_1)} = \frac{f'(t)}{g'(t)} \left[1 - \frac{g(x_2)}{g(x_1)} \right]$$

There exists $\delta_2 < \delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$, such that if $0 < |x_1 - p| < \delta_1$, then

$$1 \xrightarrow{g(x_2)} \frac{1}{g(x_1)} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus if $|x_1 - p| < \delta_2$, then

$$\frac{f(x_1)}{g(x_1)} - \frac{f(x_2)}{g(x_1)} > 4M \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 2M.$$

8.8 Evolucition of certain indoterminate forms

$$\frac{f(x_1)}{g(x_1)} > 2M + \frac{f(x_2)}{g(x_1)}$$

Now there exists $\delta_1 < \delta_2, \delta_3 > 0$, such that if $|x_1 - x_2| = 0$ $p_i^{\prime} < \delta_{3i}$ then

$$\frac{J(x_2)}{J(x_2)} < M,$$

Thus if $|x_i - p| < \delta_3$, then

$$\frac{J(x_1)}{S(x_1)} > 2M - M$$

We have shown that if $|x_1 - p| < \delta_3$, then $f(x_1)/g(x_2) > M$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{x\to\rho}\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}=+\infty.$$

(3) $\lambda = -\infty$. This reduces to case (2) after replacing f(x) by -f(x)

This completes the proof of the theorem.

extend the theorem to the case when $p = + an c_1 - c_2$, One recalls that the statement In Theorem 8.1 p was supposed to be some real number. We wish to

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}f(x)=\lambda,\qquad \lambda \text{ finite,}$$

means that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists M > 0 such that if x > M, then

Let us define the concept of a neighborhood of so thus:

$$N(\infty, M) = \{\text{all real numbers } r \text{ such that } r > M\}.$$

We may now phrase the definition of the above limit statement thus: For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an M > 0 such that if x is in M(co, M), then $|\int (x)-\lambda|<\varepsilon$

 $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if x is in $M(\infty, \delta)$ then f(x) is in $N(\infty, \delta)$ If $\lambda = \infty$, the above limit statement may be defined thus: For each

 $|\varepsilon| > 0$ there exists N > 0 such that if x < -N, then $|f(x) - \lambda| < \varepsilon$. By such that r < -N, we may phrase the definition of the above limit statedefining the neighborhood $N(-\infty, N)$ to mean the set {all real numbers r Similarly the statement $\lim_{x\to -\infty} f(x) = \lambda$, λ finite, means that for each

 $|f(x)-\lambda|<\varepsilon$ For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists N > 0 such that if x is in $N(-\infty, N)$, then

For $\lambda=\infty$, the above limit statement may be defined thus: For each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists N>0 such that if x is in $N(-\infty,N)$, then f(x) is in

The statement

$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} f(x) = -\infty$$

may be defined thus: For each $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that if x is in $\mathcal{M}(-\infty,\delta)$, then f(x) is in $\mathcal{M}(-\infty,\epsilon)$. The statement

$$x \in \mathbb{E} - \{+\infty\}, +\infty \in \mathbb{E}$$

number in E. Similarly, the statement is to be taken to mean that E has no upper bound and that x is any real

is to be taken to mean that E has no lower bound and that x is any real

in broadest form. With the above interpretations one may now state L'Hôpital's theorem

Theorem Let f(x) and g(x) be defined and be differentiable on the set $E=\{p\}$. Expense $E = \{p\}$. Let and $p \in E$, p being a real number, or $+\infty$, or $-\infty$. Let $g(x) \neq 0$ for all x in

$$\lim_{x\to 0}\frac{f(x)}{f(x)}=\lambda,\qquad -\infty\wedge\lambda\wedge+0.$$

if either

(a) $\lim_{x\to p} f(x) = \lim_{x\to p} g(x) = 0$

(b) $\lim_{x\to p} g(x) = \pm \infty$,

$$\lim_{x\to \rho}\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}=\lambda$$

this broader theorem with no essential change, Proof. With the above interpretations, the proof of Theorem 8.1 holds for

basic problem is the evaluation of $\lim_{x\to p} f(x) g(x)$ when either We will now discuss the evaluation of certain indeterminate forms. The

(1)
$$\lim_{x\to p} f(x) = \lim_{x\to p} g(x) = 0$$
, or

3.8 Evaluation of certain independence forms

(2) $\lim_{x\to p} g(x) = \pm \infty$, $-\infty \le p \le +\infty$; $g'(x) \ne 0$ on some neighborhood of p, it being known that f(x) and g(x) are differentiable on this neighborhood.

seen to satisfy the condition of the L'Hôpital theorem, then, of course, The theorem of L'Hôpital immediately permits us to replace $\lim_{x\to p} f(x)/g(x)$ by its equal $\lim_{x\to p} f'(x)/g'(x)$. If the function f'(x)/g'(x) is one may in turn replace

$$\lim_{x\to g}\frac{f(x)}{g'(x)}$$

Ę

$$\lim_{x\to y}\frac{f''(x)}{g''(x)}$$

which $\lim_{x\to\mu} (f^{(n)}(x)/g^{(n)}(x))$ is obtainable directly. The process may be repeated until one arrives at a form $f^{(n)}(x)/g^{(n)}(x)$ for

in this case, f(x)/g(x) assumes the indeterminate form 0/0. When Since direct substitution in f(x)/g(x) results, say, in 0/0, one may say that

$$\lim_{x\to y} g(x) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x\to y} f(x) = \alpha,$$

one says that f(x)/g(x) assumes the indeterminate form co/co. We wish to point out that if

$$\lim_{x \to p} f(x) = A$$
, $0 \neq A \neq \infty$, and $\lim_{x \to p} g(x) = B$, $0 \neq B \neq \infty$,

 $\lim_{x\to y_0} f(x)/g(x)$ is, in general, distinct from $\lim_{x\to y} f'(x)/g(x)$

example 1. Evaluate
$$\lim_{x\to 1} (x^2 + x - 2)/(x - 1)$$
.

Solution. Since x is not permitted to assume the value 1, one sees that

$$\lim_{x \to 1} \frac{(x - 1)(x + 2)}{x - 1} = \lim_{x \to 1} (x + 2) = 3.$$

L'Hôpital's rule gives

$$\lim_{z \to 1} \frac{2z + 1}{1} = \frac{3}{1} = \frac{3}{1}$$