

# Positive Solutions With Exponential Decay For The Singular Fisher-Like Equation Posed On The Real-Line\*

Abdelhamid Benmezaï†, Nadir Benkaci-Ali‡

Received 20 September 2020

## Abstract

In this article, we are concerned with the existence of positive solutions to the boundary value problem,

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + cu' + \lambda u = F(t, u(t)), & t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^{k|t|}u(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{l|t|}u(t) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda, c$  are positive constants,  $k, l \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $F : \mathbb{R} \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a continuous function. The main existence result is proved by means of Guo-Krasnoselskii's version of expansion and compression of a cone principle in a Banach space.

## 1 Introduction and Main Results

This article deals with the existence of positive solutions to the boundary value problem (bvp for short),

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + cu' + \lambda u = F(t, u), & t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^{k|t|}u(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{l|t|}u(t) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda, c$  are positive constants,  $k, l \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $F : \mathbb{R} \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a continuous function.

By positive solution to the bvp (1), we mean a function  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $u(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^{k|t|}u(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{l|t|}u(t) = 0$ , satisfying the ordinary differential equation in (1). Imposing  $k, l > 0$  in the boundary conditions in (1) means that we look for solutions having an exponential decay at  $\pm\infty$ .

The positivity of the solution  $u$  is required here since the bvp (1) arises in the modeling of the propagation of wave fronts in combustion theory and epidemiology, see [7, 2], where  $u$  stands to be a concentration or a density. The positive constants  $c$  and  $\lambda$  refer respectively to the wave speed of the front and to the removal rate. The case where the bvp (1) is autonomous, that is  $F(t, u(t)) = F(u)$ , with  $F$  having a prescribed form corresponds to the generalized Fisher's equation.

There are many papers in the literature considering the case of the bvp (1.1) posed on the half-line, see [1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10] and references therein. However, to the author's knowledge, there are no paper in the literature considering the singular case posed on the whole real-line and so, the purpose of this paper is to fill in the gap in this area.

Our approach in this work is based on a fixed point formulation and since the nonlinearity  $F$  is supposed to be nonnegative, we will use the Guo-Krasnoselskii's version of expansion and compression of a cone principle to prove our main existence result.

In all this paper, we assume that there exist two continuous functions  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $f : \mathbb{R} \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$F(t, u) = \phi(t)f(t, u), \quad (2)$$

\*Mathematics Subject Classifications: 35K57, 34B15, 34B16, 34B18, 34B40.

†National Higher School of Mathematics, Sidi-Abdallah, Algiers, Algeria

‡Faculty of Sciences, UMB, Boumerdes, Algeria

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for all } \rho > 0 \text{ there exists a nonincreasing function} \\ \Psi_\rho : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty) \text{ such that} \\ f(t, \frac{w}{p(t)}) \leq \Psi_\rho(w) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and all } w \in (0, \rho], \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} q_-(t)\phi(t)\Psi_\rho(r\gamma(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} q_+(t)\phi(t)\Psi_\rho(r\gamma(t)) = 0 \text{ and} \\ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s)\phi(s)\Psi_\rho(r\gamma(s))ds < \infty \text{ for all } r \in (0, \rho], \end{array} \right. \quad (3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) &= e^{-r_2|t|}, \\ q_-(t) &= \max(p(t), e^{k|t|}), \\ q_+(t) &= \max(p(t), e^{l|t|}), \\ \gamma(t) &= \min(e^{2r_2t}, e^{(r_1-r_2)t}), \\ \tilde{\gamma}(t) &= \frac{\gamma(t)}{p(t)} = \min(e^{r_1t}, e^{r_2t}), \\ \delta(t) &= \min(e^{-r_1t}, e^{-r_2t}) = (\max(e^{r_1t}, e^{r_2t}))^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$r_1$  and  $r_2$  are the solutions of the characteristic equation  $-X^2 + cX + \lambda = 0$  with  $r_1 < 0 < r_2$ .

**Remark 1** Notice that Hypothesis (3) implies that  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s)\phi(s)ds < \infty$ . Indeed, for  $\rho = 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s)\phi(s)\Psi_1(r\gamma(s))ds \geq \Psi_1\left(\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \gamma(s)\right) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s)\phi(s)ds \\ &= \Psi_1(1) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s)\phi(s)ds. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2** Notice that in the case where  $\min(k, l) \geq 0$ , we have  $q_-(t) = e^{k|t|}$  and  $q_+(t) = e^{l|t|}$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^{k|t|}\phi(t)\Psi_\rho(r\gamma(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{l|t|}\phi(t)\Psi_\rho(r\gamma(t)) = 0$  implies that  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s)\phi(s)\Psi_\rho(r\gamma(s))ds < \infty$  and Hypothesis (3) can be relaxed to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for all } \rho > 0 \text{ there exists a nonincreasing function} \\ \Psi_\rho : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty) \text{ such that} \\ f(t, \frac{w}{p(t)}) \leq \Psi_\rho(w) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and all } w \in (0, \rho], \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^{k|t|}\phi(t)\Psi_\rho(r\gamma(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{l|t|}\phi(t)\Psi_\rho(r\gamma(t)) = 0 \text{ for all } r \in (0, \rho]. \end{array} \right.$$

**Remark 3** Hypothesis (3) covers the case of the bvp (1) where the nonlinearity  $F$  satisfies the polynomial growth condition

$$F(t, u) \leq a(t) + b(t)u^\sigma,$$

where  $\sigma \geq 0$  and  $a, b \in C(\mathbb{R})$  are such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lim_{t \rightarrow \nu\infty} q_\nu(t)a(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \nu\infty} q_\nu(t)b(t)(p(t))^{-\sigma} = 0 \text{ for } \nu = + \text{ or } - \\ \text{and } \delta a, \delta b p^{-\sigma} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}). \end{array} \right.$$

To see that, take  $\phi(t) = \max(a(t), b(t)(p(t))^{-\sigma})$  and for  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\Psi_\rho(r) = 1 + \rho^\sigma$ .

Let  $G : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be the function defined by

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{r_2 - r_1} \begin{cases} \exp(r_1(t - s)), & \text{if } s \leq t, \\ \exp(r_2(t - s)), & \text{if } t \leq s. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Simple computations yield

$$0 < G(t, s) \leq \frac{1}{r_2 - r_1} \text{ for all } t, s \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$G(t, s) \leq \frac{\delta(s)}{\delta(t)} \text{ for all } s, t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (5)$$

Because of (5) and Hypothesis (3) (see Remark 1), for all  $\theta > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( p(t) \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} G(t, s) \phi(s) \gamma(s) ds \right) &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( p(t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(t, s) \phi(s) ds \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{p(t)}{(r_2 - r_1) \delta(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s) \phi(s) ds \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(r_2 - r_1)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s) \phi(s) ds < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we set

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( p(t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(t, s) \phi(s) ds \right), \\ \Theta(\theta) &= \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( p(t) \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} G(t, s) \phi(s) \gamma(s) ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\theta > 0$ . The following theorem is the main result of this work. Its statement needs the introduction of the following notations. Let

$$\begin{aligned} f^0 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \sup_{w \rightarrow 0} \left( \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{f(t, \frac{w}{p(t)})}{w} \right), & f^\infty &= \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \sup_{w \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{f(t, \frac{w}{p(t)})}{w} \right), \\ f_0(\theta) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \inf_{w \rightarrow 0} \left( \min_{t \in I_\theta} \frac{f(t, \frac{w}{p(t)})}{w} \right), & f_\infty(\theta) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \inf_{w \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \min_{t \in I_\theta} \frac{f(t, \frac{w}{p(t)})}{w} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where for  $\theta > 0$ ,  $I_\theta = [-\theta, \theta]$ .

**Theorem 1** *Assume that Hypotheses (2) and (3) hold,  $k < -r_1$ ,  $l < r_2$  and there exists  $\theta > 0$  such that one of the following situations (6) and (7) holds.*

$$f^0 \Gamma < 1 < f_\infty(\theta) \Theta(\theta), \quad (6)$$

$$f^\infty \Gamma < 1 < f_0(\theta) \Theta(\theta). \quad (7)$$

*Then the bvp (1) admits at least one positive solution.*

We deduce from Theorem 1 the following existence result for positive solutions for the typical case of the bvp (1) where  $F(t, u) = a(t) u^\mu$  with  $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$  and  $a \in C(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Corollary 1** Assume that  $k < -r_1$ ,  $l < r_2$  and

$$\begin{cases} F(t, u) = a(t) u^\mu \text{ with } \mu \neq 1, a \in C(\mathbb{R}), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \nu\infty} q_\nu(t) a(t) p^{-\mu}(t) \max(1, \gamma^\mu(t)) = 0 \text{ for } \nu = + \text{ or } - \\ \text{and } \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s) a(s) p^{-\mu}(s) \max(1, \gamma^\mu(s)) ds < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then the bvp (1) admits a positive solution.

**Proof.** We have that  $F(t, u) = \phi(t) f(t, u)$  with  $\phi(t) = a(s) (p(s))^{-\mu}$  and  $f(t, u) = (p(t)u)^\mu$ . We have to show that all hypotheses of Theorem 1 are fulfilled.

For all  $\rho > 0$  and  $w \in (0, \rho]$ , we have

$$f\left(t, \frac{w}{p(t)}\right) = w^\mu \leq \Psi_\rho(w) = \begin{cases} \rho^\mu, & \text{if } \mu \geq 0, \\ w^\mu, & \text{if } \mu < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Psi_\rho(r\gamma(t)) = \begin{cases} \rho^\mu, & \text{if } \mu \geq 0, \\ r^\mu \gamma^\mu(t), & \text{if } \mu < 0 \end{cases} = \max(\rho^\mu, r^\mu) \max(1, \gamma^\mu(t)).$$

Thus, we obtain from the above calculation that for  $\nu = +$  or  $-$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \nu\infty} q_\nu(t) \phi(t) \Psi_\rho(r\gamma(t)) = \max(\rho^\mu, r^\mu) \lim_{t \rightarrow \nu\infty} q_\nu(t) a(t) p^{-\mu}(t) \max(1, \gamma^\mu(t)) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s) \phi(s) \Psi_\rho(r\gamma(s)) ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s) a(s) (p^{-\mu}(s)) \max(\rho^\mu, r^\mu) \max(1, (\gamma(s))^\mu) ds \\ &\leq \max(\rho^\mu, r^\mu) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s) a(s) p^{-\mu}(s) \max(1, \gamma^\mu(s)) ds < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{cases} f^0 = 0 & \text{and } f_\infty(\theta) = +\infty \text{ for all } \theta > 0, & \text{if } \mu > 0, \\ f^\infty = 0 & \text{and } f_0(\theta) = +\infty \text{ for all } \theta > 0, & \text{if } \mu \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, Theorem 1 guarantees existence of a positive solution to such a case of bvp (1). ■

**Example 1** Consider the bvp

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + u' + 2u = F(t, u), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^{-|t|} u(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{|t|} u(t) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where

$$F(t, u) = e^{-8|t|} \left( \frac{ae^{4|t|}u}{e^{3|t|} + u} + \frac{be^{2|t|}u^2}{e^{2|t|} + u} \right)$$

and  $a, b$  are positive constants.

We have then  $r_1 = -1$ ,  $r_2 = 2$ ,  $k = -1$ ,  $l = 1$ ,  $p(t) = e^{-2|t|}$ ,  $q_-(t) = e^{-|t|}$ ,  $q_+(t) = e^{|t|}$ ,  $\gamma(t) = \min(e^{4t}, e^{-3t})$ ,  $\delta(t) = \min(e^t, e^{-2t})$ ,  $\Gamma = \frac{7}{30}$  and  $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow +\infty} \Theta(\theta) = \frac{2}{21}$ .

Taking

$$\phi(t) = e^{-4|t|} \quad \text{and} \quad f(t, u) = \frac{au}{e^{|t|} + u} + \frac{bu^2}{1 + u},$$

we obtain  $\Psi_\rho(x) = a\rho + b\rho^2$  and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} q_-(t)\phi(t)\Psi_\rho(r\gamma(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^{-5|t|} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} q_+(t)\phi(t)\Psi_\rho(r\gamma(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-3|t|} = 0,$$

Since  $f^0 = a$  and  $f_\infty(\theta) = b$  for all  $\theta > 0$ , we conclude from Theorem 1 that if  $a < \frac{30}{7}$  and  $b > \frac{21}{2}$ , then the bvp (8) admits a positive solution.

**Example 2** Consider the bvp

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + u' + 6u = e^{-8|t|}u^{-2}, & t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^{|t|}u(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{2|t|}u(t) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

We have then  $r_1 = -2$ ,  $r_2 = 3$ ,  $k = 1$ ,  $l = 2$ ,  $p(t) = e^{-3|t|}$ ,  $q_-(t) = e^{|t|}$ ,  $q_+(t) = e^{2|t|}$ ,  $\gamma(t) = \min(e^{6t}, e^{-5t})$  and  $\delta(t) = \min(e^{2t}, e^{-3t})$ .

Taking  $a(t) = e^{-8|t|}$  and  $\mu = -2$  we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} q_-(t)a(t)p^{-\mu}(t)\max(1, \gamma^\mu(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^t = 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} q_+(t)a(t)p^{-\mu}(t)\max(1, \gamma^\mu(t)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-2t} = 0$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s)a(s)p^{-\mu}(s)\max(1, \gamma^\mu(s)) ds = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{4s} ds + \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-7s} ds < \infty.$$

Hence, all the conditions in Corollary 1 are satisfied and the bvp (9) admits a positive solution.

## 2 Abstract Background

It has been mentioned in the above section that Theorem 1 will be obtained by means of Guo-Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem. Let us recall this powerful theorem and the necessary theoretical background to its statement.

Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a real Banach space. A nonempty closed convex subset  $C$  of  $E$  is said to be a cone in  $E$  if  $C \cap (-C) = \{0_E\}$  and  $tC \subset C$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty subset in  $E$ . A mapping  $A : \Omega \rightarrow E$  is said to be compact if it is continuous and  $A(\Omega)$  is relatively compact in  $E$ .

The Guo-Krasnoselskii's version of expansion and compression of a cone principle in a Banach space is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2** Let  $P$  be a cone in  $E$  and let  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  be bounded open subsets of  $E$  with  $0 \in \Omega_1$  and  $\overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$ . If  $T : P \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow P$  is a compact mapping such that either:

1.  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$  for  $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$  and  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$  for  $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$ , or
2.  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|$  for  $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$  and  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$  for  $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$ .

Then  $T$  has at least one fixed point in  $P \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ .

### 3 Fixed Point Formulation

We start this section by the following important lemma. It proposes a cone in a specific functional favorable to the use of Theorem 2.

**Lemma 1** For all  $t, \tau, s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$p(t)G(t, s) \geq \gamma(t)p(\tau)G(\tau, s).$$

**Proof.** Set  $Q(t, \tau, s) = \frac{p(t)G(t, s)}{p(\tau)G(\tau, s)}$ . Then we distinguish between four cases.

a)  $\tau, t \geq 0$ , in this case we have

$$Q(t, \tau, s) = \begin{cases} \exp(-(r_2 - r_1)t + (r_2 - r_1)\tau) \geq e^{-(r_2 - r_1)t}, & \text{if } s \leq \tau \leq t, \\ \exp(-(r_2 - r_1)t + (r_2 - r_1)s) \geq e^{-(r_2 - r_1)t}, & \text{if } \tau \leq s \leq t, \\ 1, & \text{if } \tau \leq t \leq s, \\ \exp(-(r_2 - r_1)t + (r_2 - r_1)\tau) \geq e^{-(r_2 - r_1)t}, & \text{if } s \leq t \leq \tau, \\ \exp((r_2 - r_1)\tau - (r_2 - r_1)s) \geq 1, & \text{if } t \leq s \leq \tau, \\ 1, & \text{if } t \leq \tau \leq s \end{cases} \geq \gamma(t).$$

b)  $\tau, t \leq 0$ , in this case we have

$$Q(t, \tau, s) = \begin{cases} \exp((r_2 + r_1)t - (r_2 + r_1)\tau) \geq e^{(r_2 + r_1)t}, & \text{if } s \leq \tau \leq t, \\ \exp(-(r_2 - r_1)t - 2r_2\tau + (r_2 - r_1)s) \geq e^{-(r_2 - r_1)t}, & \text{if } \tau \leq s \leq t, \\ \exp(2r_2t - 2r_2\tau) \geq e^{2r_2t}, & \text{if } \tau \leq t \leq s, \\ \exp((r_2 + r_1)t - (r_2 + r_1)\tau) \geq e^{(r_2 + r_1)t}, & \text{if } s \leq t \leq \tau, \\ \exp(2r_2t - (r_2 + r_1)\tau - (r_2 - r_1)s) \geq e^{2r_2t}, & \text{if } t \leq s \leq \tau, \\ \exp(2r_2t - 2r_2\tau) \geq e^{2r_2t}, & \text{if } t \leq \tau \leq s \end{cases} \geq \gamma(t).$$

c)  $\tau \leq 0, t \geq 0$ , in this case we have

$$Q(t, \tau, s) = \begin{cases} \exp(-(r_2 - r_1)t - (r_2 + r_1)\tau) \geq e^{-(r_2 - r_1)t}, & \text{if } s \leq \tau \leq t, \\ \exp(-(r_2 - r_1)t - 2r_2\tau + (r_2 - r_1)s) \geq e^{-(r_2 - r_1)t}, & \text{if } \tau \leq s \leq t, \\ \exp(-2r_2\tau) \geq 1, & \text{if } \tau \leq t \leq s \end{cases} \geq \gamma(t).$$

d)  $\tau \geq 0, t \leq 0$ , in this case we have

$$Q(t, \tau, s) = \begin{cases} \exp((r_2 + r_1)t + (r_2 - r_1)\tau) \geq e^{(r_2 + r_1)t}, & \text{if } s \leq t \leq \tau, \\ \exp(2r_2t + (r_2 - r_1)\tau - (r_2 - r_1)s) \geq e^{2r_2t}, & \text{if } t \leq s \leq \tau, \\ \exp(2r_2t), & \text{if } t \leq \tau \leq s \end{cases} \geq \gamma(t).$$

The proof is complete. ■

The functional framework in which we will solve the bvp (1) consists in the following Banach space  $E$  and the cone  $P$  given below and suggested by Lemma 1. In this paper, we let  $E$  be the linear space defined by

$$E = \left\{ u \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) : \lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} p(t)u(t) = 0 \right\}.$$

Equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , where for  $u \in E$ ,  $\|u\| = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (p(t) |u(t)|)$ ,  $E$  becomes a Banach space. The subset  $P$  of  $E$  given by

$$P = \{u \in E : u(t) \geq \tilde{\gamma}(t) \|u\| \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is a cone of  $E$ .

The following lemma is an adapted version to the case of the space  $E$  of Corduneanu's compactness criterion ([3], p. 62). It will be used in this work to prove that the operator in the fixed point formulation corresponding to the bvp (1), maps bounded sets of  $P \setminus B(0, \epsilon)$  (for arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ ), into relatively compact sets.

**Lemma 2** *A nonempty subset  $M$  of  $E$  is relatively compact if the following conditions hold:*

- (a)  $M$  is bounded in  $E$ ,
- (b) the set  $\{u : u(t) = p(t)x(t), x \in M\}$  is locally equicontinuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and
- (c) the set  $\{u : u(t) = p(t)x(t), x \in M\}$  is equiconvergent at  $\pm\infty$ .

**Lemma 3** *Assume that Hypotheses (2) and (3) hold  $l < r_2$  and  $k < -r_1$ . Then there exists a continuous operator  $T : P \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow P$  such that for all  $r, R$  with  $0 < r < R$ ,  $T(P \cap (B(0, R) \setminus B(0, r)))$  is relatively compact and fixed points of  $T$  are positive solutions to the bvp (1).*

**Proof.** The proof is divided into four steps.

**Step 1.** In this step we prove the existence of the operator  $T$ . To this aim let  $u \in P \setminus \{0\}$ . By means of Hypothesis (3) with  $R = \|u\|$ , for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have from (5) and Hypothesis (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(t, s) \phi(s) f(s, u(s)) ds &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(t, s) \phi(s) \Psi_R(R\gamma(s)) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(r_2 - r_1) \delta(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s) \phi(s) \Psi_R(R\gamma(s)) ds < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, let  $v$  be the function defined by

$$v(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(t, s) \phi(s) f(s, u(s)) ds.$$

Clearly,  $v$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $v(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, we have

$$p(t)v(t) \leq \frac{1}{(r_2 - r_1)} (J_1(t) + J_2(t)),$$

where

$$J_1(t) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-r_1 s} \phi(s) \Psi_R(R\gamma(s)) ds}{\exp(r_2 |t| - r_1 t)} \text{ and } J_2(t) = \frac{\int_t^{+\infty} \phi(s) \Psi_R(R\gamma(s)) ds}{\exp(r_2 |t| - r_2 t)}.$$

Since for  $t \leq 0$ ,

$$J_1(t) \leq \int_{-\infty}^t \delta(s) \phi(s) \Psi_R(R\gamma(s)) ds$$

and for  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$J_2(t) = \int_t^{+\infty} \delta(s) \phi(s) \Psi_R(R\gamma(s)) ds,$$

we obtain from Hypothesis (3) that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} J_1(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} J_2(t) = 0$ .

Now applying L'Hopital's rule, we obtain from Hypothesis (3) that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} J_1(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{e^{-r_1 t} \phi(t) \Psi_R(R\gamma(t))}{(r_2 - r_1) \exp((r_2 - r_1)t)} = \frac{1}{(r_2 - r_1)} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} p(t) \phi(t) \Psi_R(R\gamma(t)) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} J_2(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{e^{-r_2 t} \phi(t) \Psi_R(R\gamma(t))}{2r_2 \exp(-2r_2 t)} = \frac{1}{2r_2} \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} p(t) \phi(t) \Psi_R(R\gamma(t)) = 0.$$

Hence, we conclude that  $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} p(t)v(t) = 0$  and  $v \in E$ .

Finally, Lemma 1 leads to

$$p(t)v(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(t)G(t, s)\phi(s) f(s, u(s))ds \geq \gamma(t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(\tau)G(\tau, s)\phi(s) f(s, u(s))ds$$

for all  $t, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Taking the supremum on  $\tau$  yields

$$v(t) \geq \tilde{\gamma}(t) \|v\|,$$

proving that  $v \in P$  and the operator  $T : P \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow P$ , where for  $u \in P \setminus \{0\}$

$$Tu(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(t, s)\phi(s) f(s, u(s))ds,$$

is well defined.

**Step 2.** In this step we prove that the operator  $T$  is continuous. Let  $(u_n)$  be a sequence in  $P \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$  in  $E$  with  $u$  in  $P \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $R > r > 0$  be such that  $(u_n) \subset B(0, R) \setminus B(0, r)$ . If  $\Psi_R$  is the function given by Hypothesis (3), then for all  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu_n - Tu\| &= \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (p(t) |Tu_n(t) - Tu(t)|) \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{p(t)}{(r_2 - r_1) \delta(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s) \phi(s) |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u(s))| ds \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(r_2 - r_1)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s) \phi(s) |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u(s))| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Because of

$$|f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u(s))| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

for all  $s > 0$  and

$$\delta(s) \phi(s) |f(s, u_n(s)) - f(s, u(s))| \leq \delta(s) \phi(s) \Psi_R(r\gamma(s))$$

with  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s) \phi(s) \Psi_R(r\gamma(s)) ds < \infty$ , the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem guarantees that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tu_n - Tu\| = 0$ . Hence, we have proved that  $T$  is continuous.

**Step 3.** In this step, we prove that for  $R > r > 0$ ,  $T(P \cap (B(0, R) \setminus B(0, r)))$  is relatively compact. Set  $\Omega = P \cap (B(0, R) \setminus B(0, r))$  and let  $\Phi$  be defined by

$$\Phi(s) = \phi(s) \Psi_R(r\gamma(s)),$$

where  $\Psi_R$  is the function given by Hypothesis (3). For all  $u \in \Omega$ , we have

$$\|Tu\| \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \left( \frac{p(t)}{(r_2 - r_1) \delta(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s) \Phi(s) ds \right) \leq \frac{1}{r_2 - r_1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s) \Phi(s) ds < \infty,$$

proving that  $T\Omega$  is bounded in  $E$ .



Let  $t_1, t_2 \in [\eta, \zeta] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , for all  $u \in \Omega$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |p(t_2)Tu(t_2) - p(t_1)Tu(t_1)| &\leq |p_1(t_2) - p_1(t_1)| \int_{-\infty}^{\zeta} e^{-r_1 s} \Phi(s) ds \\ &\quad + |p_2(t_2) - p_2(t_1)| \int_{\eta}^{+\infty} e^{-r_2 s} \Phi(s) ds + C_{\eta, \zeta} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \Phi(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where for  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $p_i(t) = e^{-r_2|t|+r_1 t}$  and  $C_{\eta, \zeta} = 2 \sup_{t, s \in [\eta, \zeta]} p(t)G(t, s)$ .

Because that  $p_1, p_2$  and  $t \rightarrow \int_0^t \Phi_{r, R}(s) ds$  are uniformly continuous on compact intervals, the above estimates prove that  $T\Omega$  is equicontinuous on compact intervals.

For all  $u \in \Omega$  and  $t > 0$ , we have

$$p(t)Tu(t) \leq p(t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(t, s) \Phi(s) ds = H(t).$$

By means of L'Hopital's rule, we obtain from Hypothesis (3) that

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} H(t) = \lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} p(t)\Phi(t) = 0,$$

proving the equiconvergence of  $T\Omega$ .

In view of Lemma 2,  $T\Omega$  is relatively compact in  $E$ .

**Step 4.** We claim that fixed points of  $T$  are positive solutions to the bvp (1). Let  $u \in P \setminus \{0\}$  be a fixed point of  $T$  with  $\|u\| = R$ . For all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \frac{1}{r_2 - r_1} \left( e^{r_1 t} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-r_1 s} f(s, u(s)) ds + e^{r_2 t} \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-r_2 s} f(s, u(s)) ds \right), \\ u'(t) &= \frac{r_1 e^{r_1 t}}{r_2 - r_1} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-r_1 s} f(s, u(s)) ds + \frac{r_2 e^{r_2 t}}{r_2 - r_1} \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-r_2 s} f(s, u(s)) ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u''(t) = \frac{(r_1)^2 e^{r_1 t}}{r_2 - r_1} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-r_1 s} \phi(s) f(s, u(s)) ds + \frac{(r_2)^2 e^{r_2 t}}{r_2 - r_1} \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-r_2 s} \phi(s) f(s, u(s)) ds - \phi(t) f(t, u(t)).$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -u''(t) + cu'(t) + \lambda u(t) &= \frac{-r_1^2 + cr_1 + \lambda}{r_2 - r_1} \int_{-\infty}^t G(t, s) \phi(s) f(s, u(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{-r_2^2 + cr_2 + \lambda}{r_2 - r_1} \int_t^{+\infty} G(t, s) \phi(s) f(s, u(s)) ds + \phi(t) f(t, u(t)) \\ &= \phi(t) f(t, u(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we need to prove that  $u$  satisfies the boundary conditions,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^{l|t|} u(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{k|t|} u(t) = 0$ . We have

$$e^{l|t|} u(t) \leq \frac{1}{r_2 - r_1} (L_1(t) + L_2(t))$$

and

$$e^{k|t|} u(t) \leq \frac{1}{r_2 - r_1} (K_1(t) + K_2(t)),$$

where

$$L_1(t) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-r_1 s} \phi(s) \Psi_R(R\gamma(s)) ds}{\exp(-l|t| - r_1 t)}, \quad L_2(t) = \frac{\int_t^{+\infty} e^{-r_2 s} \phi(s) \Psi_R(R\gamma(s)) ds}{\exp(-l|t| - r_2 t)},$$

$$K_1(t) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-r_1 s} \phi(s) \Psi_R(R\gamma(s)) ds}{\exp(-k|t| - r_1 t)} \text{ and } K_2(t) = \frac{\int_t^{+\infty} e^{-r_2 s} \phi(s) \Psi_R(R\gamma(s)) ds}{\exp(-k|t| - r_2 t)}.$$

Since for  $t \leq 0$ ,

$$L_1(t) \leq \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^t \delta(s) \phi(s) \Psi_R(R\gamma(s)) ds, & \text{if } l \leq r_1, \\ \frac{\int_{-\infty}^t \delta(s) \phi(s) \Psi_R(R\gamma(s)) ds}{\exp((l-r_1)t)}, & \text{if } l > r_1 \end{cases}$$

and for  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$K_2(t) \leq \begin{cases} \int_t^{+\infty} \delta(s) \phi(s) \Psi_R(R\gamma(s)) ds, & \text{if } k \leq -r_2, \\ \frac{\int_t^{+\infty} \delta(s) \phi(s) \Psi_R(R\gamma(s)) ds}{\exp(-(k+r_2)t)}, & \text{if } k > -r_2, \end{cases}$$

Hypothesis (3) and L'Hopital's rule lead to  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} L_1(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} K_2(t) = 0$ .

Taking in account the conditions  $k < -r_1$  and  $l < r_2$  and Hypothesis (3), the L'Hopital's rule leads to

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} L_2(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{-e^{-r_2 t} \phi(t) \Psi_R(R\gamma(t))}{(l-r_2) \exp((l-r_2)t)} = \frac{1}{r_2-l} \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^{l|t|} \phi(t) \Psi_R(R\gamma(t)) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} K_1(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{e^{-r_1 t} \phi(t) \Psi_R(R\gamma(t))}{-(k+r_1) \exp(-(k+r_1)t)} = \frac{-1}{(k+r_1)} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{k|t|} \phi(t) \Psi_R(R\gamma(t)) = 0.$$

Hence, we have proved that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} e^{l|t|} u(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{k|t|} u(t) = 0$ , completing the proof of the lemma. ■

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1

### Step 1. Existence in the case where (6) holds

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be such that  $(f^0 + \epsilon)\Gamma < 1$ . For such a  $\epsilon$ , there exists  $R_1 > 0$  such that  $f(t, \frac{w}{p(t)}) \leq (f^0 + \epsilon)w$  for all  $w \in (0, R_1)$ . Let  $\Omega_1 = \{u \in E, \|u\| < R_1\}$ .

Therefore, for all  $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$  and all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(t)Tu(t) &= p(t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(t,s) \phi(s) f(s, \frac{1}{p(s)}(p(s)u(s))) ds \\ &\leq (f^0 + \epsilon) p(t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(t,s) \phi(s) (p(s)u(s)) ds \\ &\leq \|u\| (f^0 + \epsilon) p(t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(t,s) \phi(s) ds \\ &\leq \Gamma (f^0 + \epsilon) \|u\| \leq \|u\|, \end{aligned}$$

leading to  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|$ .

Now, suppose that  $f_\infty(\theta) \Theta(\theta) > 1$  for some  $\theta > 0$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be such that

$$(f_\infty(\theta) - \epsilon) \Theta(\theta) > 1.$$

There exists  $R_2 > R_1$  such that  $f(t, \frac{w}{p(t)}) > (f_\infty(\theta) - \epsilon)w$  for all  $t \in I_\theta$  and all  $w \geq R_2$ . Let  $\gamma_\theta =$

$\min \{\tilde{\gamma}(s) : s \in I_\theta\}$ ,  $\tilde{R}_2 = R_2/\gamma_\theta$  and  $\Omega_2 = \{u \in E : \|u\| < \tilde{R}_2\}$ . For all  $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$  and all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\| &\geq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( p(t) \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} G(t, s) \phi(s) f(s, \frac{1}{p(s)} (p(s)u(s))) ds \right) \\ &\geq (f_\infty(\theta) - \varepsilon) \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( p(t) \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} G(t, s) \phi(s) (p(s)u(s)) ds \right) \\ &\geq (f_\infty(\theta) - \varepsilon) \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( p(t) \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} G(t, s) \phi(s) (\gamma(s) \|u\|) ds \right) \\ &\geq \|u\| (f_\infty(\theta) - \varepsilon) \Theta(\theta) \geq \|u\| \end{aligned}$$

We deduce from Assertion 1 of Theorem 2, that  $T$  admits a fixed point  $u \in P$  with  $R_1 \leq \|u\| \leq \tilde{R}_2$  which is, by Lemma 3, a positive solution to the bvp (1).

### Step 2. Existence in the case where (7) holds

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $(f_0(\theta) - \varepsilon)\Theta(\theta) > 1$ , there exists  $R_1$  such that  $f(t, \frac{w}{p(t)}) > (f_0(\theta) - \varepsilon)w$  for all  $t \in I_\theta$  and all  $w \in (0, R_1)$ . Let  $\Omega_1 = \{u \in E : \|u\| < R_1\}$ , for all  $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1$  and all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tu\| &\geq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( p(t) \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} G(t, s) \phi(s) f(s, \frac{1}{p(s)} (p(s)u(s))) ds \right) \\ &\geq (f_0(\theta) - \varepsilon) \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( p(t) \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} G(t, s) \phi(s) (p(s)u(s)) ds \right) \\ &\geq (f_0(\theta) - \varepsilon) \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( p(t) \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} G(t, s) \phi(s) (\gamma(s) \|u\|) ds \right) \\ &\geq \|u\| (f_0(\theta) - \varepsilon) \Theta(\theta) \geq \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $(f^\infty + \varepsilon)\Gamma < 1$ , there exists  $R_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$f(t, \frac{w}{p(t)}) \leq (f^\infty + \varepsilon)w + \Psi_{R_\varepsilon}(w), \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } w > 0,$$

where  $\Psi_{R_\varepsilon}$  is the functions given by Hypothesis (3) for  $R = R_\varepsilon$ . Let

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(t) = \phi(s) \Psi_{R_\varepsilon}(R_\varepsilon \gamma(s)) \text{ and } \tilde{R}_2 = \frac{\bar{\Phi}_\varepsilon \Gamma}{1 - (f^\infty + \varepsilon) \Gamma} \text{ with } \bar{\Phi}_\varepsilon = \sup_{t \geq 0} \left( p(t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(t, s) \Phi_\varepsilon(s) ds \right).$$

and notice that  $\Gamma^{-1}(f^\infty + \varepsilon)R + \bar{\Phi}_\varepsilon \leq R$  for all  $R \geq \tilde{R}_2$ .

Let  $R_2 > \max(R_1, \tilde{R}_2, R_\varepsilon)$  and  $\Omega_2 = \{u \in E, \|u\| < R_2\}$ . For all  $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$  and all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(t)Tu(t) &= p(t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(t, s) \phi(s) f(s, \frac{1}{p(s)} (p(s)u(s))) ds \\ &\leq p(t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(t, s) \phi(s) ((f^\infty + \varepsilon) (p(s)u(s)) + \Psi_\varepsilon(p(s)u(s))) ds \\ &\leq (f^\infty + \varepsilon) \|u\| p(t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(t, s) \phi(s) ds + \bar{\Phi}_\varepsilon \\ &\leq (f^\infty + \varepsilon) \Gamma \|u\| + \bar{\Phi}_\varepsilon \leq \|u\|, \end{aligned}$$

leading to

$$\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|.$$

We deduce from Assertion 2 of Theorem 2, that  $T$  admits a fixed point  $u \in P$  with  $R_1 \leq \|u\| \leq R_2$  which is, by Lemma 3, a positive solution to the bvp (1).

Thus, the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

**Acknowledgment.** The authors are thankful to the anonymous referee for his deep and careful reading of the manuscript and for all his comments and suggestions, which led to a substantial improvement of the original manuscript.

## References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, *Infinite Interval Problems for Differential, Difference and Integral Equations*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, 2001.
- [2] O. R. Aris, *The Mathematical Theory of Diffusion and Reaction in Permeable Catalysts*, Clarendon, Oxford (1975).
- [3] C. Corduneanu, *Integral Equations and Stability of Feedback Systems*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [4] S. Djebali and K. Mebarki, Multiple positive solutions for singular multi-point boundary value problem with general growth on the positive half-line, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, 2011(2011), 1–29.
- [5] S. Djebali and O. Saifi, Positive solutions for singular BVPs on the positive half-line arising from epidemiology and combustion theory, *Acta Math. Scientia*, 32(2012), 672–694.
- [6] S. Djebali and O. Saifi, Positive solutions for singular BVPs with sign changing and derivative depending nonlinearity on the half-line, *Acta Appl. Math.*, 110(2010), 639–665.
- [7] J. D. Murray, *Mathematical Biology, I: An introduction*, Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- [8] L. Sanchez, A note on a nonautonomous O.D.E. related to the Fisher equation, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 113(2000) 201–209.
- [9] Y. Tian and W. Ge, Positive solutions for multi-point boundary value problem on the half-line, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 325(2007), 1339–1349.
- [10] Y. Tian, W. Ge and W. Shana, Positive solutions for three-point boundary value problem on the half-line, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 53(2007), 1029–1039.