

On The Asymptotic Expansion Of A Generalized Smith's Determinant*

Mehdi Hassani[†]

Received 6 April 2020

Abstract

In this paper we study the generalized Smith's determinant $\Delta_s(n) := \det[(\gcd(i, j))^s]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, where $s \neq 0$ is fixed real. For large values of n we obtain asymptotic expansions of $\log |\Delta_s(n)|$, and for $s > 1$ we obtain Stirling type approximations for $\Delta_s(n)$. Furthermore, we prove that for $s < 0$ the sign of $\Delta_s(n)$ is independent of s , and is same as the sign of $(-1)^{\eta_n}$, where η_n denotes the number of integers $m \in [1, n]$ having odd number of distinct prime divisors.

1 Introduction

In 1875 Smith [8] considered the determinant of the matrix $[a_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ with elements given by $a_{ij} = \gcd(i, j)$, greatest common divisor of i and j . He proved that

$$\det [\gcd(i, j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \prod_{m=1}^n \varphi(m),$$

where $\varphi(m)$ denotes the Euler function of m , counting the number of positive integers not exceeding m and coprime to m . The above determinant is known as *Smith's determinant*. Since Smith's work this field has been studied extensively. For a recent account of the theory of gcd-matrices we refer the reader to [4] and the references given there. Also, see [2, p. 123] for some classical generalizations of Smith's determinant, including the assertion that if f is an arithmetic function then

$$\det [f(\gcd(i, j))]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \prod_{m=1}^n \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) f\left(\frac{m}{d}\right), \quad (1)$$

where $\mu(d)$ denotes the Möbius function of d , which is 1 if $d = 1$, is $(-1)^k$ if d is equal to the product of k distinct primes, and is 0 otherwise. In this paper we are motivated by the asymptotic growth of generalized Smith's determinant (1) for $f(n) = n^s$. The exponent s is an arbitrary non-zero real. For the case $s > 0$ we prove the following result.

Theorem 1 *Let $s > 0$ be fixed real and*

$$\Delta_s^+(n) = \det [(\gcd(i, j))^s]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}. \quad (2)$$

Define the absolute constant α_s by

$$\alpha_s = \sum_p \frac{1}{p} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right), \quad (3)$$

*Mathematics Subject Classifications: 15A15, 11A25.

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of Zanjan, University Blvd., 45371-38791, Zanjan, Iran

where p runs over all primes. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\log \Delta_s^+(n) = \begin{cases} sn \log n + (\alpha_s - s)n + O(n^{1-s}) & (0 < s < 1), \\ n \log n + (\alpha_1 - 1)n + \frac{1}{2} \log n + O(\log \log n) & (s = 1), \\ sn \log n + (\alpha_s - s)n + \frac{s}{2} \log n + s \log \sqrt{2\pi} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{s-1}}\right) & (1 < s \leq 2). \end{cases}$$

Also, for each $s > 2$ the following approximation holds

$$\log \Delta_s^+(n) = sn \log n + (\alpha_s - s)n + \frac{s}{2} \log n + s \log \sqrt{2\pi} + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \frac{s}{2}} \frac{s B_{2j}}{(2j)(2j-1)n^{2j-1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{s-1}}\right),$$

where B_i denotes the i -th Bernoulli number.

Stirling approximation for $n!$ asserts that $n! = \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \sqrt{2\pi n} (1 + O(\frac{1}{n}))$. By taking exponent we obtain the following Stirling type approximation for $\Delta_s^+(n)$ for each $s > 1$.

Corollary 1 Let $\Delta_s^+(n)$ be the determinant defined by (2). Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\Delta_s^+(n) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{sn} \beta_s^n \sqrt{(2\pi n)^s} (1 + O(\frac{1}{n^{s-1}})) & (1 < s < 2), \\ \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{sn} \beta_s^n \sqrt{(2\pi n)^s} (1 + O(\frac{1}{n})) & (s \geq 2), \end{cases}$$

where β_s is an absolute constant defined by

$$\beta_s = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and p runs over all primes.

A more sophisticated argument, similar to that used in our paper [3], enables us to consider the case of negative values of exponent.

Theorem 2 Let $s > 0$ be fixed real and

$$\Delta_s^-(n) = \det [(\gcd(i, j))^{-s}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

Then, for any positive integer r there exist computable constants c_1, \dots, c_r such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\log |\Delta_s^-(n)| = (\alpha_s + \gamma + E)n + s \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{c_j n}{\log^j n} + O\left(\frac{n}{\log^{r+1} n}\right),$$

where α_s is defined by (3), γ is Euler's constant, and E is the constant in Mertens' approximation given by

$$E = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} - \log x. \tag{4}$$

Furthermore, the sign of $\Delta_s^-(n)$ is independent of s , and is same as the sign of $(-1)^{\eta_n}$, where η_n denotes the number of integers $m \in [1, n]$ having odd number of distinct prime divisors.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. For $f(n) = n^s$, we conclude from (1) that

$$\Delta_s^+(n) = \prod_{m=1}^n m^s g_s(m) = n!^s \prod_{m=1}^n g_s(m),$$

where $g_s(m) = \sum_{d|m} \mu(d)d^{-s}$. Since g_s is multiplicative, we get

$$g_s(m) = \prod_{p^a || m} g_s(p^a) = \prod_{p^a || m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) = \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right).$$

Thus,

$$\Delta_s^+(n) = n!^s \prod_{m=1}^n \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right),$$

and

$$\log \Delta_s^+(n) = s \log n! + \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{p|m} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right). \tag{5}$$

Stirling's approximation [7, p. 294] for $\log n!$ asserts that given any positive integer r , as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\log n! = n \log n - n + \frac{1}{2} \log n + \log \sqrt{2\pi} + \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{B_{2j}}{(2j)(2j-1)n^{2j-1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2r+1}}\right). \tag{6}$$

To approximate the double sum in (5), we change the order of summations. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{p|m} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) &= \sum_{p \leq n} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) \sum_{\substack{m \leq n \\ p|m}} 1 = \sum_{p \leq n} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) \left[\frac{n}{p}\right] \\ &= \sum_{p \leq n} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) \left(\frac{n}{p} + O(1)\right) \\ &= n \sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) + O\left(\sum_{p \leq n} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^k}\right)\right) \\ &= \alpha_s n + n \sum_{p > n} \frac{1}{p} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} + O\left(\sum_{p \leq n} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $-\log(1-t) \sim t$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\sum_{p > n} \frac{1}{p} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \ll \sum_{p > n} \frac{1}{p^{s+1}} \ll \int_n^\infty \frac{dx}{x^{s+1}} \ll \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

Also, by using the approximation $\sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p} \ll \log \log n$ we obtain

$$\sum_{p \leq n} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) \ll \sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p^s} \ll \begin{cases} \int_2^n \frac{dx}{x^s} \ll \frac{1}{n^{s-1}} & (s \neq 1), \\ \log \log n & (s = 1). \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{p|m} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right) = \alpha_s n + O \left(\begin{cases} n^{1-s} & (s \neq 1) \\ \log \log n & (s = 1) \end{cases} \right). \tag{7}$$

We let $r = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ in (6). Note that $2\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil + 1 \geq s - 1$. Therefore, by considering (5) and (7) we conclude the proof. ■

3 Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. Let $s > 0$. We conclude from (1) that

$$\Delta_s^-(n) = \prod_{m=1}^n m^{-s} h_s(m) = n!^{-s} \prod_{m=1}^n h_s(m),$$

where $h_s(m) = \sum_{d|m} \mu(d)d^s$. Since h_s is multiplicative, we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_s(m) &= \prod_{p^a || m} h_s(p^a) = \prod_{p^a || m} (1 - p^s) = \prod_{p|m} (1 - p^s) \\ &= (-1)^{\omega(m)} \prod_{p|m} (p^s - 1) = (-1)^{\omega(m)} \kappa(m)^s \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega(m)$ counts the number of distinct prime factors of m , and $\kappa(m)$ denotes the product of distinct prime factors of m . Thus,

$$\Delta_s^-(n) = (-1)^{\sum_{m=1}^n \omega(m)} n!^{-s} \left(\prod_{m=1}^n \kappa(m) \right)^s \prod_{m=1}^n \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right). \tag{8}$$

This relation implies that the sign of $\Delta_s^-(n)$ depends on the value of $\sum_{m=1}^n \omega(m)$, which is independent of s . Moreover, the sign of $\Delta_s^-(n)$ is same as the sign of $(-1)^{\eta_n}$, where

$$\eta_n = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq n \\ \omega(m) \text{ is odd}}} 1.$$

denoting the number of integers $m \in [1, n]$ which have odd number of distinct prime divisors. Furthermore, we conclude from (8) that

$$\log |\Delta_s^-(n)| = -s \log n! + s \sum_{m=1}^n \log \kappa(m) + \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{p|m} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right).$$

To approximate $\sum_{m=1}^n \log \kappa(m)$ we recall the notion of *the index of composition* of n , which is defined by

$$\lambda(n) = \frac{\log n}{\log \kappa(n)},$$

for each integer $n \geq 2$. Note that $\lambda(n)$ ‘‘somehow’’ measures how much the integer $n \geq 2$ is composite! For n square-free it takes the value $\lambda(n) = 1$, and for integers n having square factors in heart, it takes the value $\lambda(n) > 1$. De Koninck and Kátai [1] proved that given any positive integer r , there exist computable constants d_1, \dots, d_r such that

$$v(x) := \sum_{k \leq x} \frac{1}{\lambda(k)} = x + \sum_{j=1}^r d_j \frac{x}{\log^j x} + O \left(\frac{x}{\log^{r+1} x} \right). \tag{9}$$

By using Abel summation we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \log \kappa(k) = \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda(k)} \log k = v(n) \log n - v(2^-) \log 2 - \int_2^n \frac{v(t)}{t} dt.$$

To deal with the last integral, we study the functions $L_j(t)$ defined for each integer $j \geq 1$ by the following anti-derivative

$$L_j(t) := \int \frac{dt}{\log^j t},$$

Note that $L_1(t)$ is the logarithmic integral function, which admits the following expansion

$$L_1(t) = \text{li}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^r (i-1)! \frac{t}{\log^i t} + O\left(\frac{t}{\log^{r+1} t}\right). \tag{10}$$

Integrating by parts gives

$$L_{j-1}(t) = \int \left(\frac{1}{\log^{j-1} t}\right) (dt) = \frac{t}{\log^{j-1} t} + (j-1) \int \frac{dt}{\log^j t}.$$

Hence, for $j \geq 2$ the functions $L_j(t)$ satisfy the recurrence

$$L_j(t) = \frac{1}{j-1} L_{j-1}(t) - \frac{t}{(j-1) \log^{j-1} t}.$$

By repeated using this recurrence we deduce that

$$(j-1)! L_j(t) = \text{li}(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (i-1)! \frac{t}{\log^i t}.$$

Hence, by using the expansion (10), for $1 \leq j \leq r$ we obtain

$$L_j(t) = \sum_{i=j}^r \frac{(i-1)!}{(j-1)! \log^i t} + O\left(\frac{t}{\log^{r+1} t}\right). \tag{11}$$

We deduce from the expansion (9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_2^n \frac{v(t)}{t} dt &= \int_2^n \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^r d_j \frac{1}{\log^j t} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{r+1} t}\right)\right) dt \\ &= n + \sum_{j=1}^r d_j L_j(n) - \left(2 + \sum_{j=1}^r d_j L_j(2)\right) + O\left(\frac{n}{\log^{r+1} n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

With r replaced by $r+1$ in (9), we obtain

$$v(n) \log n = n \log n + d_1 n + \sum_{j=1}^r d_{j+1} \frac{n}{\log^j n} + O\left(\frac{n}{\log^{r+1} n}\right).$$

Combining the above expansions, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \log \kappa(k) = n \log n + (d_1 - 1)n + \sum_{j=1}^r \left(d_{j+1} \frac{n}{\log^j n} - d_j L_j(n)\right) - C_r + O\left(\frac{n}{\log^{r+1} n}\right),$$

where

$$C_r = 2 + v(2^-) \log 2 + \sum_{j=1}^r d_j L_j(2)$$

is a constant depending only on r . Thus, $C_r = O_r(1)$. Moreover, we deduce from the expansion (11) that

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \left(d_{j+1} \frac{n}{\log^j n} - d_j L_j(n) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^r \left(d_{j+1} \frac{n}{\log^j n} - \sum_{i=j}^r d_j \frac{(i-1)!}{(j-1)!} \frac{n}{\log^i n} \right) + O\left(\frac{n}{\log^{r+1} n}\right).$$

Note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \left(d_{j+1} \frac{n}{\log^j n} - \sum_{i=j}^r d_j \frac{(i-1)!}{(j-1)!} \frac{n}{\log^i n} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j \frac{n}{\log^j n} + O\left(\frac{n}{\log^{r+1} n}\right),$$

where c_j s are computable constants in terms of d_j s. Thus, letting $c_0 = d_1 - 1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{m=1}^n \log \kappa(m) = n \log n + c_0 n + \sum_{j=1}^r c_j \frac{n}{\log^j n} + O\left(\frac{n}{\log^{r+1} n}\right).$$

To compute the precise value of c_0 we write

$$\sum_{m=1}^n \log \kappa(m) = \sum_{m=1}^n \log \prod_{p|m} p = \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{p|m} \log p = \sum_{p \leq n} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor \log p = n \mathcal{M}(n) - \mathcal{R}(n), \tag{12}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}(n) := \sum_{p \leq n} \frac{\log p}{p},$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}(n) := \sum_{p \leq n} \left\{ \frac{n}{p} \right\} \log p.$$

It is known due to Landau [5, p. 198] that

$$\mathcal{M}(n) = \log n + E + O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right), \tag{13}$$

where E is the constant given by (4). To estimate $\mathcal{R}(n)$ we let

$$\mathcal{S}(n) = \sum_{p \leq n} \left\{ \frac{n}{p} \right\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}(n) = \sum_{p^\alpha \leq n} \left\{ \frac{n}{p^\alpha} \right\}.$$

It is known due to Lee [6] that

$$\mathcal{L}(n) = (1 - \gamma) \frac{n}{\log n} + O\left(\frac{n}{\log^2 n}\right).$$

We observe that although the summation $\mathcal{L}(n)$ has the summation $\mathcal{S}(n)$ in heart, but their difference is not too large in comparison the true size of $\mathcal{L}(n)$. More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(n) - \mathcal{S}(n) &= \sum_{\substack{p^\alpha \leq n \\ \alpha \geq 2}} \left\{ \frac{n}{p^\alpha} \right\} < \sum_{\substack{p^\alpha \leq n \\ \alpha \geq 2}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{p \leq n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ \alpha \geq 2}} 1 = \sum_{2 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\log n}{\log 2}} \pi(n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \\ &\ll \sum_{2 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\log n}{\log 2}} \frac{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{\log n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \leq \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log n} \sum_{2 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\log n}{\log 2}} \alpha \ll \sqrt{n} \log n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi(t)$ denotes the number of primes p not exceeding t , and we use the simple estimate $\pi(t) \ll \frac{t}{\log t}$ in the above argument. Hence,

$$\mathcal{S}(n) = (1 - \gamma) \frac{n}{\log n} + O\left(\frac{n}{\log^2 n}\right). \quad (14)$$

Let $\varpi(k)$ to be 1 when k is prime and 0 otherwise. By using Abel summation we get

$$\mathcal{R}(n) = \sum_{k=2}^n \left\{ \frac{n}{k} \right\} \varpi(k) \log k = \mathcal{S}(n) \log n - \mathcal{S}(2^-) \log 2 - \int_2^n \frac{\mathcal{F}_n(t)}{t} dt,$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_n(t) = \sum_{p \leq t} \left\{ \frac{n}{p} \right\}.$$

Since $0 \leq \mathcal{F}_n(t) \leq \pi(t) \ll \frac{t}{\log t}$, by using the approximation (14) we deduce that

$$\mathcal{R}(n) = (1 - \gamma)n + O\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right) - \int_2^n O\left(\frac{t}{\log t}\right) \frac{dt}{t} = (1 - \gamma)n + O\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right).$$

Thus, by substituting (13) and the last approximation in (12) we obtain the truncated approximation

$$\sum_{m=1}^n \log \kappa(m) = n \log n + (\gamma + E - 1)n + O\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right),$$

implying that $c_0 = \gamma + E - 1$. Hence, given any positive integer r , there exist computable constants c_1, \dots, c_r such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^n \log \kappa(m) = n \log n + (\gamma + E - 1)n + \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{c_j n}{\log^j n} + O\left(\frac{n}{\log^{r+1} n}\right).$$

By using this approximation and the relations (6) and (7) we conclude the proof. ■

References

- [1] J. M. De Koninck and I. Kátai, On the mean value of the index of composition of an integer, *Monatsh. Math.*, 145(2005), 131–144.
- [2] L. E. Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers*, Vol. I, AMS Chelsea Publishing, 2002.
- [3] M. Hassani and M. Esfandiari, On the geometric mean of the values of positive multiplicative arithmetical functions, *Commun. Math.*, to appear.
- [4] P. Haukkanen, J. Wang and J. Sillanpää, On Smith's determinant, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 258(1997), 251–269.
- [5] E. Landau, *Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, 1974.
- [6] J. Lee, The second central moment of additive functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 114(1992), 887–895.
- [7] F. W. J. Olver, *Asymptotics and special functions*, Academic Press, 1974.
- [8] H. J. S. Smith, On the value of a certain arithmetical determinant, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 7(1875/76), 208–212.