# Extended Quasi *b*-Metric-Like Spaces And Some Fixed Point Theorems For Contractive Mappings<sup>\*</sup>

Hüseyin Işık<sup>†</sup>, Babak Mohammadi<sup>‡</sup>, Vahid Parvaneh<sup>§</sup>, Choonkil Park<sup>¶</sup>

Received 14 November 2019

#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the structure of extended quasi *b*-metric like spaces as a generalization of both quasi metric like spaces and quasi *b*-metric like spaces. Also, we present the notion of JSR-contractive mappings in the setup of extended quasi *b*-metric like spaces and investigate the existence of fixed point for such mappings. We also provide examples to illustrate the results presented herein.

# 1 Introduction

Because of the importance of the concept of a distance between two abstract objects of an underlying universe, there are several generalizations of the notion of a distance function defined on a nonempty set. Some of the most important generalizations of metric space are b-metric space in [3] (see also [4]), partial metric space in [9], metric-like space in [2], dislocated metric space in [5], b-metric-like space in [1] (see also [6]), etc.

An extended *b*-metric or *p*-metric was introduced by Parvaneh and Ghoncheh [10] which is an extension of the concept of a *b*-metric. Subsequently, Parvaneh and Kadelburg [11] extended this concept to a partial *p*-metric space. The notion of a *p*-metric-like space was then introduced in [12].

Introducing the concept of a quasi *b*-metric, Chen *et al.* [15] generalized the concepts of quasi *b*-metric and *b*-metric-like spaces. In this paper, we introduce the notion of a quasi *p*-metric-like space to generalize and unify all the concepts mentioned above. We also obtain the existence of fixed point of JSR-contractive type mappings in such spaces. Our results generalize and improve the main results in [12].

# 2 Mathematical Background

Let  $\Upsilon = \{\Omega : \mathbb{R}^+_0 \to \mathbb{R}^+_0 : \Omega \text{ is a strictly increasing continuous function satisfying } \Omega^{-1}(t) \le t \le \Omega(t)\}.$ 

**Definition 1 ([10])** Let  $\Lambda$  be a nonempty set. A function  $\widetilde{d} : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^+_0$  is said to be an extended b-metric or a p-metric if there exists  $\Omega \in \Upsilon$  such that for any  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \Lambda$ , the following conditions hold:

 $(p_1) \ \widetilde{d}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = 0 \ iff \ \eta_1 = \eta_2,$ 

 $(p_2) \ \widetilde{d}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \widetilde{d}(\eta_2, \eta_1),$ 

 $(p_3) \ \widetilde{d}(\eta_1,\eta_3) \leq \Omega(\widetilde{d}(\eta_1,\eta_2) + \widetilde{d}(\eta_2,\eta_3)).$ 

The pair  $(\Lambda, \widetilde{d})$  is called an extended b-metric space or a p-metric space.

<sup>\*</sup>Mathematics Subject Classifications: 47H10, 54H25.

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger \mathrm{Department}$  of Mathematics, Muş Alparslan University, 49250 Muş, Turkey

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, Marand Branch, Islamic Azad University, Marand, Iran

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>Department of Mathematics, Gilan-E-Gharb Branch, Islamic Azad University, Gilan-E-Gharb, Iran

Research Institute for Natural Sciences, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, Korea

Note that the class of *p*-metric spaces is considerably larger than the class of *b*-metric spaces. Indeed, if we define  $\Omega(t) = st$ ,  $s \ge 1$ , then a *p*-metric becomes a *b*-metric. Also, if  $\Omega(t) = t$  then a *p*-metric is a metric.

**Definition 2** ([11]) Let  $\Lambda$  be a nonempty set and  $\Omega \in \Upsilon$ . A function  $p_p : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^+_0$  is called an extended partial *b*-metric, or a partial *p*-metric if for any  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \Lambda$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(p_p1) \ \eta_1 = \eta_2 \Longleftrightarrow p_p(\eta_1, \eta_1) = p_p(\eta_1, \eta_2) = p_p(\eta_2, \eta_2),$$

$$(p_p 2) \ p_p(\eta_1, \eta_1) \le p_p(\eta_1, \eta_2),$$

 $(p_p3) \ p_p(\eta_1,\eta_2) = p_p(\eta_2,\eta_1),$ 

 $(p_p4) \ p_p(\eta_1,\eta_2) - p_p(\eta_1,\eta_1) \leq \Omega(p_p(\eta_1,\eta_3) + p_p(\eta_3,\eta_2) - p_p(\eta_3,\eta_3) - p_p(\eta_1,\eta_1)).$ 

The pair  $(\Lambda, p_p)$  is called a partial p-metric space, or an extended partial b-metric space.

**Definition 3** ([2]) Let  $\Lambda$  be a nonempty set. A function  $\sigma : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^+_0$  is said to be a metric-like on  $\Lambda$  if for any  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \Lambda$ , the following conditions hold:

 $(\sigma 1) \ \sigma(\eta_1, \eta_2) = 0 \ implies \ \eta_1 = \eta_2,$ 

$$(\sigma 2) \ \sigma(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \sigma(\eta_2, \eta_1),$$

 $(\sigma 3) \ \sigma(\eta_1, \eta_2) \le \sigma(\eta_1, \eta_3) + \sigma(\eta_3, \eta_2).$ 

The pair  $(\Lambda, \sigma)$  is called a metric-like space.

Every metric space is a metric-like space. Following are some examples of metric-like spaces.

**Example 1** ([14]) Let  $b \in \Lambda = \mathbb{R}$  and  $a \ge 0$ . The mapping  $\sigma_i : \Lambda \times \Lambda \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+_0$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(\eta_1, \eta_2) &= |\eta_1| + |\eta_2| + a, \\ \sigma_2(\eta_1, \eta_2) &= |\eta_1 - b| + |\eta_2 - b|, \\ \sigma_3(\eta_1, \eta_2) &= \eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

are some examples of metric-like on  $\Lambda$ .

**Definition 4** ([1]) Let  $\Lambda$  be a nonempty set and  $s \ge 1$  be a given real number. A function  $\sigma_b : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^+_0$  is said to be a b-metric-like if for any  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \Lambda$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

 $(\sigma_b 1) \ \sigma_b(\eta_1, \eta_2) = 0 \ implies \ \eta_1 = \eta_2,$ 

$$(\sigma_b 2) \ \sigma_b(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \sigma_b(\eta_2, \eta_1),$$

 $(\sigma_b 3) \ \sigma_b(\eta_1, \eta_2) \le s[\sigma_b(\eta_1, \eta_3) + \sigma_b(\eta_3, \eta_2)].$ 

The pair  $(\Lambda, \sigma_b)$  is called a b-metric-like space with parameter s.

**Definition 5** ([15]) Let  $\Lambda$  be a nonempty set and  $s \geq 1$  be a given real number. A function  $\sigma_{qb} : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$  is called a quasi b-metric-like if for any  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \Lambda$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(\sigma_{qb}1) \ \sigma_{qb}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = 0 \ implies \ \eta_1 = \eta_2,$$

 $(\sigma_{qb}2) \ \sigma_{qb}(\eta_1,\eta_2) \le s[\sigma_{qb}(\eta_1,\eta_3) + \sigma_{qb}(\eta_3,\eta_2)].$ 

The pair  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{ab})$  is called a quasi b-metric-like space with parameter s.

**Definition 6 ([12])** Let  $\Lambda$  be a nonempty set and  $\Omega \in \Upsilon$ . A function  $\sigma_p : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^+_0$  is called a *p*-metric-like if for any  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \Lambda$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

 $(\sigma_p 1) \ \sigma_p(\eta_1, \eta_2) = 0$  implies that  $\eta_1 = \eta_2$ ,

 $(\sigma_p 2) \ \sigma_p(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \sigma_p(\eta_2, \eta_1),$ 

 $(\sigma_p 3) \ \sigma_p(\eta_1, \eta_2) \le \Omega[\sigma_p(\eta_1, \eta_3) + \sigma_p(\eta_3, \eta_2)].$ 

The pair  $(\Lambda, \sigma_p)$  is called a p-metric-like space or an extended b-metric-like space.

**Definition 7** Let  $\Lambda$  be a nonempty set and  $\Omega \in \Upsilon$ . A function  $\sigma_{qp} : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^+_0$  is called quasi p-metric-like if for any  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \Lambda$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

 $(\sigma_{qp}1) \ \sigma_{qp}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = 0 \ implies \ \eta_1 = \eta_2,$ 

 $(\sigma_{qp}2) \ \sigma_{qp}(\eta_1, \eta_2) \le \Omega[\sigma_{qp}(\eta_1, \eta_3) + \sigma_{qp}(\eta_3, \eta_2)].$ 

The pair  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qp})$  is called a quasi p-metric-like space.

Note that every metric-like space is a *p*-metric-like space, every *p*-metric space is also a *p*-metric-like space and every *p*-metric like space is also a quasi *p*-metric-like space. However, the reverse implications do not hold in general.

**Definition 8** Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qp})$  be a quasi p-metric-like space (QPMLS) and  $\eta \in \Lambda$ . A sequence  $\{\eta_n\}$  in  $\Lambda$  is said to be:

(i)  $\sigma_{qp}$ -convergent to  $\eta$ , if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \eta) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_{qp}(\eta, \eta_n) = \sigma_{qp}(\eta, \eta).$$

(ii) a right  $\sigma_{qp}$ -Cauchy sequence in  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qp})$  if  $\lim_{n > m \to \infty} \sigma_{qp}(\eta_m, \eta_n)$  exists and is finite.

(iii) a left  $\sigma_{qp}$ -Cauchy sequence in  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qp})$  if  $\lim_{m > n \to \infty} \sigma_{qp}(\eta_m, \eta_n)$  exists and is finite.

**Definition 9** Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qp})$  be a quasi p-metric-like space (QPMLS) and  $\alpha : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^+_0$ . Then  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qp})$  is said to be

(i) right  $\alpha$ -complete quasi p-metric-like space if for every right  $\sigma_{qp}$ -Cauchy sequence  $\{\eta_n\}$  in  $\Lambda$  with  $\alpha(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1}) \geq 1$ , there exists  $\eta \in \Lambda$  such that

$$\lim_{n>m\to\infty}\sigma_p(\eta_m,\eta_n)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\sigma_p(\eta_n,\eta)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\sigma_p(\eta,\eta_n)=\sigma_p(\eta,\eta),$$

(ii) left  $\alpha$ -complete quasi p-metric-like space if for every left  $\sigma_{qp}$ -Cauchy sequence  $\{\eta_n\}$  in  $\Lambda$  with  $\alpha(\eta_{n+1}, \eta_n) \geq 1$  there exists  $\eta \in \Lambda$  such that

$$\lim_{m > n \to \infty} \sigma_p(\eta_m, \eta_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_p(\eta_n, \eta) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_p(\eta, \eta_n) = \sigma_p(\eta, \eta).$$

Here, we present an example to show that a QPML is not QbML in general.

**Example 2** Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qb})$  be a QbMS (with parameter s) and  $\rho(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \sinh[\sigma_{qb}(\eta_1, \eta_2)]$ . We show that  $\rho$  is a QPML with  $\Omega(t) = \sinh(st)$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . Obviously, condition  $(\sigma_{qp}1)$  of Definition 7 is satisfied. For each  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \Lambda$ , we have

$$\rho(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \sinh(\sigma_{qb}(\eta_1, \eta_2)) \le \sinh(s \cdot \sinh(\sigma_{qb}(\eta_1, \eta_2)) + s \cdot \sinh(\sigma_{qb}(\eta_1, \eta_2)))$$
  
=  $\sinh(s \cdot \rho(\eta_1, \eta_3) + s \cdot \rho(\eta_3, \eta_2))$   
=  $\Omega(\rho(\eta_1, \eta_3) + \rho(\eta_3, \eta_2)).$ 

Thus, condition  $(\sigma_{qp}2)$  of Definition 7 is satisfied and hence  $\rho$  is a QPML. Note that  $\sinh[|\eta_1 - \eta_2| + |\eta_1|]$  is not a QML on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \sinh[|5-0|+5] &= 11013.2328747 \\ &\nleq 548.316123273 + 201.71315737 \\ &= \sinh[2+5] + \sinh[3+3]. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$d(\eta_1, \eta_2) = (\eta_1 - \eta_2)^2 + \eta_1^2$$

is a QbML on  $\mathbb{R}$  with s = 2. There is no  $s \ge 1$  such that  $\rho(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \sinh[(\eta_1 - \eta_2)^2 + \eta_1^2]$  is a QbML with parameter s. Indeed, for y = 0 and  $\eta_3 = 1$  (with arbitrary  $\eta_1$ )

$$\sinh 2\eta_1^2 \le s(\sinh[(\eta_1 - 1)^2 + \eta_1^2] + \sinh 2)$$

which does not hold for any fixed s and  $\eta_1$  sufficiently large.

In general, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1** Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qb})$  be a QbML with coefficient  $s \ge 1$  and  $\rho(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \xi(d(\eta_1, \eta_2))$ , where  $\xi : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$  is a strictly increasing function with  $\eta_1 \le \xi(\eta_1)$  and  $0 = \xi(0)$ . We show that  $\rho$  is a QPML with  $\Omega(t) = \xi(s \cdot t)$ . For each  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \Lambda$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \rho(\eta_1, \eta_2) &= \xi(\sigma_{qb}(\eta_1, \eta_2)) \leq \xi(s[\sigma_{qb}(\eta_1, \eta_3) + \sigma_{qb}(\eta_3, \eta_2)]) \\ &\leq \xi(s[\xi(\sigma_{qb}(\eta_1, \eta_3)) + \xi(\sigma_{qb}(\eta_3, \eta_2)]) \\ &= \Omega(s[\rho(\eta_1, \eta_3) + \rho(\eta_3, \eta_2)]). \end{split}$$

So,  $\rho$  is a QPML.

With the help of the above proposition, we construct the following example:

**Example 3** Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qb})$  be a QbML and  $\rho(\eta_1, \eta_2) = e^{\sigma_{qb}(\eta_1, \eta_2)} \sec^{-1}(e^{\sigma_{qb}(\eta_1, \eta_2)})$ . Then  $\rho$  is a QPML with  $\Omega(t) = e^{s \cdot t} \sec^{-1}(e^{s \cdot t})$ , where s is the parameter of QbML space  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qb})$ .

The concept of  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping was introduced by Samet et al. in 2012.

**Definition 10 ([13])** Let  $\Lambda$  be a non-empty set and  $\Gamma : \Lambda \to \Lambda$  and  $\alpha : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^+_0$  be given mappings.  $\Gamma$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -admissible, if for all  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \Lambda$ ,  $\alpha(\eta_1, \eta_2) \geq 1$  implies that  $\alpha(\Gamma\eta_1, \Gamma\eta_2) \geq 1$ .

**Definition 11 ([8])** A map  $\Gamma : \Lambda \to \Lambda$  is said to be triangular  $\alpha$ -admissible, if

( $\Gamma$ 1)  $\Gamma$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible,

 $(\Gamma 2) \ \alpha(\eta_1,\eta_2) \geq 1 \ and \ \alpha(\eta_2,\eta_3) \geq 1 \ imply \ \alpha(\eta_1,\eta_3) \geq 1 \ for \ all \ \eta_1,\eta_2,\eta_3 \in \Lambda.$ 

### 3 Main Results

Motivated by the work in [7],  $\Delta_{\theta}$  denotes the set of all functions  $\theta : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \to [1, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- $(\theta 1) \ \theta$  is strictly increasing;
- $(\theta 2) \ \theta$  is continuous;
- ( $\theta$ 3) for each sequence { $t_n$ }  $\subseteq (0, \infty)$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \theta(t_n) = 1$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = 0$ .

**Definition 12** Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qp})$  be a quasi p-metric-like space (QPMLS), and  $\alpha : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ . A self mapping  $\Gamma$  on  $\Lambda$  is said to be a right Jleli-Samet-Reich (JSR) contraction, if for any  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \Lambda$  with  $1 \leq \alpha(\eta_1, \eta_2)$  and  $\Gamma\eta_1 \neq \Gamma\eta_2$ , we have

$$\theta(\Omega^2(\sigma_{qp}(\Gamma\eta_1,\Gamma\eta_2))) \le [\theta(\lambda_1\sigma_{qp}(\eta_1,\eta_2) + \lambda_2\sigma_{qp}(\eta_1,\Gamma\eta_1) + \lambda_3\sigma_{qp}(\eta_2,\Gamma\eta_2)]^{\lambda}, \tag{1}$$

where  $\theta \in \Delta_{\theta}$ ,  $\lambda, \lambda_i \in [0, 1)$  and  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 < 1$ .

**Theorem 1** Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qp})$  be a right  $\alpha$ -complete QPMLS. Suppose that  $\Gamma : \Lambda \to \Lambda$  is continuous triangular  $\alpha$ -admissible and a right JSR-contraction. If there exists  $\eta_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $\alpha(\eta_0, \Gamma \eta_0) \geq 1$ , then  $\Gamma$  has a fixed point.

**Proof.** Let  $\{\eta_n\}$  be the sequence generated by Picard iterative algorithm starting with a given point  $\eta_0$ , that is,  $\eta_n = \Gamma^n \eta_0 = \Gamma \eta_{n-1}$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is an  $\alpha$ -admissible mapping and  $\alpha(\eta_0, \Gamma \eta_0) = \alpha(\eta_0, \eta_1) \ge 1$ , therefore  $\alpha(\Gamma \eta_0, \Gamma \eta_1) = \alpha(\eta_1, \eta_2) \ge 1$ . Continuing this process, we have  $\alpha(\eta_{n-1}, \eta_n) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\eta_{n_0} = \eta_{n_0+1}$ , then  $\eta_{n_0}$  is a fixed point of  $\Gamma$  and hence the result has been obtained.

Now, we assume that  $\eta_n \neq \eta_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $\sigma_{qp}(\Gamma \eta_{n-1}, \Gamma \eta_n) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is a right JSR-contraction, it follows that

$$\theta \left( \sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1}) \right) = \theta \left( \sigma_{qp}(\Gamma \eta_{n-1}, \Gamma \eta_n) \right)$$

$$\leq \theta \left( \lambda_1 \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{n-1}, \eta_n) + \lambda_2 \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{n-1}, \Gamma \eta_{n-1}) + \lambda_3 \sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \Gamma \eta_n) \right)^{\lambda}$$

$$= \theta \left( \lambda_1 \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{n-1}, \eta_n) + \lambda_2 \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{n-1}, \eta_n) + \lambda_3 \sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1}) \right)^{\lambda}.$$

$$(2)$$

As  $\theta$  is strictly increasing and  $\lambda < 1$ , we obtain that

$$\sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1}) \leq \lambda_1 \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{n-1}, \eta_n) + \lambda_2 \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{n-1}, \eta_n) + \lambda_3 \sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1}).$$

If there exists n > 0 such that  $\sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \eta_{n-1}) \leq \sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1}) &\leq \lambda_1 \sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1}) + \lambda_2 \sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1}) + \lambda_3 \sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1}), \\ \sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1}) &> 0 \text{ and } \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 < 1, \end{aligned}$$

imply that  $\sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1}) < \sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1})$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $\{\sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1})\}$  is a decreasing and bounded below sequence. Consequently, there exists  $r \ge 0$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1}) = r$ . From (2), we have

$$\theta \big( \sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1}) \big) \le \theta \big( \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{n-1}, \eta_n) \big)^{\lambda} \le \theta \big( \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{n-2}, \eta_{n-1}) \big)^{\lambda^2}$$

Thus,

$$1 \le \theta \left( \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{n-1}, \eta_n) \right)^{\lambda} \le \theta \left( \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{n-2}, \eta_{n-1}) \right)^{\lambda^2} \le \dots \le \theta \left( \sigma_{qp}(\eta_0, \eta_1) \right)^{\lambda^n}.$$
(3)

On taking limit as  $n \to \infty$  on both sides of (3), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \theta \left( \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{n-1}, \eta_n) \right) = 1,$$

which further implies that

$$r = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{n-1}, \eta_n) = 0. \tag{4}$$

Now, we show that  $\{\eta_n\}$  is a right  $\sigma_{qp}$ -Cauchy sequence in  $\Lambda$ . That is,  $\lim_{n>m\to\infty}\sigma_{qp}(\eta_m,\eta_n)=0$ . If not, then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that we may find two subsequences  $\{\eta_{m_k}\}$  and  $\{\eta_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{\eta_n\}$  with  $n_k$  the smallest index for which  $n_k > m_k > k$  and

$$\sigma_{qp}(\eta_{m_k}, \eta_{n_k}) \ge \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{m_k}, \eta_{n_{k-1}}) < \varepsilon.$$
(5)

From (5), we obtain that

$$\varepsilon \leq \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{m_k}, \eta_{n_k}) \leq \Omega[\sigma_{qp}(\eta_{m_k}, \eta_{m_k+1}) + \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{m_k+1}, \eta_{n_k})].$$

On taking the upper limit as  $k \to \infty$ , we get

$$\Omega^{-1}(\varepsilon) \le \limsup_{k \to \infty} \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{m_k+1}, \eta_{n_k}).$$
(6)

Also,

$$\sigma_{qp}(\eta_{m_k}, \eta_{n_k}) \le \Omega[\sigma_{qp}(\eta_{m_k}, \eta_{n_k-1}) + \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{n_k-1}, \eta_{n_k})]$$

From (4) and (5), we have

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{m_k}, \eta_{n_k}) \le \Omega(\varepsilon).$$
(7)

As  $\alpha(\eta_{m_k}, \eta_{n_k}) \geq 1$ , so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \Big( \Omega^2(\sigma_{qp}(\eta_{m_k+1},\eta_{n_k})) \Big) &= \theta \Big( \Omega^2(\sigma_{qp}(\Gamma\eta_{m_k},\Gamma\eta_{n_k-1})) \Big) \\ &\leq \theta \Big( \lambda_1 \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{m_k},\eta_{n_k-1}) + \lambda_2 \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{m_k},\eta_{m_k+1}) + \lambda_3 \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{n_k-1},\eta_{n_k}))^{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

On taking the upper limit as  $k \to \infty$  on both sides of the above inequality, we have

$$1 < \theta(\Omega(\varepsilon)) = \theta(\Omega^{2}(\Omega^{-1}(\varepsilon)))$$

$$\leq \theta(\Omega^{2}(\limsup_{i \to \infty} \sigma_{qp}(\eta_{m_{k}+1}, \eta_{n_{k}}))))$$

$$\leq \theta(\limsup_{i \to \infty} [\lambda_{1}\sigma_{qp}(\eta_{m_{k}}, \eta_{n_{k}-1}) + \lambda_{2}\sigma_{qp}(\eta_{m_{k}}, \eta_{m_{k}+1}) + \lambda_{3}\sigma_{qp}(\eta_{n_{k}-1}, \eta_{n_{k}})])^{\lambda}$$

$$\leq \theta(\lambda_{1}\Omega(\varepsilon))^{\lambda}$$

$$< \theta(\Omega(\varepsilon))^{\lambda},$$

which is a contradiction. Hence,  $\{\eta_n\}$  is a right  $\sigma_{qp}$ -Cauchy sequence in the (QPMLS)  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qp})$ . Since  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qp})$  is right  $\sigma_{qp}$ -complete, the sequence  $\{\eta_n\}$   $\sigma_{qp}$ -converges to some  $\rho \in \Lambda$ , that is,

$$\lim_{n>m\to\infty}\sigma_{qp}(\eta_m,\eta_n)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\sigma_{qp}(\eta_n,\varrho)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\sigma_{qp}(\varrho,\eta_n)=\sigma_{qp}(\varrho,\varrho)=0.$$

As  $\Gamma$  is continuous,  $\eta_{n+1} = \Gamma \eta_n \to \Gamma \rho$  when  $n \to \infty$ . Thus

$$\sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma \varrho) \leq \Omega(\sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma \eta_n) + \sigma_{qp}(\Gamma \eta_n, \Gamma \varrho)).$$

On taking limit as  $n \to \infty$  on both sides of the above inequality, we obtain that

$$\sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma \varrho) \leq \Omega(\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma \eta_n) + \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_{qp}(\Gamma \eta_n, \Gamma \varrho)) = \Omega(\sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \varrho) + \sigma_{qp}(\Gamma \varrho, \Gamma \varrho)).$$

From (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma\varrho)) &\leq \theta(\Omega[\sigma_{qp}(\Gamma\varrho, \Gamma\varrho)]) \leq \theta(\Omega^{2}[\sigma_{p}(\Gamma\varrho, \Gamma\varrho)]) \\ &\leq \theta(\lambda_{1}\sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \varrho) + \lambda_{2}\sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma\varrho) + \lambda_{3}\sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma\varrho)) \\ &\leq \theta(\lambda_{2}\sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma\varrho) + \lambda_{3}\sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma\varrho))^{\lambda} \\ &\leq \theta(\sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma\varrho))^{\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

which is not impossible unless we have  $\Gamma \rho = \rho$ .

In the following theorem, we omit the continuity of the mapping  $\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 2** Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qp})$  be a right  $\alpha$ -complete (QPMLS). Suppose that  $\Gamma : \Lambda \to \Lambda$  is a triangular  $\alpha$ -admissible and a right JSR-contraction. If there exists  $\eta_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $\alpha(\eta_0, \Gamma\eta_0) \geq 1$ , then,  $\Gamma$  has a fixed point provided that for any  $\{\eta_n\}$  in  $\Lambda$  with  $\alpha(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1}) \geq 1$  and  $\eta_n \to \eta$  as  $n \to \infty$ , we have  $1 \leq \alpha(\eta_n, \eta)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** Following arguments similar to those in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain a sequence  $\{\eta_n\}$  such that

$$\alpha(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1}) \ge 1$$
 and  $\eta_n \to \varrho$  as  $n \to \infty$ ,

where  $\eta_{n+1} = \Gamma \eta_n$  and  $\sigma_p(\varrho, \varrho) = 0$ . By given assumption, we have  $1 \leq \alpha(\eta_n, \varrho)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $\sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma \varrho) > 0$ . Note that

$$\Omega^{-1}(\sigma_p(\varrho, \Gamma \varrho)) \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sigma_{qp}(\Gamma \eta_n, \Gamma \varrho)$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sigma_p(\eta_n, \Gamma \varrho) \le \Omega(\sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma \varrho))$$

Now, from (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma \varrho)) &\leq \theta(\Omega(\Omega^{-1}(\sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma \varrho)))) \leq \theta(\Omega(\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sigma_{qp}(\Gamma \eta_n, \Gamma \varrho))) \\ &\leq \left[\limsup_{n \to \infty} \theta(\lambda_1 \sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \varrho) + \lambda_2 \sigma_{qp}(\eta_n, \Gamma \eta_n) + \lambda_3 \sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma \varrho)]^{\lambda} \\ &\leq \left[\theta(\lambda_3 \sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma \varrho))\right]^{\lambda} \\ &< \left[\theta(\sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma \varrho))\right]^{\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Thus  $\sigma_{qp}(\varrho, \Gamma \varrho) = 0$ .

**Example 4** Let  $\Lambda = [0, 1]$ . Define the mapping  $\sigma_{qp} : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^+_0$  by

$$\sigma_{qp}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = e^{[\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2]^2 + \eta_1^2} - 1.$$

Define  $\alpha : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^+_0$  by

$$\alpha(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \eta_1 \ge \eta_2, \\ \frac{1}{9}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qp})$  is a right  $\alpha$ -complete (QPMLS) with  $\Omega(t) = e^t - 1$ . Let  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $\theta(t) = e^{te^t}$ . Define  $\Gamma : \Lambda \to \Lambda$  by

$$\Gamma \eta_1 = \ln(1 + \frac{\eta_1}{16}).$$

Note that  $\Gamma$  is an  $\alpha$ -admissible and continuous self map on  $\Lambda$  and  $\alpha(1,\Gamma 1) \geq 1$ . Also, we have

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{qp}(\Gamma\eta_1,\Gamma\eta_2) &= e^{[(\Gamma\eta_1)^2 + (\Gamma\eta_2)^2]^2 + (\Gamma\eta_1)^2} - 1 \\ &= e^{\left[\left(\ln(1+\frac{\eta_1}{16})\right)^2 + \left(\ln(1+\frac{\eta_2}{16})\right)^2\right]^2 + \left(\ln(1+\frac{\eta_1}{16})\right)^2} - 1 \\ &\leq e^{\left[\left(\frac{\eta_1}{16}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\eta_2}{16}\right)^2\right]^2 + \left(\frac{\eta_1}{16}\right)^2} - 1 \\ &\leq e^{\frac{1}{256}\left([\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2]^2 + \eta_1^2\right)} - 1 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{256}\sigma_{qp}(\eta_1,\eta_2). \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\Omega^{2}[\sigma_{qp}(\Gamma\eta_{1},\Gamma\eta_{2})] = e^{e^{([(\Gamma\eta_{1})^{2} + (\Gamma\eta_{2})^{2}]^{2} + (\Gamma\eta_{1})^{2})} - 1} - 1 \le e^{\frac{1}{256}\sigma_{qp}(\eta_{1},\eta_{2})} - 1 \le \frac{1}{256}\sigma_{qp}(\eta_{1},\eta_{2}),$$

 $and\ so$ 

$$\begin{split} \theta(\Omega^{2}[\sigma_{qp}(\Gamma\eta_{1},\Gamma\eta_{2})]) &= e^{\Omega^{2}[\sigma_{qp}(\Gamma\eta_{1},\Gamma\eta_{2})]e^{\Omega^{2}[\sigma_{qp}(\Gamma\eta_{1},\Gamma\eta_{2})]}} \\ &\leq e^{\frac{1}{256}\sigma_{qp}(\eta_{1},\eta_{2})e^{\frac{1}{256}\sigma_{qp}(\eta_{1},\eta_{2})}} \\ &\leq \left[e^{\frac{1}{16}\sigma_{p}(\eta_{1},\eta_{2})e^{\frac{1}{16}\sigma_{p}(\eta_{1},\eta_{2})}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \\ &= \left[\theta(\frac{1}{16}\sigma_{qp}(\eta_{1},\eta_{2}))\right]^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \\ &\leq \left[\theta(\lambda_{1}\sigma_{qp}(\eta_{1},\eta_{2}) + \lambda_{2}\sigma_{qp}(\eta_{1},\Gamma\eta_{1}) + \lambda_{3}\Omega^{-1}\sigma_{qp}(\eta_{2},\Gamma\eta_{2})\right]^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}. \end{split}$$

Thus, (1) is satisfied with  $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{16}$  and  $\lambda_i = 0$  for  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . Moreover, 0 is a fixed point of  $\Gamma$ .

Now, we have the following definition.

**Definition 13** Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qp})$  be a (QPMLS) and  $\alpha : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^+_0$  be a given mapping. A mapping  $\Gamma : \Lambda \to \Lambda$  is called left Jleli-Samet-Reich (JSR) contraction, if for any  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \Lambda$  with  $1 \leq \alpha(\eta_1, \eta_2)$  and  $\Gamma \eta_1 \neq \Gamma \eta_2$ , we have

$$\theta(\Omega^2(\sigma_{qp}(\Gamma\eta_1,\Gamma\eta_2))) \le [\theta(\lambda_1\sigma_{qp}(\eta_1,\eta_2) + \lambda_2\sigma_{qp}(\Gamma\eta_1,\eta_1) + \lambda_3\sigma_{qp}(\Gamma\eta_2,\eta_2))]^{\lambda}, \tag{8}$$

where  $\theta \in \Delta_{\theta}$ ,  $\lambda, \lambda_i \in [0, 1)$  and  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 < 1$ .

Following arguments similar to those in Theorems 1 and 2, we have the following theorems.

**Theorem 3** Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qp})$  be a left  $\alpha$ -complete (QPMLS). Suppose that  $\Gamma : \Lambda \to \Lambda$  is a continuous triangular  $\alpha$ -admissible and a left JSR-contraction. If there exists  $\eta_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $\alpha(\eta_0, \Gamma \eta_0) \geq 1$ , then  $\Gamma$  has a fixed point.

**Theorem 4** Let  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qp})$  be a left  $\alpha$ -complete (QPMLS). Suppose that  $\Gamma : \Lambda \to \Lambda$  is a triangular  $\alpha$ -admissible and a left JSR-contraction. If there exists  $\eta_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $\alpha(\eta_0, \Gamma\eta_0) \geq 1$ , then  $\Gamma$  has a fixed point provided that for any  $\{\eta_n\}$  in  $\Lambda$  with  $\alpha(\eta_{n+1}, \eta_n) \geq 1$  and  $\eta_n \to \eta$  as  $n \to \infty$ , we have  $1 \leq \alpha(\eta, \eta_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## 4 Existence of a Solution for an Integral Equation

Consider the following integral equation

$$\eta(t) = \int_{a}^{b} G(t, r) K(t, r, \eta(r)) \, dr, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} = [a, b], \tag{9}$$

where  $K : \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  and G(t, r) is the Green function. The purpose of this section is to present an existence theorem for a solution to (9) that belongs to  $\Lambda := \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R})$  (the set of continuous real functions defined on  $\mathcal{J}$ ), by using the obtained result in Theorem 2.

Let  $\Gamma : \Lambda \to \Lambda$  be the mapping defined by

$$\Gamma\eta(t) = \int_{a}^{b} G(t, r) K(t, r, \eta(r)) \, dr, \tag{10}$$

for all  $\eta \in \Lambda$  and  $t \in \mathcal{J}$ . Then the existence of a solution to (9) is equivalent to the existence of a fixed point of  $\Gamma$ .

Define  $\sigma_{qp} : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^+_0$  by

$$\sigma_{qp}(\eta_1,\eta_2) = \xi(\sigma(\eta_1,\eta_2)) \text{ for all } \eta_1,\eta_2 \in \Lambda,$$

where  $\xi : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$  is a strictly increasing function with  $t \leq \xi(t)$  and  $\xi(0) = 0$ , and

$$\sigma(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} [|\eta_1(t) - \eta_2(t)|^p + |\eta_1(t)|^p].$$

Then  $(\Lambda, \sigma_{qp})$  is a complete quasi p-metric-like space.

Now, we will prove the following result.

**Theorem 5** Suppose that the following hypotheses hold:

- (A)  $K: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous;
- (B) there exists a function  $\mu : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}$  such that if  $\mu(\eta_1, \eta_2) \ge 0$  for all  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \Lambda$ , then we have

$$\begin{split} \xi^2 (\int_a^b [|K_1(t,r,\eta_1(r)) - K_2(t,r,\eta_2(r))| + |K_1(t,r,\eta_1(r))|]^p dr) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\zeta} [\alpha [|\eta_1(r) - \eta_2(r)|^p + |\eta_1(r)|^p] + \beta [|\eta_1(r) - \Gamma \eta_1(r)|^p + |\eta_1(r)|^p] \\ &+ \gamma [|\eta_2(r) - \Gamma \eta_2(r)|^p + |\eta_2(r)|^p]], \end{split}$$

where  $\zeta > 1$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1)$ , with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ ;

- (C) for all  $t \in \mathcal{J}$ , we have  $\left(\int_a^b |G(t,r)|^q dr\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < 1$  (note that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ );
- (D) there exists  $\eta_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $\mu(\eta_0, \Gamma \eta_0) \ge 0$ ;
- (E) for all  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \Lambda$ ,  $\mu(\eta_1, \eta_2) \ge 0$  implies  $\mu(\Gamma\eta_1, \Gamma\eta_2) \ge 0$  and for all  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \Lambda$ ,  $\mu(\eta_1, \eta_2) \ge 0$  and  $\mu(\eta_2, \eta_3) \ge 0$  imply  $\mu(\eta_1, \eta_3) \ge 0$ ;
- (F) if  $\{\eta_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\Lambda$  such that  $\eta_n \to \eta \in \Lambda$  and  $\mu(\eta_n, \eta_{n+1}) \ge 0$  for all n, then  $\mu(\eta_n, \eta) \ge 0$  for all n.

Then, the integral equation (9) has a solution  $\eta \in \Lambda$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \Lambda$  such that  $\mu(\eta_1(t), \eta_2(t)) \geq 0$  and  $\Gamma\eta_1(t) \neq \Gamma\eta_2(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathcal{J}$ . Then since  $\ln \theta(\lambda t) \leq \lambda \ln \theta(t)$ , from (B), we deduce

$$\begin{split} &\ln\theta\big(\xi^{2}(|\Gamma\eta_{1}(t)-\Gamma\eta_{2}(t)|^{p}+|\Gamma\eta_{1}(t)|^{p})\big)\\ &\leq \ln\theta[\xi^{2}(\int_{a}^{b}|G(t,r)|[|K_{1}(t,r,\eta_{1}(r))-K_{2}(t,r,\eta_{2}(r))|+|K_{1}(t,r,\eta_{1}(r))|]dr)^{p}]\\ &\leq \ln\theta[\xi^{2}(\int_{a}^{b}|G(t,r)|^{q}dr)^{\frac{1}{q}}(\int_{a}^{b}[|K_{1}(t,r,\eta_{1}(r))-K_{2}(t,r,\eta_{2}(r))|+|K_{1}(t,r,\eta_{1}(r))|]^{p}dr)^{\frac{1}{p}})^{p}]\\ &\leq \ln\theta[\xi^{2}(\int_{a}^{b}[|K_{1}(t,r,\eta_{1}(r))-K_{2}(t,r,\eta_{2}(r))|+|K_{1}(t,r,\eta_{1}(r))|]^{p}dr)]\\ &\leq \ln\theta[\frac{1}{\zeta}(\alpha[|\eta_{1}(r)-\eta_{2}(r)|^{p}+|\eta_{1}(r)|^{p}]+\beta[|\eta_{1}(r)-\Gamma\eta_{1}(r)|^{p}+|\eta_{1}(r)|^{p}]\\ &+\gamma[|\eta_{2}(r)-\Gamma\eta_{2}(r)|^{p}+|\eta_{2}(r)|^{p}])]\\ &\leq \ln(\theta(\frac{M(\eta_{1},\eta_{2})}{\zeta})))\\ &\leq \frac{1}{\zeta}(\ln(\theta(M(\eta_{1},\eta_{2})))), \end{split}$$

which implies

$$\theta\left(\xi^2(\sigma_{qp}(\Gamma\eta_1,\Gamma\eta_2))\right) \le \left[\theta\left(M(\eta_1,\eta_2)\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{\zeta}},$$

for all  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \Lambda$  with  $\mu(\eta_1, \eta_2) \ge 0$  and  $\Gamma \eta_1 \ne \Gamma \eta_2$ , where

$$M(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \alpha \sigma_{qp}(\eta_1, \eta_2) + \beta \sigma_{qp}(\eta_1, \Gamma \eta_1) + \gamma \sigma_{qp}(\eta_2, \Gamma \eta_2).$$

Define the function  $\alpha : \Lambda \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^+_0$  by

$$\alpha\left(\eta_{1},\eta_{2}\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mu\left(\eta_{1}\left(t\right),\eta_{2}\left(t\right)\right) \geq 0, \ t \in \mathcal{J}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also, putting  $\Omega = \xi$  and  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\zeta}$ , we get

$$\theta \left( \Omega^2(\sigma_{qp}(\Gamma \eta_1, \Gamma \eta_2)) \right) \le \left[ \theta \left( M(\eta_1, \eta_2) \right) \right]^{\lambda}$$

for all  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \Lambda$  with  $\alpha(\eta_1, \eta_2) \ge 1$  and  $\Gamma \eta_1 \neq \Gamma \eta_2$ .

It is easy to show that all the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied and hence the mapping  $\Gamma$  has a fixed point, that is, there exists a solution in  $\Lambda = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R})$  for the integral equation (9).

Acknowledgments. The authors are thankful to the learned referee and editor for their valuable remarks and suggestions to improve this work.

### References

- M. A. Alghamdi, N. Hussain and P. Salimi, Fixed point and coupled fixed point theorems on b-metriclike spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2013, 2013:402.
- [2] A. Amini-Harandi, Metric-like spaces, partial metric spaces and fixed points, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2012, 2012:204.
- [3] I. A. Bakhtin, The contraction principle in quasimetric spaces, Func. An. Ulianowsk Gos. Ped. Ins., 30(1989), 26–37.
- [4] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Acta Math. Inf. Univ. Ostrav., 1(1993), 5–11.
- [5] P. Hitzler and A. K. Seda, Dislocated topologies, J. Electr. Eng., 51(2000), 3–7.
- [6] N. Hussain, J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh and Z. Kadelburg, Fixed Points of Contractive Mappings In b-Metric-Like Spaces, The Sci. World Journal, 2014, Article ID 471827, 15 pages.
- [7] M. Jleli and B. Samet, A new generalization of the Banach contraction principle, J. Inequal. Appl., 2014, 2014:38.
- [8] E. Karapinar, P. Kumam and P. Salimi, On α-ψ-Meir-Keeler contractive mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2013, 2013:94.
- [9] S. G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, N. Y. Acad. Sci., 728(1994), 183–197.
- [10] V. Parvaneh and S.J.H. Ghoncheh, Fixed points of  $(\psi, \varphi)_{\Omega}$ -contractive mappings in ordered *p*-metric spaces, Global Analysis and Discrete Mathematics, Volume 4, Issue 1, 2020, pp. 15–29.
- [11] V. Parvaneh and Z. Kadelburg, Extended partial b-metric spaces and some fixed point results, Filomat, 32(2018), 2837–2850.
- [12] V. Parvaneh and Z. Kadelburg, Fixed points of JSHR-contractive type mappings in extended b-metriclike spaces, Vietnam J. Math., 47(2019), 387–401.

- [13] B. Samet, C. Vetro and P. Vetro, Fixed point theorems for  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive type mappings, Nonlinear Anal., 75(2012), 2154–2165.
- [14] N. Shobkolaei, S. Sedghi, J. R. Roshan, and N. Hussain, Suzuki type fixed point results in metric-like spaces, J. Function Spaces Appl., 2013, Article ID 143686, 9 pages.
- [15] C. Zhu, C. Chen and X. Zhang, Some results in quasi-b-metric-like space, J. Inequal. Appl., 2014, 2014:437.