

Generalized Quasi Power Increasing Sequences*

Hikmet Seyhan Özarslan†

Received 16 February 2018

Abstract

In this paper, we prove a theorem dealing with $|A, p_n|_k$ summability method of infinite series by using the concept of quasi β -power increasing sequence instead of almost increasing sequence.

1 Introduction

A positive sequence (b_n) is said to be almost increasing if there exists a positive increasing sequence (c_n) and two positive constants A and B such that $Ac_n \leq b_n \leq Bc_n$ (see [1]). Obviously, every increasing sequence is almost increasing. However, the converse need not be true as can be seen by taking an example, say, $b_n = ne^{(-1)^n}$. A positive sequence (γ_n) is said to be a quasi β -power increasing sequence if there exists a constant $K = K(\beta, \gamma) \geq 1$ such that $Kn^\beta \gamma_n \geq m^\beta \gamma_m$ holds for all $n \geq m \geq 1$ (see [8]). It should be noted that every almost increasing sequence is quasi β -power increasing for any nonnegative β , but the converse need not be true as can be seen by taking the example, say $\gamma_n = n^{-\beta}$ for $\beta > 0$. A sequence (λ_n) is said to be of bounded variation, denoted by $(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}$, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta \lambda_n| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}| < \infty$. Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with the partial sums (s_n) . By (u_n) and (t_n) we denote the n -th $(C, 1)$ mean of the sequences (s_n) and (na_n) , respectively. The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|C, 1|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if (see [5, 7])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |u_n - u_{n-1}|^k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |t_n|^k < \infty.$$

Let (p_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } (n \rightarrow \infty), \quad (P_{-i} = p_{-i} = 0, \quad i \geq 1).$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$\omega_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v s_v$$

*Mathematics Subject Classifications: 26D15, 40D15, 40F05, 40G99.

†Department of Mathematics, Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey

defines the sequence (ω_n) of the Riesz mean or simply the (\bar{N}, p_n) mean of the sequence (s_n) , generated by the sequence of coefficients (p_n) (see [6]). The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$, if (see [2])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |\Delta\omega_{n-1}|^k < \infty,$$

where

$$\Delta\omega_{n-1} = -\frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n P_{v-1} a_v, \quad n \geq 1.$$

In the special case, when $p_n = 1$ for all values of n , $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability reduces to $|C, 1|_k$ summability.

Let $A = (a_{nv})$ be a normal matrix, i.e., a lower triangular matrix of nonzero diagonal entries. Then A defines the sequence-to-sequence transformation, mapping the sequence $s = (s_n)$ to $As = (A_n(s))$, where

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s_v, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|A, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$, if (see [12])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |\bar{\Delta}A_n(s)|^k < \infty,$$

where

$$\bar{\Delta}A_n(s) = A_n(s) - A_{n-1}(s).$$

If we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$, then $|A, p_n|_k$ summability reduces to $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability. If we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ and $p_n = 1$ for all values of n , then $|A, p_n|_k$ summability reduces to $|C, 1|_k$ summability. Also, if we take $p_n = 1$ for all values of n , then $|A, p_n|_k$ summability reduces to $|A|_k$ summability (see [13]). If we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$, then $|A|_k$ summability reduces to $|R, p_n|_k$ summability (see [3]).

Before stating the main theorem we must first introduce some further notations. Given a normal matrix $A = (a_{nv})$, we associate two lower semimatrices $\bar{A} = (\bar{a}_{nv})$ and $\hat{A} = (\hat{a}_{nv})$ as follows:

$$\bar{a}_{nv} = \sum_{i=v}^n a_{ni}, \quad n, v = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1)$$

and

$$\hat{a}_{00} = \bar{a}_{00} = a_{00}, \quad \hat{a}_{nv} = \bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1,v}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2)$$

It may be noted that \bar{A} and \hat{A} are the well-known matrices of series-to-sequence and series-to-series transformations, respectively. Then, we have

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s_v = \sum_{v=0}^n \bar{a}_{nv} a_v \quad (3)$$

and

$$\bar{\Delta}A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n \hat{a}_{nv} a_v. \quad (4)$$

2 Known Result

In [4], Bor has proved the following theorem for $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability factors of infinite series.

THEOREM 1. Let (X_n) be an almost increasing sequence and let there be sequences (β_n) and (λ_n) such that

$$|\Delta\lambda_n| \leq \beta_n, \quad (5)$$

$$\beta_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\Delta\beta_n| X_n < \infty, \quad (6)$$

$$|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1). \quad (7)$$

If

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{|\lambda_n|}{n} = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n} |t_n|^k = O(X_m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (8)$$

and (p_n) is a sequence such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{p_n}{P_n} |t_n|^k = O(X_m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (9)$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$.

3 Main Result

Many works dealing with absolute matrix summability factors of infinite series have been done (see [9]-[11]). The purpose of this paper is to generalize Theorem 1 to $|A, p_n|_k$ summability by using quasi β -power increasing sequences instead of almost increasing sequences.

Now, we shall prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let $A = (a_{nv})$ be a positive normal matrix such that

$$\bar{a}_{n0} = 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (10)$$

$$a_{n-1,v} \geq a_{nv}, \quad \text{for } n \geq v + 1, \quad (11)$$

$$a_{nn} = O\left(\frac{p_n}{P_n}\right), \quad (12)$$

and (X_n) be a quasi β -power increasing sequence for some $0 < \beta < 1$. If $(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}$ and all the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied, then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|A, p_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$.

REMARK 1. If we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ and (X_n) as an almost increasing sequence, then we get Theorem 1. In this case the condition $(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}$ is not needed.

We need the following lemma for the proof of Theorem 2.

LEMMA 1 ([8]). Under the conditions on (X_n) , (β_n) and (λ_n) as taken in the statement of Theorem 2, the following conditions hold;

$$n\beta_n X_n = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (13)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X_n < \infty. \quad (14)$$

4 Proof of Theorem 2

Let (I_n) denote A -transform of the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$. Then, by (3) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Delta} I_n &= \sum_{v=1}^n \hat{a}_{nv} a_v \lambda_v \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{\hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v}{v} v a_v. \end{aligned}$$

Using Abel's transformation, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Delta} I_n &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v}{v} \right) \sum_{r=1}^v r a_r + \frac{\hat{a}_{nn} \lambda_n}{n} \sum_{r=1}^n r a_r \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{v+1}{v} \Delta_v (\hat{a}_{nv}) \lambda_v t_v + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{v} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \lambda_{v+1} t_v \\ &\quad + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{v+1}{v} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \Delta \lambda_v t_v + \frac{n+1}{n} a_{nn} \lambda_n t_n \\ &= I_{n,1} + I_{n,2} + I_{n,3} + I_{n,4}. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 2, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |I_{n,r}|^k < \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

First, when $k > 1$, applying Hölder's inequality with indices k and k' , where $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |I_{n,1}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\lambda_v| |t_v| \right)^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \right)^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By (1) and (2), we have that

$$\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv}) = \hat{a}_{nv} - \hat{a}_{n,v+1} = \bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1,v} - \bar{a}_{n,v+1} + \bar{a}_{n-1,v+1} = a_{nv} - a_{n-1,v}.$$

Thus using (1), (10) and (11)

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| = \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (a_{n-1,v} - a_{nv}) \leq a_{nn}.$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |I_{n,1}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k \right) \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v|^{k-1} |\lambda_v| |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{p_v}{P_v} |\lambda_v| |t_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_v| \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{p_r}{P_r} |t_r|^k + O(1) |\lambda_m| \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{p_v}{P_v} |t_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_v| X_v + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_v X_v + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 2 and Lemma 1. Also, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |I_{n,2}|^k &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\lambda_{v+1}| \frac{|t_v|}{v} \right)^k \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\lambda_{v+1}| \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \right) \times \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} \right)^{k-1} \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} d_{nn}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\lambda_{v+1}| \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \right) \times \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} \right)^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}|.
\end{aligned}$$

By (1), (2), (10) and (11), we obtain

$$|\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| = \sum_{i=0}^v (a_{n-1,i} - a_{ni}).$$

Thus, using (1) and (10), we have

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| = \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \sum_{i=0}^v (a_{n-1,i} - a_{ni}) \leq 1,$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |I_{n,2}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{v} |t_v|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_{v+1}| \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{1}{r} |t_r|^k + O(1) |\lambda_{m+1}| \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{1}{v} |t_v|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_{v+1} X_{v+1} + O(1) |\lambda_{m+1}| X_{m+1} = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 2 and Lemma 1.

Also, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |I_{n,3}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta\lambda_v| |t_v|\right)^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta\lambda_v| |t_v|^k\right) \times \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta\lambda_v|\right)^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \beta_v |t_v|^k\right) \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \beta_v |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v \beta_v \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta(v\beta_v)| \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{1}{r} |t_r|^k + O(1) m \beta_m \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{1}{v} |t_v|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v |\Delta\beta_v| X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_v X_v + O(1) m \beta_m X_m \\
&= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by (5), (6), (8), (12), (13) and (14).

Finally, as in $I_{n,1}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |I_{n,4}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |\lambda_n|^k |t_n|^k a_{nn}^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{P_n}{P_n} |\lambda_n| |t_n|^k = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by (5), (7), (9), (12) and (14). Hence, the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

If we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ and $p_n = 1$ for all values of n , then we get a result concerning $|C, 1|_k$ summability factors of infinite series.

References

- [1] N. K. Bari and S. B. Stečkin, Best approximations and differential properties of two conjugate functions, *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč.*, 5(1956), 483–522 (in Russian).
- [2] H. Bor, On two summability methods, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 97(1985), 147–149.

- [3] H. Bor, On the relative strength of two absolute summability methods, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 113(1991), 1009–1012.
- [4] H. Bor, On absolute Riesz summability factors, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. (Pusan), 3(2)(2001), 23–29.
- [5] T. M. Flett, On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, Proc. London Math. Soc., 7(1957), 113–141.
- [6] G. H. Hardy, Divergent Series, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1949.
- [7] E. Kogbetliantz, Sur les séries absolument sommables par la méthode des moyennes arithmétiques, Bull. Sci. Math., 49(1925), 234–256.
- [8] L. Leindler, A new application of quasi power increasing sequences, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 58(2001), 791–796.
- [9] H. S. Özarslan, A new application of almost increasing sequences, Miskolc Math. Notes., 14(2013), 201–208 .
- [10] H. S. Özarslan and E. Yavuz, A new note on absolute matrix summability, J. Inequal. Appl., 474(2013), 1–7.
- [11] H. S. Özarslan, A new application of absolute matrix summability, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci., 68(2015), 967–972.
- [12] W. T. Sulaiman, Inclusion theorems for absolute matrix summability methods of an infinite series. IV, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 34(11)(2003), 1547–1557.
- [13] N. Tanovič-Miller, On strong summability, Glas. Mat. Ser. III, 14(34)(1979), 87–97.