# Some Remarks On Global Total Domination In Graphs* 

Mohammad Hadi Akhbari ${ }^{\dagger}$, Changiz Eslahchi ${ }^{\ddagger}$, Nader Jafari Rad ${ }^{\S}$, Roslan Hasni ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$

Received 7 August 2014


#### Abstract

A subset $S$ of vertices in a graph $G$ is a global total dominating set, or just GTDS, if $S$ is a total dominating set of both $G$ and $\bar{G}$. The global total domination number $\gamma_{g t}(G)$ of $G$ is the minimum cardinality of a GTDS of $G$. In this paper, we show that the decision problem for $\gamma_{g t}(G)$ is NP-complete, and then characterize graphs $G$ of order $n$ with $\gamma_{g t}(G)=n-1$.


## 1 Introduction

Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a simple graph of order $n$. We denote the open neighborhood of a vertex $v$ of $G$ by $N_{G}(v)$, or just $N(v)$, and its closed neighborhood by $N_{G}[v]$ or $N[v]$. For a vertex set $S \subseteq V(G)$, we denote $N(S)=\cup_{v \in S} N(v)$ and $N[S]=\cup_{v \in S} N[v]$. The degree of a vertex $x, \operatorname{deg}(x)$ (or $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)$ to refer $\left.G\right)$ in a graph $G$ denotes the number of neighbors of $x$ in $G$. We refer $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ as the maximum degree and the minimum degree of the vertices of $G$, respectively. If $S$ is a subset of $V(G)$, then we denote by $G[S]$ the subgraph of $G$ induced by $S$. A leaf in a graph is a vertex of degree one, and a support vertex is one that is adjacent to a leaf. The distance between two vertices $x$ and $y$, denoted by $d(x, y)$ (or $d_{G}(x, y)$ to refer to $G$ ) is the length of a shortest path from $x$ to $y$. The diameter, $\operatorname{diam}(G)$, of a graph $G$ is the maximum distance over all pairs of vertices of $G$. A set of vertices $S$ in $G$ is a dominating set, if $N[S]=V(G)$. The domination number, $\gamma(G)$, of $G$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of $G$. A set of vertices $S$ in an isolate-free graph $G$ is a total dominating set, or just TDS, if $N(S)=V(G)$. The total domination number, $\gamma_{t}(G)$, of $G$ is the minimum cardinality of a total dominating set of $G$. For references and also terminology on domination in graphs see for example $[6,7]$.

The concept of global domination in graphs is introduced by Sampathkumar in [9], and further studied by Brigham et al. [2, 3], Dutton et al. [4, 5] and Arumugam et al.

[^0][1]. A subset $S$ of vertices of a graph $G$ is a global dominating set if $S$ is a dominating set of both $G$ and $\bar{G}$. The global domination number of a graph $G, \gamma_{g}(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a global dominating set of $G$. Kulli et al. in [8] initiated the study of global total domination in graphs. A subset $S$ of vertices in a graph $G$ is a global total dominating set, or just DTDS, if $S$ is a TDS of both $G$ and $\bar{G}$. The global total domination number of $G, \gamma_{g t}(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a GTDS of $G$. If a graph $G$ of order $n$ has a GTDS, then $\delta(G) \geq 1$ and $\Delta(G) \leq n-2$. That is neither $G$ nor $\bar{G}$ have an isolated vertex. We define $\gamma_{g t}(G)=0$ if $G$ or $\bar{G}$ has an isolated vertex. For all graphs $G$ which we study in this paper we assume that $\gamma_{g t}(G)>0$.

In this paper, we study global total domination and obtain some new results and characterizations on the global total domination number of a graph $G$. In section 2 we present some preliminary results. In section 3, we show that the decision problem for $\gamma_{g t}(G)$ is NP-complete. In section 4, we characterize graphs $G$ of order $n$ with $\gamma_{g t}(G)=n-1$.

## 2 Preliminary Results

We start this section with the following observation that can be easily obtained from the definition.

## OBSERVATION 1.

(1) For any graph $G, \gamma_{g t}(G) \geq \max \left\{\gamma_{t}(G), \gamma_{t}(\bar{G})\right\}$.
(2) If $G$ is a disconnected graph, then $\gamma_{g t}(G)=\gamma_{t}(G)$.
(3) If $S$ is a GTDS in a graph $G$, then for any vertex $x \in S, 1 \leq \operatorname{deg}_{G[S]}(x) \leq|S|-2$.

LEMMA 2. The following statements hold.
(1) If $\gamma_{t}(G)>\Delta(G)+1$, then $\gamma_{g t}(G)=\gamma_{t}(G)$.
(2) If $\gamma_{t}(G)<\gamma_{g t}(G)$, then $|V(G)| \leq \Delta(G)(\Delta(G)+1)$.

PROOF. (1) Let $S$ be a $\gamma_{t}(G)$-set with $|S|>\Delta(G)+1$ and $x \in V(G)$. Since $\operatorname{deg}(x) \leq \Delta(G)$, we have $S \nsubseteq N(x)$. So $S$ is a GTDS for $G$. (2) Let $\gamma_{t}(G)<\gamma_{g t}(G)$. By part (1) $\gamma_{t}(G) \leq \Delta(G)+1$. Let $S=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ be a TDS of cardinality $k \leq \Delta(G)+1$. Since $G[S]$ has no isolated vertex, we obtain

$$
\left|N\left(x_{i}\right) \cap \bar{S}\right| \leq \Delta(G)-1
$$

for any $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. On the other hand $\cup_{i}\left(N\left(x_{i}\right) \cap \bar{S}\right)=\bar{S}$. This implies that $|\bar{S}| \leq k(\Delta(G)-1)$ and

$$
|V(G)| \leq k+k(\Delta(G)-1) \leq \Delta(G)(\Delta(G)+1)
$$

Kulli et al. in [8] obtained the following results.

PROPOSITION 3 ([8]). Let $G$ be a graph. Then the following statements hold.
(1) If $\operatorname{diam}(G)=3$, then $\gamma_{g t}(G) \leq \gamma_{t}(G)+2$,
(2) If $\operatorname{diam}(G)=4$, then $\gamma_{g t}(G) \leq \gamma_{t}(G)+1$,
(3) If $\operatorname{diam}(G) \geq 5$, then $\gamma_{g t}(G)=\gamma_{t}(G)$.

It is also noted in [8] that if $\operatorname{diam}(G)=2$, then the difference between $\gamma_{g t}(G)$ and $\gamma_{t}(G)$ may be very large. However the graph $G$ in the example posed in [8] satisfies Proposition 3 since $\operatorname{diam}(\bar{G})>2$. Furthermore, in that graph $G, \gamma_{g t}(\bar{G}) \leq \gamma_{t}(\bar{G})+2$. So the case $\operatorname{diam}(G)=\operatorname{diam}(\bar{G})=2$ remained open. We show that for any $k \geq 4$ there is a graph $G$ such that $\operatorname{diam}(G)=\operatorname{diam}(\bar{G})=2$ and $\gamma_{g t}(G)=k$.

PROPOSITION 4. For any $k \geq 4$ there is a graph $G$ such that $\operatorname{diam}(G)=$ $\operatorname{diam}(\bar{G})=2$ and $\gamma_{g t}(G)=k$.

PROOF. Let

$$
X=V\left(\overline{K_{l}}\right)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{l}\right\}
$$

for some integer $l \geq 6$. For any pair $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, l\}$ we add a new vertex $x_{i, j}$ and join $x_{i, j}$ to $x_{i}, x_{j}$ to obtain a graph $H_{1}$. Let $Y=\left\{x_{i, j}: 1 \leq i, j \leq l\right\}$. Let $H_{2}$ be a graph obtain from $H_{1}$ by joining any pair $x_{i, j}, x_{k, s}$ where $|\{i, j\} \cap\{k, s\}|=1$. Let $G$ be a graph obtained from $H_{2}$ by adding two new vertices $x, y$ and joining them to every vertex in $Y$. It is a routine matter to see that $\operatorname{diam}(G)=\operatorname{diam}(\bar{G})=2$. Let $S$ be a GTDS for $G$. Any vertex of $X$ is dominated by some vertex in $Y$. Since any vertex of $Y$ dominates two vertices of $X$, we obtain $|S \cap Y| \geq\left\lceil\frac{l}{2}\right\rceil$. Since $x$ (as a vertex of $\bar{G}$ ) is not dominated by $S \cap Y$, we have $S \cap X \neq \emptyset$. This implies that $\gamma_{g t}(G) \geq\left\lceil\frac{l}{2}\right\rceil+1$. On the other hand $\left\{x_{2 i+1}, x_{2 i+2}: 0 \leq i<\left\lceil\frac{l}{2}\right\rceil\right\} \cup\{1\}$ is a GTDS for $G$. We conclude that $\gamma_{g t}(G)=\left\lceil\frac{l}{2}\right\rceil+1$. Now the proof will be completed if we put $l=2 k-2$ for a given $k \geq 4$.

We next determine the global total domination number of a tree.
THEOREM 5. For a tree $T$,

$$
\gamma_{g t}(T)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \operatorname{diam}(T) \leq 2  \tag{1}\\ 4 & \text { if } \operatorname{diam}(T)=3 \\ \gamma_{t}(T)+1 & \text { if } \operatorname{diam}(T)=4 \\ \gamma_{t}(T) & \text { if } \operatorname{diam}(T) \geq 5\end{cases}
$$

PROOF. Let $T$ be a tree. If $\operatorname{diam}(T) \leq 2$, then $\bar{T}$ has an isolated vertex and so $\gamma_{g t}(T)=0$. If $\operatorname{diam}(T)=3$, then $T$ is a double star and it is not hard to see that $\gamma_{g t}(T)=4$. Assume that $\operatorname{diam}(T)=4$. Let $x$ be the central vertex of a diametrical
path of $T$. If a minimum TDS $S$ does not contain $x$, then it contains two support vertices $a, b$. Let $a_{1} \in N(a) \cap S$ and $b_{1} \in N(b) \cap S$. It is obvious that $a_{1}$ and $b_{1}$ are two leaves, since $\operatorname{diam}(G)=4$. Then $\left(S \backslash\left\{a_{1}, b_{1}\right\}\right) \cup\{x\}$ is a TDS of $G$, a contradiction. So $x$ belongs to every minimum TDS of $T$. Further, for any minimum TDS such $S$ of $T$, $T[S]$ is a star with center $x$. This implies that $\gamma_{g t}(T)>\gamma_{t}(T)$. By Proposition 3 part (2) we obtain $\gamma_{g t}(T)=\gamma_{t}(T)+1$. Assume now that $\operatorname{diam}(T) \geq 5$. By Proposition 3 part (3) $\gamma_{g t}(T)=\gamma_{t}(T)$.

## 3 Complexity

In this section we investigate the complexity of the following Problem:

## GLOBAL TOTAL DOMINATING SET

INSTANCE: A graph $G=(V, E)$ and a positive integer $k$.
QUESTION: Does G has a GTDS of cardinality at most $k$ ?

To show that GTDS problem is NP-Complete for arbitrary graphs, we use the wellknown NP-Completeness result for total domination which is defined by:

## TOTAL DOMINATING SET

INSTANCE: A graph $G=(V, E)$ and a positive integer $k$.
QUESTION: Does G has a TDS of cardinality at most $k$ ?

THEOREM 6. GTDS is NP-complete for general graphs.
PROOF. To show that GTDS is an NP-Complete problem, we will establish a polynomial transformation from TDS to GTDS. Let $G$ be an arbitrary instance of TDS. Let $H$ be the graph $G \cup \bar{G}$. We show that obtaining the minimum TDS of $G$ is equal to finding the minimum GTDS of $H$. Let $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ be minimum TDS of $G$ and $\bar{G}$, respectively. We prove that $S \cup S^{\prime}$ is a minimum GTDS of $H$. It is obvious that $S \cup S^{\prime}$ is a GTDS for $H$. We next show that $S \cup S^{\prime}$ is a minimum GTDS for $H$. Suppose that $L$ is a GTDS for $H$ with $|L|<\left|S \cup S^{\prime}\right|$. Let $L_{1}=L \cap V(G)$ and $L_{2}=L \cap V(\bar{G})$. Then $\left|L_{1}\right|<|S|$ or $\left|L_{2}\right|<\left|S^{\prime}\right|$. Without loss of generality assume that $\left|L_{1}\right|<|S|$. Since any vertex of $G$ is adjacent to some vertex in $L$, we deduce that $L_{1}$ is a TDS for $G$. This is a contradiction, since $\left|L_{1}\right|<\gamma_{t}(G)$. So $S \cup S^{\prime}$ is a minimum GTDS for $H$. On the other hand let $T$ be a minimum GTDS for $H$. Let $T_{1}=T \cap V(G)$ and $T_{2}=T \cap V(\bar{G})$. Then $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are TDS for $G$ and $\bar{G}$, respectively. We show that $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are minimum TDS for $G$ and $\bar{G}$, respectively. Without loss of generality assume that $T_{1}$ is not a minimum TDS of $G$. So there is a minimum TDS such $D$ in $G$ with $|D|<\left|T_{1}\right|$. Similar to the above discussions $D \cup T_{2}$ is a GTDS for $H$ with $\left|D \cup T_{2}\right|<|T|=\gamma_{g t}(H)$. This is a contradiction. Since the construction of the graph $H$ from $G$ can be perform in time that is polynomial in $n$ (the number of vertices of $G)$, so the above reduction is polynomial and so GTDS is NP-Complete.

## 4 Graphs with Small and Large Global Total Domination Number

In [8] graphs $G$ of order $n$ with $\gamma_{g t}(G)=n$ have been characterized. In this section we characterize graphs $G$ of order $n$ with $\gamma_{g t}(G)=n-1$. For this purpose we first characterize graphs $G$ with $\gamma_{g t}(G)=4$.

THEOREM 7. [8] For a graph $G, \gamma_{g t}(G)=n$ if and only if $G=P_{4}, m K_{2}$ or $\overline{m K_{2}}$ for some $m \geq 2$.

By Observation 1, part (3), we obtain the following.
LEMMA 8. For any graph $G, \gamma_{g t}(G) \geq 4$.
Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the class of all graphs $G$ such that one of the following hold:
(1) $G$ contains a path $P_{4}$ as an induced subgraph, and any vertex in $G$ outside $P_{4}$ is adjacent to at least one and at most three vertices of $P_{4}$,
(2) $G$ contains a cycle $C_{4}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\overline{C_{4}}\right)$ as an induced subgraph, and any vertex in $G$ outside $C_{4}$ (or $\overline{C_{4}}$ ) is adjacent to at least one and at most three vertices of $C_{4}$ (or $\overline{C_{4}}$ ).

LEMMA 9. For a graph $G, \gamma_{g t}(G)=4$ if and only if $G \in \mathcal{H}$.
PROOF. It is obvious that if $G \in \mathcal{H}$ then the vertices of $P_{4}, C_{4}$ or $\overline{C_{4}}$ form a GTDS for $G$. Let $\gamma_{g t}(G)=4$ and let $S$ be a $\gamma_{g t}(G)$-set. If $G[S]$ is connected, then $G[S]=P_{4}$ or $C_{4}$. If $G[S]$ is disconnected, then $G[S]=\overline{C_{4}}$. Now since $S$ is a TDS in both $G$ and $\bar{G}$, every vertex in $G-S$ has at least one and at most three neighbors in $S$.

So henceforth in this section we consider graphs $G$ with $\gamma_{g t}(G) \geq 5$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the class of all graphs $G$ such that $G$ satisfies one of the following:
(1) $G$ is obtained from a graph $K \in\left\{P_{4}, C_{4}, \overline{C_{4}}\right\}$ by adding a vertex $x$ and joining $x$ to at least one and at most three vertices of $K$.
(2) $G=m K_{2}+P_{3}$ or $m K_{2}+C_{3}$ for some integer $m \geq 1$.

LEMMA 10. Let $G \in \mathcal{E}$ be a graph of order $n$. If $H$ is obtained from $G$ be adding a vertex $y$ and joining $y$ to at most $n-1$ vertices of $G$, then $\gamma_{g t}(H)<n$.

PROOF. Let $G \in \mathcal{E}$. Assume that $G$ is obtained from a graph $K \in\left\{P_{4}, C_{4}, \overline{C_{4}}\right\}$ by adding a vertex $x$ and joining $x$ to at least one and at most three vertices of $K$. If $y$ is not adjacent to all vertices of $K$, then $V(K)$ is a GTDS for $H$. So assume that $y$ is adjacent to all vertices in $K$. Since $\bar{G}$ has no isolated vertex, $y$ is not adjacent to $x$. It is straightforward to check all possibilities for $G$ to see that there is a GTDS for $H$ of
cardinality 4. In all cases $\gamma_{g t}(H)<n$. Next assume that $G=m K_{2}+P_{3}$ or $m K_{2}+C_{3}$ for some integer $m \geq 1$. Let $V\left(P_{3}\right)$ or $V\left(C_{3}\right)$ be $\left\{v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right\}$, where $v_{2}$ is adjacent to both $v_{1}$ and $v_{3}$. If $y$ is not adjacent to all of the vertices of $G-v_{1}$, then $V\left(G-v_{1}\right)$ is a GTDS for $H$. So assume that $y$ is adjacent to all of the vertices of $G-v_{1}$. Then $V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{3}\right\}$ is a GTDS for $H$. Thus $\gamma_{g t}(H)<n$.

THEOREM 11. For a graph $G, \gamma_{g t}(G)=n-1$ if and only if $G$ or $\bar{G}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}$.

PROOF. It is straightforward to see that for any graph $G$ or $\bar{G}$ in $\mathcal{E}, \gamma_{g t}(G)=$ $|V(G)|-1$. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and $\gamma_{g t}(G)=n-1$. We employee induction on $n$ to prove that $G \in \mathcal{E}$. For the basis step of induction $\gamma_{g t}(G)=4$. By Lemma $9, G$ or $\bar{G}$ is in $\mathcal{E}$. Assume that for any graph $G^{\prime}$ of order $n^{\prime}<n$ and global total domination number $n^{\prime}-1, G^{\prime}$ or $\overline{G^{\prime}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}$. Let $S$ be a $\gamma_{g t}(G)$-set, and $x \notin S$. Let $T$ be a $\gamma_{g t}(G-x)$-set. We show that $|T|=n-1$. If $|T|<n-2$, then there are at least two vertices $a, b \in V(G) \backslash(T \cup\{x\})$. If $a$ is not adjacent to $x$, then $T \cup\{a\}$ is a GTDS for $G$ of cardinality less than $n-1$, a contradiction. So $a$ is adjacent to $x$. Similarly $b$ is adjacent to $x$. But $\bar{G}$ has no isolated vertex. So $x$ is not adjacent to all of the vertices of $T$. Now $T \cup\{x\}$ is a GTDS for $G$ of cardinality less than $n-1$. This contradiction implies that $|T| \geq n-2$. If $|T|=n-2$, then by the inductive hypothesis $G-x \in \mathcal{E}$, and by Lemma $10, \gamma_{g t}(G)<n-1$, a contradiction. We deduce that $|T|=n-1$. By Theorem $7, G-x=P_{4}, m K_{2}$ or $\overline{m K_{2}}$ for some $m \geq 2$. We consider the following Cases 1-3.

Case 1. $G-x=P_{4}$. Then $G$ is obtained from a path $P_{4}$ by adding a vertex $x$ and joining $x$ to at least one and at most three vertices of $P_{4}$, and so $G \in \mathcal{E}$.

Case 2. $G-x=m K_{2}$ for some $m \geq 2$. If $m=2$, then $G$ is obtained from the graph $2 K_{2}=\overline{C_{4}}$ by adding a vertex $x$ and joining $x$ to at least one and at most three vertices of $\overline{C_{4}}$, and so $G \in \mathcal{E}$. So we assume that $m \geq 3$. Then $|T|=2 m$. Since $\bar{G}$ has no isolated vertex, $V(G) \backslash N[x] \neq \emptyset$. Let $w \in V(G) \backslash N[x]$. If $x$ has a neighbor in all components of $G-x$, then $\left\{x, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}, w\right\}$ is a GTDS of $G$, where $v_{i}$ is a vertex in the $i^{\prime}$ th component of $G-x$. This is a contradiction. So $x$ does not have a neighbor in at least one component of $G-x$. As a result $G$ is disconnected. If $x$ has a neighbor in at least two components of $G-x$, then $V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}\right\}$ is a GTDS for $G$, where $v_{i}, v_{j}$ are two vertices from two different components of $G-x$ which are adjacent to $x$, a contradiction. Thus $x$ is adjacent to some vertex in exactly one component $K_{2}$ of $G-x$. Consequently, $G=(m-1) K_{2}+P_{3}$ or $(m-1) K_{2}+C_{3}$.

Case 3. $G-x=\overline{m K_{2}}$. Then $\bar{G}$ satisfies Case 2.

CONJECTURE 12. Every graph $G$ with $\gamma_{g t}(G)=k$ can be obtained from a graph $H$ with $\gamma_{g t}(H)=k-1$ by adding a new vertex and joining it to at least one and at most $|V(H)|-1$ vertices of $H$.
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