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#### Abstract

A class of third-order boundary value problem with advanced arguments and Stieltjes integral boundary conditions is discussed. Some existence criteria of at least three positive solutions are established. The main tool used is a fixed point theorem due to Avery and Peterson.


## 1 Introduction

Third-order differential equations arise in a variety of different areas of applied mathematics and physics, e.g., in the deflection of a curved beam having a constant or varying cross section, a three-layer beam, electromagnetic waves or gravity driven flows and so on [6].

Recently, third-order boundary value problems (BVPs for short) with integral boundary conditions, which cover third-order multi-point BVPs as special cases, have attracted much attention from many authors, see $[1,3,4,5,9,10,11]$ and the references therein. In particular, in 2012, Jankowski [9] studied the existence of multiple positive solutions to the following BVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+h(t) f(t, u(\alpha(t)))=0, t \in(0,1),  \tag{1}\\
u(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, u(1)=\beta u(\eta)+\lambda[u]
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda$ denoted a linear functional on $C[0,1]$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda[u]=\int_{0}^{1} u(t) d \Lambda(t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

involving a Stieltjes integral with a suitable function $\Lambda$ of bounded variation. The measure $d \Lambda$ could be a signed one. The situation with a signed measure $d \Lambda$ was first

[^0]discussed in $[12,13]$ for second-order differential equations; it was also discussed in [7, 8] for second-order impulsive differential equations.

Among the boundary conditions in (1), only $u(1)$ is related to a Stieltjes integral. A natural question is that whether we can obtain similar results when $u(0)$ is also related to a Stieltjes integral. To answer this question, in this paper, we are concerned with the following third-order BVP with advanced arguments and Stieltjes integral boundary conditions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+f(t, u(\alpha(t)))=0, t \in(0,1)  \tag{3}\\
u(0)=\gamma u(\eta)+\lambda[u], u^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, u(1)=\beta u(\eta)+\lambda[u]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Throughout this paper, we always assume that $\alpha:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is continuous and $\alpha(t) \geq t$ for $t \in[0,1], 0<\eta<1,0 \leq \gamma<\beta<1, \Lambda$ is a suitable function of bounded variation and $\lambda[u]$ is defined as in (2). It is important to indicate that it is not assumed that $\lambda[u]$ is positive to all positive $u$.

In order to obtain our main results, we need the following concepts and Avery and Peterson fixed point theorem [2].

Let $E$ be a real Banach space and $K$ be a cone in $E$.
A map $\Theta$ is said to be a nonnegative continuous convex functional on $K$ if $\Theta: K \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty)$ is continuous and

$$
\Theta(t u+(1-t) v) \leq t \Theta(u)+(1-t) \Theta(v)
$$

for all $u, v \in K$ and $t \in[0,1]$.
Similarly, A map $\Phi$ is said to be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on $K$ if $\Phi: K \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous and

$$
\Phi(t u+(1-t) v) \geq t \Phi(u)+(1-t) \Phi(v)
$$

for all $u, v \in K$ and $t \in[0,1]$.
Let $\varphi$ and $\Theta$ be nonnegative continuous convex functionals on $K, \Phi$ be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on $K$ and $\Psi$ be a nonnegative continuous functional on $K$. For positive numbers $a, b, c, d$, we define the following sets:

$$
\begin{gathered}
K(\varphi, d)=\{u \in K: \varphi(u)<d\} \\
K(\varphi, \Phi, b, d)=\{u \in K: b \leq \Phi(u), \varphi(u) \leq d\} \\
K(\varphi, \Theta, \Phi, b, c, d)=\{u \in K: b \leq \Phi(u), \Theta(u) \leq c, \varphi(u) \leq d\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
R(\varphi, \Psi, a, d)=\{u \in K: a \leq \Psi(u), \varphi(u) \leq d\}
$$

THEOREM 1 (Avery and Peterson fixed point theorem). Let $E$ be a real Banach space and $K$ be a cone in $E$. Let $\varphi$ and $\Theta$ be nonnegative continuous convex functionals on $K, \Phi$ be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on $K$, and $\Psi$ be a nonnegative continuous functional on $K$ satisfying $\Psi(k u) \leq k \Psi(u)$ for $0 \leq k \leq 1$, such that for some positive numbers $M$ and $d$,

$$
\Phi(u) \leq \Psi(u) \text { and }\|u\| \leq M \varphi(u)
$$

for all $u \in \overline{K(\varphi, d)}$. Suppose $S: \overline{K(\varphi, d)} \rightarrow \overline{K(\varphi, d)}$ is completely continuous and there exist positive numbers $a, b, c$ with $a<b$, such that
(C1) $\{u \in K(\varphi, \Theta, \Phi, b, c, d): \Phi(u)>b\} \neq \phi$ and $\Phi(S u)>b$ for $u \in K(\varphi, \Theta, \Phi, b, c, d)$;
(C2) $\Phi(S u)>b$ for $u \in K(\varphi, \Phi, b, d)$ with $\Theta(S u)>c$; and
(C3) $\theta \notin R(\varphi, \Psi, a, d)$ and $\Psi(S u)<a$ for $u \in R(\varphi, \Psi, a, d)$ with $\Psi(u)=a$.
Then $S$ has at least three fixed points $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3} \in \overline{K(\varphi, d)}$, such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
b<\Phi\left(u_{1}\right), \\
a<\Psi\left(u_{2}\right) \text { with } \Phi\left(u_{2}\right)<b
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\Psi\left(u_{3}\right)<a
$$

## 2 Main Results

Let $\Delta=1-\gamma-(\beta-\gamma) \eta$. Then $\Delta>0$.
LEMMA 1. For any $y \in C[0,1]$, the BVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=-y(t), t \in(0,1)  \tag{4}\\
u(0)=\gamma u(\eta)+\lambda[u], u^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, u(1)=\beta u(\eta)+\lambda[u]
\end{array}\right.
$$

has the unique solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t)= & \frac{1-(\beta-\gamma) \eta+(\beta-\gamma) t}{\Delta} \lambda[u]+\frac{\gamma+(\beta-\gamma) t}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) y(s) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s) y(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \in[0,1]$ where

$$
k(t, s)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(1-t)\left(t-s^{2}\right), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \\
t(1-s)^{2}, 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

PROOF. By integrating the differential equation in (4) three times from 0 to $t$ and using the boundary condition $u^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=u(0)+u^{\prime}(0) t-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{2} y(s) d s, t \in[0,1] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(0)=u(1)-u(0)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{2} y(s) d s \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (5), (6) and the boundary conditions $u(0)=\gamma u(\eta)+\lambda[u]$ and $u(1)=$ $\beta u(\eta)+\lambda[u]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=[\gamma+(\beta-\gamma) t] u(\eta)+\lambda[u]+\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s) y(s) d s, t \in[0,1] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\eta)=\frac{1}{\Delta} \lambda[u]+\frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) y(s) d s \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (8) into (7), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t)= & \frac{1-(\beta-\gamma) \eta+(\beta-\gamma) t}{\Delta} \lambda[u]+\frac{\gamma+(\beta-\gamma) t}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) y(s) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s) y(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \in[0,1]$.
LEMMA $2[9] .0 \leq k(t, s) \leq \frac{1}{2}(1+s)(1-s)^{2}$ for $(t, s) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]$.
Throughout, we assume that the following conditions are fulfilled:
(H1) $f \in C([0,1] \times[0,+\infty),[0,+\infty))$;
(H2)

$$
\int_{0}^{1} d \Lambda(t) \geq 0, \int_{0}^{1} t d \Lambda(t) \geq 0, \kappa(s)=\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s) d \Lambda(t) \geq 0, s \in[0,1]
$$

For convenience, we denote

$$
\rho=[1-(\beta-\gamma) \eta] \int_{0}^{1} d \Lambda(t)+(\beta-\gamma) \int_{0}^{1} t d \Lambda(t)
$$

and

$$
\rho^{\prime}=\gamma \int_{0}^{1} d \Lambda(t)+(\beta-\gamma) \int_{0}^{1} t d \Lambda(t)
$$

Obviously, $\rho, \rho^{\prime} \geq 0$. In the remainder of this paper, we always assume that $\rho<\Delta$.
Let $C[0,1]$ be equipped with the maximum norm. Then $C[0,1]$ is a Banach space. Define

$$
K=\left\{u \in C[0,1]: u(t) \geq 0, t \in[0,1], \min _{t \in[\eta, 1]} u(t) \geq \Gamma\|u\|, \quad \lambda[u] \geq 0\right\}
$$

where

$$
\Gamma=\min \left\{\frac{\beta(1-\eta)}{1-\beta \eta}, \frac{\beta \eta}{1-\gamma(1-\eta)}\right\}
$$

Then $K$ is a cone in $C[0,1]$.

Now, we define operators $T$ and $S$ on $K$ by

$$
(T u)(t)=\frac{1-(\beta-\gamma) \eta+(\beta-\gamma) t}{\Delta} \lambda[u]+(F u)(t), t \in[0,1]
$$

and

$$
(S u)(t)=\frac{1-(\beta-\gamma) \eta+(\beta-\gamma) t}{\Delta-\rho} \lambda[F u]+(F u)(t), t \in[0,1],
$$

where

$$
(F u)(t)=\frac{\gamma+(\beta-\gamma) t}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s+\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s
$$

for $t \in[0,1]$.
LEMMA 3. $T, S: K \rightarrow K$.
PROOF. Let $u \in K$. Then it is easy to verify that

$$
(T u)^{\prime \prime}(t)=-\int_{0}^{t} f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s \leq 0, t \in[0,1],
$$

which shows that $T u$ is concave down on $[0,1]$. In view of

$$
(F u)(0)=\frac{\gamma}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s \geq 0
$$

and

$$
(F u)(1)=\frac{\beta}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s \geq 0,
$$

we have

$$
(T u)(0)=\frac{1-(\beta-\gamma) \eta}{\Delta} \lambda[u]+(F u)(0) \geq 0
$$

and

$$
(T u)(1)=\frac{1+(\beta-\gamma)(1-\eta)}{\Delta} \lambda[u]+(F u)(1) \geq 0 .
$$

So, $(T u)(t) \geq 0, t \in[0,1]$.
Now, we prove that $\min _{t \in[\eta, 1]}(T u)(t) \geq \Gamma\|T u\|$. To do it we consider two cases:
Case 1. Let $(T u)(\eta) \leq(T u)(1)$. Then $\min _{t \in[\eta, 1]}(T u)(t)=(T u)(\eta)$ and there exists $\bar{t} \in[\eta, 1]$ such that $\|T u\|=(T u)(\bar{t})$. Moreover,

$$
\frac{(T u)(\bar{t})-(T u)(0)}{\bar{t}-0} \leq \frac{(T u)(\eta)-(T u)(0)}{\eta-0} .
$$

So,

$$
\|T u\| \leq \frac{1}{\eta}(T u)(\eta)-\frac{1-\eta}{\eta}(T u)(0),
$$

which together with

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T u)(0)=\gamma(T u)(\eta)+\lambda[u] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that

$$
\|T u\| \leq \frac{1-\gamma(1-\eta)}{\eta}(T u)(\eta)
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{t \in[\eta, 1]}(T u)(t) \geq \frac{\eta}{1-\gamma(1-\eta)}\|T u\| \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2. Let $(T u)(\eta)>(T u)(1)$ and $\|T u\|=(T u)(\bar{t})$. Note that in this case $\min _{t \in[\eta, 1]}(T u)(t)=(T u)(1)$.

If $\bar{t} \in[0, \eta]$, then

$$
\frac{(T u)(1)-(T u)(\bar{t})}{1-\bar{t}} \geq \frac{(T u)(1)-(T u)(\eta)}{1-\eta}
$$

So,

$$
\|T u\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\eta}(T u)(\eta)-\frac{\eta}{1-\eta}(T u)(1)
$$

which together with

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T u)(\eta)=\frac{1}{\beta}((T u)(1)-\lambda[u]) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that

$$
\|T u\| \leq \frac{1-\beta \eta}{\beta(1-\eta)}(T u)(1)
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{t \in[\eta, 1]}(T u)(t) \geq \frac{\beta(1-\eta)}{1-\beta \eta}\|T u\| . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\bar{t} \in(\eta, 1)$, then

$$
\frac{(T u)(\bar{t})-(T u)(\eta)}{\bar{t}-\eta} \leq \frac{(T u)(\eta)-(T u)(0)}{\eta-0}
$$

So,

$$
\|T u\| \leq \frac{1}{\eta}(T u)(\eta)-\frac{1-\eta}{\eta}(T u)(0)
$$

which together with (9) and (11) implies that

$$
\|T u\| \leq \frac{1-\gamma(1-\eta)}{\beta \eta}(T u)(1)
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{t \in[\eta, 1]}(T u)(t) \geq \frac{\beta \eta}{1-\gamma(1-\eta)}\|T u\| \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (10), (12) and (13) that

$$
\min _{t \in[\eta, 1]}(T u)(t) \geq \Gamma\|T u\|
$$

Finally, we need to show that $\lambda[T u] \geq 0$. In view of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda[F u]= & \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\gamma+(\beta-\gamma) t}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s d \Lambda(t) \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} k(t, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s d \Lambda(t) \\
= & \frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s+\int_{0}^{1} \kappa(s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s \\
\geq & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\lambda[T u]=\frac{\rho}{\Delta} \lambda[u]+\lambda[F u] \geq 0
$$

This shows that $T: K \rightarrow K$. Similarly, we can prove that $S: K \rightarrow K$.
LEMMA 4. The operators $T$ and $S$ have the same fixed points in $K$.
PROOF. Suppose that $u \in K$ is a fixed point of $S$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda[u] & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{1-(\beta-\gamma) \eta+(\beta-\gamma) t}{\Delta-\rho} \lambda[F u]+(F u)(t)\right) d \Lambda(t) \\
& =\frac{\Delta}{\Delta-\rho} \lambda[F u]
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that

$$
\lambda[F u]=\frac{\Delta-\rho}{\Delta} \lambda[u] .
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t) & =(S u)(t) \\
& =\frac{1-(\beta-\gamma) \eta+(\beta-\gamma) t}{\Delta-\rho} \lambda[F u]+(F u)(t) \\
& =\frac{1-(\beta-\gamma) \eta+(\beta-\gamma) t}{\Delta} \lambda[u]+(F u)(t) \\
& =(T u)(t), t \in[0,1],
\end{aligned}
$$

which indicates that $u$ is a fixed point of $T$. Suppose that $u \in K$ is a fixed point of $T$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda[u] & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{1-(\beta-\gamma) \eta+(\beta-\gamma) t}{\Delta} \lambda[u]+(F u)(t)\right) d \Lambda(t) \\
& =\frac{\rho}{\Delta} \lambda[u]+\lambda[F u]
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that

$$
\lambda[u]=\frac{\Delta}{\Delta-\rho} \lambda[F u] .
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t) & =(T u)(t) \\
& =\frac{1-(\beta-\gamma) \eta+(\beta-\gamma) t}{\Delta} \lambda[u]+(F u)(t) \\
& =\frac{1-(\beta-\gamma) \eta+(\beta-\gamma) t}{\Delta-\rho} \lambda[F u]+(F u)(t) \\
& =(S u)(t), t \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

which indicates that $u$ is a fixed point of $S$.

LEMMA 5. $T, S: K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous.

PROOF. First, by LEMMA 3, we know that $T(K) \subset K$. Next, we show that $T$ is compact. Let $D \subset K$ be a bounded set. Then there exists $M_{1}>0$ such that $\|u\| \leq M_{1}$ for any $u \in D$. Since $\Lambda$ is a function of bounded variation, there exists $M_{2}>0$ such that $v_{\Delta^{\prime}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\Lambda\left(t_{i}\right)-\Lambda\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right| \leq M_{2}$ for any partition $\Delta^{\prime}: 0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<$ $t_{n-1}<t_{n}=1$. Let

$$
M_{3}=\sup \left\{f(t, u):(t, u) \in[0,1] \times\left[0, M_{1}\right]\right\}
$$

Then for any $u \in D$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T u\|= & \max _{t \in[0,1]}(T u)(t) \\
\leq & \frac{1+(\beta-\gamma)(1-\eta)}{\Delta} \lambda[u]+\frac{\beta}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}(1+s)(1-s)^{2} f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s \\
\leq & \frac{1+(\beta-\gamma)(1-\eta)}{\Delta} M_{1} M_{2}+\frac{\beta M_{3}}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) d s+\frac{5}{24} M_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $T(D)$ is uniformly bounded.
On the other hand, for any $\varepsilon>0$, since $k(t, s)$ is uniformly continuous on $[0,1] \times$ $[0,1]$, there exists $\delta_{1}(\varepsilon)>0$ such that for any $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0,1]$ with $\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|<\delta_{1}(\varepsilon)$,

$$
\left|k\left(t_{1}, s\right)-k\left(t_{2}, s\right)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3 M_{3}}, s \in[0,1] .
$$

Let $\delta=\min \left\{\delta_{1}(\varepsilon), \frac{\varepsilon \Delta}{3(\beta-\gamma) M_{1} M_{2}}, \frac{\varepsilon \Delta}{3(\beta-\gamma) M_{3} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) d s}\right\}$. Then for any $u \in D, t_{1}, t_{2} \in$
$[0,1]$ with $\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|<\delta$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|(T u)\left(t_{1}\right)-(T u)\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \\
= & \left\lvert\, \frac{(\beta-\gamma)\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)}{\Delta} \lambda[u]+\frac{(\beta-\gamma)\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s\right. \\
& +\int_{0}^{1}\left(k\left(t_{1}, s\right)-k\left(t_{2}, s\right)\right) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s \mid \\
\leq & \frac{(\beta-\gamma)\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|}{\Delta} \lambda[u]+\frac{(\beta-\gamma)\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{1}\left|k\left(t_{1}, s\right)-k\left(t_{2}, s\right)\right| f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s \\
\leq & \frac{(\beta-\gamma)\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right| M_{1} M_{2}}{\Delta}+\frac{(\beta-\gamma)\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right| M_{3}}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) d s \\
& +M_{3} \int_{0}^{1}\left|k\left(t_{1}, s\right)-k\left(t_{2}, s\right)\right| d s \\
< & \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $T(D)$ is equicontinuous. It follows from Arzela-Ascoli theorem that $T(D)$ is relatively compact. Thus, we have shown that $T$ is a compact operator.

Finally, we prove that $T$ is continuous. Suppose that $u_{n}, u \in K$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}=u$. Then there exists $M_{4}>0$ such that $\|u\| \leq M_{4}$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq M_{4}(n=1,2, \cdots)$. For any $\varepsilon>0$, since $f(s, x)$ is uniformly continuous on $[0,1] \times\left[0, M_{4}\right]$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that for any $x_{1}, x_{2} \in\left[0, M_{4}\right]$ with $\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|<\delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(s, x_{1}\right)-f\left(s, x_{2}\right)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{\frac{2 \beta}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) d s+\frac{5}{12}}, s \in[0,1] . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the same time, since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}=u$, there exists positive integer $N$ such that for any $n>N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|<\min \left\{\delta, \frac{\varepsilon \Delta}{2[1+(\beta-\gamma)(1-\eta)]|\Lambda(1)-\Lambda(0)|}\right\} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (14) and (15) that for any $n>N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|T u_{n}-T u\right\| \\
= & \max _{t \in[0,1]}\left|\left(T u_{n}\right)(t)-(T u)(t)\right| \\
\leq & \frac{1+(\beta-\gamma)(1-\eta)}{\Delta}\left|\lambda\left[u_{n}\right]-\lambda[u]\right|+\frac{\beta}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s)\left|f\left(s, u_{n}(\alpha(s))\right)-f(s, u(\alpha(s)))\right| d s \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}(1+s)(1-s)^{2}\left|f\left(s, u_{n}(\alpha(s))\right)-f(s, u(\alpha(s)))\right| d s \\
\leq & \frac{1+(\beta-\gamma)(1-\eta)}{\Delta}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\||\Lambda(1)-\Lambda(0)| \\
& +\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\beta}{\Delta} k(\eta, s)+\frac{1}{2}(1+s)(1-s)^{2}\right)\left|f\left(s, u_{n}(\alpha(s))\right)-f(s, u(\alpha(s)))\right| d s \\
< & \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

which indicates that $T$ is continuous. Therefore, $T: K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous. Similarly, we can prove that $S: K \rightarrow K$ is also completely continuous.

For convenience, we denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1} & =\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) d s+\int_{0}^{1} \kappa(s) d s, D_{2}=\frac{\beta}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) d s+\frac{5}{24} \\
D_{3} & =\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\Delta} \int_{\eta}^{1} k(\eta, s) d s+\int_{\eta}^{1} \kappa(s) d s \text { and } D_{4}=\frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\eta}^{1} k(\eta, s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\mu>\frac{1+(\beta-\gamma)(1-\eta)}{\Delta-\rho} D_{1}+D_{2} \text { and } 0<L<\beta\left(\frac{D_{3}}{\Delta-\rho}+D_{4}\right)
$$

THEOREM 2. Assume that there exist positive constants $a, b$ and $d$ with $a<b<$ $\frac{b}{\Gamma} \leq d$ such that
(A1) $f(t, u) \leq \frac{d}{\mu}$ for $(t, u) \in[0,1] \times[0, d]$,
(A2) $f(t, u) \geq \frac{b}{L}$ for $(t, u) \in[\eta, 1] \times\left[b, \frac{b}{\Gamma}\right]$, and
(A3) $f(t, u) \leq \frac{a}{\mu}$ for $(t, u) \in[0,1] \times[0, a]$.
Then the BVP (3) has at least three positive solutions $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ satisfying $\left\|u_{i}\right\| \leq$ $d(i=1,2,3)$ and

$$
\min _{t \in[\eta, 1]} u_{1}(t)>b,\left\|u_{2}\right\|>a \text { with } \min _{t \in[\eta, 1]} u_{2}(t)<b,\left\|u_{3}\right\|<a
$$

PROOF. For $u \in K$, we define

$$
\Phi(u)=\min _{t \in[\eta, 1]} u(t) \text { and } \varphi(u)=\Theta(u)=\Psi(u)=\|u\|
$$

Then it is easy to know that $\Phi$ is a nonnegative continuous concave functional on $K$ and $\varphi, \Theta$ and $\Psi$ are nonnegative continuous convex functionals on $K$. In order to apply Theorem 1 to prove our main results, we use the operator $S$ and take $c=b / \Gamma$.

We first assert that $S: \overline{K(\varphi, d)} \rightarrow \overline{K(\varphi, d)}$. Indeed, if $u \in \overline{K(\varphi, d)}$, then $0 \leq u(t) \leq$ $d, t \in[0,1]$, which together with (A1) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda[F u] & =\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s+\int_{0}^{1} \kappa(s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s \\
& \leq \frac{D_{1} d}{\mu} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|F u\| \\
= & \max _{t \in[0,1]}\left(\frac{\gamma+(\beta-\gamma) t}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s+\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s\right) \\
\leq & \frac{\beta}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}(1+s)(1-s)^{2} f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s \\
\leq & \frac{D_{2} d}{\mu} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (16) and (17), we have
$\varphi(S u)=\|S u\| \leq \frac{1+(\beta-\gamma)(1-\eta)}{\Delta-\rho} \lambda[F u]+\|F u\| \leq\left(\frac{1+(\beta-\gamma)(1-\eta)}{\Delta-\rho} D_{1}+D_{2}\right) \frac{d}{\mu} \leq d$.
This indicates that $S: \overline{K(\varphi, d)} \rightarrow \overline{K(\varphi, d)}$.
Next, we assert that $\{u \in K(\varphi, \Theta, \Phi, b, c, d): \Phi(u)>b\} \neq \phi$ and $\Phi(S u)>b$ for $u \in K(\varphi, \Theta, \Phi, b, c, d)$. In fact, the constant function $\frac{b+c}{2} \in\{u \in K(\varphi, \Theta, \Phi, b, c, d):$ $\Phi(u)>b\}$. Moreover, for $u \in K(\varphi, \Theta, \Phi, b, c, d)$, we know that $b \leq u(\alpha(t)) \leq c$ for $t \in[\eta, 1]$, which together with (A2) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda[F u] & =\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s+\int_{0}^{1} \kappa(s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s \\
& \geq \frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\Delta} \int_{\eta}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s+\int_{\eta}^{1} \kappa(s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s \\
& \geq \frac{D_{3} b}{L} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
(F u)(\eta) & =\frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\eta}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s \\
& \geq \frac{D_{4} b}{L} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (18) and (19), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(S u) & =\min _{t \in[\eta, 1]}(S u)(t) \\
& =\min ((S u)(\eta),(S u)(1)) \\
& =\min \left((S u)(\eta), \beta(S u)(\eta)+\frac{\Delta}{\Delta-\rho} \lambda[F u]\right) \\
& \geq \beta(S u)(\eta) \\
& =\beta\left(\frac{1}{\Delta-\rho} \lambda[F u]+(F u)(\eta)\right) \\
& \geq \beta\left(\frac{D_{3}}{\Delta-\rho}+D_{4}\right) \frac{b}{L} \\
& >b
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
Thirdly, we assert that $\Phi(S u)>b$ for $u \in K(\varphi, \Phi, b, d)$ with $\Theta(S u)>c$. To see this, we suppose $u \in K(\varphi, \Phi, b, d)$ and $\Theta(S u)=\|S u\|>c$. Then

$$
\Phi(S u)=\min _{t \in[\eta, 1]}(S u)(t) \geq \Gamma\|S u\|>\Gamma c=b
$$

Finally, we assert that $\theta \notin R(\varphi, \Psi, a, d)$ and $\Psi(S u)<a$ for $u \in R(\varphi, \Psi, a, d)$ with $\Psi(u)=a$. Indeed, it follows from $\Psi(\theta)=0<a$ that $\theta \notin R(\varphi, \Psi, a, d)$. Moreover, for $u \in R(\varphi, \Psi, a, d)$ and $\Psi(u)=a$, we know that $0 \leq u(t) \leq a$ for $t \in[0,1]$, which together with (A3) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda[F u] & =\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s+\int_{0}^{1} \kappa(s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s \\
& \leq \frac{D_{1} a}{\mu} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|F u\| \\
= & \max _{t \in[0,1]}\left(\frac{\gamma+(\beta-\gamma) t}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s+\int_{0}^{1} k(t, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s\right) \\
\leq & \frac{\beta}{\Delta} \int_{0}^{1} k(\eta, s) f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}(1+s)(1-s)^{2} f(s, u(\alpha(s))) d s \\
\leq & \frac{D_{2} a}{\mu} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (20) and (21), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi(S u) & =\|S u\| \\
& \leq \frac{1+(\beta-\gamma)(1-\eta)}{\Delta-\rho} \lambda[F u]+\|F u\| \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1+(\beta-\gamma)(1-\eta)}{\Delta-\rho} D_{1}+D_{2}\right) \frac{a}{\mu} \\
& <a
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
To sum up, all the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Hence, the BVP (3) has at least three positive solutions $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ satisfying $\left\|u_{i}\right\| \leq d(i=1,2,3)$ and

$$
\min _{t \in[\eta, 1]} u_{1}(t)>b,\left\|u_{2}\right\|>a \text { with } \min _{t \in[\eta, 1]} u_{2}(t)<b,\left\|u_{3}\right\|<a .
$$
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