ISSN 1607-2510

On A Sum Related By Non-trivial Zeros Of The Riemann Zeta Function^{*}

Mehdi Hassani[†]

Received 27 September 2010

Abstract

In this note we obtain explicit upper and lower bounds for the sum $\sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \gamma^{-1}$, where γ is the imaginary part of the non-trivial zero $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of $\zeta(s)$.

1 Introduction

The Riemann zeta function is defined for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(s) > 1$ by $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$, and extended by analytic continuation to the whole complex plan with a simple pole with residues 1 at s = 1. A symmetric functional equation for $\zeta(s)$ is

$$\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\zeta(s) = \pi^{-\frac{1-s}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)\zeta(1-s),$$

where $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt$ is the Euler's gamma function. $\Gamma(s)$ is meromorphic with simple poles at s = 0, -1, -2, ... (see [3]). By using these facts, we may see that trivial zeros (zeros on real line \mathbb{R}) of $\zeta(s)$ are s = -2, -4, -6, ... Furthermore, we get symmetry of non-trivial zeros (other zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ with properties $0 \le \beta \le 1$ and $\gamma \ne 0$) with respect to the vertical line $\Re(s) = 1/2$. Our intention in writing this paper is to approximate the function

$$A(T) = \sum_{\substack{0 < \gamma \le T \\ \zeta(\beta + i\gamma) = 0}} \frac{1}{\gamma}.$$

More precisely, we show the following.

THEOREM 1. Let $\gamma_1 = \min\{\gamma > 0 : \zeta(\beta + i\gamma) = 0\} \approx 14.13472514$. Then, for any $T > \gamma_1$ we have

$$\frac{3}{50} < A(T) - \left(\frac{1}{4\pi}\log^2 T - \frac{\log(2\pi)}{2\pi}\log T\right) < \frac{109}{250}.$$
 (1)

Our strategy to prove this result is to consider the zero counting function N(T) defined by

$$N(T) = \sum_{\substack{0 < \gamma \le T \\ \zeta(\beta + i\gamma) = 0}} 1,$$

^{*}Mathematics Subject Classifications: 11M06, 11M26.

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of Zanjan, University Blvd., 45371-38111 Zanjan, Iran.

and then translate known approximations about it to get desired approximations for A(T). The key for doing such translation is using Stieljes integration and integrating by parts. Indeed, if we assume that $1 < U \leq V$ and $\Phi(t) \in C^1(U, V)$ is a non-negative function, then we have

$$\sum_{U<\gamma\leq V} \Phi(\gamma) = \int_U^V \Phi(t) dN(t) = -\int_U^V N(t) \Phi'(t) dt + N(V) \Phi(V) - N(U) \Phi(U).$$

Among his various conjectures about the function $\zeta(s)$ and its non-trivial zeros, B. Riemann [5] claimed that

$$N(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi} + O(\log T).$$
 (2)

This conjecture of Riemann is proved by H. von Mangoldt more than 30 years later [1, 2]. An immediate consequence of (2), which follows by partial summation, is the asymptotic approximation

$$A(T) = O(\log^2 T).$$

To obtain a more precise approximation, we use the relation (2) by replacing $\Phi(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\gamma}$, and putting $0 < U < \gamma_1$ and V = T. We have

$$A(T) = \int_{U}^{T} \frac{dN(t)}{t} = \int_{U}^{T} \frac{N(t)}{t^{2}} dt + \frac{N(T)}{T}.$$
(3)

We substitute N(T) from (2) to obtain

$$A(T) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{U}^{T} \frac{\log\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)}{t} dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{U}^{T} \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \log\frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2\pi} + O\left(\int_{U}^{T} \frac{\log(t)}{t^{2}} dt\right) + O\left(\frac{\log T}{T}\right).$$

Then, we simplify the right hand side of this relation, and we let $U \to \gamma_1^-$. Therefore, we get

$$A(T) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \log^2 T - \frac{\log(2\pi)}{2\pi} \log T + O(1).$$

Now, we are very close to the truth of Theorem 1. Our remaining duty is to estimate the constant in error term O(1) in the last relation.

2 Proof of Theorem

The working engine of our paper is a result due to J. B. Rosser (Theorem 19 of [6]), which asserts that

$$|N(T) - F(T)| \le R(T)$$
 (for $T \ge 2$), (4)

where

$$F(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi} + \frac{7}{8}, \quad \text{and} \quad R(T) = \frac{137}{1000} \log T + \frac{433}{1000} \log \log T + \frac{397}{250},$$

M. Hassani

This is indeed an explicit version of (2), and it allows us to obtain some explicit approximations of A(T). In fact, by considering (4) and by using (3) with $2 \leq U < \gamma_1$, for every $T > \gamma_1$ we obtain

$$-\int_{U}^{T} \frac{R(t)}{t^{2}} dt + \frac{F(T) - R(T)}{T} \le A(T) - \int_{U}^{T} \frac{F(t)}{t^{2}} dt \le \int_{U}^{T} \frac{R(t)}{t^{2}} dt + \frac{F(T) + R(T)}{T}.$$

A simple calculation shows

$$\frac{F(t)}{t^2} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \log^2 t - \frac{1 + \log(2\pi)}{2\pi} \log t + \frac{\log^2(2\pi) - 2\log(2\pi)}{4\pi} - \frac{7}{8t} \right)$$

Also, we have

$$\frac{R(t)}{t^2} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(-\frac{433}{1000} \frac{\log\log t}{t} - \frac{137}{1000} \frac{\log t}{t} - \frac{69}{40t} - \frac{433}{1000} E(t) \right)$$

where $E(t) = \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{st^s}$. This integral converges for t > 1; in fact $E(t) \sim \frac{1}{t \log t}$ as $t \to \infty$. Moreover, by using the relation $\frac{d}{dt}E(t) = -\frac{1}{t^2 \log t}$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{t\log t} - \frac{1}{t\log^2 t} < E(t) < \frac{1}{t\log t} - \frac{31}{95t\log^2 t} \qquad (\text{for } t \ge 2).$$

Therefore, by letting $U \to \gamma_1^-$ we get the following explicit upper bound

$$A(T) < \frac{1}{4\pi} \log^2 T - \frac{\log(2\pi)}{2\pi} \log T + \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{au}} - \frac{137 \log^2 T + 433 \log T - 433}{1000T \log^2 T} \qquad (\text{for } T > \gamma_1),$$

where $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{au}} = 0.43596427 \cdots < \frac{109}{250}$. Since $137 \log^2 T + 433 \log T > 433$ is valid for $T \ge 2.222$, we obtain

$$A(T) < \frac{1}{4\pi} \log^2 T - \frac{\log(2\pi)}{2\pi} \log T + \frac{109}{250} \qquad \text{(for } T > \gamma_1\text{)}.$$

This completes the proof of the right hand side of (1). To prove the left hand side of (1), we follow same steps to get

$$A(T) > \frac{1}{4\pi} \log^2 T - \frac{\log(2\pi)}{2\pi} \log T + \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{al}} + \frac{274 \log^3 T + 866(\log\log T) \log^2 T + 3313 \log^2 T + 433 \log T - 433}{1000T \log^2 T}$$

for $T > \gamma_1$, where $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{al}} = 0.06058187 \cdots > \frac{3}{50}$. We note that for $T \ge 2$ the last fraction in the above inequality is strictly positive. Thus, we obtain

$$A(T) > \frac{1}{4\pi} \log^2 T - \frac{\log(2\pi)}{2\pi} \log T + \frac{3}{50} \qquad \text{(for } T > \gamma_1\text{)}.$$

,

References

- H. Davenport, Multiplicative Number Theory (Second Edition), Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [2] A. Ivić, The Riemann Zeta Function, John Wiley & sons, 1985.
- [3] N. N. Lebedev, Special Functions and their Applications, Translated and edited by Richard A. Silverman, Dover Publications, New York, 1972.
- [4] A. Odlyzko, Tables of zeros of the Riemann zeta function: http://www.dtc.umn.edu/~odlyzko/zeta_tables/
- [5] B. Riemann, Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grosse (On the Number of Prime Numbers less than a Given Quantity), Monatsberichte der Berliner Akademie, November 1859.
- [6] J. B. Rosser, Explicit bounds for some functions of prime numbers, Amer. J. Math., 63(1941), 211–232.