# Values Taken By Linear Combinations of Cosine Functions<sup>\*</sup>

Vichian Laohakosol, Pinthira Tangsupphathawat and Supreedee Dangskul<sup>†</sup>

Received 2 October 2010

#### Abstract

For  $\theta, \beta \in [-\pi, \pi)$  and  $\theta \notin \{-\pi, 0\}$ , it is shown that as n runs through the nonnegative integers, the nonzero sequence  $(\cos(n\theta + \beta))$  takes infinitely many positive and negative values; and if  $\theta = s/t$  is a rational multiple of  $\pi$ , the sequence is purely periodic whose least period is equal to t when s is even and equal to 2t when s is odd; while if  $\theta$  is not a rational multiple of  $\pi$ , the range of values of the sequence is dense in the unit interval (0, 1). Any sequence of the form  $\left(\sum_{r}^{d} \alpha_r \cos(2n\pi s_r/t_r) + \beta_r\right)$ , with rational  $s_r/t_r$  belonging to the unit interval (0, 1), is shown to be purely periodic whose least period is equal to the least common multiple of  $t_1, \ldots, t_d$ .

### 1 Introduction

Let  $\theta, \beta \in [-\pi, \pi)$  and  $\theta \notin \{-\pi, 0\}$ . The distribution of the values of  $\cos(n\theta + \beta)$  as n varies over the nonnegative integers  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  has been of much interest recently. To mention one instance, in the course of establishing the positivity of elements in a binary sequence, it is shown by Halava, Harju and Hirvensalo ([2, Lemma 5], see also [3]) using elementary means that the inequality  $\cos(n\theta + \beta) \ge 0$  cannot hold for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We endeavor here to carry out two particular tasks. In the next section, we improve upon the above-mentioned result of Halava-Harju-Hirvensalo by showing that both positive and negative values occur infinitely often. When  $\theta$  is not a rational multiple of  $\pi$ , through the use of a theorem of Kronecker in Diophantine approximation, we show even more that the value set of such cosine function is dense in the closed interval [-1, 1].

Our second task arises from a result of Bell and Gerhold, [1, Lemma 8], dealing with a linear combination of cosine functions which states that: If  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$  are rational

<sup>\*</sup>Mathematics Subject Classifications: 33B10, 30D35, 11B83.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900, and Centre of Excellence in Mathematics, CHE, Si Ayutthaya Road, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

numbers in the open interval (0, 1), and if  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$  are real numbers such that the purely periodic sequence

$$u_n = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \cos(2\pi\theta_i n + \beta_i)$$

is not identically zero, then the sequence  $(u_n)$  takes both positive and negative values.

Our next objective is to obtain more information about the periodicity of the sequence  $(u_n)$ . We show that the least period of the sequence  $(u_n)$  is exactly equal to T, the least common multiple of the denominators  $t_1, \ldots, t_d$ .

### 2 Positive and Negative Values

We begin with a result on negative values. For an angle  $\gamma$ , it is convenient to use the phrase  $\gamma \mod (-\pi, \pi]$ , when we mean an angle  $\gamma_0 \in (-\pi, \pi]$  for which  $\gamma \equiv \gamma_0 \mod 2\pi$ . Clearly, the method employed here can also be adapted to examine the values taken by other trigonometric functions.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let  $\theta, \beta \in [-\pi, \pi)$  and  $\theta \notin \{-\pi, 0\}$ . There are infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $\cos(n\theta + \beta) < 0$ .

PROOF. Assume that there are only finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , say  $n_1, \ldots, n_L$ , such that

$$\cos(n\theta + \beta) < 0 \quad (n = n_1, \dots, n_L).$$

Thus,

$$\cos\left(\left((n_L+1)\theta+\beta\right)+k\theta\right) = \cos\left((n_L+1+k)\theta+\beta\right) \ge 0$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , which contradicts the result in Lemma 5 of [2] when  $\varphi$  is replaced by  $(n_L + 1)\theta + \beta \mod (-\pi, \pi]$ .

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let  $\theta, \beta \in [-\pi, \pi)$  and  $\theta \notin \{-\pi, 0\}$ .

(i) The relation  $\cos(n\theta + \beta) \leq 0$  cannot hold for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

(ii) There are infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $\cos(n\theta + \beta) > 0$ .

PROOF. (i) Assume that  $\cos(n\theta + \beta) \leq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , which implies that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$n\theta + \beta \in \left[\frac{-3\pi}{2} + k2\pi, \frac{-\pi}{2} + k2\pi\right],$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\beta \in [-\pi, -\pi/2]$  or  $\beta \in [\pi/2, \pi)$ . If  $\beta \in [-\pi, -\pi/2]$ , since  $|\theta| < \pi$ , we have

$$\theta+\beta\in\left[\frac{-3\pi}{2},\frac{-\pi}{2}\right].$$

Inductively, we obtain  $n\theta + \beta \in [-3\pi/2, -\pi/2]$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Since  $\theta \neq 0$ , this implies  $|n\theta + \beta| \to \infty \quad (n \to \infty)$ , which is a contradiction.

If  $\beta \in [\pi/2, \pi)$ , since  $|\theta| < \pi$ , we have

$$\theta + \beta \in \left[\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}\right].$$

Inductively, we obtain  $n\theta + \beta \in [\pi/2, 3\pi/2]$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Since  $\theta \neq 0$ , this implies  $|n\theta + \beta| \to \infty \quad (n \to \infty)$ , which is again a contradiction.

(ii) Assume that there are only finitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , say  $n_1, \ldots, n_L$ , such that

 $\cos(n\theta + \beta) > 0 \quad (n = n_1, \dots, n_L).$ 

Thus,

$$\cos\left(\left((n_L+1)\theta+\beta\right)+k\theta\right)=\cos\left((n_L+1+k)\theta+\beta\right)\leq 0$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , which contradicts the preceding lemma when  $\beta$  is replaced by  $(n_L+1)\theta+\beta \mod (-\pi,\pi]$ .

Next, we derive more information by invoking upon a result in Diophantine approximation known as the Dirichlet approximation theorem.

THEOREM 2.3. Let  $\theta, \beta \in [-\pi, \pi)$  with  $\theta \notin \{-\pi, 0\}$ .

- (a) If  $\theta = s\pi/t$   $(s \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ gcd}(s, t) = 1)$  is a rational multiple of  $\pi$ , then the sequence  $(\cos(n\theta + \beta))_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is purely periodic. Moreover, if s is even, then the least period of the sequence  $(\cos(n\theta + \beta))_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is t, while if s is odd, then its least period is 2t.
- (b) If  $\theta$  is not a rational multiple of  $\pi$ , then as n varies over  $\mathbb{N}_0$  the range of values of  $\cos(n\theta + \beta)$  is dense in [-1, 1].

PROOF. (a) Since the cosine function is periodic of period  $2\pi$ , the sequence

$$\cos(n\theta + \beta) = \cos\left(\frac{ns\pi}{t} + \beta\right) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

is purely periodic with at most 2t values in a period, corresponding to n = 0, 1, 2, ..., 2t - 1, namely,

$$\cos\beta$$
,  $\cos\left(\frac{s\pi}{t}+\beta\right)$ ,  $\cos\left(\frac{2s\pi}{t}+\beta\right)$ , ...,  $\cos\left(\frac{s(2t-1)\pi}{t}+\beta\right)$ .

If t = 1, then either  $\theta = 2k\pi$  or  $\theta = (2k+1)\pi$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In either case,  $\theta \notin [-\pi, \pi) \setminus \{-\pi, 0\}$ , and so there is nothing to consider.

Let now  $t \ge 2$ . If s is even, say s = 2k, then t must be odd and the sequence

$$\cos(n\theta + \beta) = \cos\left(\frac{2nk\pi}{t} + \beta\right) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

is periodic of period t with values in each period being

$$\cos\beta$$
,  $\cos\left(\frac{2k\pi}{t}+\beta\right)$ ,  $\cos\left(\frac{4k\pi}{t}+\beta\right)$ , ...,  $\cos\left(\frac{2k(t-1)\pi}{t}+\beta\right)$ .

If t is not the least period, let its least period be  $\ell$ . Thus  $\ell \mid t, \ \ell < t$  and

$$\cos\beta = \cos\left(\frac{2k\ell\pi}{t} + \beta\right), \ \cos\left(\frac{2k\pi}{t} + \beta\right) = \cos\left(\frac{2k(\ell+1)\pi}{t} + \beta\right), \dots$$

The first equality yields two possibilities

$$\frac{2k\ell\pi}{t} + \beta = 2N\pi \pm \beta \text{ for some } N \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The possibility  $2k\ell\pi/t + \beta = 2N\pi + \beta$  yields  $N\pi = k\ell\pi/t$ , which is impossible because  $t \nmid k\ell$ ,  $t \geq 3$  and so only the other possibility can hold which yields  $\beta = N\pi - k\ell\pi/t$ . Similarly, the second inequality yields  $\beta = M\pi - 2k\pi/t - k\ell\pi/t$  for some  $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Equating the two values of  $\beta$  gives  $(N - M)\pi = -2k\pi/t$  which is not tenable because  $t \nmid (2k), t \geq 3$ . Consequently, t is the least period.

If s = 2k + 1 is odd, then the sequence

$$\cos(n\theta + \beta) = \cos\left(\frac{n(2k+1)\pi}{t} + \beta\right) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

is periodic of period 2t with values in each period being

$$\cos\beta$$
,  $\cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{t}+\beta\right)$ ,  $\cos\left(\frac{2(2k+1)\pi}{t}+\beta\right)$ , ...,  $\cos\left(\frac{(2t-1)(2k+1)\pi}{t}+\beta\right)$ .

There remains to show that 2t is the least period in this case. If 2t is not the least period, let its least period be m. Thus  $m \mid 2t$ , m < 2t and

$$\cos\beta = \cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)m\pi}{t} + \beta\right), \ \cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{t} + \beta\right) = \cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)(m+1)\pi}{t} + \beta\right),$$

etc. The first equality yields two possibilities

$$\frac{(2k+1)m\pi}{t} + \beta = 2N\pi \pm \beta \text{ for some } N \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The possibility  $(2k + 1)m\pi/t + \beta = 2N\pi + \beta$  yields  $N\pi = (2k + 1)m\pi/2t$ , which is impossible because  $2t \nmid (2k + 1)m$ ,  $t \ge 2$  and so only the other possibility can hold which yields  $2\beta = 2N\pi - (2k + 1)m\pi/t$ . Similarly, the second inequality yields  $2\beta = 2M\pi - 2(2k + 1)\pi/t - (2k + 1)m\pi/t$  for some  $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Equating the two values of  $\beta$  gives  $(N - M)\pi = -(2k + 1)\pi/t$  which is not tenable because  $t \nmid (2k + 1)$ ,  $t \ge 2$ . Consequently, 2t is the least period.

(b) Assume that  $\theta$  is not a rational multiple of  $\pi$ , say  $\theta = \vartheta \cdot 2\pi$ , where  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Writing

$$\vartheta = [\vartheta] + \xi,$$

where  $[\vartheta]$  denotes its integer part, and  $\xi := \{\vartheta\} \in (0,1)$  denotes its fractional part which must be irrational, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have

$$\cos(n\theta + \beta) = \cos(n\vartheta 2\pi + \beta) = \cos(2n\xi\pi + \beta) = \cos(\{n\xi\} 2\pi + \beta).$$

Since  $\xi$  is irrational, by the Kronecker's approximation theorem, see e.g. Corollary 6.4 on page 75 of [4], we know the set  $\{\{n\xi\}; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense in [0,1]. Consequently, the set  $\{\{n\xi\}2\pi; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense in  $[0,2\pi]$ , implying that the range of values of  $\cos(\{n\xi\} 2\pi + \beta)$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$  is dense in [-1, 1].

When  $\theta$  is a rational multiple of  $\pi$ , the values in a period can be distinct or otherwise as seen in the next example.

EXAMPLE 1. Take  $\theta = s\pi/t = 2\pi/3$ ,  $\beta = 0$ . The sequence

$$(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} = \left(\cos\left(\frac{2n\pi}{3}\right)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$$

is periodic of length 3 with each period being

$$\cos(0) = 1$$
,  $\cos(2\pi/3) = -1/2$ ,  $\cos(4\pi/3) = -1/2$ ,

showing that there are exactly 2 < 3 = t distinct sequence values.

EXAMPLE 2. Take  $\theta = s\pi/t = 2\pi/3$ ,  $\beta = \pi/2$ . The sequence

$$(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} = \left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{2n\pi}{3}\right)\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$$

is periodic of length 3 with each period being

$$\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 0, \ \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \ \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{4\pi}{3}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2},$$

showing that there are exactly 3 = t distinct sequence values.

## 3 Linear Combination of Cosine Functions

In this section, we consider a linear combination of cosine functions. Such expression is always periodic as we now see.

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $\theta_1 = s_1/t_1, \ldots, \theta_d = s_d/t_d$   $(s_r, t_r \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ gcd}(s_r, t_r) = 1)$  be rational numbers in the open interval (0, 1), and let  $\alpha_r, \beta_r$  be real numbers such that the purely periodic sequence

$$u_n = \sum_{r=1}^d \alpha_r \cos(2\pi\theta_r n + \beta_r)$$

is not identically zero. Let  $T := l.c.m.(t_1, \ldots, t_d)$ . Then the least period of the sequence  $(u_n)$  is a divisor of T.

PROOF. As seen in the proof of Theorem 2.3, the sequence

$$u_n = \sum_{r=1}^d \alpha_r \cos\left(2n\pi \frac{s_r}{t_r} + \beta_r\right) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

188

#### Laohakosol et al.

is purely periodic with a period T and the values in such a period correspond to  $n \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., T-1\}$ , namely,

$$\sum_{r=1}^{d} \alpha_r \cos \beta_r, \sum_{r=1}^{d} \alpha_r \cos \left( 2\pi \frac{s_r}{t_r} + \beta_r \right), \sum_{r=1}^{d} \alpha_r \cos \left( 4\pi \frac{s_r}{t_r} + \beta_r \right), \dots,$$
$$\sum_{r=1}^{d} \alpha_r \cos \left( 2(T-1)\pi \frac{s_r}{t_r} + \beta_r \right).$$

From Theorem 3.1, there then arises a natural question of determining when T is the least period. A rather surprising fact that T is always the least period of such sequence is now proved. To proceed further, let us note the following useful fact. Since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{T-1} \exp\left(2i\pi n s_r / t_r\right) = 0,$$

it follows that  $\sum_{n=0}^{T-1} u_n = 0.$ 

THEOREM 3.2. For  $r \in \{1, 2, ..., d\}$ , let  $s_r/t_r$   $(s_r, t_r \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ gcd}(s_r, t_r) = 1)$  be rational numbers in the unit interval (0, 1), and let  $\alpha_r \neq 0$ ,  $\beta_r$  be real numbers. If the purely periodic, non-identically zero sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is defined by

$$u_n = \sum_{r=1}^d \alpha_r \cos\left(\frac{2\pi n s_r}{t_r} + \beta_r\right),$$

then its least period is equal to  $T := l.c.m.(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_d)$ .

PROOF. Let  $\ell$  be the least period of  $(u_n)$ . Since  $\ell \mid T$ , let  $T = \ell L$  for some  $L \in \mathbb{N}$ . We proceed to prove the theorem by induction on d.

The case d = 1 is contained in Part (a) of Theorem 2.3. Assume now that  $d \ge 2$  and that the theorem holds up to d - 1.

If  $t_r \mid \ell$  for every  $r \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$ , then  $\ell = T$  and we are done. Suppose then that not all of the  $t_r$ 's divide  $\ell$ . If there are some  $t_r$ 's that divide  $\ell$  and some  $t_r$ 's that do not divide  $\ell$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $\ell$  is divisible by  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m$ , but not divisible by  $t_{m+1}, t_{m+2}, \ldots, t_d$  for some  $m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d-1\}$ . For  $k, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have

$$\begin{split} Lu_j &= \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} u_{k\ell+j} = \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} \sum_{r=1}^d \alpha_r \cos\left(\frac{2\pi s_r}{t_r}(k\ell+j) + \beta_r\right) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^m \alpha_r \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi s_r}{t_r}j + \beta_r\right) + \sum_{r=m+1}^d \alpha_r \operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{L-1} \exp\left(\frac{2i\pi s_r}{t_r}(k\ell+j) + i\beta_r\right)\right) \\ &= L \sum_{r=1}^m \alpha_r \cos\left(\frac{2\pi s_r}{t_r}j + \beta_r\right) \\ &+ \sum_{r=m+1}^d \alpha_r \operatorname{Re}\left(\exp\left(\frac{2i\pi s_r}{t_r}j + i\beta_r\right) \frac{1 - \exp\left(2i\pi\ell L s_r/t_r\right)}{1 - \exp\left(2i\pi\ell s_r/t_r\right)}\right) \end{split}$$

$$= L \sum_{r=1}^{m} \alpha_r \cos\left(\frac{2\pi s_r}{t_r}j + \beta_r\right).$$

Thus,  $u_j = \sum_{r=1}^m \alpha_r \cos\left(\frac{2\pi s_r}{t_r}j + \beta_r\right)$ , which shows that  $u_n$  has  $m \leq d-1$  terms, the induction hypothesis finishes this case.

If none of the  $t_r$ 's divides  $\ell$ , the same arguments as in the last steps shows that  $u_n \equiv 0$ , which is untenable.

We remark that the above proof can clearly be applied, with appropriate adjustments, to certain other trigonometric functions and/or periodic functions.

Acknowledgment. The authors are supported by the Commission on Higher Education, the Thailand Research Fund RTA5180005, and the Centre of Excellence in Mathematics, CHE, Thailand.

#### References

- J. P. Bell and S. Gerhold, On the positivity set of a linear recurrence sequence, Israel J. Math. 157(2007), 333-345.
- [2] V. Halava, T. Harju and M. Hirvensalo, Positivity of second order linear recurrent sequences, Discrete Applied Math., 154(2006), 447-451.
- [3] V. Laohakosol and P. Tangsupphathawat, Positivity of third order linear recurrence sequences, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 157(2009), 3239-3248.
- [4] I. Niven, Irrational Numbers, The Carus Mathematical Monographs Number 11, The Mathematical Association of America, 1967.