# Finite Fractal Dimension Of Pullback Attractors And Application To Non-Autonomous Reaction Diffusion Equations<sup>\*</sup>

Yongjun Li<sup>†</sup>, Suyun Wang<sup>‡</sup>, Jinying Wei<sup>§</sup>

Received 27 February 2009

#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the asymptotic behavior of dissipative non-autonomous PDEs in the framework of a process. In particular, we give sufficient conditions for the pullback attractor with finite fractal dimension. As an example, the result is applied to a non-autonomous reaction diffusion equation.

### 1 Introduction

In recent years, there is much literature on the study of the asymptotic behavior of nonautonomous PDEs (see [1-3, 8, 10]), and the theory of attractors for non-autonomous dynamical system is developed in the framework of evolutionary process  $U(t, \tau)$ . The solutions of non-autonomous dynamical systems depend on two time variables (the final time t and initial time  $\tau$ ). For stochastic PDEs, Crauel and Flandoli [9] developed the theory and introduced a more general concept of (random) pullback attractor. As a consequence, pullback attractors have been successfully used to study the asymptotic behavior of general non-autonomous and stochastic PDEs, and one of the main results refers to the finite dimensionality of pullback attractor. However, there are only a few results on their finite dimensionality. J. A. Langa in [1] studies the finite fractal dimension of a process, which needs the union of pullback attractors to be relatively compact [4,6,11], i.e., if  $\hat{A} = \{A(t) : t \in R\}$  is a pullback attractor for a process  $U(t, \tau)$ , then  $\bigcup_{\tau \leq T} A(\tau)$  needs to be relatively compact. In fact, for general process,  $\bigcup_{\tau \leq T} A(\tau)$ is not necessary relatively compact, and even if  $\bigcup_{\tau \leq T} A(\tau)$  is relatively compact, it is difficult to provide a proof. Motivated by these problems, we present a new method to

prove the finite dimensionality of pullback attractors. The method has been successfully applied to autonomous dynamical systems [6], but to our knowledge, it has not been applied to non-autonomous dynamical systems. We develop this theory and apply it to non-autonomous systems.

<sup>\*</sup>Mathematics Subject Classifications: 35K57, 35B40, 35B41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>School of Mathematics, Lanzhou City University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730070, P. R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>School of Mathematics, Lanzhou City University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730070, P. R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>School of Mathematics, Lanzhou City University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730070, P. R. China

### 2 Preliminaries

Let X be a complete metric space, and  $U(t, \tau)$  be a process in X, i.e.,

(1)  $U(t,s)U(s,\tau) = U(t,\tau), \forall t \ge s \ge \tau$ , and

(2)  $U(\tau, \tau) = Id$ , is the identity operator,  $\tau \in R$ .

In general, we interpret  $U(t, \tau)x_0$  as the solution of a non-autonomous equation at time t which was at  $x_0$  in U at the initial date  $\tau$ .

DEFINITION 1 ([7,8,10]). A bounded subset  $B_0$  of X is called a uniformly pullback absorbing set for the process  $\{U(t,\tau)\}_{t\geq\tau}$  if for every bounded set B of X, there exists a  $\tau_0(B) \geq 0$  such that

$$U(t, t-\tau)B \subset B_0 \text{ for all } \tau \geq \tau_0,$$

here  $\tau_0$  does not depend on the choice of t.

DEFINITION 2 ([1,2,7,8,10]). The family  $\hat{A} = \{A(t) : t \in R\}$  is said to be a pullback attractor for  $U(t,\tau)$  if

(1) A(t) is compact for all  $t \in R$ ,

(2) A is invariant, i.e.,  $U(t,\tau)A(\tau) = A(t)$  for all  $t \ge \tau$ ,

(3)  $\hat{A}$  is pullback attracting, i.e.,  $\lim_{\tau \to -\infty} dist((U(t,\tau)B, A(t)) = 0)$ , for any bounded  $B \subset X$ , and all  $t \in R$ , where  $dist(C, D) = \sup_{y \in C} \inf_{x \in D} ||y - x||_X$  denotes the Hausdorff semidistance for arbitrary set  $C, D \in X$ ,

(4) if  $\{C(t)\}_{t \in R}$  is another family of closed attracting sets, then  $A(t) \subset C(t)$  for all  $t \in R$ .

We recall that the attracting sets  $\{C(t)\}_{t\in \mathbb{R}}$  is that for any bounded  $B \subset X$ ,

$$\lim_{\tau \to -\infty} dist((U(t,\tau)B, C(t)) = 0$$

Given a compact  $K \subset X$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we denote by  $N(K, \varepsilon)$  the minimum number of open balls in X with radius  $\varepsilon$  which are necessary to cover K.

DEFINITION 3 ([4-6]). For any nonempty compact set  $K \subset X$ , the fractal dimension of K is the number

$$\dim_f(K) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup \frac{\log N(K, \varepsilon)}{\log(1/\varepsilon)}.$$
(1)

#### **3** Estimates of the Fractal Dimension

LEMMA 1 ([6]). Let  $B_r$  be a ball of the radius r in  $R^d$  equipped with Euclidean norm  $|\cdot|$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist a finite set  $\{x_k : k = 1, 2, \ldots, n_{\varepsilon}\} \subset B_r$  such that  $B_r \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_{\varepsilon}} \{x \in R^d : |x - x_k| < \varepsilon\}$  and  $n_{\varepsilon} \leq (1 + \frac{2r}{\varepsilon})^d$ .

THEOREM 1. Let  $U(t, \tau)$  be a process in a separable Hilbert space H, B be a uniformly pullback absorbing set in H,  $\hat{A} = \{A(t) : t \in R\}$  be a pullback attractor for  $U(t, \tau)$ , if there exists a finite dimensional projection P in the space H such that

$$\|P(U(t,t-T_0)u_1 - U(t,t-T_0)u_2)\| \le l(T_0)\|u_1 - u_2\|$$
(2)

Li et al.

for all  $u_1, u_2 \in B$  and some  $T_0, l(T_0) > 0$ , and

$$\|(I-P)(U(t,t-T_0)u_1 - U(t,t-T_0)u_2)\| \le \delta \|u_1 - u_2\|$$
(3)

for all  $u_1, u_2 \in B$ , where  $\delta < 1$  and  $T_0$  and  $l(T_0)$  are independent on the choice of t, and  $\|\cdot\|$  is the norm in H. Then the family of pullback attractors  $\hat{A} = \{A(t) : t \in R\}$ possesses a finite fractal dimension, specifically

$$\dim_f(A(t)) \le \dim P \log\left(1 + \frac{8l(T_0)}{1 - \delta}\right) \left[\log\frac{2}{1 + \delta}\right]^{-1}, \ \forall t \in R.$$
(4)

We need the following Lemma 2 to prove the theorem.

LEMMA 2. Let  $A(t - T_0) \in \hat{A}$  such that equation (2) and (3) hold. Then for any q > 0 and  $\varepsilon > 0$  the following estimate holds

$$N(U(t,t-T_0)A(t-T_0),\varepsilon) \le \left(1+\frac{4l}{q}\right)^n N\left(A(t-T_0),\frac{\varepsilon}{q+\delta}\right),\tag{5}$$

where  $n = \dim P$  is the dimension of the projector P.

PROOF. Let  $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{\varepsilon}{q+\delta}$ , since  $A(t-T_0)$  is compact, there exist finite closed subset  $F_i \subset B$  (since B is uniformly pullback absorbing set in H, we can find a suitable B satisfying the condition) and  $A(t-T_0) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N(t-T_0, \varepsilon_0)} F_i$ , with the diameter  $F_i$  does not exceed  $2\varepsilon_0$ . (2) implies that in PH there exists ball  $B_i$  with radius  $2l\varepsilon_0$  such that  $P(U(t, t-T_0)F_i \subset B_i)$ , by Lemma 1 there exists a covering  $\{B_{ij}\}_{j=1}^{N_i}$  of the set  $B_i$  with balls of diameter  $2q\varepsilon_0$ , where  $N_i \leq (1 + \frac{4l}{q})^n$ , therefore, the collection

$$\{G_{ij} = B_{ij} + (I - P)U(t, t - T_0)F_i : i = 1, 2, ..., N(A(t - T_0), \varepsilon_0), j = 1, 2, ..., N_i\}$$

is a covering of the set  $U(t, t - T_0)A(t - T_0)$ .

Obviously that

diam 
$$G_{ij} \leq diam B_{ij} + diam (I - P)U(t, t - T_0)F_i$$

(3) implies that  $diam(I-P)U(t,t-T_0)F_i \leq 2\delta\varepsilon_0$ . Therefore,

diam 
$$G_{ij} \leq 2(q+\delta)\varepsilon_0$$
.

Hence,  $N(A(t), \varepsilon) = N(U(t, t - T_0)A(t - T_0), \varepsilon) \le (1 + \frac{4l}{q})^n N(A(t - T_0), \frac{\varepsilon}{q+\delta}).$ Next, we use Lemma 2 to prove Theorem 1.

PROOF. The proof of (5) does not depend on t and by Definition 2, we get

$$A(t) = U(t, t - T_0)A(t - T_0),$$
  
$$A(t - T_0) = U(t - T_0, t - 2T_0)A(t - 2T_0),$$

so we have

$$N(A(t-T_0), \frac{\varepsilon}{q+\delta}) = N(U(t-T_0, t-2T_0)A(t-2T_0), \frac{\varepsilon}{(q+\delta)})$$
  
$$\leq \left(1 + \frac{4l}{q}\right)^n N\left(A(t-2T_0), \frac{\varepsilon}{(q+\delta)^2}\right).$$

It follows that

$$N(A(t),\varepsilon) \le \left(1 + \frac{4l}{q}\right)^{nm} N\left(A(t - mT_0), \frac{\varepsilon}{(q+\delta)^m}\right)$$

We choose q and  $m(\varepsilon)$ , such that  $q + \delta < 1$ ,  $\frac{\varepsilon}{(\delta+q)^m} > diamB$ , since when  $\frac{\varepsilon}{(\delta+q)^m} > diamB$ , we only need one ball covering  $A(t - mT_0)$ , i.e.,

$$N\left(A(t-mT_0), \frac{\varepsilon}{(q+\delta)^m}\right) = 1.$$

Let  $m(\varepsilon) = \left[\frac{\log \varepsilon - \log \operatorname{diam}B}{\log(q+\delta)}\right] + 1$ , where [z] is an integer part of the number z. Consequently, we get

$$\dim_{f}(A(t)) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup \frac{\log N(A(t), \varepsilon)}{\log(1/\varepsilon)}$$

$$\leq n \log(1 + \frac{4l}{q}) \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup \frac{m(\varepsilon)}{\log(1/\varepsilon)}$$

$$\leq n \log(1 + \frac{4l}{q}) \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\frac{\log \varepsilon - \log diamB}{\log(q+\delta)} + 1\right) / \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$$

$$= n \log(1 + \frac{4l}{q}) [\log \frac{1}{q+\delta}]^{-1}.$$

Let  $q = \frac{1-\delta}{2}$ , we get  $\dim_f(A(t)) \leq \dim P\left(\log(1+\frac{8l}{1-\delta})\right) \left[\log\frac{2}{1+\delta}\right]^{-1}$ .

## 4 Finite Fractal Dimension of Non-Autonomous Reaction Diffusion Equations

The purpose of this section is to apply the theoretical results from Section 3 to a non-autonomous reaction diffusion equation.

We consider the following non-autonomous differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_t - \Delta u + f(u) &= g(t), \quad x \in \Omega, \\
 u|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0, \\
 u(\tau) &= u_{\tau},
\end{aligned}$$
(6)

where  $f \in C^1(R, R)$ ,  $g(\cdot) \in L^2_{loc}(R, L^2(\Omega))$ ,  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $R^n$  and there exist  $p \ge 2$ ,  $c_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, ..., 5, l \in R$  such that

$$c_1|s|^p - c_2 \le f(s)s \le c_3|s|^p + c_4, \tag{7}$$

$$f'(s) \ge -l, \ f(0) = 0, \ |f'(s)| \le c_5(1+|s|^{p-2})$$
(8)

for all  $s \in R$ .

Denote  $H = L^2(\Omega)$  with norm  $|\cdot|$  and scalar product  $(\cdot)$ ,  $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$  with norm  $||\cdot||$ ,  $|\cdot|_k$  is the norm of  $L^k(\Omega)$  and c is a constant which may change from line to line and even in the same line.

Li et al.

Suppose that the function g(t) is translation bounded in  $L^2_{loc}(R; H)$  that is,

$$|g|_{b}^{2} = \sup_{t \in R} \int_{h}^{h+1} |g(s)|^{2} ds < \infty.$$
(9)

THEOREM 2 ([7]). If g(t) is translation bounded in  $L^2_{loc}(R; H)$ , f(s) satisfies conditions (7) and (8) where  $2 \leq p < \infty$  for spatial dimensions  $n \leq 2$  and  $2 \leq p \leq \frac{n}{n-2} + 1$  for spatial dimensions  $n \geq 3$ , then the process  $U(t, \tau)$  corresponding to problem (6) possesses a uniformly pullback absorbing set B and a pullback attractors  $\hat{A} = \{A(t) : t \in R\}$  in V.

We set  $A = -\Delta$ , since  $A^{-1}$  is a continuous compact operator in H, by the classical spectral theorem, there exist a sequence  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ ,

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_j \leq \cdots, \quad \lambda_j \to +\infty, \text{ as } j \to \infty,$$

and a family of elements  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  which are orthogonal in H such that

$$Ae_j = \lambda_j e_j \quad \forall j \in N.$$

Let  $H_m = span\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_m\}$  in H and  $P : H \to H_m$  be the orthogonal projection. For any  $u \in H$  we write

$$u = Pu + (I - P)u \triangleq u_1 + u_2.$$

THEOREM 3. Assume that g(t) and f(s) satisfy conditions of Theorem 2 and B is the uniformly pullback absorbing set in V corresponding to problem (6). Then the pullback attractor  $\hat{A} = \{A(t) : t \in R\}$  corresponding to problem (6) possesses a finite fractal dimension in V and

$$\dim_f(A(t)) \le n \log\left(1 + \frac{8l_0}{1 - \delta}\right) \left[\log(\frac{2}{1 + \delta})\right]^{-1}$$

where  $l_0 = e^{2l}$ ,  $\delta = e^{-\lambda_n} + \frac{c}{\lambda_n}$ , we choose *n* large enough so that  $\delta < 1$ .

PROOF. Let u(t) be the solution of equation (6) with initial data  $u_{\tau}$ , taking inner product of (6) with  $-\Delta u$  in H, we easily obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u(t)\|^2 + |\triangle u|^2 + (f(u), -\triangle u) = (g(t), -\triangle u).$$

Since

$$|(g(t), -\Delta u)| \le |g(t)||\Delta u| \le \frac{1}{2}|g(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\Delta u|^2,$$

and using (8), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|^2 \le 2l \|u(t)\|^2 + |g(t)|^2,$$

and consequently, by Gronwall's lemma,

$$\|u(t,\tau)\|^{2} \leq e^{2l(t-\tau)} \|u_{\tau}\|^{2} + e^{2lt} \int_{\tau}^{t} e^{-2ls} |g(s)|^{2} ds.$$
(10)

We set  $u_1(t) = u(t,\tau)u_{1\tau}$  and  $u_2(t) = u(t,\tau)u_{2\tau}$  to be solutions associated with equation (6) with initial data  $u_{1\tau}, u_{2\tau} \in B$ . Since B is the uniformly pullback absorbing set in V, there exists M > 0, such that  $||u_{i\tau}||^2 \leq M$  for i = 1, 2.

Let  $w = u_1(t) - u_2(t)$ , by (6), we get

$$w_t - \Delta w + f(u_1(t)) - f(u_2(t)) = 0.$$
(11)

Taking inner product of (11) with  $-\Delta w$  in H, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|w\|^2 + |\triangle w|^2 + (f(u_1) - f(u_2), -\triangle w) = 0,$$

from (8), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|w\|^2 \le 2l\|w\|^2,$$

hence

$$\|w(t)\|^{2} \le \|w(\tau)\|^{2} e^{2l(t-\tau)}.$$
(12)

Let  $w = w_1 + w_2$ , where  $w_1$  is the projection in *PH*, then

$$||w_1(t)||^2 \le ||w(\tau)||^2 e^{2l(t-\tau)}.$$

Taking inner product of (11) with  $-\Delta w_2$  in H, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}||w_2||^2 + |\triangle w_2|^2 + (f(u_1) - f(u_2), -\triangle w_2) = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |(f(u_1) - f(u_2), -\Delta w_2)| &\leq \int_{\Omega} |f(u_1) - f(u_2)| |\Delta w_2| dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} |\Delta w_2|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |f(u_1) - f(u_2)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (8) and Hölder inequality, it is immediate to see that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |f(u_1) - f(u_2)|^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega} |f'(u_1 + \theta(u_2 - u_1))|^2 |u_1 - u_2|^2 dx \\ &\leq c \int_{\Omega} (1 + |u_1|^{p-2} + |u_2|^{p-2})^2 |u_1 - u_2|^2 dx \\ &\leq c (\int_{\Omega} (1 + |u_1|^{2(p-1)} + |u_2|^{2(p-1)} dx)^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} (\int_{\Omega} |u_1 - u_2|^{2(p-1)})^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\ &\leq c (1 + |u_1|^{2(p-2)}_{2(p-1)} + |u_2|^{2(p-2)}) |w|^2_{2(p-1)}. \end{split}$$

Since  $2 \le p < \infty$   $(n \le 2), 2 \le p \le \frac{n}{n-2} + 1$   $(n \ge 3)$ , using Sobolev embedding theorem, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(u_1) - f(u_2)|^2 dx \le c(1 + ||u_1||^{2(p-2)} + ||u_2||^{2(p-2)}) ||w||^2.$$

Li et al.

Since

$$\lambda_n \|w_2\|^2 \le |\triangle w_2|^2,$$

it is immediate that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|w_2\|^2 + \lambda_n \|w_2\| \le c(1 + \|u_1\|^{2(p-2)} + \|u_2\|^{2(p-2)}) \|w\|^2,$$

then, by Gronwall's lemma, we have

$$\|w_{2}(t)\|^{2} \leq e^{-\lambda_{n}(t-\tau)} \|w(\tau)\|^{2} + ce^{-\lambda_{n}t} \int_{\tau}^{t} e^{\lambda_{n}s} (1+\|u_{1}(s)\|^{2(p-2)} + \|u_{2}(s)\|^{2(p-2)}) \|w(s)\|^{2}.$$

Let  $T_0 = t - \tau = 1$ , from (12), we get

$$\begin{split} e^{-\lambda_n t} \int_{\tau}^{t} e^{\lambda_n s} \|w(s)\|^2 ds &\leq e^{-\lambda_n t} \int_{\tau}^{t} e^{\lambda_n s} e^{2l(s-\tau)} \|w(\tau)\|^2 ds \\ &\leq e^{2l} e^{-\lambda_n t} \int_{\tau}^{t} e^{\lambda_n s} ds \|w(\tau)\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{c}{\lambda_n} \|w(\tau)\|^2, \end{split}$$

$$e^{-\lambda_n t} \int_{\tau}^{t} e^{\lambda_n s} \|u_i(s)\|^{2(p-2)} \|w(s)\|^2 ds \leq e^{-\lambda_n t} \int_{\tau}^{t} e^{\lambda_n s} (e^{2l(s-\tau)} \|u_{i\tau}\|^2 + e^{2ls} \int_{\tau}^{s} e^{-2lr} |g(r)|^2 dr)^{(p-2)} e^{2l(s-\tau)} \|w(\tau)\|^2 ds.$$

for i = 1, 2, and

$$e^{2ls} \int_{\tau}^{s} e^{-2lr} |g(r)|^2 dr \le e^{2ls} \int_{s-1}^{s} e^{-2l(s-1)} |g(r)|^2 dr \le c$$

 $\mathbf{So}$ 

$$e^{-\lambda_n t} \int_{\tau}^{t} e^{\lambda_n s} \|u_i\|^{2(p-2)} \|w\|^2 ds \le e^{-\lambda_n t} \int_{\tau}^{t} e^{\lambda_n s} (e^{2l} \|u_{i\tau}\|^2 + c)^{p-2} e^{2l} \|w(\tau)\|^2 ds$$
$$\le \frac{c}{\lambda_n} \|w(\tau)\|^2$$

for i = 1, 2. We easily obtain

$$||w_2(t)||^2 \le (e^{-\lambda_n} + \frac{c}{\lambda_n})||w(\tau)||^2.$$

Since  $\lambda_n \to +\infty$ ,  $e^{-\lambda_n} + \frac{c}{\lambda_n} < 1$  when *n* is sufficiently large. Obviously

$$||w_1(t)||^2 \le l_0 ||w_\tau||^2; ||w_2(t)||^2 \le \delta ||w_\tau||^2.$$

Here  $l_0 = e^{2l}$ ,  $\delta = e^{-\lambda_n} + \frac{c}{\lambda_n}$ ,  $T_0 = 1$ . We get that the process generated by (6) satisfies all conditions of Theorem 1.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the reviewer very much for his useful suggestions and comments. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10771159).

#### References

- J. A. Langa, G. Lukaszewicz and J. Real, Finite fractal dimension of pullback attractors for non-autonomous 2D Navier-Stokes equation in some unbounded domains, Nonlinear Analysis, 66(2007), 735–749.
- [2] T. Caraballo, G. Lukaszewicz and J. Real, Pullback attractors for asymptotically compact Nonautonomous dynamical systems, Nonlinear Analysis, 64(2006), 484– 498.
- [3] T. Caraballo, P. E. Kloeden and P. Marin-Rubio, Global and pullback attractor of set-valued skew product flows, Annali di Matematica, 185(2006), suppl. S23-S45.
- [4] R. Teman, Infinite-dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [5] G. R. Sell and Y. C. You, Dynamics of Evolutionary Equations, Springer, New York, 2002.
- [6] I. D. Chueshov, Introduction to the Theory of Infinite-Dimensional Dissipative System, ACTA Scientific Publishing House, 1999.
- [7] H. T. Song and H. Q. Wu, Pullback attractors of nonautonomous reaction-diffusion equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 325(2007), 1200-1215.
- [8] P. E. Kloeden, Pullback attractors in nonautonomous difference equation, J. Differ. Equations Appl., 6(1)(2000), 33–52.
- [9] H. Crauel and F. Flandoli, Additive noise destroys a pitchfork bifurcation, J. Dynam. Differential Equations, 10(1998), 259–274.
- [10] H. Crauel, A. Debussche and F. Flandoli, Random attractors, J. Dynam. Differential Equations, 9(2)(1995), 307–341.
- [11] Y. Li and Y. Zhang, The existence of global attractor for partly dissipative reaction diffusion equation in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , Journal of Shaanxi Normal University (Natural Science Edition), 37(2009), 13–16.