# Stability Of Periodic Solutions In Extended Gierer-Meinhardt Model<sup>\*</sup>

#### Jin-Guo Lian<sup>†</sup>

24 September 2007

#### Abstract

We employ coincidence degree method to prove existence of *T*-periodic solutions in  $\mathcal{D}$  for extended Gierer-Meinhardt (EG-M) model, where  $\mathcal{D}$  is a strictly positively invariant region. Furthermore, Floquet theory is provided to analyze uniqueness of a *T*-periodic solution  $x_0(t)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  and stability of  $x_0(t)$  is presented.

#### **1** Introduction

Gierer-Meinhardt model [6, p. 376-380] is of form:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{u} = a(1 - bu + c\frac{u^2}{v})\\ \dot{v} = d(u^2 - ev). \end{cases}$$

If we set the constants a, b, c, d and e to be positive continuous T-periodic functions of t with period T > 0, then the corresponding model is called the extended Gierer-Meinhardt (EG-M) model.

We focus on the dynamics of periodic solutions of EG-M model. Let

$$x(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } F(t, x(t)) = \begin{pmatrix} a(t)(1 - b(t)u(t) + c(t)\frac{u^2(t)}{v(t)}) \\ d(t)(u^2(t) - e(t)v(t)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then EG-M model is defined by

$$\dot{x}(t) = F(t, x(t)) \tag{1}$$

with conditions

$$a(t) > 0, \ 1.8 < b(t) < 2, \ 0.01 < c(t) < 0.1, \ d(t) > 0, \ \frac{1}{2} < e(t) < 1.$$
 (2)

LEMMA 1. There exists a strictly positively invariant region

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \frac{1}{2} \le u \le 0.7, \ \frac{1}{4} \le v \le 1 \right\}$$

<sup>\*</sup>Mathematics Subject Classifications: 92C15, 34A34.

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger \text{Department}$  of Maths and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding, Hebei 071003, P. R. China

for EG-M model given by (1) with conditions (2).

PROOF. Clearly  $\mathcal{D}$  is a closed convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We only need to check whether  $n(u, v) \cdot F(t, (u, v)) < 0$  along the boundaries of  $\mathcal{D}$ , where n(u, v) is the unit normal vector field along the boundary of  $\mathcal{D}$  and F(t, (u, v)) is defined in (1). Notice that for any  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \le u \le 0.7, \ \frac{1}{4} \le v \le 1.$$
 (3)

Let  $l_1 = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : u = \frac{1}{2}\}$ , for any  $(u, v) \in l_1 \cap \partial \mathcal{D}$ , n(u, v) = (-1, 0) and

$$F(t, (u, v)) = (a(t)(1 - b(t)u(t) + c(t)\frac{u^2(t)}{v(t)}), d(t)(u^2(t) - e(t)v(t))),$$

by (2) and (3),

$$n(u,v) \cdot F(t,(u,v)) = -a(t)(1 - \frac{1}{2}b(t) + c(t)\frac{\frac{1}{4}}{v(t)}) < 0.$$

Let  $l_2 = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : u = 0.7\}$ , for any  $(u, v) \in l_2 \cap \partial \mathcal{D}$ , n(u, v) = (1, 0). It follows from (2) and (3) that

$$n(u,v) \cdot F(t,(u,v)) = a(t)(1 - 0.7b(t) + c(t)\frac{0.49}{v(t)}) < 0.45$$

Let  $l_3 = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : v = \frac{1}{4}\}$ , for any  $(u, v) \in l_3 \cap \partial \mathcal{D}$ , n(u, v) = (0, -1). From (2) and (3), we get

$$n(u,v) \cdot F(t,(u,v)) = -d(t)(u^2(t) - \frac{1}{4}e(t)) < 0.$$

Let  $l_4 = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : v = 1\}$ , for any  $(u, v) \in l_4 \cap \partial \mathcal{D}$ , n(u, v) = (0, 1). It follows from (2) and (3),

$$n(u, v) \cdot F(t, (u, v)) = d(t)(u^2(t) - e(t)) < 0.$$

Since  $n(u, v) \cdot F(t, (u, v)) < 0$  for all  $(u, v) \in \partial \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  is a strictly positively invariant region.

Linearize the system (1) with respect to its a *T*-periodic solution  $x(t) = (u(t), v(t))^T \in \mathcal{D}$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  (if such a *T*-periodic solution exists), then we get

$$\dot{W}(t) = A(t)W(t), \tag{4}$$

where

$$A(t) = F'_{x(t)} = \begin{pmatrix} -a(t)b(t) + \frac{2a(t)c(t)u(t)}{v(t)} & -\frac{a(t)c(t)u^2(t)}{v^2(t)} \\ 2d(t)u(t) & -d(t)e(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

PROPOSITION 2. Linear system (4) satisfies tr(A(t)) < 0 and det(A(t)) > 0 for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

J. G. Lian

PROOF. By (2) and (3),

$$tr(A(t)) = -a(t)b(t) + \frac{2a(t)c(t)u(t)}{v(t)} - d(t)e(t) < 0.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A(t)) &= a(t)b(t)d(t)e(t) - \frac{2a(t)c(t)d(t)e(t)u(t)}{v(t)} + \frac{2a(t)c(t)d(t)u^{3}(t)}{v^{2}(t)} \\ &> a(t)d(t) \left(b(t)e(t) - \frac{2c(t)e(t)u(t)}{v(t)}\right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us state the main result:

THEOREM 3. For EG-M model with conditions (2), there exists only one *T*-periodic solution  $x_0(t)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , and  $x_0(t)$  is locally uniformly asymptotically stable.

# 2 Preliminary

Consider the nonlinear system

$$\dot{x}(t) = V(t, x(t)), \ x(t_0) = x_0, \ x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$
 (5)

LEMMA 4. If  $x^*(t)$  is an exponentially stable solution of (5), then it is also a uniformly asymptotically stable solution of (5).

For proof, see [3, p. 178-179].

Let  $\mathcal{X} = \{x \in C([0,T]) | x(0) = x(T)\}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{X}$  is a Banach space with the supremum norm. Define  $Lx(t) = \dot{x}(t)$  with domain

$$Dom(L) = \{ x \in C^1([0,T]) \, | \, x(0) = x(T) \}.$$

It is easy to verify that Dom(L) is contained in  $\mathcal{X}$ , the range of L is  $Im(L) = \{z(t) \in \mathcal{X} \mid \int_0^T z(t)dt = 0\}$  and L is a Fredholm mapping of index 0. Let

$$\Theta = \{ x \in Dom(L) \, | \, x(t) \in \mathcal{D}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \}.$$
(6)

Define  $\mathcal{F}_1: \Theta \to \mathcal{X}$  by  $\mathcal{F}_1(x) = F(\cdot, x(\cdot))$  and  $H_1(x)(t) = \mathcal{F}_1(x)(t) - Lx(t)$ . Now, construct a homotopy family

$$H_{\lambda}: (Dom(L) \cap \Theta) \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{X}$$

to be of the form

$$H_{\lambda}(x)(t) = \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(x)(t) - Lx(t), \qquad (7)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}: \Theta \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{X}$  with

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(x)(t) = \begin{pmatrix} a(t)(1 - \widetilde{b}(t)u(t) + \widetilde{c}(t)\frac{u^{2}(t)}{v(t)}) \\ d(t)(u^{2}(t) - \widetilde{e}(t)v(t)) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(8)

Here  $\tilde{b}(t) = 1.9(1-\lambda) + \lambda b(t)$ ,  $\tilde{c}(t) = 0.05(1-\lambda) + \lambda c(t)$  and  $\tilde{e}(t) = 0.8(1-\lambda) + \lambda e(t)$ with  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . It is easy to verify that  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda} : \overline{\Theta} \times [0, 1] \to \mathcal{X}$  is *L*-compact. For more details of degree theory, see [5, Ch. I–IV].

LEMMA 5. Given  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , if  $x_{\lambda}(t) \in \Theta$  is a T-periodic solution of the system

$$\dot{x}(t) = \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(x)(t), \tag{9}$$

then  $\partial \mathcal{D}$  is an a priori bound of  $x_{\lambda}(t)$ .

PROOF. Clearly,  $\tilde{b}(t), \tilde{c}(t)$  and  $\tilde{e}(t)$  satisfy conditions (2). System (9) is an EG-M model. By Lemma 1,  $\mathcal{D}$  is still a strictly positively invariant region of system (9). None of *T*-periodic solutions of (9) in  $\Theta$  can touch the boundary of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

COROLLARY 6. 0  $\not\in H_{\lambda}((Dom(L) \cap \partial \mathcal{D}) \times [0, 1]).$ 

LEMMA 7.  $D_L(H_0(x)(t), \Theta) = D_B(H_0(x)(t), \mathcal{D}) = 1$ , where  $D_L$  denote Leray-Schauder degree and  $D_B$  denote Brouwer degree.

PROOF. For the system  $H_0(x)(t) = 0$ , there is only one steady-state

$$p = \left(\frac{1.04}{1.9}, \left(\frac{1.04}{1.9}\right)^2 \frac{1}{0.8}\right)$$

in the strictly positively invariant region  $\mathcal{D}$ , which is a trivial *T*-periodic solution. Since  $H_0(x)(t) = 0$  is an autonomous system, Proposition 2 and Bendixson's Criteria guarantee that p is only one *T*-periodic solution in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

For the system  $H_0(x)(t) = 0$ , Leray-Schauder degree of  $H_0$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  is in fact reduced into Brouwer degree. Therefore, by Proposition 2,

$$D_L(H_0(x)(t), \Theta) = D_B(H_0(x)(t), \mathcal{D}) = D_B(\mathcal{F}_0(x)(t), \mathcal{D}) = sign(\det A_1(t)) = 1,$$

where

$$A_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -1.9a + \frac{0.1au(t)}{v(t)} & -\frac{0.05au^2(t)}{v^2(t)} \\ 2du(t) & -0.8d \end{pmatrix}.$$

For more details, see the similar proof in [4].

LEMMA 8. For system (4) with conditions (2), zero is the only T-periodic solution.

PROOF. Suppose (4) has a non-trivial *T*-periodic solution called  $W_1(t)$ . By Proposition 2 and Floquet theory [2, p. 93-105], its orbit  $\Gamma$  is an orbitally asymptotically stable. For  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $sW_1(t)$  is also a *T*-periodic solution of (4). Then orbit of  $sW_1(t)$  can not be attracted to  $\Gamma$  for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . This leads a contradiction to the orbital asymptotic stability of  $\Gamma$ .

REMARK 9. For the linear system (4), if tr(A(t)) does not change sign in some simply connected region  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , then (4) has no non-trivial periodic solution in E; since the system (4) is a linearization of an non-autonomous system, Bendixson's Criteria can not be used to prove Lemma 8.

LEMMA 10. Suppose  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$  is a completely continuous map of a Banach space such that  $\mathcal{F}(0) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is Frechet differentiable at 0 with Frechet derivative  $T \in K(\mathcal{X})$ , where  $K(\mathcal{X})$  is a set of all compact operators defined on  $\mathcal{X}$ . If  $I - T \in L(\mathcal{X})$  is regular (invertible), then there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that, for  $B = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : ||x||_{\infty} < \eta\}$ , we have

$$D(\mathcal{F} - I, B) = D(T - I, B).$$

For proof, see [1, Ch. 14].

Assume system (4) is the linearization of system (1) with respect to  $x_0(t)$ , by Theorem 2.10 of [2, p.97], system (4) can be transformed into an autonomous system

$$\dot{Z}(t) = RZ(t),\tag{10}$$

where R is called a Monodromy matrix of A(t).

LEMMA 11. Let A(t) and W(t) be defined in (4),  $LW(t) = \dot{W}(t)$ ;  $B(x_0(t), \epsilon) \subset \Theta$ denote a small neighborhood of  $x_0(t)$ ,  $B(0, \epsilon) \subset \Theta \setminus \{x_0(t)\}$  denote a small neighborhood of 0. Set

$$Q(W(t), \lambda) = (\lambda R + (1 - \lambda)A(t))W(t) - LW(t),$$

then

$$D_B(Q(\cdot, 1), B(0, \epsilon) \cap \mathbb{R}^2) = 1.$$

PROOF. Clearly,  $tr(R) = \rho_1 + \rho_2 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T tr(A(s)) ds(mod \frac{2\pi i}{T}) < 0$ , where  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are eigenvalues of R. By Proposition 2, for any  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$tr(\lambda R + (1 - \lambda)A(t)) = \lambda tr(R) + (1 - \lambda)tr(A(t)) < 0,$$

and Remark 9 implies that  $Q(W(t), \lambda) = 0$  has only one trivial *T*-periodic solution in  $B(0, \epsilon)$ . By degree invariance with respect to homotopy family,

$$D_L(Q(\cdot, 0), B(0, \epsilon)) = D_L(Q(\cdot, 1), B(0, \epsilon)) = D_B(Q(\cdot, 1), B(0, \epsilon) \cap \mathbb{R}^2).$$

Consider the Taylor expansion of  $H_1(x)(t)$  at  $x_0(t) \in B(x_0(t), \epsilon)$ , where  $H_1(x)(t)$  is defined in (7) as  $\lambda = 1$ . Then we have

$$H_1(x)(t) = H_1(x_0)(t) + M(t)(x(t) - x_0(t)) + h(t, x(t) - x_0(t)),$$

where  $M = \mathcal{F}'_1 - L$  is  $(H_1)'_x$  and  $h(t, x(t) - x_0(t))$  is a function of  $o(||x(t) - x_0(t)||_{\infty})$ . Since  $x_0(t)$  is the unique solution of  $H_1(x)(t) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , by excision property of the degree,  $D_L(H_1(x)(t), \Theta) = D_L(H_1(x)(t), B(x_0(t), \epsilon))$  and by Lemma 10,

$$D_L(H_1(x)(t), B(x_0(t), \epsilon)) = D_L(M(t)(x(t) - x_0(t)), B(x_0(t), \epsilon)).$$

Let  $W(t) = x(t) - x_0(t)$ , then by Lemma 7,

$$D_L(H_0(x)(t), \Theta) = D_L(H_1(x)(t), \Theta)$$
  
=  $D_L(M(t)W(t), B(0, \epsilon)) = D_L(Q(\cdot, 0), B(0, \epsilon))$   
=  $D_L(Q(\cdot, 1), B(0, \epsilon)) = D_B(Q(\cdot, 1), B(0, \epsilon) \cap \mathbb{R}^2) = 1.$ 

## 3 Proof of Theorem 3

PROOF. (Existence) Combine Lemma 5, Corollary 6 and Lemma 7, by a general existence theorem of the Leray-Schauder type, we get

$$D_L(H_1(x)(t),\Theta) = D_L(H_0(x)(t),\Theta) = D_B(\mathcal{F}_0(x)(t),\mathcal{D}) = 1,$$

which implies that there at least exists one *T*-periodic solution  $x_0(t) = (u_0(t), v_0(t))^T$ of EG-M model in  $\mathcal{D}$ . If a, b, c, d and e are constants, it is easy to show that there is only one trivial *T*-periodic solution  $x_0 \in int(\mathcal{D})$ , otherwise, we can easily verify that  $x_0(t)$  is a nontrivial *T*-periodic solution of EG-M model in  $\mathcal{D}$  by substituting  $x_0(t)$  into EG-M model.

(Uniqueness) Define  $C_T = \{x(t) \in \Theta | x(t) \text{ satisfies } (1) \text{ with conditions } (2)\}$ . Since  $x_0(t) \in C_T$ ,  $C_T$  is not an empty set. If a, b, c, d and e are constants, there is only one constant solution in  $C_T$ .

If one of a(t), b(t), c(t), d(t) and e(t) is a non-trivial *T*-periodic function, then  $x_0(t) \in C_T$  is a non-trivial *T*-periodic solution. Assume  $C_T$  is not a singleton; we pick

$$x_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1(t) \\ v_1(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_2(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u_2(t) \\ v_2(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

in  $C_T$  and substitute them into (1) to get

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = F(t, x_i(t)), \quad i = 1, 2.$$
 (11)

Define  $z(t) = x_1(t) - x_2(t)$ . By the mean value theorem, we get

$$\dot{z}(t) = z(t) \int_0^1 F'_x[t, x_2(t) + \theta(x_1(t) - x_2(t))] d\theta,$$
(12)

and

$$\int_{0}^{1} F'_{x}[t, x_{2}(t) + \theta(x_{1}(t) - x_{2}(t))]d\theta = \begin{pmatrix} -a(t)b(t) + 2a(t)c(t)m(t) & -a(t)c(t)n(t) \\ 2u(t)d(t) & -d(t)e(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$m(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{u_2(t) + \theta(u_1(t) - u_2(t))}{v_2(t) + \theta(v_1(t) - v_2(t))} d\theta,$$
  
$$n(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{[u_2(t) + \theta(u_1(t) - u_2(t))]^2}{[v_2(t) + \theta(v_1(t) - v_2(t))]^2} d\theta.$$

By (3)

$$m(t) \le 2(u_1(t) + u_2(t)) \le 2.8.$$

From (2), it follows

$$tr\left(\int_{0}^{1}F_{x}^{'}[t,x_{2}(t)+\theta(x_{1}(t)-x_{2}(t))]d\theta\right)=-d(t)e(t)-a(t)b(t)+2a(t)c(t)m(t)<0.$$

This implies that the zero solution is the only one *T*-periodic solution for (12) by Remark 9. Hence,  $x_1(t) = x_2(t)$ .  $C_T$  is a singleton.

(Stability) If a(t), b(t), c(t), d(t) and e(t) are constant functions, then  $x_0 \in \mathcal{D}$  is a constant solution of system (1). By Proposition 2,  $x_0$  is a locally uniformly asymptotically stable solution.

If one of a(t), b(t), c(t), d(t) and e(t) is a non-trivial *T*-periodic function,  $x_0(t)$  is a non-trivial *T*-periodic solution of system (1). By Proposition 2, one Floquet exponent  $\rho_1$  has negative real part. If  $Real(\rho_2) < 0$ ,  $x_0(t)$  is locally uniformly asymptotically stable by Theorem 2.13 of [2, p.101] and Lemma 4.

To show that  $Real(\rho_2) < 0$  always holds by treating these two cases: (1)  $\rho_2$  is a complex number. Notice that  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are conjugate eigenvalues of R. Thus  $Real(\rho_2) < 0$ ; (2)  $\rho_2$  is a real number. Clearly  $\rho_1$  is also a real number and  $\rho_1 < 0$ implies that  $\rho_2 \neq 0$  (otherwise, it is a contradicts Lemma 8. If  $\rho_2 > 0$ , then det(R) < 0,  $sign(det(R)) = -1 = D_B(Q(\cdot, 1), B(0, \epsilon) \cap \mathbb{R}^2)$ , which contradicts Lemma 11.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by Research Fund of North China Electric Power University (93509001).

### References

- R. F. Brown, A Topological Introduction to Nonlinear Analysis, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [2] J. Cronin, Differential Equation, Second Edition, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1994.
- [3] M. A. Krasnosel'skii, Translation Along Trajectories of Differential Equations, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 19, 1968.
- [4] J. G. Lian and J. Sun, Degree of steady-state solutions of quadratic CSTR model and SG model, Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Universitatis NeiMongol, 37(5)(2006), 503–507.
- [5] J. Mawhin, Topological Degree Method in Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 40, 1979.
- [6] J. D. Murray, Mathematical Biology, Second Edition, Springer, New York, 1993.