

Uniqueness of Meromorphic Functions Sharing One Value*

Chao Meng[†]

Received 31 July 2006

Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the problem of meromorphic functions sharing one value and obtain two theorems which improve a result of C.C.Yang and X.H.Hua.

1 Introduction

In this paper, a meromorphic function always mean a function which is meromorphic in the whole complex plane.

DEFINITION 1. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be nonconstant meromorphic functions, $a \in C \cup \{\infty\}$. We say that f and g share the value a CM if $f - a$ and $g - a$ have the same zeros with the same multiplicities.

DEFINITION 2. Let k be a positive integer or infinity. We denote by $E_k(a, f)$ the set of all a -points of f with multiplicities not exceeding k , where an a -point is counted according to its multiplicity. Particularly if for some $a \in C \cup \{\infty\}$, $E_\infty(a, f) = E_\infty(a, g)$, it is obvious that f and g share a CM.

DEFINITION 3. We denote by $N_k(r, 1/(f - a))$ the counting function for zeros of $f - a$ with multiplicity $\leq k$, and by $\overline{N}_k(r, 1/(f - a))$ the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Let $N_{(k)}(r, 1/(f - a))$ be the counting function for zeros of $f - a$ with multiplicity at least k and $\overline{N}_{(k)}(r, 1/(f - a))$ the corresponding one for which multiplicity is not counted. Set

$$N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) + \dots + \overline{N}_{(k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) \quad (1)$$

It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the notations of the Nevanlinna theory that can be found, for instance, in [1].

In the 1920's, Nevanlinna [1] proved the following result.

THEOREM A. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions. If f and g share four distinct values CM, then f is a fractional transformation of g .

*Mathematics Subject Classifications: 30D35

[†]Department of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, P. R. China

In 1997, Yang and Hua [2] studied meromorphic functions sharing only one value and proved the following result.

THEOREM B. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, $n \geq 11$ an integer and $a \in C - \{0\}$. If $f^n f'$ and $g^n g'$ share the value a CM, then either $f = dg$ for some $(n+1)$ th root of unity d or $g(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ and $f(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ where c, c_1 and c_2 are constants and satisfy $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -a^2$.

Corresponding to entire functions, Xu and Qu [3] proved the following result.

THEOREM C. Let f and g be two nonconstant entire functions, $n \geq 12$ an integer, and $a \in C - \{0\}$. If $f^n f'$ and $g^n g'$ share the value a IM, then either $f = dg$ for some $(n+1)$ th root of unity d or $g(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ and $f(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ where c, c_1 and c_2 are constants and satisfy $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -a^2$.

Recently Lahiri [4] and Banerjee [5] extended Theorem B with the notion of weight sharing respectively. Here we extend Theorem B from a new way.

THEOREM 1. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, $n \geq 11$ an integer and $a \in C - \{0\}$. If $E_3(a, f^n f') = E_3(a, g^n g')$, then either $f = dg$ for some $(n+1)$ th root of unity d or $g(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ and $f(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ where c, c_1 and c_2 are constants and satisfy $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -a^2$.

THEOREM 2. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, $n \geq 13$ an integer and $a \in C - \{0\}$. If $E_2(a, f^n f') = E_2(a, g^n g')$, then either $f = dg$ for some $(n+1)$ th root of unity d or $g(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ and $f(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ where c, c_1 and c_2 are constants and satisfy $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -a^2$.

2 Some Lemmas

We need the following Lemmas in the proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. The first one is in [6].

LEMMA 1. If f, g are nonconstant meromorphic functions and $E_3(1, f) = E_3(1, g)$, then one of the following cases holds: (1) $T(r, f) + T(r, g) \leq 2\{N_2(r, \frac{1}{f}) + N_2(r, f) + N_2(r, \frac{1}{g}) + N_2(r, g)\} + S(r, f) + S(r, g)$, (2) $f \equiv g$, or, (3) $fg \equiv 1$.

LEMMA 2. Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function and $P(f) = a_0 + a_1 f + a_2 f^2 + \dots + a_n f^n$, where $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ are constant and $a_n \neq 0$. Then $T(r, P(f)) = nT(r, f) + S(r, f)$.

The proof of Lemma 2 can be found in [7].

LEMMA 3. Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function and $F = f^{n+1}/a(n+1)$, n being a positive integer. Then

$$T(r, F) \leq T(r, F') + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + S(r, f) \quad (2)$$

The proof of Lemma 3 can be found in [5].

LEMMA 4. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, $n \geq 6$. If $f^n f' g^n g' = 1$, then $g = c_1 e^{cz}$, $f = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -1$.

The proof of Lemma 4 can be found in [2].

LEMMA 5. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and $E_2(1, f) = E_2(1, g)$. Set

$$h = \left(\frac{f''}{f'} - 2 \frac{f'}{f-1} \right) - \left(\frac{g''}{g'} - 2 \frac{g'}{g-1} \right)$$

If $h \not\equiv 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) + T(r, g) &\leq 2 \left(N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + N_2(r, f) + N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{g} \right) + N_2(r, g) \right) \\ &\quad + \overline{N}_{(3)} \left(r, \frac{1}{f-1} \right) + \overline{N}_{(3)} \left(r, \frac{1}{g-1} \right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The proof of Lemma 5 can be found in [8].

LEMMA 6. Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function, k be a positive integer, then

$$N_p \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}} \right) \leq N_{p+k} \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + k \overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f), \quad (4)$$

where $N_p \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}} \right)$ denotes the counting function of the zeros of $f^{(k)}$ where a zero of multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \leq p$ and p times if $m > p$. Clearly $\overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}} \right) = N_1 \left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}} \right)$.

The proof of Lemma 6 can be found in [9].

LEMMA 7. Let h be defined as in Lemma 5, if $h \equiv 0$ and

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + \overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{g} \right) + \overline{N}(r, g)}{T(r)} < 1, \quad r \in I \quad (5)$$

where $T(r) = \max\{T(r, f), T(r, g)\}$, then $f \equiv g$ or $fg \equiv 1$.

The proof of Lemma 7 can be found in [10].

3 Proof of Theorem 1

let $F = f^{n+1}/a(n+1)$ and $G = g^{n+1}/a(n+1)$. Then $F' = f^n f'/a$ and $G' = g^n g'/a$. Since $E_3(a, f^n f') = E_3(a, g^n g')$, it follows that $E_3(1, F') = E_3(1, G')$. Then by Lemma 1, if possible, suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F') + T(r, G') &\leq 2 \left\{ N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F'} \right) + N_2(r, F') + N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{G'} \right) + N_2(r, G') \right\} \\ &\quad + S(r, F') + S(r, G') \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We see that

$$N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F'} \right) + N_2(r, F') \leq 2 \overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + N \left(r, \frac{1}{f'} \right) + 2 \overline{N}(r, f), \quad (7)$$

$$N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) + N_2(r, G') \leq 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + 2\bar{N}(r, g). \quad (8)$$

Also by Lemma 2, we have

$$T(r, F') \leq 2T(r, F) + S(r, F) = 2(n+1)T(r, f) + S(r, f), \quad (9)$$

$$T(r, G') \leq 2T(r, G) + S(r, G) = 2(n+1)T(r, g) + S(r, g). \quad (10)$$

So $S(r, F') = S(r, f)$ and $S(r, G') = S(r, g)$. From (7), (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F') + T(r, G') &\leq 4\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 2N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + 4\bar{N}(r, f) + 4\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\ &\quad + 2N\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + 4\bar{N}(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

By Lemma 3 and (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &T(r, F) + T(r, G) \\ &\leq T(r, F') + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + T(r, G') \\ &\quad + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq 4\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 4\bar{N}(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}(r, f) + 4\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\ &\quad + 4\bar{N}(r, g) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \bar{N}(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

So we get

$$(n-10)T(r, f) + (n-10)T(r, g) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g), \quad (13)$$

which is a contradiction. Hence by Lemma 1 either $F' \equiv G'$ or $F'G' \equiv 1$.

If $F' \equiv G'$. Then $F = G + c$, where c is a constant. If possible, let $c \neq 0$. Then by the second fundamental theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)T(r, f) &\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F-c}\right) + S(r, F) \\ &= \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq 2T(r, f) + T(r, g) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq 3T(r) + S(r) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $T(r) = \max\{T(r, f), T(r, g)\}$. In a similar manner, we get

$$(n+1)T(r, g) \leq 3T(r) + S(r). \quad (15)$$

This shows that

$$(n-2)T(r) \leq S(r), \quad (16)$$

which is contrary to the assumption. So $c = 0$. That is $F = G$ or $f = dg$, where d is some $(n + 1)$ th root of unity.

If $F'G' \equiv 1$. Then $f^n f' g^n g' = a^2$. Set $f_1 = a^{\frac{-1}{n+1}} f$ and $g_1 = a^{\frac{-1}{n+1}} g$. So using Lemma 4, we get $g(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ and $f(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ where c, c_1 and c_2 are constants and satisfy $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -a^2$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

4 Proof of Theorem 2

let $F = f^{n+1}/a(n + 1)$ and $G = g^{n+1}/a(n + 1)$. Then $F' = f^n f'/a$ and $G' = g^n g'/a$. Since $E_2(a, f^n f') = E_2(a, g^n g')$, it follows that $E_2(1, F') = E_2(1, G')$. Set

$$H = \left(\frac{F'''}{F''} - 2 \frac{F''}{F' - 1} \right) - \left(\frac{G'''}{G''} - 2 \frac{G''}{G' - 1} \right) \tag{17}$$

Suppose that $H \not\equiv 0$. Then by Lemma 5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & T(r, F') + T(r, G') \\ \leq & 2 \left(N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F'} \right) + N_2(r, F') + N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{G'} \right) + N_2(r, G') \right) + \overline{N}_{(3)} \left(r, \frac{1}{F' - 1} \right) \\ & + \overline{N}_{(3)} \left(r, \frac{1}{G' - 1} \right) + S(r, F') + S(r, G'). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

We see that

$$N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F'} \right) + N_2(r, F') \leq 2\overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + N \left(r, \frac{1}{f'} \right) + 2\overline{N}(r, f), \tag{19}$$

$$N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{G'} \right) + N_2(r, G') \leq 2\overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{g} \right) + N \left(r, \frac{1}{g'} \right) + 2\overline{N}(r, g). \tag{20}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we have $S(r, F') = S(r, f)$ and $S(r, G') = S(r, g)$. By Lemma 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}_{(3)} \left(r, \frac{1}{F' - 1} \right) & \leq \frac{1}{2} N \left(r, \frac{F'}{F''} \right) = \frac{1}{2} N \left(r, \frac{F''}{F'} \right) + S(r, f) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \overline{N}(r, F) + \frac{1}{2} \overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{F'} \right) + S(r, f) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \overline{N}(r, F) + \frac{1}{2} \left(N_2 \left(r, \frac{1}{F} \right) + \overline{N}(r, F) \right) + S(r, f) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \overline{N}(r, f) + \frac{1}{2} \left(2\overline{N} \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + \overline{N}(r, f) \right) + S(r, f) \\ & \leq 2T(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

By Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) + T(r, G) & \leq T(r, F') + N \left(r, \frac{1}{f} \right) - N \left(r, \frac{1}{f'} \right) + T(r, G') \\ & \quad + N \left(r, \frac{1}{g} \right) - N \left(r, \frac{1}{g'} \right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Substitute (18), (19), (20) and (21) into (22), we get

$$(n-12)T(r, f) + (n-12)T(r, g) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g), \quad (23)$$

which contradicts the assumption. Thus $H \equiv 0$. Since

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) \leq T(r, f') - m\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) \leq 2T(r, f) - m\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + S(r, f), \quad (24)$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) + \overline{N}(r, F') + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G'}\right) + \overline{N}(r, G') \\ & \leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}(r, f) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \overline{N}(r, g) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) \\ & \leq 4T(r, f) + 4T(r, g) - m\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) - m\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r) \\ & \leq 8T(r) - m\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) - m\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Using Lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F') + m\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) &= m\left(r, \frac{f^n f'}{a}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{f^n f'}{a}\right) \\ &\geq m\left(r, \frac{f^n}{a}\right) + N(r, f^n) = T(r, f^n) + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Similarly we have

$$T(r, G') + m\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) \geq nT(r, g) + O(1). \quad (27)$$

From (26) and (27), we get

$$\max\{T(r, F'), T(r, G')\} \geq nT(r) - m\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) - m\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + O(1). \quad (28)$$

By (25) and (28), applying Lemma 7, we get either $F' \equiv G'$ or $F'G' \equiv 1$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1, we get either $f = dg$ for some $(n+1)$ th root of unity d or $g(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ and $f(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ where c, c_1 and c_2 are constants and satisfy $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -a^2$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

References

- [1] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon, Oxford, 1964.
- [2] C. C. Yang and X. H. Hua, Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 22 (1997), 395–406.

- [3] Y. Xu and H. L. Qu, Entire functions sharing one value IM, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 31 (2000), 849–855.
- [4] I. Lahiri, Weighted value sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.*, 46 (2001), 241–253.
- [5] A. Banerjee, Meromorphic functions sharing one value, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 22 (2005), 3587–3598.
- [6] I. Lahiri and R. Pal, Non-linear differential polynomials sharing 1-points, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 43 (2006), 161–168.
- [7] C. C. Yang, On deficiencies of differential polynomials II, *Math. Z.*, 125 (1972), 107–112.
- [8] J. L. Zhang and H. X. Yi, The uniqueness of function derivative that share one CM value, *J. Shandong University*, 41 (2006), 115–119.
- [9] Q. C. Zhang, Meromorphic functions that shares one small function with its derivative, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 6 (2005), Art.116.
- [10] H. X. Yi, Meromorphic functions that share one or two value, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.*, 28 (1995), 1–11.