Remarks On Sequence Covering Images Of Metric Spaces*

Ying Ge^{\dagger}

Received 27 March 2006

Abstract

In this paper, we prove that a space is a sequence-covering image of a metric space iff it is a sequentially-quotient image of a metric space, which answers a question on pseudo-sequence-covering images of metric spaces. As an application of this result, the sequential fan is a sequence-covering image of a metric space.

Sequence-covering mappings, pseudo-sequence-covering mappings and sequentiallyquotient mappings play an important role in the study of images of metric spaces. It is well known that every sequence-covering mapping is pseudo-sequence-covering, and if the domain is metric, every pseudo-sequence-covering mapping is sequentiallyquotient[4]. But none of these implications can be reversed. This leads us to investigate images of metric spaces under these mappings. In [10], S. Lin proved the following theorem [10, Theorem 3.5.14] (see [5, Corollary 3.3], for example).

THEOREM 1. A space is a pseudo-sequence-covering, *s*-image of a metric space iff it is a sequentially-quotient, *s*-image of a metric space.

It is natural to raise the following question [5].

QUESTION 2. Can "s-" in Theorem 1 be omitted? More precisely, is every sequentially-quotient image of a metric space a pseudo-sequence-covering image of a metric space?

In this paper, we answer the above question. As an application of this result, the sequential fan is a sequence-covering image of a metric space. Throughout this paper, all spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff and all mappings are continuous and onto. \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all natural numbers, $\{x_n\}$ denotes a sequence, where the *n*-th term is x_n . For a sequence $L = \{x_n\}$, f(L) denotes the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$. Let X be a space and $P \subset X$. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ converging to x in X is eventually in P if $\{x_n : n > k\} \cup \{x\} \subset P$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let \mathcal{P} be a family of subsets of X and let $x \in X$. $\bigcup \mathcal{P}$ and $(\mathcal{P})_x$ denote the union $\bigcup \{P : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ and the subfamily $\{P \in \mathcal{P} : x \in P\}$ of \mathcal{P} respectively. For a sequence $\{P_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of subsets of a space X, we abbreviate $\{P_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ to $\{P_n\}$. A point $b = (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of a Tychonoff-product space is abbreviated to (β_n) .

DEFINITION 3. Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a mapping.

^{*}Mathematics Subject Classifications: 54E35, 54E40, 54D80.

[†]Department of Mathematics, Suzhou University, Suzhou, 215006, P.R.China

Y.~Ge

(1) f is called a sequence-covering mapping [12] if whenever convergent sequence S in Y there exists a convergent sequence L in X such that f(L) = S.

(2) f is called a pseudo-sequence-covering mapping [8], if whenever convergent sequence S converging to y in Y, there exists a compact subset K of X such that $f(K) = S \bigcup \{y\}.$

(3) f is called a sequentially-quotient mapping [1], if whenever convergent sequence S in Y, there exists a convergent sequence L in X such that f(L) is a subsequence of S.

REMARK 4. "Pseudo-sequence-covering mapping" in Definition 3(2) was also called "sequence-covering mapping" by G.Gruenhage, E.Michael and Y.Tanaka in [7].

DEFINITION 5. Let $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_x : x \in X\}$ be a cover of a space X, where $\mathcal{P}_x \subset (\mathcal{P})_x$. \mathcal{P} is called a network of X [11], if for every $x \in U$ with U open in X, there exists $P \in \mathcal{P}_x$ such that $x \in P \subset U$, where \mathcal{P}_x is called a network at x in X.

LEMMA 6. Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a mapping, and $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence converging to yin Y. If $\{B_k\}$ is a decreasing network at some $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ in X, and $\{y_n\}$ is eventually in $f(B_k)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ converging to x such that $x_n \in f^{-1}(y_n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

PROOF. Let $\{B_k\}$ be a decreasing network at some $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ in X, and let $\{y_n\}$ be eventually in $f(B_k)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y_n \in f(B_k)$ for every $n > n_k$, so $f^{-1}(y_n) \bigcap B_k \neq \emptyset$ for every $n > n_k$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $1 < n_k < n_{k+1}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, pick

$$x_n \in \begin{cases} f^{-1}(y_n) & n < n_1 \\ \\ f^{-1}(y_n) \bigcap B_k & n_k \le n < n_{k+1}, \end{cases}$$

then $x_n \in f^{-1}(y_n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It suffices to prove that $\{x_n\}$ converges to x.

Let U be an open neighborhood of x. There exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in B_k \subset U$. For each $n > n_k$, there exists $k' \ge k$ such that $n_{k'} \le n < n_{k'+1}$, so $x_n \in B_{k'} \subset B_k \subset U$. This proves that $\{x_n\}$ converges to x.

Now we give the main theorem of this paper, which gives an affirmative answer for Question 2.

THEOREM 7. The following are equivalent for a space X.

(1) X is a sequence-covering image of a metric space.

(2) X is a pseudo-sequence-covering image of a metric space.

(3) X is a sequentially-quotient image of a metric space.

PROOF. It is clear that $(1) \Longrightarrow (2) \Longrightarrow (3)$. We only need to prove that $(3) \Longrightarrow (1)$.

Let X be a sequentially-quotient image of a metric space. For every $x \in X$ and every sequence $S = \{x_n\}$ converging to x, put $P_{S,i} = \{x_n : n > i\} \bigcup \{x\}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{P}_S = \{P_{S,i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Put $\mathcal{P}_x = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_S : S \text{ is a sequence converging to } x\}$ and $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_x : x \in X\}$. It is clear that $\{x\} \in \mathcal{P}$ for every $x \in X$. We construct a metric space as follows. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\beta : \beta \in \Lambda\}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $\Lambda_n = \Lambda$ and endow Λ_n a discrete topology. Put $M = \{b = (\beta_n) \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_n : \{P_{\beta_n}\}$ is a network at some point x_b in $X\}$. It suffices to prove the following four facts.

Fact 1. M is a metric space:

In fact, Λ_n , as a discrete space, is a metric space for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So M, which is a subspace of the Tychonoff-product space $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_n$, is a metric space.

Fact 2. Let $b = (\beta_n) \in M$. Then there exists unique x_b such that $\{P_{\beta_n}\}$ is a network at x_b in X:

The existence comes from the construction of M, we only need to prove the uniqueness. Let $\{P_{\beta_n}\}$ be a network at both x_b and x'_b in X, then $\{x_b, x'_b\} \subset P_{\beta_n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x_b \neq x'_b$, then there exists an open neighborhood U of x_b such that $x'_b \not\models U$. Because $\{P_{\beta_n}\}$ is a network at x_b in X, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_b \in P_{\beta_n} \subset U$, thus $x'_b \not\models P_{\beta_n}$, a contradiction. This proves the uniqueness.

By Fact 2, for every $b = (\beta_n) \in M$, there exists unique x_b such that $\{P_{\beta_n}\}$ is a network at x_b in X. Define $f(b) = x_b$. Thus we construct a correspondence $f : M \longrightarrow X$.

Fact 3. f is continuous and onto, so f is a mapping:

Firstly, for every $x \in X$, $\{x\} \in \mathcal{P} = \{P_{\beta} : \beta \in \Lambda\}$, so for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\beta_n \in \Lambda_n$ such that $\{x\} = P_{\beta_n}$. Thus $\{P_{\beta_n}\}$ is a network at x in X. Put $b = (\beta_n)$, then $b \in M$ and f(b) = x. So f is onto. Secondly, let $b = (\beta_n) \in M$ and let $f(b) = x_b$. If U is an open neighborhood of x, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_b \in P_{\beta_k} \subset U$ because $\{P_{\beta_n}\}$ is a network at x_b in X. Put $V = \{c = (\gamma_n) \in M : \gamma_k = \beta_k\}$, then U is an open neighborhood of b. It is easy to see that $f(V) \subset P_{\beta_k} \subset U$. So f is continuous.

Fact 4. f is sequence-covering:

Let $S = \{x_n\}$ be a sequence converging to x in X. It is clear that $\{x_n\}$ is eventually in $P_{S,i}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and so $\{x_n\}$ is eventually in $\bigcap_{i \leq k} P_{S,i}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, since $P_{S,i} \in \mathcal{P}$, there exists $\beta_i \in \Lambda_i$ such that $P_{S,i} = P_{\beta_i}$. It is clear that $\{P_{\beta_i}\}$ is a network at x in X. Put $b = (\beta_i)$, then $b \in f^{-1}(x)$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, put $B_k = \{(\gamma_i) \in M : \gamma_i = \beta_i \text{ for } i \leq k\}$. Then $\{B_k\}$ is a decreasing neighborhood base at b in M. It is not difficulty to prove that $f(B_k) = \bigcap_{i \leq k} P_{\beta_i}$. In fact, let $c = (\gamma_i) \in B_k$, then $\{P_{\gamma_i}\}$ is a network at f(c) in X. So $f(c) \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} P_{\gamma_i} \subset \bigcap_{i \leq k} P_{\gamma_i} = \bigcap_{i \leq k} P_{\beta_i}$, Thus $f(B_k) \subset \bigcap_{i \leq k} P_{\beta_i}$. On the other hand, let $y \in \bigcap_{i \leq k} P_{\beta_i}$. By Fact 3, there exists $c' = (\gamma'_i) \in M$ such that f(c') = y, so $\{P_{\gamma'_i}\}$ is a network at y in X. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, put $\gamma_i = \beta_i$ if $i \leq k$, and $\gamma_i = \gamma'_{i-k}$ if i > k. Put $c = (\gamma_i)$. It is easy to see that $c \in B_k$. Note that $\{P_{\gamma_i}\}$ is a network at y in X, so $y = f(c) \in f(B_k)$. Thus $\bigcap_{i \leq k} P_{\beta_i} \subset f(B_k)$. So $f(B_k) = \bigcap_{i \leq k} P_{\beta_i}$. Because $\{x_n\}$ is eventually in $\bigcap_{i \leq k} P_{\beta_i} = f(B_k)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by Lemma 6, there exists a sequence $\{b_n\}$ converging to b in M such that $b_n \in f^{-1}(x_n)$

By the above Fact 1, Fact 3 and Fact 4, X is a sequence-covering image of a metric space.

As an application of Theorem 7, we give an example. Recall a mapping $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is a quotient mapping [2], if there exists an equivalence relation E on the set X and a homeomorphism $f': X/E \longrightarrow Y$ such that f = f'q, where $q: X \longrightarrow X/E$ is the natural quotient mapping. It is known that a mapping $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is a quotient mapping if whenever $U \subset Y$, $f^{-1}(U)$ is open in X if and only if U is open in Y [2, Proposition 2.4.3]. So we have the following lemma, which belongs to S. Lin (see [3, Y.~Ge

Remark 1.8], for example).

LEMMA 8. Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a quotient mapping, where X is a metric space. Then f is a sequentially-quotient mapping.

EXAMPLE 9. There exists a non-metrizable space, which is a sequence-covering image of a metric space.

PROOF. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_n = \{(n, 1/m) : m \in \mathbb{N}\} \bigcup \{(n, 0)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, where \mathbb{R}^2 is the Euclidean plane. Put $X = \bigcup \{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, which is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 . Define an equivalence relation E on X as follows: (n, x)E(n', x') if and only if either x = x' = 0 or n = n', x = x'.

Let Y be the quotient space X/E. That is, Y is the space obtained from X by shrinking the set $\{(n, 0) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ to a point. Then Y is the sequential fan S_{ω} [9], which is not metrizable (see [9, Corollary 3.16], for example). Put $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is the natural quotient mapping. By Lemma 8, f is a sequentially-quotient mapping, so Y is a sequentially-quotient image of a metric space. Consequently, Y is a sequence-covering image of a metric space from Theorem 7.

Acknowledgments. This project was supported by NSFC (No. 10571151 and 10671173) and NNSF(06KJD110162). The author would like to thank Professor C. Liu for his help and the referee for his valuable amendments and suggestions.

References

- J. R. Boone and F. Siwiec, Sequentially quotient mappings, Czech. Math. J., 26(1976), 174-182.
- [2] R. Engelking, General Topology, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics 6, Heldermann, Berlin, revised ed., 1989.
- [3] Y. Ge, Characterizations of sn-metrizable spaces, Publ. Inst. Math. Nouv. Ser., 74(88)(2003), 121-128.
- [4] Y. Ge, On pseudo-sequence coverings, π-images of metric spaces, Matematicki Vesnik, 57(2005), 113-120.
- [5] Y. Ge, Mappings in Ponomarev-systems, Topology Proceedings, 29(2005), 141-153.
- [6] G.Gruenhage, Generalized metric spaces, In: K.Kumen and J.E.Vaughan eds, Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, Amsterdan: North-Holland, 423-501, 1984.
- [7] G. Gruenhage, E. Michael and Y. Tanaka, Spaces determined by point-countable covers, Pacific J. Math., 113(1984), 303-332.
- [8] Y. Ikeda, C. Liu and Y. Tanaka, Quotient compact images of metric spaces, and related matters, Topology Appl., 122(2002), 237-252.
- [9] S. Lin, A note on the Arens' spaces and sequential fan, Topology Appl., 81(1997), 185-196.

- [10] S. Lin, Metric spaces and topology of function spaces, Chinese Science Press, Beijing, 2004.(in Chinese)
- [11] E. Michael, \aleph_0 -spaces, J. Math. Mech., 15(1966), 983-1002.
- [12] F. Siwiec, Sequence-covering and countably bi-quotient mappings, General Topology Appl., 1(1971), 143-154.