

# Vandermonde-Type Determinants And Inequalities\*

Jia-jin Wen<sup>†</sup>, Zhi-hua Zhang<sup>‡</sup>

Received 9 September 2005

## Abstract

Two inequalities involving Vandermonde-type determinants are established.

## 1 Introduction

The determinant of the Vandermonde matrix of order  $n$  is well-known:

$$V_n(x) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_2^{n-1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \cdots & x_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i). \quad (1)$$

Obviously, if  $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$ , then  $V_n(x) > 0$ .

In [1], Xiao and Zhang gave a general form of the Vandermonde determinant of order  $n$ :

$$V_n(x; r) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^{n-2} & x_1^{n+r-1} \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_2^{n-2} & x_2^{n+r-1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \cdots & x_n^{n-2} & x_n^{n+r-1} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where  $0 < x_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . And they proved an integral identity relating  $V_n(x; r)$  with  $V_n(x)$ :

$$V_n(x; r) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (r+i) \cdot V_n(x) \int_E \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i t_i \right)^r dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_{n-1}, \quad (3)$$

where  $r \neq -1, -2, \dots, -(n-1)$ ,  $t_n = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i$  and

$$E = \left\{ (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}) : \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i \leq 1, t_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \right\}.$$

The main purpose of this paper is to define a new type of Vandermonde determinant and investigate the corresponding inequalities.

\*Mathematics Subject Classifications: 26D15, 26D10.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Chengdu University, Shiling, Chengdu, 610106, P. R. China

<sup>‡</sup>Zixing Educational Research Section, Chenzhou, Hunan 423400, P. R. China

## 2 Main Results

We first begin with some definitions.

DEFINITION 1. If  $x_i > 0$  and  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then

$$V_n(x, \alpha) = \begin{vmatrix} x_1^{\alpha_1} & x_2^{\alpha_1} & \cdots & x_n^{\alpha_1} \\ x_1^{\alpha_2} & x_2^{\alpha_2} & \cdots & x_n^{\alpha_2} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_1^{\alpha_n} & x_2^{\alpha_n} & \cdots & x_n^{\alpha_n} \end{vmatrix} \quad (4)$$

is called a generalized Vandermonde determinant of order  $n$ .

DEFINITION 2 ([2]). Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$  be a matrix of order  $n$ , where  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n$ . Then the permanent of order  $n$  of  $A$ , written  $\text{per} A$ , is defined by

$$\text{per} A := \sum_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} a_{i_1 1} a_{i_2 2} \cdots a_{i_n n} = \sum_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n} a_{1 j_1} a_{2 j_2} \cdots a_{n j_n}.$$

In this paper we shall also use other symbols: the permanent of  $A$  is denoted by  $|a_{ij}|_n^+$  or

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}_n^+ := \sum_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} a_{i_1 1} a_{i_2 2} \cdots a_{i_n n} = \sum_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n} a_{1 j_1} a_{2 j_2} \cdots a_{n j_n},$$

where each sum is taken over all the permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

THEOREM 1. Let  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$ , and  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_n$ . Then we have

$$V_n(x, \alpha) > 0. \quad (5)$$

THEOREM 2. Let  $x_i > 0$  and  $\alpha_i \geq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then

$$h_n(x, \alpha) := \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} x_1^{\alpha_1} & x_2^{\alpha_1} & \cdots & x_n^{\alpha_1} \\ x_1^{\alpha_2} & x_2^{\alpha_2} & \cdots & x_n^{\alpha_2} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_1^{\alpha_n} & x_2^{\alpha_n} & \cdots & x_n^{\alpha_n} \end{vmatrix}_n^+ \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha_i} \right), \quad (6)$$

where  $h_n(x, \alpha) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} \prod_{j=1}^n x_{i_j}^{\alpha_j}$  is called a Hardy function with respect to  $x$  and  $\alpha$ .

PROOF of Theorem 1. We shall prove it by mathematical induction. For  $n = 1$ , inequality (5) is obvious. For  $n = 2$ , using Lagrange's mean value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_2(x, \alpha) &= \begin{vmatrix} x_1^{\alpha_1} & x_2^{\alpha_1} \\ x_1^{\alpha_2} & x_2^{\alpha_2} \end{vmatrix} = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_2} x_2^{\alpha_1} \\ &= x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_1} (x_2^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} - x_1^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}) = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_1} (x_2 - x_1) \cdot \frac{dx^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}}{dx} \Big|_{x=\xi} \\ &= x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_1} (x_2 - x_1) (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) \cdot \xi^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 - 1} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_1 < \xi < x_2$ . Hence (5) holds. Suppose inequality (5) is true for  $n - 1$  with  $n > 2$ , i.e.,

$$V_{n-1}(\xi, \alpha) = \begin{vmatrix} \xi_2^{\alpha_2} & \xi_3^{\alpha_2} & \cdots & \xi_n^{\alpha_2} \\ \xi_2^{\alpha_3} & \xi_3^{\alpha_3} & \cdots & \xi_n^{\alpha_3} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \xi_2^{\alpha_n} & \xi_3^{\alpha_n} & \cdots & \xi_n^{\alpha_n} \end{vmatrix} > 0, \tag{7}$$

where  $0 < \xi_2 < \xi_3 < \cdots < \xi_n$  and  $\alpha_2 < \alpha_3 < \cdots < \alpha_n$ . Let

$$\varphi(x_n) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_1^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} & x_2^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} & \cdots & x_n^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_1^{\alpha_n - \alpha_1} & x_2^{\alpha_n - \alpha_1} & \cdots & x_n^{\alpha_n - \alpha_1} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

By Lagrange's mean value theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x_n) &= \varphi(x_n) - \varphi(x_{n-1}) = (x_n - x_{n-1})\varphi'(\xi_n) \\ &= (x_n - x_{n-1}) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ x_1^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} & x_2^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} & \cdots & \left. \frac{dt^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}}{dt} \right|_{t=\xi_n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_1^{\alpha_n - \alpha_1} & x_2^{\alpha_n - \alpha_1} & \cdots & \left. \frac{dt^{\alpha_n - \alpha_1}}{dt} \right|_{t=\xi_n} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (x_n - x_{n-1}) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ x_1^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} & x_2^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} & \cdots & x_{n-1}^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} & (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)\xi_n^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 - 1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_1^{\alpha_n - \alpha_1} & x_2^{\alpha_n - \alpha_1} & \cdots & x_{n-1}^{\alpha_n - \alpha_1} & (\alpha_n - \alpha_1)\xi_n^{\alpha_n - \alpha_1 - 1} \end{vmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_{n-1} < \xi_n < x_n$ . By similar considerations, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x_n) &= \prod_{j=k-1}^n (x_j - x_{j-1}) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ x_1^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} & (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)\xi_2^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 - 1} & \cdots & (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)\xi_n^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 - 1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_1^{\alpha_n - \alpha_1} & (\alpha_n - \alpha_1)\xi_2^{\alpha_n - \alpha_1 - 1} & \cdots & (\alpha_n - \alpha_1)\xi_n^{\alpha_n - \alpha_1 - 1} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \prod_{j=2}^n (x_j - x_{j-1}) \prod_{i=2}^n (\alpha_i - \alpha_1) \begin{vmatrix} \xi_2^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 - 1} & \xi_3^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 - 1} & \cdots & \xi_n^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 - 1} \\ \xi_2^{\alpha_3 - \alpha_1 - 1} & \xi_3^{\alpha_3 - \alpha_1 - 1} & \cdots & \xi_n^{\alpha_3 - \alpha_1 - 1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \xi_2^{\alpha_n - \alpha_1 - 1} & \xi_3^{\alpha_n - \alpha_1 - 1} & \cdots & \xi_n^{\alpha_n - \alpha_1 - 1} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \prod_{j=2}^n [(\alpha_j - \alpha_1)(x_j - x_{j-1})\xi_j^{-\alpha_1 - 1}] V_{n-1}(\xi, \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < x_1 < \xi_2 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{n-1} < \xi_n < x_n$  and  $\alpha_2 < \alpha_3 < \cdots < \alpha_n$ . For general

$n$ , from (7), (8) and the result above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(x, \alpha) &= \varphi(x_n) \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha_1} \\ &= V_{n-1}(\xi, \alpha) \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha_1} \prod_{j=2}^n [(\alpha_j - \alpha_1)(x_j - x_{j-1}) \xi_j^{-\alpha_1 - 1}] > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where  $0 < x_1 < \xi_2 < x_2 < \dots < x_{n-1} < \xi_n < x_n$  and  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_3 < \dots < \alpha_n$ . The proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

From Theorem 1, it is easy to obtain an interesting result:

**COROLLARY 1.** If  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n, \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n$  and  $t > 0$ , then the following polynomial of one variable which pass  $n$  points  $(x_i, u_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  is unique:

$$u(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i t^{\alpha_i}. \tag{10}$$

**COROLLARY 2.** Let  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n < x_{n+1}$  and  $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n$ . Then

$$v(x, \alpha) = \begin{vmatrix} x_2^{\alpha_1} - x_1^{\alpha_1} & x_3^{\alpha_1} - x_2^{\alpha_1} & \dots & x_{n+1}^{\alpha_1} - x_n^{\alpha_1} \\ x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_2} & x_3^{\alpha_2} - x_2^{\alpha_2} & \dots & x_{n+1}^{\alpha_2} - x_n^{\alpha_2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_2^{\alpha_n} - x_1^{\alpha_n} & x_3^{\alpha_n} - x_2^{\alpha_n} & \dots & x_{n+1}^{\alpha_n} - x_n^{\alpha_n} \end{vmatrix} > 0.$$

Indeed, from inequality (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} v(x, \alpha) &= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \alpha_j} \begin{vmatrix} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} x^{\alpha_1-1} dx & \int_{x_2}^{x_3} x^{\alpha_1-1} dx & \dots & \int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} x^{\alpha_1-1} dx \\ \int_{x_1}^{x_2} x^{\alpha_2-1} dx & \int_{x_2}^{x_3} x^{\alpha_2-1} dx & \dots & \int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} x^{\alpha_2-1} dx \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \int_{x_1}^{x_2} x^{\alpha_n-1} dx & \int_{x_2}^{x_3} x^{\alpha_n-1} dx & \dots & \int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} x^{\alpha_n-1} dx \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \alpha_j} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \int_{x_2}^{x_3} \dots \int_{x_n}^{x_{n+1}} \begin{vmatrix} t_1^{\alpha_1-1} & t_2^{\alpha_1-1} & \dots & t_n^{\alpha_1-1} \\ t_1^{\alpha_2-1} & t_2^{\alpha_2-1} & \dots & t_n^{\alpha_2-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ t_1^{\alpha_n-1} & t_2^{\alpha_n-1} & \dots & t_n^{\alpha_n-1} \end{vmatrix} dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_n > 0. \end{aligned}$$

**PROOF of Theorem 2.** We will obtain its general form:

$$h_{m,n}(x, \alpha) := \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} x_1^{\alpha_1} & x_2^{\alpha_1} & \dots & x_n^{\alpha_1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_1^{\alpha_m} & x_2^{\alpha_m} & \dots & x_n^{\alpha_m} \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix}_n^+ \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha_i} \right), \tag{11}$$

where all numbers of  $n - m$  rows equal 1. For  $m$ , it will be verified by mathematical induction also. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $0 < x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$ . Then, from  $\alpha_i > 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$0 < x_1^{\alpha_i} \leq x_2^{\alpha_i} \leq \dots \leq x_n^{\alpha_i}. \tag{12}$$

Obviously, for  $m = 1$ , the equality of (11) holds. For  $m > 1$ , we delete the element at  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column from the  $|a_{ij}|_n^+$ , then we obtain a permanent of order  $(n - 1)$ . And it is called cofactor of  $a_{ij}$  denoted by  $M_{ij}$ . For  $m = 2$ , by  $x_1^{\alpha_2} \leq x_2^{\alpha_2} \leq \dots \leq x_n^{\alpha_2}$ , it is easy to get the following:

$$\frac{1}{(n - 1)!} M_{1j} = \frac{1}{n - 1} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n, k \neq j} x_k^{\alpha_2} = \frac{1}{n - 1} \left[ \left( \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^{\alpha_2} \right) - x_j^{\alpha_2} \right] \tag{13}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{(n - 1)!} M_{11} \geq \frac{1}{(n - 1)!} M_{12} \geq \dots \geq \frac{1}{(n - 1)!} M_{1n}. \tag{14}$$

From Chebysef's inequality, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{2,n}(x, \alpha) &= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha_1} \cdot \frac{1}{(n - 1)!} M_{1j} \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha_1} \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(n - 1)!} M_{1j} \right] = \prod_{i=1}^2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha_i} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

It follows that inequality (11) is true for  $m = 2$ . Assume that (11) holds for  $m - 1$ . Then

$$h_{m-1,n}(x, \alpha) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha_i} \right) \tag{16}$$

For general  $m$ , we first prove that (14) holds. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{11} &= \begin{vmatrix} x_2^{\alpha_2} & x_3^{\alpha_2} & \dots & x_n^{\alpha_2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_2^{\alpha_m} & x_3^{\alpha_m} & \dots & x_n^{\alpha_m} \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix}_{n-1}^+ = \sum_{i=2}^n x_2^{\alpha_i} M_{i2}^* \\ M_{12} &= \begin{vmatrix} x_1^{\alpha_2} & x_3^{\alpha_2} & \dots & x_n^{\alpha_2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_1^{\alpha_m} & x_3^{\alpha_m} & \dots & x_n^{\alpha_m} \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix}_{n-1}^+ = \sum_{i=2}^n x_1^{\alpha_i} M_{i1}^*, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_j^{\alpha_i} = 1$  for  $m + 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $M_{i1}^* = M_{i2}^* > 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . Therefore  $M_{11} - M_{12} = \sum_{i=2}^n (x_2^{\alpha_i} - x_1^{\alpha_i})M_{i1}^* \geq 0$ . That is

$$\frac{1}{(n-1)!}M_{11} \geq \frac{1}{(n-1)!}M_{12}.$$

By similar arguments, we see that (14) is true.

Now by the properties of a permanent, we get

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(n-1)!}M_{1j} = \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} x_1^{\alpha_2} & x_2^{\alpha_2} & \cdots & x_n^{\alpha_2} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_1^{\alpha_m} & x_2^{\alpha_m} & \cdots & x_n^{\alpha_m} \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{vmatrix}_n^+ \quad (17)$$

Combining (12) and (14) with the proved result above, by Chebysef's inequality and inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{m,n}(x, \alpha) &= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha_1} \cdot \frac{1}{(n-1)!}M_{1j} \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha_1} \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(n-1)!}M_{1j} \right] \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, inequality (11) is true for  $m$ . Setting  $m = n$ , we obtain the conclusion of Theorem 2. The proof is completed.

**COROLLARY 3.** Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow R_{++}^1, \ln f : [0, 1] \rightarrow R$  such that  $f$  and  $\ln f$  are integrable. If  $\alpha \in R_+^m$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h_{m,n}(f; \alpha)$  exists, where

$$h_{m,n}(f; \alpha) := \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} f^{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) & f^{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) & \cdots & f^{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{n}{n}\right) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ f^{\alpha_m} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) & f^{\alpha_m} \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) & \cdots & f^{\alpha_m} \left(\frac{n}{n}\right) \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{vmatrix}_n^+,$$

then

$$\left[ \exp \left( \int_0^1 \ln f(x) dx \right) \right]^{\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{m,n}(f; \alpha) \leq \prod_{j=1}^m \int_0^1 [f(x)]^{\alpha_j} dx.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $A(a) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i, G(a) = \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i}$ . From inequality (11) and the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we have

$$[G(f_n)]^{\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j} \leq h_n(a, \alpha) \leq \prod_{j=1}^m A(f_n^{\alpha_j}).$$

where  $f_n = (f(\frac{1}{n}), f(\frac{2}{n}), \dots, f(\frac{n}{n}))$ , and  $f_n^{\alpha_j} = (f^{\alpha_j}(\frac{1}{n}), f^{\alpha_j}(\frac{2}{n}), \dots, f^{\alpha_j}(\frac{n}{n}))$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . It is easy to see that the integral analogues of the above inequalities are just our desired (17). The proof of Corollary 3 is completed.

COROLLARY 4. Let  $0 \leq \alpha_j \leq 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and

$$G \subset \Omega_n := \left\{ x \mid \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq n, x \in R_+^n \right\}.$$

Then

$$\int_G h_n(x, \alpha) dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n \leq \frac{n^n}{n!}.$$

PROOF. From inequality (6) and the well known power mean inequality ([9], [10]), for  $0 \leq \alpha_j \leq 1$  with  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , we have

$$h_n(x, \alpha) \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha_j} \right) \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{\alpha_j} \leq 1.$$

From the above and  $x \in G \subset \Omega_n$ , it follows that

$$\int_G h_n(x, \alpha) dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n \leq \int_G dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n \leq \int_{\Omega_n} dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n = \frac{n^n}{n!}.$$

Thus, Corollary 4 is true.

For more information of the Hardy function, please refer to ([3]-[8]). It would also be of interest to show that

$$\frac{h_n(a, \alpha)}{h_n(b, \alpha)} \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{\alpha_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^{\alpha_i}} \right), \tag{18}$$

where  $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_n > 0$ ,  $a_1/b_1 \geq a_2/b_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n/b_n > 0$ , which is true for  $n = 1$  and 2.

## References

- [1] Z. G. Xiao and Z. H. Zhang, The Henleman mean of  $n$  positive numbers, J. Yueyang Normal Univ., 14(2)(2001), 1–5 (in Chinese).
- [2] J. Konrad and T. I. Heuvers, Characterization of the permanent, Linear Alg. Appl., 101(1988), 49–72.
- [3] B. Y. Wang, An Introduction to the Theory of Majorizations, Beijing Normal Univ. Press, 1990 (in Chinese).
- [4] W. L. Wang and P. F. Wang, A class for inequalities of symmetrical functions, Acta. Math., 27(4)(1984), 485–497 (in Chinese).

- [5] J. E. Pečarić and D. Svrtan, New refinements of the Jensen inequalities based on samples with repetitions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 222(1998), 365–373.
- [6] Z. G. Xiao, Z. H. Zhang and X. N. Lu, A class of inequalities for weighted symmetric mean, *J. Hunan Educational Institute*, 17(5)(1999), 130–134 (in Chinese).
- [7] L. Li and J. J. Wen, Hardy type inequalities involving the convex function, *J. of Southwest Univ. for Nationalities*, 29(3)2003, 269–274 (in Chinese).
- [8] J. J. Wen, Hardy means and their inequalities, *Journal of Mathematics*, 2005, to appear.
- [9] W. L. Wang, J. J. Wen and H. N. Shi, On the optimal values for inequalities involving power means, *Acta. Math.*, 47(6)2004, 1053–1062 (in Chinese)
- [10] R. X. Zhang and J. J. Wen, Inequalities involving surplus symmetric means and their applications, *Mathematics in Practice and Theory*, 34(10)(2004), 140–147 (in Chinese)