ISSN 1607-2510

Exponential Stability of Modified Stochastic Approximation Procedure *[†]

Alexandra Rodkina and O'Neil Lynch[‡]

Received 2 February 2002

Abstract

The modified stochastic approximation procedure

$$x_{i+1} = x_i + \alpha_i g(x_i + \xi_{i+1}), x_0 = \zeta \tag{1}$$

is considered. Here $\{\alpha_j\}$ is the sequence of positive numbers, $\{\xi_n\}$ is a sequence of martingale-differences, function g is twice differentiable, $ug(u) \leq 0$ for $u \neq 0$, ζ is the initial value. Results on the almost-sure boundedness and the exponential stability of procedure (1) are obtained. The theorem of the convergence of nonnegative semimartingale has been applied.

1 Introduction

Stochastic approximation, originally proposed by Robbins and Monro in 1951 [7], is concerned with the problem of finding the root of the function y = R(x) which is neither known nor directly observed. Let the result of the measurement at the point x_k at moment k be equal to $Y_k = R(x_k) + \xi_{k+1}$, where $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k, \ldots$ are independent random values with zero mean. For an arbitrary initial point X(0) = x and an arbitrary sequence $\{\gamma_k\}$ of positive numbers, Robbins and Monro suggested the following procedure

$$X_{k+1} = X_k - \gamma_k Y_k, \ Y_k = R(x_k) + \xi_{k+1}.$$
(2)

The generalizations of Robbins and Monro method were investigated in numerous publications. We mention here just two outstanding books: by Nevelson and Khasminskii (cf. [5]) and Kushner (cf. [2]). Over the years, stochastic approximation has been proven to be a powerful and useful tool. Here we discuss the application of the stochastic approximation to credibility.

Let x denote the claim size, with distribution P_{θ} that depends on some random parameter θ (with the prior distribution $\pi(\theta)$). It can be proven (cf. [1] and [3]) that

^{*}Mathematics Subject Classifications: 60H10, 93E15.

[†]Partially supported by Cariscience

[‡]Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of the West Indies, Mona, Kingston-7, Jamaica

for some distributions P and π (the Normal/Normal, the Poisson/Gamma, *etc.*) the tradition credibility formula

$$\hat{\mu}_n = (1 - \alpha_n)m + \alpha_n \bar{x}_n,\tag{3}$$

takes place. Here $\hat{\mu}_n$ is an estimation of the fair premium, m is the collective fair premium, \bar{x}_n is the mean of n years of individual experience x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n . It is not difficult to show that (3) can be rewritten as a stochastic recursion of the type (2). The latter is particularly suited for the sequential evaluation of the fair premium. However in some situations (see [3])) tradition credibility formula fails and the stochastic approximation gives rise to some kind of quasi-credibility. In this case, instead of the stochastic approximation procedure (2), we need to consider the following modified procedure

$$x_{i+1} = x_i + \alpha_i g(x_i + \xi_{i+1}), \ x_0 = \zeta.$$
(4)

In this paper we investigate the exponential stability of procedure (4), where the errors of the observation ξ_i are martingale-differences, the function g is twice differentiable and $ug(u) \leq 0$ for $u \neq 0$. The theorem of the convergence of nonnegative semimartingale will be needed (cf. [6]).

2 Definitions and Auxiliary Lemmas

Let the probability space (Ω, F, P) with filtration $F = \{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=1,2,...}$ be given. Let the stochastic sequence $\{m_n\}$ be an \mathcal{F}_n -martingale with $m_0 = 0$. We put $\xi_n = m_n - m_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$ where $\xi_0 = 0$. Then the stochastic sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ is an \mathcal{F}_n -martingale-difference. For detailed definitions and facts of random processes, the reader can see e.g. [4]. We present below two necessary lemmas which will be used in this paper.

LEMMA 1. Let $\{\xi_n\}$ be an \mathcal{F}_n -martingale-difference. Then there exists an \mathcal{F}_n -martingale-difference $\{\mu_n\}$ and a positive \mathcal{F}_{n-1} -measurable stochastic sequence $\{\eta_n\}$ such that for every $n = 1, 2, \ldots$,

$$\xi_n^2 = \mu_n + \eta_n \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{5}$$

LEMMA 2. Let $Z_n = Z_0 + A_n^1 - A_n^2 + M_n$ be a non-negative semimartingale, where M_n is a martingale, A_n^1, A_n^2 are a.s. non-decreasing processes. Then $\{\omega :: A_\infty^1 < \infty\} \subseteq \{Z \rightarrow\} \cap \{A_\infty^2 < \infty\}$ a.s.

Here $\{Z \to\}$ denotes the set of all $\omega \in \Omega$ for which $Z_{\infty} = \lim_{t \to \infty} Z_t$ exists and is finite. The notation a.s. means almost-surely.

3 Boundedness of Solution

Let the function g be twice differentiable and for any $u \in \Re$,

$$ug(u) \le 0, \quad u \ne 0,\tag{6}$$

$$g^2(u) \le K_1 u^2,\tag{7}$$

$$|g''(u)| \le K,\tag{8}$$

where $K_1, K > 0$ are nonrandom numbers. Let $\{\xi_n\}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{F}_n -martingaledifferences with $\xi_0 = 0$ and decomposition (5) takes place. Let $\{\alpha_j\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that a.s.

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j = \infty, \tag{9}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j^2 < \infty, \tag{10}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j \eta_{j+1} < \infty.$$
(11)

THEOREM 1. Let conditions (6)-(11) be fulfilled, then the solution x_i to equation (4) has the following properties:

$$\sup_{0 < i < \infty} x_i^2 < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{i \to \infty} x_i = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

PROOF. Applying Taylor's expansion to $g(x_i + \xi_{i+1})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i+1}^2 - x_i^2 &= (x_i + \alpha_i g(x_i + \xi_{i+1}))^2 - x_i^2 \\ &\leq 2\alpha_i x_i \left[g(x_i) + g'(x_i)\xi_{i+1} + g''(u) \frac{\xi_{i+1}^2}{2} \right] + \alpha_i^2 K_1 (x_i + \xi_{i+1})^2, \end{aligned}$$

where u lies between x_i and ξ_{i+1} . From above and using the decomposition of ξ_i^2 (see Lemma 1 and (5)) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i+1}^2 - x_i^2 &\leq 2\alpha_i x_i g(x_i) + (K\alpha_i |x_i| + 2K_1 \alpha_i^2) \eta_{i+1} + 2K_1 \alpha_i^2 x_i^2 + 2\alpha_i x_i g'(x_i) \xi_{i+1} \\ &+ (K\alpha_i |x_i| + 2K_1 \alpha_i^2) \mu_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Delta m_i = 2\alpha_i x_i g'(x_i) \xi_{i+1} + (K\alpha_i |x_i| + 2K_1 \alpha_i^2) \mu_{i+1}$, which is a martingale-difference. Applying the estimation $|x_i| \leq 1 + x_i^2$, we get

$$x_{i+1}^2 - x_i^2 \le 2\alpha_i x_i g(x_i) + (K\alpha_i + 2K_1\alpha_i^2)\eta_{i+1} + (K\alpha_i\eta_{i+1} + 2K_1\alpha_i^2)x_i^2 + \Delta m_i, \quad (12)$$

and then

$$x_{i+1}^2 \le 2\alpha_i x_i g(x_i) + (1+\beta_i) x_i^2 + (K\alpha_i + 2K_1\alpha_i^2)\eta_{i+1} + \Delta m_i,$$
(13)

where $\beta_i = K \alpha_i \eta_{i+1} + 2K_1 \alpha_i^2$. Note that (10)-(11) imply

$$\prod_{j=1}^{i} (1+\beta_j) < M \tag{14}$$

for some nonrandom M > 0 and every i = 1, 2, ... Letting

$$x_{i+1} = \prod_{j=1}^{i} (1+\beta_j)^{1/2} y_{i+1}$$

A. Rodkina and O. Lynch

and substituting it in (13) we get

$$\prod_{j=1}^{i} (1+\beta_j)(y_{i+1}^2 - y_i^2) \leq 2\alpha_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1+\beta_j)^{1/2} y_i g\left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1+\beta_j)^{1/2} y_i\right) + (K\alpha_i + 2K_1\alpha_i^2)\eta_{i+1} + \Delta m_i.$$

Let

$$\prod_{j=1}^{i} (1+\beta_j)^{-1} \Delta m_i = \Delta m_i^1,$$

which is a martingale-difference, therefore

$$y_{i+1}^{2} - y_{i}^{2} \leq 2 \prod_{j=1}^{i} (1+\beta_{j})^{-1} \alpha_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1+\beta_{j})^{1/2} y_{i} g \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1+\beta_{j})^{1/2} y_{i} \right) + \prod_{j=1}^{i} (1+\beta_{j})^{-1} (K\alpha_{i} + 2K_{1}\alpha_{i}^{2}) \eta_{i+1} + \Delta m_{i}^{1}.$$
(15)

Taking the sum of (15) from i = 0 to i = n - 1, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_{i+1}^2 - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i^2 \leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{i} (1+\beta_j)^{-1} \alpha_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1+\beta_j)^{1/2} y_i g\left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1+\beta_j)^{1/2} y_i\right) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{i} (1+\beta_j)^{-1} (K\alpha_i + 2K_1\alpha_i^2) \eta_{i+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Delta m_i^1.$$

Therefore

$$y_n^2 \le U_n = y_0^2 + A_n^1 - A_n^2 + m_n^1, \tag{16}$$

where

$$A_n^1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^i (1+\beta_j)^{-1} (K\alpha_i + 2K_1\alpha_i^2)\eta_{i+1}$$

and

$$A_n^2 = -2\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\prod_{j=1}^i (1+\beta_j)^{-1}\alpha_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1+\beta_j)^{1/2} y_i g\left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1+\beta_j)^{1/2} y_i\right).$$

It should be noted that A_n^1 and A_n^2 are increasing processes a.s., m_n^1 is a martingale, U_n is nonnegative semimartingale and $P\{A_\infty^1 < \infty\} = 1$ due to the convergence of series in (10) and (11). Applying Lemma 2, that is

$$\{A^1_{\infty} < \infty\} \subset \{U_i \to\} \cap \{A^2_{\infty} < \infty\},\$$

we have

$$P\{U_i \to\} = 1.$$

This implies that there exists some a.s. finite random value $H = H(\omega)$ such that $P\{\sup_{0 < i < \infty} U_i \leq H\} = 1$. Then $P\{\sup_{0 < i < \infty} y_i^2 \leq H\} = 1$ and the first part of the theorem is proved.

Suppose now that $P\{\liminf_{i\to\infty} y_i^2 > 0\} = p_0 > 0$. Then there exist random variables $\zeta_0 = \zeta_0(\omega) > 0$ and $N_0 = N_0(\omega) > 0$ such that $P(\Omega_0) = p_0$, where $\Omega_0 = \{\omega : y_i^2 > \zeta_0(\omega)/2 \text{ for } i > N_0\}$. Since $\prod_{j=1}^i (1+\beta_j)^{1/2} > 1$, we have $y_i^2 \prod_{j=1}^i (1+\beta_j)^{1/2} > \zeta_0(\omega)/2$ for $i > N_0$ and $\omega \in \Omega_0$. Due to the continuity and negativity (for $u \neq 0$) of the function $\phi(u) = ug(u)$ we can find $k_0 = k_0(\omega)$ and $N_1 = N_1(\omega) \ge N_0(\omega)$ such that

$$-\phi\left(\prod_{j=1}^{i}(1+\beta_{j})^{1/2}y_{i}\right) > k_{0}(\omega)$$

for $\omega \in \Omega_0$ and $i > N_1$. Then

$$-2\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i \prod_{j=1}^{i} (1+\beta_j)^{1/2} y_i(\omega) g\left(\prod_{j=1}^{i} (1+\beta_j)^{1/2} y_i(\omega)\right)$$

= $-2\sum_{i=0}^{N_1-1} -2\sum_{i=N_1}^{n-1}$
 $\geq -2\sum_{i=N_1}^{n-1} \alpha_i \phi\left(\prod_{j=1}^{i} (1+\beta_j)^{1/2} y_i(\omega)\right)$
 $\geq 2k_0(\omega) \sum_{i=N_1}^{n-1} \alpha_i \to \infty$

as $n \to \infty$. Hence $P\{A_{\infty}^2 = \infty\} \ge p_0 > 0$ which contradicts (16) and conditions (10)-(11). Then $P\{\liminf_{i\to\infty} y_i^2 > 0\} = 0$ and from (14) we have: $P\{\liminf_{i\to\infty} x_i^2 \le M \liminf_{i\to\infty} y_i^2 = 0\} = 1$. The theorem is completely proved.

4 Exponential Stability

In addition to the conditions from the previous section let two more conditions be fulfilled

$$H_1|x| \le |g(x)|,$$
 (17)

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i \eta_{i+1} \prod_{j=1}^{i} (1 - 2\alpha_j H_1)^{-1} < \infty \text{ a.s.},$$
(18)

where $H_1 > 0$ is some constant and $\eta_{i+1} \to 0$ when $i \to \infty$.

REMARK 1. Let $H_1|x| \leq |g(x)|$ and xg(x) < 0 for all $x \in \Re$ and $x \neq 0$. The following is correct: if x > 0, then

$$-xg(x) = x|g(x)| \ge |x|H_1|x| = H_1x^2;$$

and if x < 0, then

$$-xg(x) = |x||g(x)| \ge |x|H_1|x| = H_1x^2.$$

Therefore

$$xg(x) \le -H_1 x^2. \tag{19}$$

THEOREM 2. Let conditions (6)-(11) and (17)-(18) be fulfilled. Then for any $\kappa > 0$,

$$\exp\left[(1-\kappa)2H_1\sum_{i=0}^n\alpha_i\right]x_n^2\to 0$$

a.s. where x_n is a solution of equation (4).

PROOF. Substituting (19) in (12) we get

$$x_{i+1}^2 - x_i^2 \le -(2\alpha_i H_1 - K\alpha_i \eta_{i+1} - 2K_1 \alpha_i^2) x_i^2 + (K\alpha_i + 2K_1 \alpha_i^2) \eta_{i+1} + \Delta m_i.$$
(20)

Let

$$\tau_i = 2\alpha_i H_1 - K\alpha_i \eta_{i+1} - 2K_1 \alpha_i^2.$$

Due to the positivity of K_1 , K and η_{i+1} from condition (18) we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (K\alpha_i - 2K_1\alpha_i^2)\eta_{i+1} \prod_{j=0}^{i} (1 - 2\alpha_j H_1 + 2K_1\alpha_j^2 + K\alpha_j \eta_{j+1})^{-1}$$

$$\leq K \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i \eta_{i+1} \prod_{j=0}^{i} (1 - 2\alpha_j H_1)^{-1} < \infty.$$
(21)

From (20) we get

$$x_{i+1}^2 \le (1 - \tau_i)x_i^2 + (K\alpha_i + 2K_1\alpha_i^2)\eta_{i+1} + \Delta m_i.$$
(22)

Let

$$z_i^2 = \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - \tau_j)^{-1} x_i^2$$

which implies that

$$x_i^2 = \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - \tau_j) z_i^2$$

Substituting it in (22) we get,

$$\prod_{j=1}^{i} (1-\tau_j) z_{i+1}^2 \le (1-\tau_i) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1-\tau_j) z_i^2 + (K\alpha_j + 2K_1\alpha_j^2) \eta_{j+1} + \Delta m_i$$

and

$$z_{i+1}^2 - z_i^2 \le \prod_{j=1}^i (1 - \tau_j)^{-1} (K\alpha_j + 2K_1\alpha_i j^2)\eta_{j+1} + \Delta m_i^1,$$
(23)

where $\Delta m_i^1 = \prod_{j=1}^i (1-\tau_j)^{-1} \Delta m_i$. Taking the sum of (23) from i = 0 to i = n-1, we obtain

$$z_n^2 \le z_0^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^i (1-\tau_j)^{-1} (K\alpha_j + 2K_1\alpha_j^2)\eta_{j+1} + m_n^1.$$

Applying (21) and using the same arguments as in Theorem 1 we obtain that there exists some a.s. finite random value $H = H(\omega)$ such that $P\{\sup_{0 \le i \le \infty} z_i^2 \le H\} = 1$. As $\alpha_i, \eta_{i+1} \to 0$ when $i \to \infty$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists the random integer $N = N(\omega) > 0$ such that for any $j \ge N$

$$2K_1\alpha_j + K\eta_{j+1} < 2H_1\varepsilon.$$

Then for some $H_2 > 0$

$$x_{i}^{2} \leq HH_{2} \prod_{j=N}^{i} (1 - 2H_{1}(1 - \varepsilon)\alpha_{j}) = HH_{2} \exp\{\sum_{j=N}^{i} \ln[1 - 2H_{1}(1 - \varepsilon)\alpha_{j}]\}$$

$$\leq HH_{2} \exp\{-2H_{1} \sum_{j=N}^{i} (1 - \varepsilon)\alpha_{j}\}.$$
 (24)

If we take some $\kappa > 0$ and $\varepsilon < \kappa/2$, then from (24) we obtain that $P\{\lim_{i\to\infty} \exp\{(1-i)\}\}$ κ) $2H_1 \sum_{j=N}^{i} \alpha_j x_i^2 = 0 = 1$. The proof is complete.

In the following example we investigate the fulfillment of the condition (18). We consider two different cases for α_i , and η_i .

EXAMPLE. a) Let $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{i}$ and $\eta_i \leq C(\omega)/i^{\varepsilon+2H_1}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and a.s. finite random variable $C(\omega) > 0$. Then

$$\prod_{j=N}^{i} (1 - 2H_1 \alpha_j)^{-1} = \prod_{j=N}^{i} e^{-\ln(1 - 2H_1 \alpha_j)} = e^{-\sum_{j=N}^{i} \ln(1 - 2H_1 \alpha_j)} \le K_1' i^{2H_1},$$

and a.s.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i \eta_{i+1} \prod_{j=1}^{i} (1 - 2\alpha_j H_1)^{-1} \le K_2' \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i} i^{2H_1} \frac{C(\omega)}{i^{\varepsilon + 2H_1}} < \infty,$$

where K'_1 and K'_2 are some constants. b) Let $\alpha_i = (i \ln i)^{-1}$ and $\eta_i \leq C(\omega)/i^{\varepsilon+2H_1}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and a.s. finite random variable $C(\omega) > 0$. Then

$$\prod_{j=N}^{i} (1 - 2H_1 \alpha_j)^{-1} \le K_1' \ln^{2H_1} i,$$

and a.s.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i \eta_{i+1} \prod_{i=1}^{i} (1 - 2\alpha_i H_1)^{-1} \le K_2' \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i \ln i} \ln^{2H_1} i \frac{C(\omega)}{i^{\varepsilon + 2H_1}} < \infty.$$

References

- [1] T. Herzog, Introduction to Credibility Theory, ACTEX Publications, Inc., 1996.
- [2] H. J. Kushner, Stochastic Stability and Control, Academic Press, 1967.
- [3] Z. M. Landesman and U. E. Makov, Exponential Dispersion Models and Credibility, Scand. Actuarial J., 1(1998), 89-96.
- [4] R. Sh. Liptser and A. N. Shiryayev, Theory of Martingales, Kluwer Academic, Dortrecht, 1989.
- [5] M. Nevelson and R. Khasminskii, Stochastic approximation and recurrent estimates. Providence. AMS., 1972.
- [6] A. Rodkina, On Asymptotic Behaviour of solutions of stochastic difference equations, Nonlinear Analysis, 47(2001), 4719-4730.
- [7] H. Robbins and S. Monro, A stochastic approximation method. Ann. Math. Stat. 29(1951), 400-407.