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#### Abstract

In this paper, using fixed point theorem in cones and a transformation $y(t)=$ $\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} z(s) d s$, we establish some existence results for singular second order boundary value problems of the form $$
\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p(t) q(t) f\left(t, y, p y^{\prime}\right)=0,0<t<1
$$


where $f(t, y, z)$ is allowed to be singular at $y=0$ and $z=0$.

## 1 Introduction

This paper is devoted to the study of the existence of positive solutions for singular second order boundary value problems of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p(t) q(t) f\left(t, y, p y^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad 0<t<1  \tag{1}\\
y(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}} p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(t, y, z)=+\infty$ and $\lim _{z \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(t, y, z)=+\infty$ uniformly on compact subset of $[0,1] \times(0,+\infty)$. That is, we will allow our nonlinear term $f$ to be singular at $y=0$ and $z=0$.

In [1], Erbe and Wang study the existence of positive solutions of the equation $u^{\prime \prime}+a(t) f(u)=0$ by using the Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem [2], where $a(t)$ is continuous on $[0,1]$ and $f(u)$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$. Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem has been widely used to discuss the existence of positive solutions for boundary value problems. In [3-5], O'Regan et al. showed the existence of positive solutions for singular second order differential equations of the form

$$
\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p(t) q(t) f\left(t, y, p y^{\prime}\right)=0,0<t<1
$$

[^0]where $f(t, y, z)$ is continuous on $[0,1] \times R^{2}$. In [6], its authors used the nonlinear alternative of Leray and Schauder to prove the existence results for singular second order boundary value problems of the form
$$
\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p(t) q(t) f(t, y)=0
$$
here $\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(t, y)=+\infty$. In [7], by using an upper and lower solution approach, O'Regan and Agarwal presented the existence results for singular problems of the from
\[

\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}
\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p(t) q(t) f\left(t, y, p y^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad 0<t<1  \tag{2}\\
y(1)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=0
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

where $f$ is allowed to be singular at $y=0$. When $f$ is singular at $y=0$ and $z=0$, few people (e.g. [9]) studied the problem (2). In this paper, with the use of certain fixed point theorem in cones and a transformation

$$
y(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} z(s) d s
$$

we will show the existence of positive solutions for the problem (1). Our results are different from that in [9] and simpler than that in [7].

## 2 Main Results

Let $p y^{\prime}=z(t)$. We can transform (1) into

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p y^{\prime}=z(t)  \tag{3}\\
z^{\prime}(t)+p(t) q(t) f(t, y, z)=0, \quad 0<t<1 \\
y(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}} z(t)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consequently (3) is equivalent to the fixed point problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T z)(t)=\int_{t}^{1} p(s) q(s) f(s,(A z)(s), z(s)) d s \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} z(s) d s=(A z)(t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:
$\left(H_{1}\right) f:[0,1] \times(0,+\infty) \times(0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ is continuous, $\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(t, y, z)=$ $+\infty$ and $\lim _{z \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(t, y, z)=+\infty$ uniformly on bounded subsets of $[0,1] \times(0,+\infty)$;
$\left(H_{2}\right) p(t) \in C[0,1] \cap C^{1}(0,1)$ with $p>0$ on $(0,1)$;
$\left(H_{3}\right) q(t) \in C(0,1)$ with $q>0$ on $(0,1)$;
$\left(H_{4}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} d s<+\infty, \int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s) d s<+\infty$, and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}} p(t) q(t) f(t, y, z)=+\infty$ uniformly on bounded subsets of $(0,+\infty) \times(0,+\infty)$;
$\left(H_{5}\right) f(t, y, z) \leq h(y) g(z)$ for $(t, y, z) \in[0,1] \times(0,+\infty) \times(0,+\infty)$, where $g, h \in$ $C((0,+\infty),(0,+\infty)) ;$
$\left(H_{6}\right) p^{2} q$ is bounded on $[0,1]$ and there exists $R>0$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{(R+1)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} d s+1 \quad h(u) d u<+\infty
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{R} \frac{u}{g(u)} d u>\sup _{t \in[0,1]} p^{2}(t) q(t) \int_{0}^{(R+1) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} d s+1} h(u) d u
$$

$\left(H_{7}\right) \int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t) \max g[1-t, R] d t<+\infty$ and

$$
\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t) \max h\left[\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1-t_{0}}{P(s)} d s, R \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} d s+1\right] d t<+\infty
$$

for each $t_{0} \in[0,1)$, where $\max g[a, b]=\max _{a \leq x \leq b} g(x), a \leq b$.
We will need the following lemma, its proof can be seen in [8].
LEMMA 1. Let $K$ be a cone of the Banach space $E, B_{R}(0)=\{x \in K:\|x\| \leq R\}$, and $F: B_{R}(0) \rightarrow K$ is a completely continuous operator. In addition suppose
(i) $F(x) \neq \lambda x$ for $\|x\|=R, \lambda>1$,
(ii) there exists $r \in(0, R)$ such that $F(x) \neq \lambda x$ for $\|x\|=r, 0<\lambda<1$,
(iii) $\inf \{\|F x\|:\|x\|=r\}>0$.

Then $F$ has at least one fixed point on $r \leq\|x\| \leq R$.
Consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p(t) q(t) f\left(t, y, p y^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad 0<t<1  \tag{6}\\
y(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}} p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=1 / m
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $m \in N$, which is equivalent to the fixed point problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{m} z(t)=\int_{t}^{1} f\left(s,(A z)(s)+\frac{1}{m}, z(s)\right) p(s) q(s) d s+\frac{1}{m} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
D[0,1]=\{z \in C([0,1],[0,+\infty)): z \text { is nonincreasing on }[0,1]\}
$$

then $D[0,1]$ is a cone of Banach space $C[0,1]$. For $z(t) \in D[0,1]$, we define

$$
I z(t)= \begin{cases}z(t), & z(1) \geq 1 / m  \tag{8}\\ z(t)+\left(\frac{1}{m}-z(1)\right), & z(1)<1 / m\end{cases}
$$

LEMMA 2. Suppose $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold, then $T_{m} I$ is a completely continuous operator on $D[0,1]$.

PROOF. First we show $T_{m} I$ is a continuous operator on $D[0,1]$. Let $z, z_{n} \in D[0,1]$ such that $z_{n} \rightarrow z$. Since $f$ is uniformly continuous on compact subsets of $[0,1] \times$ $[1 / m,+\infty) \times[1 / m,+\infty)$, then for each $\varepsilon>0$, there is $N$ such that

$$
\left|f\left(s,(A I z)(s)+\frac{1}{m}, I z(s)\right)-f\left(s,\left(A I z_{n}\right)(s)+\frac{1}{m}, I z_{n}(s)\right)\right|<\varepsilon
$$

when $n>N, s \in[0,1]$. This together with $\left(H_{4}\right)$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|T_{m} I z(t)-T_{m} I z_{n}(t)\right| \\
\leq & \int_{t}^{1}\left|f\left(s,(A I z)(s)+\frac{1}{m}, I z(s)\right)-f\left(s,\left(A I z_{n}\right)(s)+\frac{1}{m}, I z_{n}(s)\right)\right| p(s) q(s) d s \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{1}\left|f\left(s,(A I z)(s)+\frac{1}{m}, I z(s)\right)-f\left(s,\left(A I z_{n}\right)(s)+\frac{1}{m}, I z_{n}(s)\right)\right| p(s) q(s) d s \\
\leq & \varepsilon \int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n>N, t \in[0,1]$. We obtain that $T_{m} I$ is a continuous operator on $D[0,1]$.
Next we show $T_{m} I$ is a compact map. Let $\Omega \subseteq D[0,1]$ be bounded, that is that there exists a constant $M$ with $\|z\| \leq M$ for each $z \in \Omega$. By using $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{4}\right)$, there is $M^{\prime}>0$ such that $|f(s,(A I z)(t)+1 / m, I z(t))| \leq M^{\prime}$ for each $z \in \Omega, t \in[0,1]$. Therefore, $\left|T_{m} I z\right| \leq M^{\prime} \int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s) d s$ for each $z \in \Omega$, that is $T_{m} I \Omega$ is completely bounded.

For each $z \in \Omega, t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0,1]$ with $t_{1}<t_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T_{m} I z\left(t_{1}\right)-T_{m} I z\left(t_{2}\right)\right| & =\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} f\left(s,(A I z)(s)+\frac{1}{m}, I z(s)\right) p(s) q(s) d s \\
& \leq M^{\prime} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} p(s) q(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(H_{4}\right)$ and the above inequality imply that $T_{m} I \Omega$ is equicontinuous. Consequently the Arzela-Ascoli theorem implies $T_{m} I \Omega$ is relatively compact. So $T_{m} I$ is a completely continuous. The proof is complete.

THEOREM 1. Suppose $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{7}\right)$ hold, then (1) has a positive solution $y \in$ $C^{1}[0,1] \cap C^{2}(0,1)$ with $p y^{\prime} \in C[0,1]$.

PROOF. Take $R$ as in $\left(H_{6}\right)$. First we show that $T_{m} I z \neq \mu z$ for each $\|z\|=R, \mu>1$. If this is not true, then there exist $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and $z \in D[0,1]$ with $\|z\|=R$ such that $\lambda T_{m} I z=z$, that is

$$
\lambda \int_{t}^{1} f\left(s,(A I z)(s)+\frac{1}{m}, I z(s)\right) p(s) q(s) d s+\frac{\lambda}{m}=z
$$

So $z(0)=\|z\|=R, z(1)=\lambda / m$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-z^{\prime}(t) & =\lambda f\left(t,(A I z)(t)+\frac{1}{m}, I z(t)\right) p(t) q(t) \\
& \leq \lambda h\left((A I z)(t)+\frac{1}{m}\right) g(I z(t)) p(t) q(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $y(t)=(A I z)(s)+1 / m$, we have

$$
-\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} p y^{\prime} \leq \lambda h(y(t)) g\left(p y^{\prime}\right) p(t) q(t) p y^{\prime}, t \in(0,1)
$$

and integration from 0 to 1 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\frac{\lambda}{m}}^{R} \frac{u}{g(u)} d u & \leq \lambda \sup _{t \in[0,1]} p^{2}(t) q(t) \int_{0}^{1} h(y(t)) y^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& \leq \lambda \sup _{t \in[0,1]} p^{2}(t) q(t) \int_{\frac{1}{m}}^{(R+1) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}+\frac{1}{m}} h(u) d u \\
& \leq \lambda \sup _{t \in[0,1]} p^{2}(t) q(t) \int_{0}^{(R+1) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}+\frac{1}{m}} h(u) d u
\end{aligned}
$$

If $m$ is sufficiently large, $\left(H_{6}\right)$ implies

$$
\int_{\frac{\lambda}{m}}^{R} \frac{u}{g(u)} d u>\sup _{t \in[0,1]} p^{2}(t) q(t) \int_{0}^{(R+1) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}+\frac{1}{m}} h(u) d u
$$

This is a contradiction. Thus $T_{m} I z \neq \mu z$ for each $\|z\|=R, \mu>1$.
Next we show that there is $r \in(0, R)$ such that $T_{m} I z \neq \lambda z$ for each $\|z\|=r, \lambda \in$ $(0,1)$. Since $\lim _{z \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(t, y, z)=+\infty$ uniformly on bounded subsets of $[0,1] \times(0,+\infty)$, then there is a sufficiently small $r>0$ such that

$$
\left\|T_{m} I z\right\|=\int_{0}^{1} f(s,(A I z)(s), I z(s)) p(s) q(s) d s+\frac{1}{m}>r
$$

If $\|z\|=r$ and $m \rightarrow+\infty$, Then $T_{m} I z \neq \lambda z$ for $\|z\|=r$ and $\lambda \in(0,1)$. By Lemma 1 and 2 , there is $M>0$ such that $T_{m} I$ has a fixed point $z_{m}$ on $D[0,1]$ with $r \leq\left\|z_{m}\right\| \leq R$ when $m>M$, and $z_{m}(t) \geq 1 / m$ for $t \in[0,1]$. Therefore, $z_{m}$ is a fixed point of $T_{m}$.

It is clear that $\left\{z_{m}\right\}$ is completely bounded. Next we show $\left\{z_{m}\right\}$ is equicontinuous. $\left(H_{4}\right)$ implies that there is $t_{0} \in[0,1)$ such that $p(t) q(t) f(t, y, z) \geq 1$ on $\left[t_{0}, 1\right] \times\left(0, R \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} d s+1\right] \times(0, R]$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{m}(t)= & \int_{t}^{1} f\left(s,\left(A z_{m}\right)(s)+\frac{1}{m}, z_{m}(s)\right) p(s) q(s) d s+\frac{1}{m}>1-t, t \in\left[t_{0}, 1\right]  \tag{9}\\
z_{m}(t)= & \int_{t}^{1} f\left(s,\left(A z_{m}\right)(s)+\frac{1}{m}, z_{m}(s)\right) p(s) q(s) d s+\frac{1}{m}>1-t_{0} t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]  \tag{10}\\
& \left(A z_{m}\right)(t)+\frac{1}{m}=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} z_{m}(s) d s+\frac{1}{m}>\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1-t_{0}}{p(s)} d s, t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A z_{m}\right)(t)+\frac{1}{m}=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} z_{m}(s) d s+\frac{1}{m}>\int_{0}^{t_{0}} \frac{1-t_{0}}{p(s)} d s, t \in\left[t_{0}, 1\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq-z_{m}^{\prime}(t)=p(t) q(t) f\left(t,\left(A z_{m}\right)(t)+\frac{1}{m}, z_{m}(t)\right) \\
& \leq p(t) q(t) h\left(\left(A z_{m}\right)(t)+\frac{1}{m}\right) g\left(z_{m}(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so we have

$$
0 \leq-z_{m}^{\prime}(t)=p(t) q(t) \max h\left[\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1-t_{0}}{p(s)} d s, R \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} d s+1\right] \max g\left[1-t_{0}, R\right]
$$

for $t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]$,

$$
0 \leq-z_{m}^{\prime}(t)=p(t) q(t) \max h\left[\int_{0}^{t_{0}} \frac{1-t_{0}}{p(s)} d s, R \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} d s+1\right] \max g[1-t, R]
$$

for $t \in\left[t_{0}, 1\right]$. Thus the equicontinuity of $\left\{z_{m}\right\}$ follows from $\left(H_{7}\right)$ and the above inequalities. Consequently the Arzela-Ascoli theorem guarantees the existence of a subset $N_{0}$ of $\{M+1, M+2, \ldots\}$ and a function $z \in D[0,1]$ with $z_{m}$ converging uniformly on $[0,1]$ to $z$ as $m \rightarrow+\infty$ through $N_{0}$. Also $z(0)=0,(9)$ and (10) imply $z(t)>0$ for $t \in[0,1)$. Thus $\left(A z_{m}\right)(t) \rightarrow \int_{0}^{t}(z(s) / p(s)) d s$ uniformly on $[0,1]$ as $m \rightarrow+\infty$ through $N_{0}$. Now $z_{m}, m \in N_{0}$, satisfies the integral equation

$$
z_{m}(t)=\int_{t}^{1} f\left(s,\left(A z_{m}\right)(s)+\frac{1}{m}, z_{m}(s)\right) p(s) q(s) d s
$$

Fix $t \in(0,1)$, we have $f\left(s,\left(A z_{m}\right)(s)+\frac{1}{m}, z_{m}(s)\right) \rightarrow f(s,(A z)(s), z(s))$ uniformly on compact subsets of $[t, 1)$, so letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ through $N_{0}$ gives

$$
z(t)=\int_{t}^{1} f(s,(A z)(s), z(s)) p(s) q(s) d s
$$

Let $y(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{z(s)}{p(s)} d s$, then $y(t)$ is a solution of $(1)$ with $y \in C^{1}[0,1] \cap C^{2}(0,1)$, and $p y^{\prime} \in C[0,1]$. The proof is complete.

REMARK: Notice $\left(H_{6}\right)$ can be replaced by

$$
\exists r, 1<r<+\infty, \int_{0}^{(R+1)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} d s+1 h^{r}(u) d u<+\infty, \int_{0}^{1}\left[p^{\frac{r+1}{r}}(u) q(u)\right]^{\frac{r}{r-1}} d u<+\infty
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{R} \frac{u^{\frac{1}{r}}}{g(u)} d u>\left(\int_{0}^{(R+1)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} d s+1 h^{r}(u) d u\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[p^{\frac{r+1}{r}}(u) q(u)\right]^{\frac{r}{r-1}} d u\right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}}
$$

then the result in Theorem 1 is again true. To see this, notice in this case we choose $\delta>0$ so that

$$
\int_{\delta}^{R} \frac{u^{\frac{1}{r}}}{g(u)} d u>\left(\int_{0}^{(R+1) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} d s+1} h^{r}(u) d u\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[p^{\frac{r+1}{r}}(u) q(u)\right]^{\frac{r}{r-1}} d u\right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}}
$$

hold . Essentially the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1 establishes the proof.

EXAMPLE. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-t)^{\frac{1}{2}} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+t^{-\frac{1}{4}}(1-t)^{-\frac{1}{4}} y^{-\frac{1}{4}}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}=0  \tag{13}\\
y(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}} t^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-t)^{\frac{1}{2}} y^{\prime}(t)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $p(t)=t^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}, q(t)=t^{-\frac{3}{4}}(1-t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}, f(t, y, z)=y^{-\frac{1}{4}} z^{-\frac{1}{2}}, h(y)=y^{-\frac{1}{4}}, g(z)=$ $z^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Clearly, all assumptions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. Hence the problem (13) has at least one positive solution $y \in C^{1}[0,1] \cap C^{2}(0,1)$ with $p y^{\prime} \in C[0,1]$.
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